

# Toposym 1

---

Joost de Groot

Linearization of mappings

In: (ed.): General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, Proceedings of the symposium held in Prague in September 1961. Academia Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague, 1962. pp. [191]--193.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700978>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1962

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

# LINEARIZATION OF MAPPINGS

J. DE GROOT

Amsterdam

A brief discussion of two theorems in this area.

Let  $M$  be a metrizable space and  $G$  a compact topological transformation group of homeomorphisms of  $M$  onto  $M$ . It is clear when such a pair  $G, M$  is called (topologically) equivalent to a pair  $G^*, M^*$ .

**Theorem I.** *To every pair  $G, M$  there corresponds an equivalent pair  $G^*, M^*$  where  $M^*$  is embedded in some suitable real Hilbert space  $H^*$  and the action of  $G^*$  on  $M^*$  can be extended over all of  $H^*$  in such a way that  $G^*$  acts as a (compact) group of unitary homeomorphisms of  $H^*$  onto  $H^*$ .*

Briefly: the action of  $G$  on  $M$  is linearized by a group of unitary transformations in Hilbert space.

Sketch of the proof.  $M$  may be thought of as being embedded into a bounded subset of some real Hilbert space  $H$ . Introduce an orthogonal coordinate system in  $H$ , and a point  $x \in H$  will have coordinates  $(x)_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$  running through some index-set  $A$ . We define for every  $x \in H$  a map

$$\tau : x = (x)_\alpha \rightarrow x^* = (gx)_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in A, \quad g \in G,$$

where  $gx$  is the image of  $x$  under  $g$  in  $M$  and  $(gx)_\alpha$  is thought of as a functional depending on the two variables  $g$  and  $\alpha$ . Observe that  $\tau$  is one-one. We will embed the set  $\{x^*\} = M^*$  into a Hilbert space  $H^*$ . In order to define  $H^*$  we proceed as follows.

The vector space  $\mathbf{V}$  will consist of all finite linear combinations of points  $x^*$  over the real field, where addition and multiplication with a real scalar are defined in the natural way. For two such vectors  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j (gx_j)_\alpha, \quad \mathbf{w} = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j (gy_j)_\alpha$$

we define an inner product

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \int_G \sum_{\alpha} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}) dg.$$

Observe that this makes sense. Thus the vector space  $\mathbf{V}$  becomes an, in general, still incomplete Hilbert space. Its completion will be  $H^*$ . One can prove that  $\tau$  is a topological map of  $M$  onto  $M^*$ , while the action of

$$G^* = \tau G \tau^{-1} \quad \text{on} \quad M^*$$

is defined in a natural way over all of  $H^*$ . The invariance of integration shows that  $G^*$  acts in this way as a group of unitary transformations.

Two unsolved problems:

- 1° If  $G$  is locally compact, can we find a  $G^*$  of bounded linear operators?
- 2° The same question, if  $G$  is a compact semigroup of continuous mappings of  $M$  into itself.

If  $P$  is a topological product of an infinite number, say  $m$  copies of one and the same topological space  $T$ , every permutation of these  $m$  copies induces in a natural way, an autohomeomorphism of  $P$ .

In the same way every *immutation* (an immutation is defined as a map of a set — in our case of power  $m$  — into itself) defines, in the natural way, a continuous map of  $P$  into itself. If  $T$  is a vector space, such an immutation is a linear map.

A family of immutations of a given set generates a semigroup of immutations. Conversely, if some semigroup is given, we may add a unit element to the semigroup. The set of all left (or right) multiplications carried out on the elements of the latter semigroup defines a semigroup of immutations (on the set of elements of the semigroup) which is isomorphic (anti-isomorphic) to the latter semigroup. In particular a free semigroup  $F$  of power  $m$  with identity element may be represented isomorphically by the corresponding free immutation semigroup of left immutations.

In the sequel let  $P$  be a product of  $m$  segments. The free semigroup  $F$  may be represented as a set of immutations of the  $m$  segments, inducing a free semigroup of continuous maps of  $P$  into itself. We might call these maps “linear” (since we can extend the segments to real lines). This defines the pair  $F, P$ .

Take a set of free generators  $\varphi$  of  $F$ . How does such a  $\varphi$  look like as immutation, i.e. as coordinate transformation on the  $m$  coordinates  $x_\alpha$  of  $P$ ? For every such  $\varphi$  there corresponds a splitting of the  $m$  coordinate-indices  $\alpha$  into  $m$  countable sets of indices  $\beta, i$ , where  $\beta$  is an index-set of power  $m$  and  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  ad inf. The corresponding coordinate transformation induced by  $\varphi$  is given by

$$(*) \quad y_{\beta, i} = x_{\beta, i+1} \quad \text{for all pairs } \beta, i.$$

Every completely regular space  $R$  of weight  $\leq m$  admits a topological embedding into  $P$ . We might say  $P$  is a universal space regarding the family of spaces  $R$ . Now let, moreover, be given a set  $S$  of  $m$  arbitrary continuous mappings of  $R$  into itself. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $S$  is a semigroup with identity element. This defines the pair  $S, R$ .

**Theorem II.** *Any pair  $S, R$  admits a universal linearization by means of the pair  $F, P$ .*

*Explicitly: it is possible to embed  $R$  in such a way into  $P$ , that the action of  $F$  onto  $P$ , restricted to the embedded  $R$ , coincides with the action of  $S$  onto the embedded  $R$ . So, in particular the action of any  $s \in S$  on the embedded  $R$  can be extended over all of  $P$ .*

Every such an extension map is a “linear” map of type (\*).

Remarks. Analogous results hold for sets  $S$  of power different from  $m$ . The action of  $F$  on the embedded  $R$  is not effective, in general. A corresponding theorem holds for autohomeomorphism groups  $S$ . In this case  $F$  is a free group and  $i$  runs through all integers in the equations (\*).

Indication of proof. Set up a one to one correspondence

$$\varphi \leftrightarrow s \quad (s \neq e)$$

between the free generators of  $F$  and the elements ( $\neq e$ ) of  $S$ . This correspondence induces a homomorphic map  $\omega$  of  $F$  onto  $S$ .

The elements of  $F$  will be denoted by  $\psi$  and  $F$  will also serve as an index-set. We can write

$$P = \prod_{\substack{\lambda \in L \\ \psi \in F}} I_{\lambda, \psi},$$

where  $L$  is an index set of power  $m$  and every  $I_{\lambda, \psi}$  is a segment. A point  $x \in P$  has coordinates  $x_{\lambda, \psi}$

$$x = (x_{\lambda, \psi})_{\substack{\lambda \in L \\ \psi \in F}} \quad (0 \leq x_{\lambda, \psi} \leq 1).$$

For every fixed element  $\gamma \in F$  we determine a “linear” map  $\gamma$  of  $P$  into itself by the following immutation ( $x\gamma$  denotes the image of  $x$  under  $\gamma$ )

$$x\gamma = (x\gamma_{\lambda, \psi})_{\substack{\lambda \in L \\ \psi \in F}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x_{\lambda, \gamma\psi})_{\substack{\lambda \in L \\ \psi \in F}}.$$

Furthermore, one may think  $R$  to be contained topologically (this is a preliminary embedding) in the subspace of  $P$  spanned by the segments  $I_{\lambda, \varepsilon}$  ( $\lambda \in L, \varepsilon = \text{identity-index of } F$ ). So a point  $y \in R$  has coordinates

$$y = (y_{\lambda, \psi})$$

with

$$y_{\lambda, \psi} = 0 \quad \text{if } \psi \neq \varepsilon, \quad \psi \in F.$$

The final embedding  $R^* = \{y^*\}$  of  $R$  is determined by a map  $\tau$  of  $R$  into  $P$ :

$$(1) \quad \tau: y \rightarrow y^* = (y^*_{\lambda, \psi})_{\substack{\lambda \in L \\ \psi \in F}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (y \omega(\psi))_{\substack{\lambda \in L \\ \psi \in F}},$$

where  $y \omega(\psi)$  is the image of  $y$  under  $s = \omega(\psi)$ , so a point of  $R$  in its first embedding.

One can show that  $\tau$  is a homeomorphism, while moreover the action of an element  $\gamma \in F$  on  $R^*$  coincides with the action of  $\omega(\gamma)$  on  $R$ . Moreover, it appears that the requirements of theorem II are fulfilled.

**Some other results:**

*J. de Groot:* Every continuous mapping is linear. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1959), 754.

*A. H. Copeland Jr. and J. de Groot:* Linearization of a homeomorphism, Math. Ann. 144 (1961), 80–92.