

Miloš Zahradník

A note on measurability of trajectories of a stochastic process

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 7th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1979. pp. 100--103.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701157>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A NOTE ON MEASURABILITY OF TRAJECTORIES OF A
STOCHASTIC PROCESS

H. Zahradnik

In this note, we present an alternative method of studying the measurability properties of trajectories of a stochastic process. More precisely, we will consider the following situation:

Given any vector or scalar measure

$$\vec{\mu}: \mathcal{B}(X^{<0,1>}) \rightarrow E$$

on a family $\mathcal{B}(X^{<0,1>})$ of all Baire subsets of $X^{<0,1>}$, where X is some locally compact metrizable space X , consider the question: On which trajectories lives $\vec{\mu}$?

Our method is based on the identification of each Baire function $f \in X^{<0,1>}$ (or, rather, the corresponding a.e. equivalence class) with the probability α_f on $<0,1> \times X$, determined uniquely by the requirements:

- i) α_f is carried by a graph of f
- ii) the projection $\mathcal{I}(\alpha_f)$ of α_f on $<0,1>$ is just the Lebesgue measure.

Thus, by identifying f with α_f , the topology of convergence in measure on functions can be induced by the weak* topology on the space $\mathcal{P}(<0,1> \times \check{X})$ of all Radon probabilities on $<0,1> \times \check{X}$ (where \check{X} denotes a one point compactification of X).

Now we generalize the notion of a trajectory.

Denote by

$$\mathcal{T} = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{I} <0,1>(\alpha) = \text{Lebesgue measure} \} \text{ "generalized trajectories"}$$

$\mathcal{T}_{\text{meas}} = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{T}, \alpha \text{ is carried by a graph of some Baire function } f \in X^{<0,1>} \}$ "measurable trajectories"

$\mathcal{T}_{\text{cont}} = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{T}, \alpha \text{ is carried by a graph of some a.e. continuous function } f \in X^{<0,1>} \}$ "a.e. continuous trajectories".

Now, we "carry on" the measure $\vec{\mu} : \mathcal{B}(X^{<0,1>}) \rightarrow E$ in some very natural way to the space \mathcal{T} .

Then we will investigate the support of the resulting measure.

We will show, at the end of this note, that each measure on

$\mathcal{T}_{\text{meas}}$ can be viewed as a measure on $X^{<0,1>}$.

Our results will then be comparable to the classical ones (see e.g. [1, Th.III,3,1]). Actually, they generalize them slightly.

Definition

Denote by $P_{IA}(\alpha) = \alpha(I \times A)$

($P_{IA}(\alpha)$ says, "how often α dwells in A during I).

Suppose that each map

$$\{ t_1 \dots t_n \rightarrow \vec{\mu}(\pi_{t_1 \dots t_n}^{-1}(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n)) \} : <0,1>^n \rightarrow E$$

is Lusin measurable, whenever A_i are open in X .

Put $\vec{\mu}(P_{I_1 A_1} \dots P_{I_n A_n}) = \int_{I_1 \times \dots \times I_n} \vec{\mu}(\pi_{t_1 \dots t_n}^{-1}(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n))$.

where $\pi_{t_1 \dots t_n} : X^{<0,1>} \rightarrow X$ $\left\{ \begin{matrix} dt_1 \dots dt_n \\ t_1 \dots t_n \end{matrix} \right\}$ denotes the canonical projection.

Theorem 1. $\vec{\mu}$ extends uniquely to a vector measure

$$\vec{\mu} : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow E.$$

Example. It is not true in general, that $\vec{\mu}$ lives on $\mathcal{T}_{\text{meas}}$!
For an arbitrary Baire probability on X , put

$$\mu(\mathcal{T}_{t_1 \dots t_n}^{-1}(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n)) = \prod_{i=1}^n \nu(A_i) \quad \text{and extend this}$$

according to the Kolmogorov theorem.

The resulting probability on $X^{<0,1>}$ gives rise to a measure $\tilde{\mu}$, which is, as can be easily shown, supported by a single element of \mathcal{T} , namely by $\lambda \otimes \nu$, where λ denotes the Lebesgue measure!

Notation. Denote by

$$I_\delta = \{(t, s) \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle \mid |t-s| < \delta\}$$

$$\lambda_\delta = \frac{1}{2\delta} \lambda$$

$$I_\delta^n = \{(t_1 \dots t_n) \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle^n \mid |t_i - t_j| < \delta\}$$

$$\lambda_\delta^n = \left(\frac{1}{2\delta}\right)^{n-1} \lambda \quad .$$

Theorem 2. $\tilde{\mu}$ has the support in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{meas}} \iff$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and A open the following holds:

$$\delta \searrow 0 \implies \lambda_\delta \left\{ (t, s), \left\| \tilde{\mu}(\mathcal{T}_t^{-1}(A) \Delta \mathcal{T}_s^{-1}(A)) \right\| > \varepsilon \right\} \rightarrow 0 .$$

Example. The latter condition holds e.g. in the case, when

$$\left\| \tilde{\mu}(\mathcal{T}_s^{-1}(A) \Delta \mathcal{T}_t^{-1}(A)) \right\| \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow t} 0 \quad \text{holds for almost all } t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle .$$

This corresponds to the a.e. stochastic continuity of the process.

Theorem 3. $\tilde{\mu}$ has the support in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cont}} \iff$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and A open the following holds:

$$\delta \searrow 0 \implies \lambda_\delta^n \left\{ (t_1 \dots t_n), \left\| \mathcal{T}_{t_1}^{-1}(A) \setminus \mathcal{T}_{t_1 \dots t_n}^{-1}(A \times \dots \times A) \right\| > \varepsilon \right.$$

holds for some $i \} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly with respect

to $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note. For Markov processes, this gives rather weak results (a.e. continuity instead of the nonexistence of the discontinuities of 2. kind).

In order to prove an analogy of Th. 3 for trajectories without

discontinuities of 2. kind, we should use some more subtle Markovian arguments.

Finally, let us show that if $\tilde{\mu}$ has the support in $\mathcal{I}_{\text{meas}}$, then it can be viewed as a measure on $X^{<0,1>}$. Choose a partition of $<0,1>$ consisting of all intervals

$$I_n^i = \left\langle \frac{i}{2^n}, \frac{i+1}{2^n} \right\rangle, \quad i=0,1,\dots,2^n-1.$$

For each $t \in <0,1>$ choose $i(n)$ such that $t \in \left\langle \frac{i}{2^n}, \frac{i+1}{2^n} \right\rangle$.

Call $t \in <0,1>$ a Lebesgue point of α_f if there exists $y \in X$ such that for each A open containing y ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2^n P_{I_{i(n)}}^A(\alpha)) = 1.$$

Then it can be shown, that there exists a null set $N \subset <0,1>$ such that the following is true:

For each $t \in <0,1> \setminus N$, t is the Lebesgue point of $\tilde{\mu}$ almost all trajectories and if we fix some $x_0 \in X$ and put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(t) &= y && \text{whenever } t \text{ is a Lebesgue point of } \alpha_f, \\ \mathcal{F}(t) &= x_0 && \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

then the map

$\{\alpha_f \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}\} : \mathcal{I}_{\text{meas}} \rightarrow X^{<0,1>}$ is Baire measurable and the image of $\tilde{\mu}$ coincides with μ on $\mathcal{B}(X^{<0,1>} \setminus N)$.

References

- [1] Gihman-Skorochod: The theory of stochastic processes. Part I.