

Mariusz Gąsowski

Liftings of 1-forms to the p^* -velocities bundle

In: Jarolím Bureš and Vladimír Souček (eds.): Proceedings of the Winter School "Geometry and Topology". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1993. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 32. pp. [81]--88.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701528>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

LIFTINGS OF 1-FORMS TO THE p^r -VELOCITIES BUNDLE

Mariusz Gąsowski

Our starting point are notions introduced by Morimoto [2],[3] and the classification of liftings to the higher order tangent bundle made by Gancarzewicz and Mahi [1]. We want to classify all linear liftings of 1-forms to p^r -velocities bundle. We deduce that every lifting is linear combination over R of Morimoto's liftings and o,i -liftings(introduced in this paper). Further we will assume that all considered objects are smooth (of class C^∞).

1. Preliminaries

In this section we present the definition of lifting of 1-forms and some related basic facts.

Let M be a smooth manifold. Denote by $T^{(r,p)}M$ the set of r -jets at $0 \in R^p$ of mappings from R^p to M . It forms bundle over M called p^r -velocities bundle. The mapping $\pi: T^{(r,p)}M \rightarrow M$ is the bundle projection.

$$\pi(j_0^r \gamma) = \gamma(0).$$

Every chart (U, x^i) on M induces the chart $(\pi^{-1}(U), x^{i,\nu})$ on $T^{(r,p)}M$, where i is an integer number between 0 and $\dim(M)$, ν is an element of N^p such that $|\nu| \leq r$. The induced chart is given by

$$(1.1) \quad x^{i,\nu}(j_0^r \gamma) = \frac{1}{\nu!} D^\nu(x^i \circ \gamma)(0).$$

Now we present the definition of lifting of 1-forms to the p^r -velocities bundle.

Definiton 1.2. *A mapping*

$$\mathcal{L}: \mathcal{X}^*(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*(T^{(r,p)}(M)),$$

^oThis paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

where $\mathcal{X}^*(M)$ and $\mathcal{X}^*(T^{(r,p)}(M))$ are the modules of 1-forms on M and on $T^{(r,p)}M$, is called *lifting of 1-forms from M to $T^{(r,p)}M$* if following conditions hold:

- (a) \mathcal{L} is linear over R , that is, for every 1-forms ω, ω' on M and every real numbers a, b

$$\mathcal{L}(a\omega + b\omega') = a\mathcal{L}(\omega) + b\mathcal{L}(\omega')$$

- (b) \mathcal{L} is local, that is, for every open subset $U \subset M$ and for every 1-forms ω, ω' on M such that $\omega|_U = \omega'|_U$

$$\mathcal{L}(\omega)|_{\pi^{-1}(U)} = \mathcal{L}(\omega')|_{\pi^{-1}(U)},$$

- (c) \mathcal{L} is natural, that is, for every diffeomorphism $\phi: U \rightarrow V$ of open sets $U, V \subset M$ and for every 1-form ω

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi^*\omega) = (T^{(r,p)}\phi)^*\mathcal{L}(\omega),$$

where $*$ denotes the pull-back of 1-form,

- (d) \mathcal{L} is regular, that is, for every open set $K \subset R^k$ and for every smooth mapping $\omega: K \times M \rightarrow T^*M$, the induced mapping

$$K \times T^{(r,p)}M \ni (t, p) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}\omega_t)(p) \in T^*(T^{(r,p)}M)$$

is smooth.

The proposition below is the simple conclusion from Definition 1.2.

Proposition 1.3 *Let \mathcal{L} be a lifting of 1-forms from M to $T^{(r,p)}M$. For any 1-form ω and for any vector field X on M*

$$\mathcal{L}(L_X\omega) = L_X\mathcal{L}(\omega).$$

Let define notion of (λ) -lifting (see: [2]). Let f be a function defined on M , $f \in C^\infty(M)$. (λ) -lifting of f (denoted by $f^{(\lambda)}$) is a function on $T^{(r,p)}M$ given as follows:

$$(1.4) \quad f^{(\lambda)}(j_0^r\gamma) = \frac{1}{\lambda!} D_\lambda(f \circ \gamma)(0).$$

Immediately from (1.1) and (1.4) it's clear that

$$(1.5) \quad x^{i,\nu} = (x^i)^{(\nu)}.$$

Lemma 1.6 *For any $\lambda \in N^p : |\lambda| \leq r$ there exists one and only one mapping $L_\lambda: \mathcal{X}^*(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*(T^{(r,p)}M)$ satisfying the following condition*

$$L_\lambda(f dg) = \sum_{\nu \leq \lambda} f^{(\nu)} dg^{(\lambda-\nu)},$$

where $\nu \leq \lambda$ means, that for any $i = 1 \dots p$ $\nu_i \leq \lambda_i$. Proof of Lemma 1.6 is analogous to considerations in [2]. The mapping constructed in Lemma 1.6 is called (λ) -lifting of 1-forms. $L_\lambda(\omega)$ will be denoted by $\omega^{(\lambda)}$.

Theorem 1.7 For every $\lambda \in N^p$ such that $|\lambda| \leq r$ the mapping

$$(\lambda): \mathcal{X}^* \ni \omega \longrightarrow \omega^{(\lambda)} \in \mathcal{X}^*(T^{(r,p)}M)$$

is a lifting of 1-forms to $T^{(r,p)}M$ in meaning of Definition 1.2

Now we define just another type of liftings to $T^{(r,p)}M$. Let $\pi_{1,i}$ be a projection from $T^{(r,p)}M$ to TM defined as follows

$$(1.8) \quad \pi_{1,i}^r(j_0^r \gamma) = \dot{\gamma}(0),$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow M$ is a curve derived from γ by formula

$$\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(0, \dots, t, \dots, 0).$$

For any 1-form ω on M and for any integer number $i = 1, \dots, p$ we can define 1-form $\omega^{o,i}$ by

$$(1.9) \quad \omega^{o,i} = d(\omega \circ \pi_{1,i}^r).$$

Theorem 1.10 For every $1, \dots, p$ the mapping

$$()^{o,i}: \mathcal{X}^*(M) \ni \omega \longrightarrow \omega^{o,i} \in \mathcal{X}^*(T^{(r,p)}M)$$

is a lifting of 1-forms from M to $T^{(r,p)}M$.

Proof: Directly from (1.9) the mapping $()^{o,i}$ is linear, local and regular. For every open sets $U, V \subset M$ and for every diffeomorphism $\phi: U \longrightarrow V$ we have:

$$d\phi \circ \pi_{1,i}^r = \pi_{1,i}^r \circ T^{(r,p)}\phi.$$

Therefore by standard check the mapping $()^{o,i}$ is natural.

2. Classification of liftings to the p^r -velocities bundle

In this section we formulate the main result. It is classification of all liftings from M to the p^r -velocities bundle. We present several lemmas and propositions useful for proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 2.1(see: [1]) Let $f: R^k \rightarrow R$ be a differentiable function.

(a). If f satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^k v^j \frac{\partial f}{\partial v^j} = 0$$

then f is constant

(b). If f satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^k v^j \frac{\partial f}{\partial v^j} + f = 0$$

then f is identically zero on R^k .

Lemma 2.2(see: [1]) Let (U, x^i) be a chart on M and x_0 be a point of U . If ω is a closed 1-form on M , then there exists a vector field X on M such that

$$(2.3) \quad \omega = L_X(dx^1)$$

in some neighborhood of x_0 .

Lemma 2.3 Let (U, x^i) be a chart on M . We denote by $(\pi^{-1}(U), x^{i,\nu})$ the induced chart on $T^{(r,p)}M$. Then

a).

$$L_{x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}} dx^k = \delta_k^j dx^j,$$

b).

$$\left(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)^C = \sum_{|\mu| \leq r} x^{j,\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i,\mu}},$$

c). for every function f on $\pi^{-1}(U)$

$$L_{\left(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)^C} (f dx^{h,\nu}) = \sum_{|\mu| \leq r} x^{j,\mu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i,\mu}} dx^{h,\nu} + \delta_k^i f dx^{j,\nu}.$$

Proof:

ad a). The local vector field $x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ is generated by the one-parameter group of transformations ϕ_t given by

$$\phi_t(x) = \phi^{-1}(x^1, \dots, tx^j + x^i, \dots, x^n),$$

where (ψ, U) is a chart on M , $\phi = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} L_{(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^C} (dx^k) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (dx^k - (\psi_t)_* (dx^k)) = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (dx^k - dx^k \circ d\psi_{-t}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (dx^k - d(-tx^j \delta_k^i + x^k)) = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (t\delta_k^i dx^j) = \delta_k^i dx^j \end{aligned}$$

ad b). The mapping $T^{(r,p)}\psi_t$ is the one-parameter group of transformations of $(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^C$. Let $j_0^r(\gamma)$ be an element of $T^{(r,p)}M$.

$$T^{(r,p)}\psi_t(j_0^r(\gamma)) = (j_0^r(\phi^{-1}(\gamma^1, \dots, t\gamma^j + \gamma^j, \dots, \gamma^n))),$$

where $\gamma^k = (\phi \circ \gamma)^k$. Let calculate value of $x^{k,\nu}$ on the above jet. From (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k,\nu}(j_0^r(\phi^{-1}(\gamma^1, \dots, t\gamma^j + \gamma^j, \dots, \gamma^n))) &= \frac{1}{\nu!} D^\nu(\gamma^k + t\delta_k^i \gamma^j) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu!} D^\nu(\gamma^k) + t\delta_k^i \frac{1}{\nu!} D^\nu(\gamma^j) = x^{k,\nu}(j_0^r(\gamma)) + t\delta_k^i x^{j,\nu}(j_0^r(\gamma)) \end{aligned}$$

The (k, ν) -coordinate of $T^{(r,p)}\psi_t$ is equal $x^{k,\nu} + t\delta_k^i x^{j,\nu}$ and if $i \neq k$ this coordinate doesn't depend on t , therefore

$$(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^C = \sum_{|\mu| \leq r} x^{j,\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i,\mu}},$$

ad c). Let f be a function on $\pi^{-1}(U)$.

$$L_{(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^C} (f dx^{k,\nu}) =$$

$$L_{(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^C} (f) \cdot dx^{k,\nu} + f \cdot L_{(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^C} dx^{k,\nu}$$

From Proposition 1.3

$$L_{(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^C} dx^{k,\nu} = (L_{x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} dx^k)^{(\nu)}.$$

Using a). and (1.5) we obtain

$$L_{(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^C} dx^{k,\nu} = \delta_k^i dx^{j,\nu}$$

Now we calculate $L_{(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^C} (f)$.

$$L_{(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^C} (f) = df((x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^C) =$$

$$= df\left(\sum_{|\mu|\leq r} x^{j,\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i,\mu}}\right) = \sum_{|\mu|\leq r} x^{j,\mu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i,\mu}}.$$

Now the proof is finished.

The proposition below provides classification of liftings for closed 1-forms on M .

Proposition 2.4 *Let M be a manifold. If \mathcal{L} is a lifting of 1-forms to the p^r -velocities bundle, then there exist real numbers c_ν , where $\nu \in N^p: |\nu| \leq r$ such that for every closed 1-form ω on M*

$$\mathcal{L}(\omega) = \sum_{|\nu|\leq r} c_\nu \omega^{(\nu)}.$$

Proof: Let (U, x^i) be a chart on M . Then 1-form $\mathcal{L}(dx^1)$ on $T^{(r,p)}M$ in local coordinates is given by

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{L}(dx^1) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{|\nu|\leq r} a_{k,\nu} dx^{k,\nu},$$

where $a_{k,\nu}$ are functions on $\pi^{-1}(U)$. From Lemma 2.3 a)

$$(2.6) \quad L_{x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} dx^k = \delta_k^i dx^j.$$

Using Proposition 1.3 we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \delta_k^1 \mathcal{L}(dx^j) = L_{(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1})^C} \mathcal{L}(dx^k).$$

For $k = 1$ from (2.7) we have

$$\delta_1^1 \mathcal{L}(dx^j) = L_{(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1})^C} \mathcal{L}(dx^1)$$

Next from (2.5) the following formula is valid

$$\delta_1^1 \mathcal{L}(dx^j) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{|\nu|\leq r} L_{(x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1})^C} (a_{k,\nu} dx^{k,\nu}).$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 c) to $f = a_{k,\nu}$ we obtain

$$\delta_1^1 \mathcal{L}(dx^j) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{|\nu|\leq r} \left(\sum_{|\mu|\leq r} x^{j,\mu} \frac{\partial a_{k,\nu}}{\partial x^{i,\mu}} dx^{k,\nu} + \delta_k^j a_{k,\nu} dx^{j,\nu} \right) =$$

$$(2.8) \quad = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{|\nu| \leq r} \left(\sum_{|\mu| \leq r} x^{j,\mu} \frac{\partial a_{k,\nu}}{\partial x^{i,\mu}} + \delta_k^i a_{k,\nu} \right) dx^{k,\nu}.$$

From (2.8) and (2.5) we have

$$(2.9) \quad \delta_i^1 a_{k,\nu} = \sum_{|\mu| \leq r} x_{,\mu}^j \frac{\partial a_{k,\nu}}{\partial x^{i,\mu}} + \delta_k^j a_{i,\nu}.$$

For $i = j = k = 1$ it gives

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{|\mu| \leq r} x^{1,\mu} \frac{\partial a_{1,\nu}}{\partial x^{1,\mu}} = 0.$$

Applying (2.8) to $i = j \neq 1$, $k = 1$ we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{|\mu| \leq r} x^{j,\mu} \frac{\partial a_{1,\nu}}{\partial x^{j,\mu}} = 0.$$

Formulas (2.10) and (2.11) together give the following condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{|\mu| \leq r} x^{j,\mu} \frac{\partial a_{1,\nu}}{\partial x^{j,\mu}} = 0.$$

According to Lemma 2.1 $a_{1,\nu}$ is constant for every $\nu \in N^p$. From (2.9) for $i \neq 1$, $k = j = 1$ we obtain

$$a_{i,\nu} = - \sum_{|\mu| \leq r} x^{1,\mu} \frac{\partial a_{1,\nu}}{\partial x^{i,\mu}} = 0.$$

Let denote by c_ν the constant value of $a_{1,\nu}$. Then from previous considerations we can write $\mathcal{L}(dx^k)$ in the form

$$\mathcal{L}(dx^k) = \sum_{|\nu| \leq r} c_\nu dx^{1,\nu}.$$

From Lemma 2.2 for every closed 1-form ω there exists a vector field X such that $\omega = L_X(dx^1)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\omega) &= \mathcal{L}(L_X dx^1) = L_X \mathcal{L}(dx^1) = \\ &= L_X \mathcal{L} \left(\sum_{|\nu| \leq r} c_\nu dx^{1,\nu} \right) = \sum_{|\nu| \leq r} c_\nu L_X \mathcal{L}(dx^1)^{(\nu)} = \sum_{|\nu| \leq r} c_\nu (L_X dx^1)^{(\nu)} = \\ &= \sum_{|\nu| \leq r} c_\nu \omega^{(\nu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the proof is finished.

The main result can be expressed in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5 *Let M be a manifold such that $\dim(M) \geq 2$. If \mathcal{L} is a lifting of 1-forms from M to the p^r -velocities bundle then \mathcal{L} is a linear combination over R of (λ) -liftings and o, i -liftings, that is, there exist real numbers c_ν , $\nu \in N^p: |\nu| \leq r$ and $c_{o,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, p$ such that for every 1-form ω on M we have*

$$\mathcal{L}(\omega) = \sum_{|\nu| \leq r} c_\nu \omega^{(\nu)} + \sum_{i=1}^p c_{o,i} \omega^{o,i}$$

REFERENCES

- [1]. GANCARZEWICZ J. MAHI S., "Lifts of 1-forms to the tangent bundle of higher order" *Czech. Math. J.* 40(115)1990, 397-407
- [2]. MORIMOTO A., "Liftings of tensor fields and connections to tangent bundles of higher order", *Nagoya Math J.* vol. 40(1970)
- [3]. MORIMOTO A., "Prolongations of G-structures to tangent bundle of higher order", *Nagoya Math. J., Nagoya Univ.* 1969
- [4]. KOBAYASHI S. NOMIZU K., "Foundations of differentiable geometry", vol I, *New York 1963*
- [5]. YANO K. ISHIHARA S. "Tangent and cotangent bundles", *Marcel Dekkera Inc, New York, 1973*

M. Gąsowski, Instytut Matematyki UJ, ul. Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków, Poland