

# EQUADIFF 7

---

Miloslav Feistauer; Alexander Ženíšek

Finite element solution of nonlinear elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients and approximations in Sobolev-Slobodeckij spaces

In: Jaroslav Kurzweil (ed.): Equadiff 7, Proceedings of the 7th Czechoslovak Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications held in Prague, 1989. BSB B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1990. Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Bd. 118. pp. 248--251.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702338>

## Terms of use:

© BSB B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

# FINITE ELEMENT SOLUTION OF NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH DISCONTINUOUS COEFFICIENTS AND APPROXIMATIONS IN SOBOLEV-SLOBODECKIJ SPACES

FEISTAUER M., PRAGUE, Czechoslovakia

ŽENÍŠEK A., BRNO, Czechoslovakia

In this paper we present a complete theory of approximations of nonlinear elliptic problems with discontinuous coefficients by linear conforming triangular finite elements.

## 1. Continuous problem

Let us consider the following variational problem:

Find  $u: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad a) \quad u - u^* \in V, \quad b) \quad a(u, v) = L(v) \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Here  $V$  is a subspace of  $H^1(\Omega) = W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ ,  $u^* \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $p > 2$ ,  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain,  $a: [H^1(\Omega)]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $a(u, \cdot) \in (H^1(\Omega))^*$  for each  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $L \in V^*$ . (1.1) represents a weak formulation of a boundary value problem for the equation

$$-\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ a_i(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) \right] + a_0(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) = f(x) \text{ in } \Omega$$

with discontinuous coefficients. This means that  $\bar{\Omega}$  is decomposed into sets  $\bar{\Omega}_1, \dots, \bar{\Omega}_m$  such that  $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_m$  are mutually disjoint domains with Lipschitz-continuous piecewise  $C^3$  boundaries  $\partial\Omega_1, \dots, \partial\Omega_m$ . For each  $k = 1, \dots, m$  the coefficients  $a_i = a_i^k$  in  $\Omega_k \times \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $f = f^k$  in  $\Omega_k$ . Across  $\Gamma_{rs} = \partial\Omega_r \cap \partial\Omega_s$ ,  $r \neq s$ ,  $a_i$  are discontinuous. For simplicity let us set  $m = 2$ . On  $\partial\Omega$  we consider mixed Dirichlet-Neumann conditions

$$u|_{\Gamma_D} = u_D, \quad \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i(\cdot, u, \nabla u) n_i = \varphi_N \text{ on } \Gamma_N,$$

where  $\bar{\Gamma}_D \cup \bar{\Gamma}_N = \partial\Omega$ ,  $\Gamma_D \cap \Gamma_N = \emptyset$ ,  $u_D = u^*|_{\Gamma_D}$  and  $\varphi_N$  is piecewise  $C^2$  on  $\bar{\Gamma}_N$ . On  $\Gamma_{rs}$  the so-called transition conditions are considered (see [4, 10]).

By assumptions on  $a_i$  we distinguish two cases: a) general *pseudo-monotone* case, when the form  $a(u, v)$  is Lipschitz-continuous, coercive and satisfies the generalized condition (S) (cf. [6]) and (1.1) has at least one solution; b) *strongly monotone* case with a Lipschitz-continuous and strongly monotone form  $a(u, v)$  and a unique solution to (1.1).

## 2. Discrete problem

Let us consider systems  $(\Omega_h)$  and  $(\Omega_{sh})$  ( $h \in (0, h_0)$ ,  $s = 1, 2$ ,  $h_0 > 0$ ) of polygonal approximations of  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_s$ , respectively,  $\bar{\Omega}_h = \bar{\Omega}_{1h} \cup \bar{\Omega}_{2h}$ ,  $\Omega_{1h} \cap \Omega_{2h} = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_h$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{sh}$  denote triangulations of  $\Omega_h$  and  $\Omega_{sh}$ , respectively, with usual properties. By  $\sigma_h$  and  $\sigma_{sh}$  we denote the sets of all vertices of  $\mathcal{T}_h$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{sh}$ , respectively. We assume that  $\mathcal{T}_h = \mathcal{T}_{1h} \cup \mathcal{T}_{2h}$ ,  $\sigma_h \subset \bar{\Omega}$ ,  $\sigma_h \cap \partial\Omega_h \subset \partial\Omega$ ,  $\sigma_{sh} \cap \partial\Omega_{sh} \subset \partial\Omega_s$ ,  $\bar{\Gamma}_D \cap \bar{\Gamma}_N \subset \sigma_h$  and the points from  $\partial\Omega_1 \cup \partial\Omega_2$  where either the condition of  $C^3$ -smoothness of  $\partial\Omega_s$  or the condition of  $C^2$ -smoothness of  $\varphi_N$  are not satisfied are elements of  $\sigma_h$ . Let the system  $(\mathcal{T}_h)$ ,  $h \in (0, h_0)$  be regular ([1]).

Approximate solutions of (1.1) are sought in  $X_h = \{v \in H^1(\Omega_h); v|_T \text{ is affine } \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$ . The space  $V$  is approximated by a suitable subspace  $V_h \subset X_h$  and we set  $u_h^* = r_h u^*$  = Lagrange interpolate of  $u^*$ . The forms  $a$  and  $L$  are approximated by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_h(u, v) &= \sum_{s=1}^2 \int_{\Omega_{sh}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i^s(\cdot, u, \nabla u) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} + a_0(\cdot, u, \nabla u) v \right] dx, \\ \tilde{L}_h(v) &= \sum_{s=1}^2 \int_{\Omega_{sh}} f^s v dx + \int_{\Gamma_{Nh}} \varphi_{Nh} v dS. \end{aligned}$$

(We assume that  $a_i^s$  and  $f^s$  are defined on  $\tilde{\Omega}_s \supset \bar{\Omega}_s$ .) Further, the integrals in  $\tilde{a}_h$  and  $\tilde{L}_h$  are evaluated by numerical quadratures which yield the forms  $a_h: X_h \times X_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  and  $L_h: V_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  and we come to the discrete problem used in practice: Find  $u_h: \bar{\Omega}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad a) u_h - u_h^* \in V_h, \quad b) a_h(u_h, v_h) = L_h(v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

(For details see [4, 10]).

By the techniques from [5, 6] we get

**2.2. Theorem.** For each  $h \in (0, h_0)$  problem (2.1) has at least one solution  $u_h \in X_h$ , which is unique in the strongly monotone case. There exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \|u_h\|_{1, \Omega_h} \leq c \quad \forall h \in (0, h_0).$$

( $\|\cdot\|_{1, \Omega_h}$  denotes the norm in  $H^1(\Omega_h)$ .)

## 3. Convergence

By [6] the approximate solutions  $u_h \in X_h$  can be associated with their suitable modifications  $\hat{u}_h \in H^1(\Omega)$  satisfying the estimate  $\|\hat{u}_h\|_{1, \Omega} \leq \hat{c}$  for all  $h \in (0, h_0)$  (with  $\hat{c}$  independent of  $h$ ). Hence, we can choose sequences

$$(3.1) \quad h_n \rightarrow 0+ \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{u}_{h_n} \rightarrow u \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(\Omega).$$

Let  $u_c \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  denote the Calderon extension of  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ . The convergence results are contained in the following theorems:

**3.2. Theorem** (general pseudomonotone case). *If (3.1) holds, then  $\|u_h - u_c\|_{1, \Omega_h} \rightarrow 0$  and  $u$  is a solution of (1.1).*

**Proof** - see [4].

**3.3. Theorem** (strongly monotone case without regularity). *If the form  $a$  is strongly monotone, then*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \|u_h - u_c\|_{1, \Omega_h} = 0.$$

**Proof** was carried out independently in [4] and [10].

Now let us consider the strongly monotone case provided the exact solution is regular, i. e.,

$$(3.4) \quad u^s = u|_{\Omega_s} \in H^2(\Omega_s), \quad s = 1, 2.$$

Let  $u_{cc}^s \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be a Calderon extension of  $u^s$ . Then we define an extension  $\tilde{u}: \Omega \cup \Omega_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  of  $u$ :

$$(3.5) \quad \tilde{u} = u \text{ on } \Omega, \quad \tilde{u} = u_{cc}^s \text{ on } \Omega_{sh} - \Omega, \quad s = 1, 2, h \in (0, h_0).$$

**3.6. Theorem.** *If (3.4) is valid, then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\|\tilde{u} - u_h\|_{1, \Omega_h} \leq c h, \quad h \in (0, h_0).$$

**Proof** was carried out independently in [4], with the "triple application of Green's theorem" (first used in [2]) and the separation of discretization and numerical integration errors, and in [10], on the basis of the approach from [9, 5] without the use of Green's theorem.

The approach from [10] has the importance in case of a weak regularity of the exact solution:

$$(3.7) \quad u^s = u|_{\Omega_s} \in H^{1+\epsilon}(\Omega_s), \quad s = 1, 2.$$

Here  $H^{1+\epsilon}(\Omega_s) = W^{1+\epsilon, 2}(\Omega_s)$ ,  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , denotes a Sobolev-Slobodeckii space ([7, 8]).

**3.8. Theorem.** *Provided (3.7) holds and  $\tilde{u}$  is defined by a relation analogous to 3.5, where  $u_{cc}^s$  is replaced by the appropriate extension  $u_B^s$  of  $u^s$  in  $H^{1+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , there exists  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\|u_h - \tilde{u}\|_{1, \Omega_h} \leq c h^\epsilon, \quad h \in (0, h_0).$$

**Proof** is based on the following interpolation result from [3]

$$\|v - r_h v\|_{1, \Omega_h} \leq c h^\epsilon \|v\|_{1+\epsilon, \Omega_h}, \quad v \in H^{1+\epsilon}(\Omega_h)$$

and the estimate from [10]

$$\|u_B^s\|_{1, \Omega_{sh} - \bar{\Omega}_s} \leq c h^\epsilon \|u^s\|_{1+\epsilon, \Omega_s}$$

## References

1. P. Ciarlet: The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.
2. M. Feistauer: On the finite element approximation of a cascade flow problem. Numer. Math. 50(1987), 655-684.
3. M. Feistauer: On the finite element approximation of functions with noninteger derivatives. Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz., 10(1989), 91-110.
4. M. Feistauer, V. Sobotíková: Finite element approximation of nonlinear elliptic problems with discontinuous coefficients. M<sup>2</sup>AN (to appear).
5. M. Feistauer, A. Ženišek: Finite element solution of nonlinear elliptic problems. Numer. Math. 50(1987), 451-475.
6. M. Feistauer, A. Ženišek: Compactness method in the finite element theory of nonlinear elliptic problems. Numer. Math. 52(1988), 147-163.
7. A. Kufner, O. John, S. Fučík: Function Spaces. Academia, Prague, 1977.
8. L. A. Oganessian, L. A. Ruhovec: Variational-Difference Methods for the Solution of Elliptic Equations. Izd. Akad. Nauk Armianskoi SSR, Jerevan, 1979 (Russian).
9. A. Ženišek: How to avoid the use of Green's theorem in the Ciarlet's and Raviart's theory of variational crimes. M<sup>2</sup>AN, 21(1987), 171-191.
10. A. Ženišek: The finite element method for nonlinear elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients. Numer. Math. (to appear).