

Charles Stegall

Concerning a certain σ -algebra in compact Hausdorff spaces

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 34 (1993), No. 2, 113--116

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702001>

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Concerning a Certain σ -Algebra in Compact Hausdorff Spaces

C. STEGALL

Linz*)

Received 14 April 1993

Let B be a Baire topological space and Φ a minimal upper semicontinuous compact valued map defined on B with values in T . Define $F(\Phi)$ to be the collection of subsets of T defined by $E \in F(\Phi)$ if and only if

- (i) $\{b \in B: \Phi(b) \cap E \neq \emptyset\}$ is a Baire Property subset of B and
- (ii) $\{b \in B: \Phi(b) \cap E \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \Phi(b) \cap (T \setminus E) \neq \emptyset\}$ is a set of the first category.

In [S1] the following is proved.

Theorem 0. *The collection $F(\Phi)$ is a σ -algebra that contains the Borel subsets of T and is stable under the Souslin operation.*

Here we prove that:

Theorem I. *If T is a compact space, $F \subseteq T$ and $F \in F(\Phi)$ for any Φ then F is a Baire property subset of T .*

Necessary to the proof of the above, other than a few easy permutations of old and easy results, is the following result (also in [S1]). Actually, as pointed out in [M], the relevant property of the mapping g is that if $N \subseteq R$ is nowhere dense then $g^{-1}(N)$ is also nowhere dense; the proof in [S1] does this also. In our applications here, we make take $C = T$ and p the identity. If, in addition, all spaces are completely regular, we know that H is Čech-complete and is a G_δ subset of R .

Theorem II. *Suppose that C is Čech complete and $p: C \rightarrow T$ is perfect onto and T is a dense subspace of S . Suppose $g: S \rightarrow R$ is continuous, open and onto. Then there exist a G_δ subset D of C , a closed subset F of C and a dense G_δ subset H of R such that $f: D \cap F \rightarrow H$ is perfect onto where $f(c) = (g \circ p)(c)$.*

An elementary fact is:

Lemma. *Suppose that $q: S \rightarrow T$ is a minimal perfect (onto) map. If N is nowhere dense subset of T then $q^{-1}(N)$ is a nowhere dense subset of S .*

*) Institut für Mathematik, Johannes Kepler Universität, A-4040 Linz, Austria

Proof. If N is closed and $C = S \setminus (\text{int } q^{-1}(N)) \neq S$ then $q(C)$ is a proper closed and dense subset of T which is impossible.

We do not have time to consider all multivalued mappings Φ ; of course, we only consider

$$(1) \quad \Phi: C(K) \rightarrow \wp(K)$$

defined by

$$(2) \quad \Phi(x) = \{t \in K: x(t) = \sup_{s \in K} x(s) = \varrho(x)\}.$$

Of course, $C(K)$ is the Banach algebra of continuous functions on the compact Hausdorff space K with the supremum norm. It is well known and quite easy to check that the projection from the graph $\{(x, k): k \in \Phi(x)\}$ onto $C(K)$ is a minimal perfect mapping. It follows that for any open subset U of $C(K)$ the projection from

$$\{(x, k): k \in \Phi(x) \text{ and } x \in U\}$$

onto U is also a minimal perfect mapping. Observe that for any open subset $W \subseteq C(K)$ the set

$$\bigcup_{x \in W} \Phi(x)$$

is an open subset of K ; if $\varepsilon > 0$ then

$$\{t: x(t) > \varrho(x) - \varepsilon\} \subseteq \Phi(x \wedge (\varrho(x) - \varepsilon))$$

and $\|x - (x \wedge (\varrho(x) - \varepsilon))\| \leq \varepsilon$. Observe, also, that if $N \subseteq K$ is closed and nowhere dense then

$$\{x \in C(K): \Phi(x) \cap N \neq \emptyset\}$$

is a closed and nowhere dense subset of $C(K)$.

Proposition. *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and $W \neq \emptyset$ be an open subset of $C(K)$ and let $L \subseteq C(K)$ be first category. Then $\Phi(W \setminus L)$ differs from the open set $\Phi(W)$ by a set of the first category and so $\Phi(W \setminus L)$ is a Baire property set.*

Proof. Let $L \subseteq \bigcup_n N_n$ where each N_n is closed and nowhere dense and let $G = W \setminus \bigcup_n N_n$. Define

- (i) $S = \{(x, k): x \in W \text{ and } x(k) = \varrho(x)\}$;
- (ii) $R = \{k: \text{there exists } x \in W \text{ such that } x(k) = \varrho(x)\}$ which is the projection of S ;
- (iii) g is the projection from S to R and
- (iv) $T = \{(x, k): k \in G \text{ and } x(k) = \varrho(x)\}$.

It is totally routine to show that $T \subseteq S$ is dense (the Lemma above) and is a Čech complete space (see [E]) and $g: S \rightarrow R$ is continuous, open and onto. Theorem II above says considerably more than that $\Phi(G)$ contains a dense G_δ subset of $\Phi(W)$.

Now, we go to the main result. Suppose that $E \in \mathbf{F}$. The first case to consider is that

$$\{x \in C(K): \Phi(x) \cap E \neq \emptyset\}$$

is first category (the assumption is that it is a Baire Property set). It follows from the Lemma above that

$$G = \{x \in C(K): \Phi(x) \cap E = \emptyset\}$$

contains a dense G_δ subset of $C(K)$. Hence, $\bigcup_{x \in G} \Phi(x)$ differs from K by a set of the first category (Theorem II). This proves that E is first category. Now, suppose that

$$\{x \in C(K): \Phi(x) \cap E \neq \emptyset\} = W \Delta N$$

where $W \neq \emptyset$ is an open set and N is first category. We have assumed that

$$N_1 = \{x \in C(K): \Phi(x) \cap E \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \Phi(x) \cap (T \setminus E) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is also a set of the first category. Let $\{P_n\}$ be a sequence of closed nowhere dense subsets of $C(K)$ such that

$$N \cup N_1 \cup (\bar{W} \setminus W) \subseteq \bigcup_n P_n = P.$$

Let $W_1 = C(K) \setminus \bar{W}$. We have that

$$\Phi(W \setminus P) \subseteq E,$$

$$\Phi(W_1 \setminus P) \cap E = \emptyset \text{ and}$$

$$K \setminus (\Phi(W \setminus P) \cup \Phi(W_1 \setminus P)) \text{ is first category.}$$

This proves that E is a Baire property set.

Theorem III. *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and Φ defined as in (1) and (2). Then $\mathbf{F}(\Phi)$ is exactly the Baire property sets of K . In particular, E is in $\mathbf{F}(\Phi)$ if and only if E has the representation*

$$\Phi(W \setminus P) \subseteq E \subseteq \Phi(W) \cup N \subseteq \Phi(W \setminus P) \cup N$$

where W is an open subset of $C(K)$, P is first category in $C(K)$ and N is first category in K .

Corollary. *If K is a compact Hausdorff space then $E \subseteq K$ is first category (respectively, E contains a dense G_δ subset of K) if and only if*

$\{x \in C(K) : \Phi(x) \cap E \neq \emptyset\}$ is first category

(respectively, $\{x \in C(K) : \Phi(x) \subseteq E\}$ contains a dense G_δ subset of K).

Of course, we could play the same games for spaces K that are Baire spaces and Baire property sets in their Stone-Ćech compactifications (see [S1]). The main result of [S2] combined with Theorem 6.11 of [S1] yields the following result.

Theorem. *Let $A \subseteq T \subseteq K$ where A is an α -favorable topological space dense in K , K is compact, T is a Baire property set in K and T is in a class of topological spaces introduced by us (see [S1]). Then A contains a dense G_δ subspace homeomorphic to a complete metric space.*

If, in the result above, A is only a Baire space then A contains a dense G_δ subset that is metrizable. The following is how Theorem 8.12 of [S1] should be stated.

Theorem. *Let T be a compact and convex subset of some Hausdorff topological vector space and let E be the extreme points of T . Suppose that there exists a space F such that $E \subseteq F \subseteq \beta E$ where F is a Baire property set in βE and is in a class of topological spaces introduced by us (see [S1]). Then E contains a dense G_δ subset that is metrizable.*

Proof. Since E is α -favorable (a theorem of Choquet) it is a Baire space and it follows that F is a Baire space. As pointed out in [S1] this means that F contains a dense G_δ subset G of βE . It is very easy to check that G contains a dense G_δ set M that is completely metrizable; this is in [S1] and the topology is in [E]. Thus, $M \cap E$ is dense in E .

References

- [E] ENGELKING, R. *General Topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1977
- [M] MICHAEL, E.
- [S1] STEGALL, C. The Topology of Certain Spaces of Measures, *Topology and its Applications* 41 (1991), 73–112
- [S2] STEGALL, C. Topological spaces with dense subspaces that are homeomorphic to complete metric spaces and the classification of $C(K)$ Banach spaces, *Mathematika* 34 (1987), 101–107