

Barbara Opozda

Remark on mixed foliate generic submanifolds

In: Zdeněk Frolík and Vladimír Souček and Jiří Vinárek (eds.): Proceedings of the Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1985. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 9. pp. [199]--204.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701400>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

REMARK ON MIXED FOLIATE GENERIC SUBMANIFOLDS

Barbara Opozda

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

O. Let M^1 be a Kählerian manifold with a complex structure J^1 and a Hermitian metric (\cdot, \cdot) . Let M be a real submanifold in M^1 . (\cdot, \cdot) will mean also the induced metric tensor field on M . The norm defined by (\cdot, \cdot) will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. We set

\mathcal{N} - the normal bundle of TM in $TM^1|_M$,

p - the projection onto TM in $TM^1|_M = TM \oplus \mathcal{N}$,

n - the projection onto \mathcal{N} in $TM^1|_M = TM \oplus \mathcal{N}$,

$P = p \circ J^1|_{TM}$, $\psi = n \circ J^1|_{TM}$,

$\mathcal{D}_x = T_x M \cap J^1 T_x M$ for $x \in M$,

$\mathcal{H}_x = T_x M + J^1 T_x M$ for $x \in M$,

\mathcal{D}_x^\perp - the orthogonal complement to \mathcal{D}_x in $T_x M$,

\mathcal{D}_x° - the orthogonal complement to $T_x M$ in \mathcal{H}_x ,

\mathcal{N}^\perp_x - the orthogonal complement to \mathcal{H}_x in $T_x M^1$,

∇^1, ∇ - the Riemannian connections on M^1 and M respectively,

D - the normal connection, i.e. the connection in \mathcal{N} induced by ∇^1 ,

α, A - the second fundamental form and the second fundamental tensor respectively for M in M^1 ,

R^1, R - the curvature tensors (of type (1.3) as well as of type (0,4)) associated with ∇^1 and ∇ respectively,

$$h(X, Y) = n J^1 \alpha(X, Y) - \alpha(X, PY).$$

Since M' is Kählerian $h(X, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_X \psi)Y = \psi \nabla_X Y - D_X \psi Y$.

Recall the equations of Gauss and Codazzi :

$$(0.1) \quad R'(W, Z, X, Y) = R(W, Z, X, Y) + (\alpha(X, Z), \alpha(Y, W)) - (\alpha(Y, Z), \alpha(X, W)),$$

$$(0.2) \quad (R'(X, Y)Z)^\perp = (\bar{\nabla}_X \alpha)(Y, Z) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \alpha)(X, Z)$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in T_x M$, $x \in M$, where $^\perp$ denotes the normal part of a vector tangent to M' .

If p and p' are J' -invariant planes in $T_x M'$, then the holomorphic bisectional curvature by p and p' is given by

$$H_B(p, p') = R'(X, Y, X, Y) + R'(J'X, Y, J'X, Y),$$

where X and Y are unit vectors in p and p' respectively. If X, Y are arbitrary vectors tangent to M' at a point x , then we shall denote $R'(X, Y, X, Y) + R'(J'X, Y, J'X, Y)$ by $H_B(X, Y)$.

A real submanifold of M is called generic if $\dim \mathcal{D}_x$ is

constant on M . If M is generic, then we set $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{x \in M} \mathcal{D}_x$,

$$\mathcal{D}^\perp = \bigcup_{x \in M} \mathcal{D}_x^\perp, \quad \mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{x \in M} \mathcal{K}_x, \quad \mathcal{K}^\perp = \bigcup_{x \in M} \mathcal{K}_x^\perp, \quad \mathcal{D}_0 = \bigcup_{x \in M} \mathcal{D}_{0x}.$$

$\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\perp, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}^\perp, \mathcal{D}_0$ are vector bundles over M . The distribution \mathcal{D} is called the holomorphic distribution. A real submanifold M' of M is called a CR-submanifold if $J^1 \mathcal{D}^\perp \subset \mathcal{D}_0$. A CR-submanifold is a generic submanifold, [4]. A generic submanifold is called purely real (resp. holomorphic) if $\mathcal{D} = \{0\}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}^\perp = \{0\}$) A generic submanifold is said to be proper if it is neither purely real nor holomorphic. A purely real CR-submanifold is called totally real. If M' is a generic submanifold of M , then the induced f -structure on M' is defined by

$$f(X) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } X \in \mathcal{D}^\perp \\ J^1 X & \text{for } X \in \mathcal{D} \end{cases}$$

By a generic product we mean a generic submanifold for which the almost product structure $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\perp)$ is parallel. Of course, it is equivalent to the fact, that M' is locally the Riemannian product of a holomorphic submanifold of M' and a purely real submanifold of M' . Since M' is Kählerian the parallelity of f is equivalent

to the parallelity of $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}^\perp)$. In the next we shall use

Proposition 0.1, [4]. $\nabla f = 0$ if and only if $\alpha(X, Y) \in \mathcal{K}$ provided X or Y belongs to \mathfrak{D} .

A generic submanifold is said to be mixed totally geodesic if $\alpha(X, Y) = 0$ for $X \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$, [2]. By a generic mixed foliate submanifold we shall mean a generic submanifold which is mixed totally geodesic and the holomorphic distribution \mathfrak{D} is integrable.

This definition is analogous to the definition of a mixed foliate submanifold in the case of a CR-submanifold, [2]. A CR submanifold is mixed foliate if and only if the tensor field h is symmetric, [5].

1. B-Y Chen and S. Montiel proved in [3] the following theorems which generalize some earlier theorems.

Theorem 1.1. A generic submanifold in \mathbb{C}^n is a generic product if and only if it is mixed foliate

Theorem 1.2. Let M be a generic submanifold of a complex-space-form with positive holomorphic sectional curvature. If M is mixed foliate, then M is holomorphic or purely real.

We shall prove

Theorem 1.3. Let M be a generic mixed foliate submanifold of a Kählerian manifold M' . If the holomorphic bisectional curvature of M is non-negative, then M is a generic product. If M passes through a point of M' in which M' has positive holomorphic bisectional curvature, then M is holomorphic or purely real.

Proof. Suppose that M is a proper generic submanifold. Let $X \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$. Using the fact that $J'Y = PY + \psi Y$ we find

$$(1.1) \quad 2 R'(J'X, Y, X, \psi Y) = R'(X, PY, X, PY) - R'(X, \psi Y, X, \psi Y) - R'(X, J'Y, X, J'Y).$$

On the other hand

$$2 R'(J'X, Y, X, \psi Y) = -2 R'(Y, X, J'X, \psi Y) - 2 R'(X, J'X, Y, \psi Y) = -2 R'(J'^2 X, Y, J'X, \psi Y) - 2 R'(X, J'X, Y, \psi Y).$$

Using the formula (1.1) for $R'(J'(J'X), Y, J'X, \psi Y)$, we obtain.

$$(1.2) \quad 2 R'(J'X, Y, X, \psi Y) = -R'(J'X, PY, J'X, PY) + R'(J'X, \psi Y, J'X, \psi Y) + R'(X, Y, X, Y) - 2 R'(X, J'X, Y, \psi Y)$$

Since M is mixed totally geodesic $A_\psi \mathfrak{D}^\perp \mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{D}$. It follows that $A_\psi X = A^T X$, where A^T denotes the second fundamental tensor ψY .

for a leaf of \mathcal{D} in M^1 . By virtue of this fact, the facts that M is mixed totally geodesic and \mathcal{D} is involutive and by the equation of Codazzi, we have (comp.(6.6) and (6.7) in [3])

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.3) \quad & -R^1(X, J^1X, Y, \psi Y) = (R^1(X, J^1X)Y, \psi Y) \\
 & = (\alpha(X, \nabla_{J^1X} Y), \psi Y) - (\alpha(J^1X, \nabla_X Y), \psi Y) \\
 & \quad + (\alpha(\nabla_{J^1X} X - \nabla_X J^1X, Y), \psi Y) \\
 & = (A_{\psi Y} X, \nabla_{J^1X} Y) - (A_{\psi Y} J^1X, \nabla_X Y) \\
 & = (A_{\psi Y} X, \nabla_{J^1X} Y) - (A_{\psi Y} J^1X, \nabla_X Y) \\
 & = - (A_{\psi Y}^T X, A_{\psi Y}^T J^1X) + (A_{\psi Y}^T J^1X, A_{\psi Y}^T X) \\
 & = 2 (A_{\psi Y}^T X, A_{\psi Y}^T J^1X) \\
 & = 2 \|A_{\psi Y}^T X\|^2 + 2 (A_{\psi Y}^T X, A_{PY}^T X) \\
 & = 2 \|A_{\psi Y}^T X\|^2 + \|A_{J^1Y}^T X\|^2 - \|A_{PY}^T X\|^2 - \|A_{\psi Y}^T X\|^2 \\
 & = \|A_{\psi Y}^T X\|^2 + \|A_{J^1Y}^T X\|^2 - \|A_{PY}^T X\|^2 = \|A_{\psi Y}^T X\|^2 + \|A_{PY}^T X\|^2 \\
 & \quad - \|A_{PY}^T X\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (1.1) and (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.4) \quad & 2 \|A_{\psi Y}^T X\|^2 + 2 \|A_{PY}^T X\|^2 - 2 \|A_{PY}^T X\|^2 \\
 & = H_B^1(X, PY) - H_B^1(X, \psi Y) - H_B^1(X, Y)
 \end{aligned}$$

If we use this formula for PY instead of Y , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.5) \quad & 2 \|A_{\psi PY}^T X\|^2 + 2 \|A_{PY}^T X\|^2 - 2 \|A_{P^2Y}^T X\|^2 \\
 & = H_B^1(X, P^2Y) - H_B^1(X, \psi PY) - H_B^1(X, PY)
 \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (1.4) and (1.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.6) \quad & 2 \|A_{\psi PY}^T X\|^2 + 2 \|A_{PY}^T X\|^2 + 2 \|A_{\psi Y}^T X\|^2 - 2 \|A_{P^2Y}^T X\|^2 \\
 & = H_B^1(X, P^2Y) - H_B^1(X, \psi Y) - H_B^1(X, Y) - H_B^1(X, \psi PY)
 \end{aligned}$$

The tensor field P is skew-symmetric. In fact, $(Z, PW) = (Z, J^1W) = - (J^1Z, W) = - (PZ, W)$. Therefore P^2 is symmetric. Of course

$P^2(\mathcal{D}^\perp) \subset \mathcal{D}^\perp$. Let Y_1, \dots, Y_k be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{D}^\perp_x consisting of eigenvectors of $P^2|_{\mathcal{D}^\perp_x}$. Let $P^2(Y_i) = \lambda_i Y_i$ for

$i=1, \dots, k$. Since $\|P^2 Y_i\| \leq \|Y_i\|$, $\lambda_i^2 < 1$ for every $i=1, \dots, k$. The formula (1.6) used for $Y = Y_i$ has the form.

$$(1.7) \quad 2 \|A^T X\|_{\psi Y_i}^2 + 2 \|A^T X\|_{\psi P Y_i}^2 + (1 - \lambda_i^2) 2 \|A^T X\|_{Y_i}^2 = \\ = - (1 - \lambda_i^2) H^1_B(X, Y_i) - H^1_B(X, \psi Y_i) - H^1_B(X, \psi P Y_i).$$

The left hand side of this equality is non-negative. If there is $x \in M$, $X \in \mathcal{D}_x$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that the right hand side is negative, then we have a contradiction so M must be purely real or holomorphic. It holds, for instance, in the case where M passes through a point of M' in which the holomorphic bisectional curvature is positive. Now, suppose that the holomorphic bisectional curvature of M' is non-negative. If for every $x \in M$, $X \in \mathcal{D}_x$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$ the right hand side of (1.7) is zero,

then $A^T X = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{D}_x$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}^\perp_x$. It means that

$A^T X = 0$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{D}^\perp_x$, $X \in \mathcal{D}_x$ and $x \in M$. Since $\psi|_{\mathcal{D}^\perp}$ is an epimorphism onto \mathcal{D}_0 and $(A^T X, W) = (\alpha(X, W), \psi Y)$ for any

$W \in TM$, $\alpha(X, W) \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{H}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $W \in TM$. By Proposition (0.1) $\nabla f = 0$, i.e. M is a generic product. The proof is completed.

Suppose now that M is a mixed foliate proper CR - submanifold. Then (1.4) reduces to the following

$$(1.8) \quad 2 \|A^T X\|_Y^2 = - H^1_B(X, Y)$$

for $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$.

For a mixed foliate submanifold the equation of Gauss implies

$$(1.9) \quad H^1_B(X, Y) = R(X, Y, X, Y) + R(JX, Y, JX, Y)$$

for $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$.

In fact, if $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$, $\alpha(X, Y) = \alpha(J^1 X, Y) = 0$. Hence

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{cases} R^1(X, Y, X, Y) = R(X, Y, X, Y) - (\alpha(X, X), \alpha(Y, Y)) \\ R^1(J^1 X, Y, J^1 X, Y) = R(J^1 X, Y, J^1 X, Y) - (\alpha(J^1 X, J^1 X), \alpha(Y, Y)) \end{cases}$$

The holomorphic distribution \mathfrak{D} is integrable, so $\alpha(J^1 X, J^1 X) = (J^{12} X, X) = -\alpha(X, X)$, (see, for instance [1]).

Therefore (1.10) implies (1.9).

Consequently

$$(1.11) \quad 2 \left\| \underset{Y}{A^T X} \right\|^2 = -R(X, Y, X, Y) - R(J^1 X, Y, J^1 X, Y)$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$

If there are $x \in M$, $X \in \mathfrak{D}_x$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{D}_x^\perp$ such that the right hand

side of (1.11) is negative then we have a contradiction, hence M is holomorphic or purely real. If the right hand side is zero for any $x \in M$, $X \in \mathfrak{D}_x$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{D}_x^\perp$, then $\underset{Y}{A^T X} = 0$, i.e.

$\underset{J^1 Y}{A^T} X = 0$. In manner as in the previous case we conclude from

this that $\nabla f = 0$. Therefore we have proved

Theorem 1.4. Let M be a mixed foliate CR - submanifold of a Kählerian manifold. If the Riemannian sectional curvature of M is non-negative, then M is a generic product. If at a point of M the Riemannian sectional curvature of M is positive, then M is holomorphic or totally real.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chen B. Y. : Differential Geometry of Real Submanifolds in a Kähler Manifold. Mn.Math. 91 (1981), 257-274.
- [2] Chen B. Y. : CR - submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold I, II. J.Diff.Geom. 16 (1981), 305-322, 493-509.
- [3] Chen B. Y. , Montiel S. : Real submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold, Algebras, Groups and Geometries. 1 (1984), 176-212.
- [4] Opozda B. : Metric polynomial structures, to appear
- [5] Opozda B. : Generic submanifolds in almost Hermitian manifolds

Barbara Opozda
Instytut Matematyki
Uniwersytet Jagielloński
30-050 Kraków, Poland