

Wolfhard Hansen

The Dirichlet problem

In: Jiří Fábera (ed.): Equadiff IV, Czechoslovak Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications. Proceedings, Prague, August 22-26, 1977. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 703. pp. [139]--144.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702213>

Terms of use:

© Springer-Verlag, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM

W.Hansen, Bielefeld

Given a partial differential operator L of second order on a relatively compact open subset V of \mathbb{R}^n and a continuous real function f on V^* the corresponding Dirichlet problem consists in finding a continuous real function u on \bar{V} such that $Lu = 0$ on V and $u = f$ on V^* .

Since about twenty years ([1], [4]) it is well known that a general treatment of this question is possible by using the concept of a harmonic space. We shall sketch how this is done and then discuss some recent developments.

1. Harmonic spaces

Let X be a locally compact space with countable base. For every open U in X let $H(U)$ be a linear space of continuous real functions on U , called harmonic functions on U , and suppose that $H = \{H(U) : U \text{ open in } X\}$ is a sheaf.

Standard examples. 1. Laplace equation. X relatively compact open $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $H(U) = \{u \in C^2(U) : \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} = 0\}$ 2. Heat equation. X relatively compact open $\subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $H(U) = \{u \in C^2(U) : \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n+1}}\}$.

A relatively compact open subset V of X is called regular if for every $f \in C(V^*)$ there exists a unique extension $H^V f$ on \bar{V} which is harmonic on V and positive if f is positive.

Let us suppose that (X, H) has the following properties:

I. The regular sets form a base of X .

II. For every open U in X and increasing sequence (h_n) of harmonic functions on U such that $h := \sup h_n$ is locally bounded the function h is harmonic on U .

III. $1 \in H(X)$, $H^+(X)$ separates the points of X .

Then (X, H) is a harmonic space.

Remark. We note that the general concept of a harmonic space in the sense of Constantinescu-Cornea [4] uses a slightly weaker form of property (I) and a separation property which is considerably weaker than our property (III). Accepting some technical modifications all the material we want to discuss can be presented in the more general situation (see [2], [3]). But probably the essential ideas become more clear in our setup.

Let V be a regular set and $x \in V$. Then the mapping $f \mapsto H_x^V f(x)$ is a positive linear form on $C(V^*)$, hence a positive Radon measure μ_x^V on V^* , called the harmonic measure (on V at x).

2. The Dirichlet problem and the PWB-method

Let U be a relatively compact open subset of X . Given a function $f \in C(U^*)$ the corresponding Dirichlet problem asks for a continuous extension of f to a function $h \in C(\bar{U})$ which is harmonic in U . Therefore, one is interested in the linear space

$$H(U) := \{h \in C(\bar{U}) : h \text{ harmonic in } U\}.$$

If this Dirichlet problem is solvable for every $f \in C(U^*)$ then U is regular, $H(U) \cong C(U^*)$, and vice versa. However, U may be not regular and then there are functions $f \in C(U^*)$ for which the Dirichlet problem is not solvable.

But there is a method due to Perron, Wiener and Brelot (PWB-method) which yields a positive linear mapping $f \mapsto H^U f$ such that $H^U f$ is harmonic on U for every $f \in C(U^*)$ and such that $H^U f$ is the solution of the Dirichlet problem provided a solution exists.

The PWB-method of determining a so-called generalized solution of the Dirichlet problem uses hyperharmonic functions. A l.s.c. function $v : U \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ is called hyperharmonic (on U) if $\mu_x^V(v) \leq v(x)$ for every regular V such that $\bar{V} \subset U$ and for every $x \in V$.

Let ${}^*H(U) = \{v | v : \bar{U} \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty] \text{ l.s.c., } v \text{ hyperharmonic on } U\}$. We note that ${}^*H(U) \cap {}^{-*}H(U) = H(U)$. ${}^*H(U)$ is a convex cone satisfying the following boundary minimum principle: If $v \in {}^*H(U)$ and $v \geq 0$ on U^* then $v \geq 0$ on \bar{U} .

Let $f \in C(U^*)$. Defining

$$\bar{H}^U f = \inf \{v \in {}^*H(U) : v \geq f \text{ on } U^*\},$$

$$\underline{H}^U f = \sup \{w \in {}^{-*}H(U) : w \leq f \text{ on } U^*\}$$

the boundary minimum principle yields $\underline{H}^U f \leq \bar{H}^U f$. If the Dirichlet problem for f is solvable, i.e. if there exists a function $h \in H(U)$ such that $h = f$ on U^* then evidently $h \leq \underline{H}^U f$ and $\bar{H}^U f \leq h$, hence $\underline{H}^U f = \bar{H}^U f = h$.

It can be shown that for every $f \in C(U^*)$

$$\bar{H}^U f = \underline{H}^U f =: H^U f$$

and furthermore $H^U f$ is harmonic on U , $H^U f = f$ on U^* .

A boundary point $z \in U^*$ is called regular if for all $f \in C(U^*)$ the generalized solution $H_U^U f$ is continuous at z . Evidently, U is regular if and only if all boundary points of U are regular. The generalized solution of the Dirichlet problem and a useful criterion for the regularity of boundary points can be obtained using balayage of measures.

3. Balayage

Let ${}^*H^+$ denote the set of all positive hyperharmonic functions on X . Given an arbitrary subset A of X and a function $u \in {}^*H^+$ one tries to find a smallest function $v \in {}^*H^+$ satisfying $v = u$ on A . The obvious candidate is the pre-sweep (or réduite function)

$$R_U^A := \inf \{v \in {}^*H^+ : v = u \text{ on } A\}.$$

Since R_U^A is not l.s.c. in general, one replaces R_U^A by the greatest l.s.c. function $\leq R_U^A$. This is the sweep (or balayée function) of u relatively to A :

$$\hat{R}_U^A(x) := \liminf_{y \rightarrow x} R_U^A(y) \quad (x \in X).$$

We have $\hat{R}_U^A \in {}^*H^+$ and obviously

$$0 \leq \hat{R}_U^A \leq R_U^A \leq u.$$

The initial interest leads then to the study of the base of A

$$b(A) := \bigcap_{u \in {}^*H^+} \{x \in X : \hat{R}_U^A(x) = u(x)\}.$$

It has the following fundamental properties:

$$\hat{A} \subset b(A) \subset \bar{A},$$

$$b(A) = \{x \in X : \hat{R}_{U_0}^A(x) = u_0(x)\} \text{ for some } u_0 \in {}^*H^+ \cap C,$$

in particular, $b(A)$ is a G_δ -set.

For every Radon measure $\mu \geq 0$ on X with compact support there exists a unique Radon measure $\mu^A \geq 0$ on X satisfying

$$\int u d\mu^A = \int \hat{R}_U^A d\mu \quad \text{for all } u \in {}^*H^+.$$

μ^A is called the swept out of μ on A . It is carried by \bar{A} . By choosing for μ unit masses ε_x at points $x \in X$ it follows that

$$b(A) = \{x \in X : \varepsilon_x^A = \varepsilon_x\}.$$

We are now able to express the solution of the generalized Dirichlet problem in terms of balayage:

For every relatively compact open set U and every $f \in C(U^*)$ the solution $H^U f$ satisfies

$$H^U f(x) = \int f d\epsilon_x^U = \int f d\epsilon_x^{U^*} \quad (x \in U).$$

The set U_r of regular boundary points is given by

$$U_r = b(\int U) \cap \bar{U}.$$

4. The weak Dirichlet problem

Again let U be a relatively compact open subset of X . The fact that a function $f \in C(U^*)$ may not admit an extension to a function $h \in H(U)$ led to the introduction of the generalized solution $H^U f$ which is a harmonic extension of f but is not necessarily continuous at all points of the boundary U^* .

Another way of turning the problem is the following: Are there at least some subsets B of the boundary such that every continuous function f on B admits a continuous extension to a function in $H(U)$? Because of a general minimum principle a natural candidate for such a set B would be the Choquet boundary $Ch_{H(U)} \bar{U}$ of \bar{U} with respect to $H(U)$.

The Choquet boundary $Ch_{H(U)} \bar{U}$ is the set

$$Ch_{H(U)} \bar{U} := \{x \in \bar{U} : M_x(U) = \{\epsilon_x\}\}$$

where

$$M_x(U) := \{\mu : \mu(h) = h(x) \quad \text{for all } h \in H(U)\}$$

denotes the set of all representing measures for x (with respect to $H(U)$).

If for example V is regular, $\bar{V} \subset U$ and $x \in V$ then μ_x^V is a representing measure for x . More generally, for every $x \in \bar{U}$ the swept-out $\epsilon_x^{\int U}$ of ϵ_x on $\int U$ is a representing measure for x . In particular, the Choquet boundary $Ch_{H(U)} \bar{U}$ is a subset of the set U_r of regular points. For the Laplace equation these two sets coincide whereas for the heat equation the Choquet boundary may be a proper subset of U_r .

We have the following minimum principle: For every $h \in H(U)$ there exists a point $z \in Ch_{H(U)} \bar{U}$ such that $h \geq h(z)$. In particular, if $h_1, h_2 \in H(U)$ and $h_1 = h_2$ on $Ch_{H(U)} \bar{U}$ then $h_1 = h_2$.

Thus the following weak Dirichlet problem arises: Given a compact subset K of $Ch_{H(U)} \bar{U}$ and a continuous function f on K , is there a continuous extension to a function in $H(U)$?

The solution of this problem is obtained by the following result.

Theorem ([2]). For every $x \in \bar{U}$ there exists a unique measure $\mu_x \in M_x(U)$ which is carried by $Ch_{H(U)}\bar{U}$. For every $x \in \bar{U} \setminus Ch_{H(U)}\bar{U}$,

$$\mu_x = \epsilon_x^{Ch_{H(U)}\bar{U}}.$$

A very general reasoning now yields the following consequence.

Corollary. 1. The weak Dirichlet problem is solvable.

2. $\{\rho \in H(U)^* : \rho \geq 0, \rho(1) = 1\}$ is a simplex.

Furthermore, a close study of the Choquet boundary yields a characterization of $Ch_{H(U)}\bar{U}$ which is similar to the one obtained for U_r :

$$Ch_{H(U)}\bar{U} = \beta(\{U\} \cap \bar{U})$$

where $\beta(\{U\})$ is the greatest subset C of $\{U\}$ such that $b(C) = C$.

5. General PWB-method

We shall now see that for every $x \in U$ the measure $\epsilon_x^{Ch_{H(U)}\bar{U}}$ and many other representing measures can be obtained by a procedure in the spirit of Perron-Wiener-Brelot.

For every compact subset K of U^* let ${}^*H_K(U)$ be the set of all functions v which are limits of an increasing sequence (v_n) of l.s.c. real functions v_n on \bar{U} , hyperharmonic on U and continuous on $\bar{U} \setminus K$. Then ${}^*H_K(U)$ is a convex cone such that $H(U) \subset {}^*H_K(U) \subset {}^*H_{U^*}(U) = {}^*H(U)$.

Furthermore

$$Ch_{H(U)}\bar{U} \subset Ch_{{}^*H_K(U)}\bar{U} \subset K \cup Ch_{H(U)}\bar{U}$$

where the last inclusion is a consequence of the local characterization of the Choquet boundary. Indeed, obviously $Ch_{{}^*H_K(U)}\bar{U} \subset U^*$. So let $x \in U^* \setminus (K \cup Ch_{H(U)}\bar{U})$. Then there exists an open neighborhood V of x such that $\bar{V} \cap K = \emptyset$. Defining $W = U \cap V$ we have $x \in V \cap \beta(\{U\}) \subset \beta(\{W\})$ and hence $x \notin Ch_{H(W)}\bar{W}$. Thus $\epsilon_x^{Ch_{H(W)}\bar{W}} \neq \epsilon_x$ and being a representing measure of x with respect to ${}^*H_\emptyset(W)$ the measure $\epsilon_x^{Ch_{H(W)}\bar{W}}$ is a representing measure of x with respect to ${}^*H_K(U)$.

Let B be a Borel subset of U^* containing $Ch_{H(U)}\bar{U}$. Defining

$${}^*H_B(U) = \bigcup_{K \text{ cp. } \subset B} {}^*H_K(U)$$

we thus have the following minimum principle: If $v \in {}^*H_B(U)$ and $v \geq 0$ on B then $v \geq 0$ on \bar{U} .

Let $f \in C(U^*)$. Defining

$$\overline{H}_B^U f = \inf \{v \in {}^*H_B(U) : v \geq f \text{ on } B\},$$

$$\underline{H}_B^U f = \sup \{w \in -{}^*H_B(U) : w \leq f \text{ on } B\}$$

the minimum principle yields $\underline{H}_B^U f \leq \overline{H}_B^U f$. If there exists a function $h \in H(U)$ such that $h = f$ on U^* then evidently $h \leq \underline{H}_B^U f$ and $\overline{H}_B^U f \leq h$, hence $\overline{H}_B^U f = \underline{H}_B^U f = h$. In the general situation we have the following result.

Proposition ([3]). For every $f \in C(U^*)$

$$\overline{H}_B^U f = \underline{H}_B^U f =: H_B^U f,$$

and $H_B^U f$ is harmonic on U . Furthermore, for every $x \in \overline{U} \setminus B$

$$H_B^U f(x) = \int f d\epsilon_x^B.$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $f = v|_X$ for some continuous real $v \in {}^*H^+$. Then ${}^*H^+ \subset {}^*H_B(U)$ implies that

$$\overline{H}_B^U f|_{\overline{U}} \leq R_V^B.$$

Let K be a compact subset of B , $w = R_V^K|_{\overline{U}}$. Then $w \in -{}^*H_K(U)$, $w \leq v$. Hence $R_V^K \leq \underline{H}_B^U f$ on \overline{U} . Taking the supremum of R_V^K we obtain

$$R_V^B|_{\overline{U}} \leq \underline{H}_B^U f.$$

References

- [1] Bauer, H.: Harmonische Räume und ihre Potentialtheorie. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 22. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1966
- [2] Bliedtner, J., Hansen, W.: Simplicial Cones in Potential Theory. Inventiones math. 29, 83-110 (1975)
- [3] Bliedtner, J., Hansen, W.: Simplicial Cones in Potential Theory II (Approximation Theorems). Inventiones math. 46, 255-276 (1978)
- [4] Constantinescu, C., Cornea, A.: Potential Theory on Harmonic Spaces. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1972

Author's address: Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld,
Postfach 8640, 4800 Bielefeld 1, Federal Republic of Germany