

Ryszard Grzaślewicz

Density theorems for measurable transformations

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 9th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1981. pp. 56--63.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701225>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

NINTH WINTER SCHOOL ON ABSTRACT ANALYSIS (1981)

DENSITY THEOREMS FOR MEASURABLE TRANSFORMATIONS

Ryszard Grzaślewicz

1. Introduction

Let m denote Lebesgue measure on Borel σ -algebra of the unit interval $[0,1]$. A function $\tau: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ which is Borel-measurable and nonsingular (i.e. $m(A)=0 \Rightarrow m\tau^{-1}(A)=0$) is called a transformation. We identify transformations which differ only on a set of measure zero. A transformation τ is called invertible if τ^{-1} exists and is also a transformation. τ is called measure-preserving if $m\tau^{-1}(A)=m(A)$ for all Borel A . The group of all invertible transformations is denoted by G . By G_m we denote the group of all invertible measure-preserving transformations.

Every invertible transformation τ induces a positive invertible isometry $T_\tau^{(p)}$ of $L^p(m)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, defined by

$$T_\tau^{(p)}(f)(t) = \omega_\tau^{1/p}(t) f(\tau^{-1}(t)) ,$$

where $f \in L^p(m)$, $\omega_\tau = dm\tau^{-1} / dm$. If $\tau \in G_m$, then $\omega_\tau = 1$.

By a classical result (see e.g. Ionescu Tulcea [2], footnote 3), for every $1 \leq p < \infty$ we can identify G with

the group $G^{(1)}$ of all positive invertible isometries of $L^p(m)$ (i.e. with the set of all Banach lattice automorphisms of $L^p(m)$). Therefore we can define a topology in G as the strong operator topology inherited from $L(L^p(m))$. For all $1 \leq p < \infty$ these topologies coincide (Choksi, Kakutani [1], Theorem 8). Moreover, L^p -strong and weak operator topologies in G_m coincide, since the strong and weak topologies on the unitary group in $L(L^2(m))$ are the same and all L^p -weak operator topologies coincide on the compact set of doubly stochastic operators. It is not hard to see that the family of sets of the form

$$\{ \tau \in G : m(\tau(A_i) \Delta B(A_i)) < \epsilon \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n \text{ and } \|\omega_\tau - \omega_B\|_1 < \epsilon \}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$, $B \in G$ and A_1, \dots, A_n is a partition of $[0, 1]$ into subintervals is a neighborhood base for the strong operator topology in G .

In this paper we prove that the group G_m and G are topologically finitely generated (Theorem 1 and 2).

2. Invertible measure-preserving transformations.

We will use following property of permutations

Lemma1. Let n be a natural number. The group of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$ is generated by the following two elements

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 2, \dots, 2n \\ 2, 3, \dots, 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 2, \dots, n-1, n, n+1, \dots, 2n \\ 2, 3, \dots, n, 1, n+1, \dots, 2n \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. Since every permutation can be decomposed into transpositions, it suffices to show that α and β generate every transposition. Moreover, because of the nature of α and β it is enough to prove that some transposition, e.g.

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 2, \dots, n, n+1, \dots, 2n \\ 1, 2, \dots, 2n, n+1, \dots, n \end{pmatrix}$$

can be expressed as a composition of α and β . In fact it is not hard to see that $\gamma = \alpha^{n-1} \beta \alpha^n$.

For $a \in [0, 1]$ we write $\alpha_a(t) = t+a \pmod{1}$.

Moreover, we define

$$\beta_a(t) = \begin{cases} t+a \pmod{1/2} & \text{for } 0 \leq t < 1/2 \\ t & \text{for } 1/2 \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Obviously $\alpha_a, \beta_a \in G_m$.

Theorem 1. Let a and b be irrational numbers. Then the group generated by α_a and β_b is dense in G_m .

Proof. It is easy to see that for every real number c , the transformations α_c and β_c belong to the closure \mathcal{H}_m in G_m of the

group generated by α'_a and β_b .

Now given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we partition $[0,1]$ into $2n$ subintervals of equal length. It is sufficient to show that \mathcal{H}_m contains every piecewise linear transformation ξ which permutes these subintervals. From Lemma 1 we can express ξ as a certain composition of transformations α_c and β_c for $c=1/2n$.

3. Invertible transformations.

Let I_1, \dots, I_n and J_1, \dots, J_n be partitions of the interval $[0,1]$ into subintervals. The notation $\mathcal{Q} : I_1 \rightarrow J_1, \dots, I_n \rightarrow J_n$ will mean that \mathcal{Q} is the piecewise linear transformation that maps I_i linearly (with positive slope) onto J_i for all $i \leq n$.

Now for $a \in [0,1]$ and $b > 0$ we define $\mathcal{Q}_{a,b} : [0, a/(b+1)] \rightarrow [0, ab/(b+1)]$, $(a/(b+1), a] \rightarrow (ab/(b+1), a]$, $(a, 1] \rightarrow (a, 1]$. Note that the first interval is stretched and the second is shrunk by the factor of b .

Let \mathcal{H} denote the group generated by G_m and Ψ where $\Psi = \mathcal{Q}_{1/4, 2} \circ \alpha_{1/2} \circ \mathcal{Q}_{1/4, 3} \circ \alpha_{1/2} :$
 $[0, 1/12] \rightarrow [0, 1/6]$, $[1/12, 1/4] \rightarrow [1/6, 1/4]$,
 $[1/4, 1/2] \rightarrow [1/4, 1/2]$, $[1/2, 5/16] \rightarrow [1/2, 11/16]$,
 $[5/16, 3/4] \rightarrow [11/16, 3/4]$, $[3/4, 1] \rightarrow [3/4, 1]$.

Lemma 2. Let $a \in [0, 1]$. Then $\varphi_{a,2}$, $\varphi_{a,3}$ belong to \mathcal{H} .

Proof. We may assume that $a \leq 1/12$ (since $G_m \subset \mathcal{H}$, several conjugates of φ can be composed together, if necessary). Let $\xi \in G_m$ be defined by ξ :

$$[0, 1/6] \rightarrow [1/12 + 2a], [2a + 1/4, 1/6, 2a + 1/4] \rightarrow [0, 2a + 1/12],$$

$$[2a + 1/4, 1/2] \rightarrow [2a + 1/4, 1/2], [1/2, 11/16] \rightarrow [9/16, 3/4],$$

$$[11/16, 3/4] \rightarrow [1/2, 9/16], [3/4, 1] \rightarrow [3/4, 1].$$

The transformation $\varphi = \psi \xi \psi$ transforms linear intervals $I_1 = (1/12 - a, 1/12)$ and $I_2 = (1/4, 1/4 + 2a)$ onto $(1/4, 2a + 1/4)$ and $(1/6, a + 1/6)$, respectively. It is easy to check that for all intervals I with $I \cap (I_1 \cup I_2) = \emptyset$ we have $m(\varphi(I)) = m(I)$. This implies the existence of two transformations $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in G_m$ such that $\varphi_{a,2} = \xi_1 \xi_2$. Therefore we obtain $\varphi_{a,2} \in \mathcal{H}$.

By analogous arguments, $\varphi_{a,3} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 3. If $\varphi_{a,b}$, $\varphi_{a,c} \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$ and some $b, c > 0$, then $\varphi_{a,bc} \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $\delta(b+1)(c+1) \leq 1/2$. We put $\eta = \varphi_{b+1,b} \circ \alpha_{1/2} \circ \varphi_{bc+1,c} \circ \alpha_{1/2}$:

$$[0, \delta] \rightarrow [0, \delta b], [\delta, \delta(b+1)] \rightarrow [\delta b, \delta(b+1)],$$

$$[\delta(b+1), 1/2] \rightarrow [\delta(b+1), 1/2], [1/2, \delta b + 1/2] \rightarrow [1/2, \delta bc + 1/2].$$

$$(\delta b + 1/2, b(c+1) + 1/2] \rightarrow (\delta bc + 1/2, b(c+1) + 1/2],$$

$$(\delta b(c+1) + 1/2, 1] \rightarrow (\delta b(c+1) + 1/2, 1]. \text{ We have } .$$

Let now $\xi \in G_m$ be defined by $\xi : [0, \delta b) \rightarrow [1/2, \delta b + 1/2),$

$$[\delta b, \delta(b+1)) \rightarrow [0, \delta], [\delta(b+1), 1/2) \rightarrow [\delta(b+1), 1/2),$$

$$[1/2, \delta bc + 1/2) \rightarrow [\delta b + 1/2, \delta b(c+1) + 1/2),$$

$$[\delta bc + 1/2, \delta b(c+1)) \rightarrow [\delta, \delta(b+1)),$$

$$[\delta b(c+1) + 1/2, 1] \rightarrow [\delta b(c+1) + 1/2, 1]. \text{ The transforma-}$$

tion $\varphi = \eta \circ \xi \circ \eta$ transforms $I_1 = (0, \delta]$ and $I_2 = (\delta b + 1/2, \delta b(c+1) + 1/2]$ onto $(1/2, \delta bc + 1/2]$ and $(\delta b, \delta(b+1)]$ respectively, and

for intervals I with $I \cap (I_1 \cup I_2) = \emptyset$ we have $m(\eta \circ \xi \circ \eta(I)) = m(I)$.

Hence $\varphi_{a,bc} = \delta \varphi \tau$ for some $\delta, \tau \in G_m$ and we obtain $\varphi_{a,bc} \in \mathcal{H}_m^n$.

Corollary. The closure of \mathcal{H} contains all $\varphi_{a,b}$ for $0 \leq a \leq 1$ and $b > 0$.

Proof. The transformation $\varphi_{a,b}$ belongs to \mathcal{H} if and only if $\varphi_{a, 1/b}$ belongs to \mathcal{H} since G_m . Therefore using Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 we obtain that $\varphi_{a,b} \in \mathcal{H}$ for $b = 2^k / 3^m$ with $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Because the set $\{2^k / 3^m : k, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in \mathbb{R}_+ the proof is complete.

The following Proposition is implicitly contained in [3]; we omit the proof.

Proposition. Let D be a dense subset of $[0, 1]$. Then the family of all invertible transformations τ of the form $\tau : I_1 \rightarrow J_1, \dots, I_n \rightarrow J_n$, where (I_k) and (J_k) are partitions of $[0, 1]$ into subintervals with endpoints in $D \cup \{0, 1\}$, is dense in G .

Theorem 2. Let a, b be irrational numbers. Then the group generated by α_a, β_b and ψ is dense in G .

Proof. In view of Theorem 1 and Proposition , it is sufficient to show that for every partitions $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{n+1} = 1$ and $0 = b_0 < b_1 < \dots < b_{n+1} = 1$ with a_i, b_i of the form $2^k / 3^m$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists a transformation in \mathcal{H} which takes $[a_i, a_{i+1})$ linearly onto $[b_i, b_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

By the last corollary, we may assume that $a_n \leq 1/4$ and $b_n \leq 1/4$.

Now $\xi_1 = \varphi_{a_1, b_1}, b_1 / a_1$ maps $[0, a_1)$ onto $[0, b_1)$. The function $\varphi : [0, b_1) \rightarrow [0, b_1), [b_1, \xi_1(a_2)) \rightarrow [b_1, b_2), [\xi_1(a_2), \xi_1(a_2) + b_2 - b_1) \rightarrow [b_2, \xi_1(a_2) + b_2 - b_1) , [\xi_1(a_2) + b_2 - b_1, 1] \rightarrow [\xi_1(a_2) + b_2 - b_1, 1]$ clearly satisfies $\varphi = \sigma \varphi_{x, y} \tau$ for some $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G}_m$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and so $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore $\xi_2 = \varphi \xi_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ and it is easy to see that ξ_2 takes $[a_i, a_{i+1})$ onto $[b_i, b_{i+1})$ for $i = 0, 1$. Continuing this process by induction, we can construct a transformation $\xi_n \in \mathcal{H}$ such that ξ_n takes $[a_i, a_{i+1})$ onto $[b_i, b_{i+1})$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

References

- [1] J.R. Choksi and S. Kakutani , Residuality of ergodic measurable transformations and of ergodic transformations which preserve an infinite measure , Indiana Univ. Math. J. 28 (1979) , 453-469
- [2] A. Ionescu Tulcea , Ergodic properties of isometries in L^p spaces , $1 < p < \infty$, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 70 (1964) 366-371
- [3] A. Ionescu Tulcea , On the category of certain classes of transformations in ergodic theory , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1965) , 261-279
- [4] A. Iwanik , Approximation theorems for stochastic operators , to appear in Indiana Univ. Math. J.