

Władysław Kulpa

The indexed open covering theorem

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 34 (1993), No. 2, 75--82

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701997>

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

The Indexed Open Covering Theorem

W. KULPA

Katowice*)

Received 14 April 1993

Abstract. The main result of this note is a theorem on an open covering of a Tychonoff cube. There are some results related to the following question: under what conditions does $f: I^S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^T$ map two opposite faces of the cube I^S onto disjoint sets?

§1. An open covering theorem. Let S and T be non-empty sets. The symbol I^S denotes the Tychonoff cube,

$$I^S := \{x: S \rightarrow [-1, 1] \mid x \text{ is a map}\}$$

and \mathbb{R}^T the product of T copies of the real line \mathbb{R} ,

$$\mathbb{R}^T := \{x: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid x \text{ is a map}\}$$

Both sets I^S and \mathbb{R}^T are equipped with the Cartesian product topology. For each $s \in S$ let us denote

$$I_s^- := \{x \in I^S: x_s = -1\}, \quad I_s^+ := \{x \in I^S: x_s = 1\}$$

the s -opposite faces of the cube I^S . The symbol ∂I^S denotes the pseudoboundary of the cube I^S ,

$$\partial I^S := \bigcup \{I_s^- \cup I_s^+ : s \in S\}$$

Sometimes, when a set S or T is finite, $|S| = n$ or $|T| = m$, we shall use symbols I^n , \mathbb{R}^m instead of I^S , \mathbb{R}^T .

In this note the following result plays a central role.

The Indexed Open Covering Theorem. *Let $\{U_s: s \in S\}$ be an open covering of the cube I^S . Then there exist an index $s \in S$ and a connected subset $U \subset U_s$ such that $I_s^- \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq I_s^+ \cap U$.*

In order to demonstrate an importance of this theorem let us prove.

*) Instytut Matematyki, Uniwersytet Śląski, ul. Bankowa 14, 40-007 Katowice, Poland

The Bohl-Brouwer Fixed Point Theorem. Any continuous map $f: I^S \rightarrow I^S$ has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $f: I^S \rightarrow I^S$ be a continuous map and suppose that $f(x) \neq x$ for each $x \in I^S$. For each $s \in S$, let

$$U_s := \{x \in I^S : f_s(x) \neq x_s\}.$$

From the supposition it follows that the family $\{U_s : s \in S\}$ is a covering of I^S . According to the Indexed Open Covering Theorem there exist an index $s \in S$, a connected set $U \subset U_s$, and points $a, b \in U$ such that $a_s = -1$ and $b_s = 1$. For each $s \in S$, $f_s(x) \in [-1, 1]$ and so

$$f_s(a) - a_s = 1 + f_s(a) \geq 0 \quad \& \quad f_s(b) - b_s = f_s(b) - 1 \leq 0.$$

Since U is connected there is a point $c \in U$ such that $f_s(c) - c_s = 0$. From the definition of the set U_s we have $c \notin U_s$, a contradiction with $c \in U \subset U_s$. The proof is complete.

It will be shown in section 4 that the Indexed Open Covering Theorem is equivalent to the Bohl-Brouwer Theorem.

§2. Cardinal dimension. Let τ be a cardinal number finite or infinite. A normal space X is said to be of cardinal dimension greater than or equal to τ , $\text{dc } X \geq \tau$, provided that there exists a family $\{\langle A_s, B_s \rangle : s \in S\}$, $|S| \geq \tau$, of pairs of non-empty disjoint closed sets i.e., $A_s \cap B_s = \emptyset$ for all $s \in S$ such that for every open covering $\{U_s : s \in S\}$ of X there exists an index $s \in S$ and a connected set $U \subset U_s$ such that $A_s \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq B_s \cap U$.

A normal space X is said to be of dimension τ , $\text{dc } X = \tau$, provided that $\text{dc } X \geq \tau$ and the inequality $\text{dc } X \geq \eta$ does not hold for any $\eta > \tau$.

In the definition of cardinal dimension it is possible that $S = \emptyset$. Thus for each normal space X we have $\text{dc } X \geq 0$. On the other hand, the definition does not guarantee that for every normal space X there exists a cardinal number τ such that $\text{dc } X = \tau$. We shall prove

Theorem 1. $\text{dc } I^S = |S|$.

To prove this theorem we need two lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let X be a normal space with $\text{dc } X \geq \tau$. Then there exist a continuous map $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} I^S$, $|S| = \tau$, and a set $A \subset X$ such that $f(A) \subset \partial I^S$ and for any continuous map $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^S$, $g|_A = f|_A$ implies $I^S \subset g(X)$. Moreover, if S is finite then A is a closed set.

Proof. Let $\{\langle A_s, B_s \rangle : s \in S\}$, $|S| = \tau$, be a family of pairs of non-empty disjoint closed sets satisfying the conditions of the definition of cardinal dimension. Define

$$A := \bigcup \{A_s \cup B_s : s \in S\}.$$

Since X is normal, there exists a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow I^S$ having the following property: for each $s \in S$ and for each $x \in X$

$$x \in A_s \Rightarrow f_s(x) = -1 \quad \& \quad x \in B_s \Rightarrow f_s(x) = 1.$$

It is clear that $f(A) \subset \partial I^S$. Let $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^S$ be a continuous map such that $g|_A = f|_A$. We shall show that $I^S \subset g(X)$. Suppose that there is a point $c \in I^S \setminus g(X)$. For each $s \in S$ let

$$U_s := \{x \in X: g_s(x) \neq c_s\}.$$

The supposition implies that the family $\{U_s: s \in S\}$ is an open covering of X . Choose an index $s \in S$, a connected set $U \subset U_s$ and points $a \in U \cap A_s$, $b \in U \cap B_s$. Since $g_s(a) - c_s \leq 0$ and $g_s(b) - c_s \geq 0$ we infer that there is a point $d \in U$ such that $g_s(d) - c_s = 0$. Then $d \notin U_s$, a contradiction with $d \in U \subset U_s$.

Lemma 2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact boundary subspace. Then for each continuous map $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, where A is a closed subset of X , there exists a continuous map $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $F|_A = f$.*

Proof. (I). First, we shall show that if $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a compact boundary set then for each map $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of class C^1 , the image $f(X)$ is a boundary subset.

The Sard Lemma (cf. Deimling [4]) asserts that the set $f(D)$, where

$$D := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: \det f'(x) = 0\},$$

has n -dimensional Lebesgue measure equal to zero. Thus the set $f(X \cap D)$, as a compact set of measure zero, is of first category. From the Inverse Function Theorem (cf. [4]) for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus D$ there exists an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \in U$, such that $f|_U: U \rightarrow f(U)$ is a homeomorphism onto the open subset $f(U)$ of \mathbb{R}^n . Thus $f(X \cap U)$ is also a set of first category. Since the space \mathbb{R}^n has a countable base, it is easy to observe that $f(X)$ is a set of first category. From the Baire Category Theorem we infer that $\text{Int } f(X) = \emptyset$.

(II). Let us proceed to the proof. Since $f(A)$ is a closed subset and $0 \notin f(A)$, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(0, \varepsilon) \cap f(A) = \emptyset$, where $B(a, \varepsilon) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: \|x - a\| < \varepsilon\}$. According to the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem there exists a map $f_1: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of class C^1 such that $\|f(x) - f_1(x)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$ for each $x \in A$. The set $f_1(X)$ has empty interior and therefore there exists a point $a \notin f_1(X)$ such that $0 < \|a\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$. We have $f_1(x) - a \neq 0$ for each $x \in X$. Let us put $g(x) := f_1(x) - a$. Then for each $x \in A$,

$$(1) \quad \|f(x) - g(x)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \& \quad \|g(x)\| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Define a continuous function $r: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(2) \quad r(x) := \max \left\{ \|g(x)\|, \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

From (1) we get

$$(3) \quad r(x) = \|g(x)\| \quad \text{for each } x \in A.$$

Now let us put for each $x \in X$,

$$(4) \quad G(x) := r(x) \frac{g(x)}{\|g(x)\|}.$$

From (2)–(4) we get

$$(5) \quad G|_A = g|_A \quad \& \quad \|g\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let $h(x) := f(x) - G(x)$, for $x \in A$. From (5) and (1) we have, $\|h(x)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ for each $x \in A$. In view of the Tietze-Urysohn Theorem the map $h: A \rightarrow B(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})$ has a continuous extension $H: X \rightarrow B(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})$. Now, we can define a continuous extension $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ of the map f ,

$$(6) \quad F(x) := H(x) + G(x), \quad x \in X.$$

We have $F|_A = f$ and one can verify that $\|F\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ because $\|G\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $\|H\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ yield, $\|F(x)\| \geq \|G(x)\| - \|H(x)\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1. The Indexed Open Covering Theorem implies that $\text{dc } I^S \geq |S|$. To prove the equality $\text{dc } I^S = |S|$ we must show that there is no cardinal number $\tau > |S|$ such that $\text{dc } I^S \geq \tau$. Suppose that such a number τ exists. Consider two cases:

(I). $|S|$ is infinite. From Lemma 1 there exists a continuous map $f: I^S \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} I^\tau$, $|T| = \tau$. But then (cf. [5], Chapter 3)

$$|T| = \text{weight } I^T \leq \text{weight } f(I^S) \leq \text{weight } I^S = |S|,$$

a contradiction with $|S| < |T|$.

(II). $|S|$ is finite. Let $|S| = n$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\tau = n + 1$ and I^S is a compact boundary subspace of I^τ , where $|T| = n + 1$. From Lemma 1 it follows that there exist a closed subset A of I^S and a continuous map $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^T \setminus \{0\}$ such that for each continuous extension $F: I^S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^T$ of f we have $I^T \subset F(I^S)$. But this contradicts Lemma 2.

§3. On preserving of disjoint faces. In this section we need the following.

Lemma 3. *Let $f: I^S \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map into a normal space X . Then $\text{dc } X \geq |T|$, where $T := \{s \in S: f(I_s^-) \cap f(I_s^+) = \emptyset\}$.*

Proof. For each $t \in T$ let us put $A_t := f(I_t^-)$ and $B_t := f(I_t^+)$. We shall verify that the family $\langle A_t, B_t \rangle: t \in T$ of pairs of non-empty disjoint closed sets, realizes the definition of cardinal dimension. Let $\{U_t: t \in T\}$ be an open covering of X . For each $s \in S$, set $W_s := f^{-1}(U_s)$ for $s \in T$, and $W_s = \emptyset$ for $s \in S \setminus T$. The family $\{W_s: s \in S\}$ is an open covering of I^S . According to the Indexed Open Covering Theorem there exist an index $s \in T \subset S$ and a connected set $W \subset W_s$ such that $I_s^- \cap W \neq \emptyset \neq I_s^+ \cap W$. It is clear that $f(W)$ is connected and $A_s \cap f(W) \neq \emptyset \neq B_s \cap f(W)$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2. For each continuous map $f: I^S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^T$ the following inequality holds: $|\{s \in S: f(I_s^-) \cap f(I_s^+) = \emptyset\}| \leq |T|$.

Proof. Let $i: \mathbb{R}^T \rightarrow I^T$ be a topological embedding. It is clear that

$$f(I_s^-) \cap f(I_s^+) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow (i \circ f)(I_s^-) \cap (i \circ f)(I_s^+) = \emptyset.$$

Thus without loss of generality we may assume that $f(I^S) \subset I^T$. Observe that according to Lemma 3 the inequality

$$|\{s \in S: f(I_s^-) \cap f(I_s^+) = \emptyset\}| > |T|$$

implies that $\text{dc } I^T \geq \tau > |T|$, a contradiction with Theorem 1. Thus Theorem 2 is proved.

Theorem 3. Assume that $f: I^S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^T$ is a continuous map. If $0 < |T| < \infty$ and $|\{s \in S: f(I_s^-) \cap f(I_s^+) = \emptyset\}| = |T|$, then the interior of the set $f(I^S)$ is non-empty.

Proof. Set $X := f(I^S)$. From Lemma 3 we infer that $\text{dc } X \geq |T|$. Suppose that the subspace $X \subset \mathbb{R}^T$ has empty interior. Then comparing Lemma 2 with Lemma 1 we get a contradiction.

Theorem 4. Let $0 < |S| < \infty$. Assume that $f: I^S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^S$ is a continuous map such that $f(I_s^-) \cap f(I_s^+) = \emptyset$ for each $s \in S$. Then the set $f(\partial I^S)$ separates the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^S .

Proof. Set $A := f(\partial I^S)$, $X := f(I^S)$. Repeating the proof of Lemma 1 we infer that there exists a continuous map $g_1: A \rightarrow \partial I^S$ such that for each continuous map $g: X \rightarrow I^S$, $g_1 = g|_A$ implies $g(X) = I^S$. But according to the Alexandroff-Borsuk Separation Theorem (cf. Borsuk [2] or Alexandroff and Pasynkov [1], Chapter 8), the set A separates \mathbb{R}^n .

§4. The Poincarè Theorem and its equivalent formulations. In this section let us fix a natural number $n \geq 1$ and denote by

$$d(x, A) := \inf \{\|x - a\|: a \in A\}$$

the distance between the point x and the set A .

Theorem 5. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *(the Poincarè-Miranda Theorem). Let $f: I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous map such that $f_i(I_i^-) \subset (-\infty, 0]$ & $f_i(I_i^+) \subset [0, \infty)$ for each $i \leq n$. Then there exists a point $c \in I^n$ such that $f(c) = 0$.*
- (ii) *If pairs $\langle F_i^-, F_i^+ \rangle$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, of closed sets are such that $I^n = F_i^- \cup F_i^+$ and $I_i^- \subset F_i^-$, $I_i^+ \subset F_i^+$ for each $i \leq n$, then the intersection $\bigcap \{F_i^- \cap F_i^+ : i \leq n\}$ is non-empty.*
- (iii) *If a family $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ is an open covering of I^n then there exist: an index $i \leq n$ and a connected set $U \subset U_i$ such that $I_i^- \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq I_i^+ \cap U$.*
- (iv) *(the Bohl-Brouwer Theorem). Any continuous map $f: I^n \rightarrow I^n$ has a fixed point.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). For each $i \leq n$ let us define

$$f_i(x) := d(x, F_i^-) - d(x, F_i^+), \quad x \in I^n$$

Since $I_i^- \subset F_i^-$ and $I_i^+ \subset F_i^+$, we have for each $i \leq n$

$$f_i(I_i^-) \subset (-\infty, 0] \quad \& \quad f_i(I_i^+) \subset [0, \infty).$$

According to (i) there is a point $c \in I^n$ such that $f(c) = 0$, where $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. This means that for each $i \leq n$,

$$d(c, F_i^-) = d(c, F_i^+)$$

But $c \in F_i^- \cup F_i^+$. Thus the following condition holds for each $i \leq n$,

$$d(c, F_i^-) = 0 = d(c, F_i^+).$$

Since the sets F_i are closed, the above equalities are equivalent to

$$c \in \bigcap \{F_i^- \cap F_i^+ : i \leq n\}.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Suppose that (iii) does not hold. For each $i \leq n$ let us define

$$U_i^- := \bigcup \{U \subset U_i : U \cap I_i^- \neq \emptyset, U \text{ is a connected component of } U_i\},$$

$$U_i^+ := U_i \setminus U_i^-.$$

The sets U_i are open and $U_i^- \cap U_i^+ = \emptyset$. Denote by

$$F_i^- := I^n \setminus U_i^+, \quad F_i^+ := I^n \setminus U_i^-.$$

From the supposition we get

$$I_i^- \subset F_i^-, \quad I_i^+ \subset F_i^+ \quad \& \quad I^n = F_i^- \cup F_i^+.$$

From (ii) there is a point $c \in \bigcap \{F_i^- \cap F_i^+ : i \leq n\}$. But $\bigcap \{F_i^- \cap F_i^+ : i \leq n\} = I^n \setminus \bigcup \{U_i : i \leq n\}$ implies that the family $\{U_i : i \leq n\}$ is not a covering of I^n , a contradiction.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). A proof of this implication is given in §1.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Let $f: I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous map such that

$$f_i(I_i^-) \subset (-\infty, 0] \quad \& \quad f_i(I_i^+) \subset [0, \infty).$$

For each $i \leq n$ let us put

$$F_i^- := f_i^{-1}(-\infty, 0], \quad F_i^+ := f_i^{-1}[0, \infty).$$

Now, define a continuous map $g: I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$,

$$g_i(x) := x_i - d(x, F_i^-) + d(x, F_i^+).$$

Since $d(x, I_i^-) = 1 + x_i$, $d(x, I_i^+) = 1 - x_i$ and $I_i^e \subset F_i^e$, we get

$$-1 = x_i - d(x, I_i^-) \leq g_i(x) \leq x_i + d(x, I_i^+) = 1.$$

In consequence we infer that $g(I^n) \subset I^n$. From (iv) there is a point $c \in I^n$ such that $g(c) = c$. But this implies that for each $i \leq n$,

$$d(c, F_i^-) = d(c, F_i^+)$$

and $c \in F_i^- \cup F_i^+$ yields for each $i \leq n$,

$$d(c, F_i^-) = 0 = d(c, F_i^+)$$

or equivalently $c \in \bigcap \{F_i^- \cap F_i^+ : i \leq n\}$ and so $f(c) = 0$.

The statement (i) of Theorem 5 was announced by Poincarè [6] in 1883 and rediscovered in 1940 by Miranda, who showed that it was equivalent to the Brouwer Fixed Point Theorem (cf. Browder [3]).

Proof of the Indexed Open Covering Theorem. Let $\{U_s : s \in S\}$ be an open covering of the cube I^S . Since I^S is a compact space there exists a finite set $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subset S$ such that

$$I^S = U_{s_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{s_n}.$$

Let us put $I^n := I_{s_1} \times \dots \times I_{s_n}$. Let $h: I^n \rightarrow I^S$ be a continuous map such that for each $i \leq n$,

$$h(I_i^-) \subset I_{s_i}^- \quad \& \quad h(I_i^+) \subset I_{s_i}^+.$$

For example: let $h_s(x) = 0$ if $s \in S \setminus \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ and $h(x) = x_s$ if $s \in \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$. Finally, let $W_i := h^{-1}(U_{s_i})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. From Theorem 5 (iii) it follows that there exist: an index $i \leq n$ and a connected set $W \subset W_i$ such that $I_i^- \cap W \neq \emptyset \neq I_i^+ \cap W$. It is clear that if $U_i = h(W_i)$, $U = h(W)$ and $s = s_i$ then $I_s^- \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq I_s^+ \cap U$.

We conclude this paper with a remark which enables us to estimate the significance of the Bohl-Brouwer Theorem in dimension theory:

If there exists a normal space X such that $\text{dc } X \geq \tau$, then each continuous map $f: I^S \rightarrow I^S$, $|S| = \tau$, has a fixed point.

We leave an easy proof of this remark to the reader.

References

- [1] ALEXANDROFF P. S. and PASYNKOV B. A., Introduction to Dimension Theory, Moscow 1978, (in Russian).
- [2] BORSUK K., Über Schnitte der n -dimensionalen Euklidischen Räume, Math. Ann. 106 (1932), 239–248.
- [3] BROWDER F. E., Fixed point theory and nonlinear problems, Bulletin AMS 9 (1983), 1–39.
- [4] DEIMLING K., Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag 1985.
- [5] ENGELKING R., General Topology, Warszawa 1977.
- [6] POINCARÉ H., Sur certaines solutions particulieres du problèmes des trois corps, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 97 (1883), 251–252.