

Toposym 1

V. Boltjanski

On imbeddings of polyhedra into Euclidean spaces

In: (ed.): General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, Proceedings of the symposium held in Prague in September 1961. Academia Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague, 1962. pp. [112]--114.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700907>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1962

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON IMBEDDINGS OF POLYHEDRA INTO EUCLIDEAN SPACES

V. BOLTJANSKI

Moscow

Let X be a topological space and E an Euclidean space. A continuous mapping $f : X \rightarrow E$ is said to be k -regular, if for all $(k + 1)$ -tuples of distinct points x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k of X the points $f(x_0), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_k)$ are vertices of a k -dimensional simplex in E . For example, the map $f : X \rightarrow E$ is 1-regular, iff it is 1-1; the map f is 2-regular iff the points $f(x_0), f(x_1), f(x_2)$ do not lie on a single straight line for distinct points $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in X$. This definition of a k -regular map was given by K. BORSUK.

Let us denote by $F^n(X)$ the set of all continuous maps $X \rightarrow E^n$, where E^n is an n -dimensional Euclidean space. Denote by $R_k^n(X)$ the set of all k -regular maps $X \rightarrow E^n$.

In connection with the definitions just given the following two problems arise:

Problem 1. (Borsuk). What is the smallest integer n such that the set $R_k^n(X)$ is non-void for all compact metric spaces X of dimension $\leq p$? This smallest integer will be denoted by $n(p, k)$.

Problem 2. What is the smallest integer n such that the set $R_k^n(X)$ is dense in the metric space $F^n(X)$ for all compact metric spaces X of dimension $\leq p$. This smallest integer will be denoted by $n'(p, k)$.

It is clear that

$$n(p, k) \leq n'(p, k) \text{ for all } p \text{ and } k.$$

The Nöbeling-Pontrjagin imbedding theorem states that $n'(p, 1) \leq 2p + 1$. Furthermore, the example of van KAMPEN (which is a p -dimensional skeleton of a $(2p + 2)$ -dimensional simplex) shows that $n(p, 1) \geq 2p + 1$. Consequently we have the inequalities

$$2p + 1 \leq n(p, 1) \leq n'(p, 1) \leq 2p + 1$$

and it follows that $n(p, 1) = n'(p, 1) = 2p + 1$. Thus, if $k = 1$ Problem 1 coincides with Problem 2.

It is easy to prove that $n(p, 2) = 2p + 2$. To show this, let X be a p -dimensional compact metric space. Then by the Pontrjagin-Nöbeling theorem, there exists an imbedding map $X \rightarrow S^{2p+1}$, where S^{2p+1} is the unit sphere in E^{2p+2} . The composition map $X \rightarrow S^{2p+1} \rightarrow E^{2p+2}$ is obviously 2-regular (since no three points of a unit sphere can lie on a straight line). Thus we have the equalities $n(p, 1) = 2p + 1$ and $n(p, 2) =$

$= 2p + 2$. These equalities led Borsuk to the conjecture that $n(p, k) = 2p + k$. We shall show immediately that this conjecture is wrong.

We will now state four theorems.

Theorem 1. *The number $n'(p, k)$ is equal to $pk + p + k$.*

The proof is rather complicated; it is given in [1] and uses a generalisation of the notion of intersection number. This generalisation is interesting in its own right.

In virtue of the inequality $n(p, k) \leq n'(p, k)$, theorem 1 gives us an upper estimate for the number $n(p, k)$:

$$n(p, k) \leq pk + p + k .$$

We will next consider estimates from below for the number $n(p, k)$. In [2], the two following theorems are proved:

Theorem 2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow E^q$ be a k -regular map of the p -dimensional polyhedron X into E^q ; then*

$$q \geq \left[\frac{k + 1}{2} \right] p + \left[\frac{k}{2} \right] .$$

This theorem holds for an arbitrary polyhedron X . But if we take a sufficiently complicated polyhedron (namely, if X is the p -dimensional skeleton of a cube or simplex of large dimension), then we obtain a stronger estimate as given in the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *We have:*

$$n(p, k) \geq \begin{cases} pk + p + q \left[\frac{k}{4} \right] & \text{if } k \text{ is odd ,} \\ pk + q \left[\frac{k}{4} \right] & \text{if } k \text{ is even .} \end{cases}$$

In particular we have $n(p, 3) \geq 3p$, which shows that Borsuk's conjecture is wrong. More precisely, Borsuk conjectured that the number k appears in $n(p, k)$ as a summand, but in fact k appears in the estimate of $n(p, k)$ from below as a multiplicative factor: $n(p, k) \geq pk$.

In [2], we obtained an interesting application of the above theorems to the constructive theory of functions. In order to formulate this application we shall introduce some definitions.

Let X be a compact metric space and $C(X)$ the space of all real-valued continuous functions on X with the usual norm

$$\|f\| = \max_{x \in X} |f(x)| .$$

Furthermore, suppose that

$$f_0(x) \equiv 1 , \quad f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)$$

are linearly independent elements in the Banach space $C(X)$. Let us denote by L_m the linear subspace of $C(X)$ generated by the elements f_0, f_1, \dots, f_m . In the constructive theory of functions, the following problem of Chebyshev plays an important rôle:

For a given function $\varphi \in C(X)$, find the polynomial of best approximation for the system (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_m) ; that is, find an element $p^* \in L_m$ such that

$$\|\varphi - p^*\| = \min_{p \in L_m} \|\varphi - p\|.$$

A solution of Chebyshev's problem always exists, but in general it is not unique. The set $V(\varphi)$ of all polynomials giving the best approximation is a convex set in L_m , which is called the polyhedron of best approximation. The number

$$\max_{\varphi \in C(X)} \dim V(\varphi)$$

is called the Chebyshev rank of the system (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_m) .

Theorem 4. *Let X be a p -dimensional polyhedron and m a positive integer. Then the Chebyshev rank of any system $(f_0 \equiv 1, f_1, \dots, f_m)$ is not less than $\frac{p-1}{p+1}m - \frac{q}{p+1}$. Furthermore, there exists a system $f_0 \equiv 1, f_1, \dots, f_m$ on X such that its*

Chebyshev rank is not more than $\frac{p}{p+1}m + \frac{2p+1}{p+1}$.

In particular, if $\dim X \geq q$, then for $m \rightarrow \infty$ the Chebyshev rank of systems $(f_0 \equiv 1, f_1, \dots, f_m)$ increases at least as quickly the linear function $\lambda m + \mu$, where $\lambda = \frac{p-1}{p+1} > 0$; thus the Chebyshev rank tends to infinity. In other words, only on one-dimensional polyhedra can there be a system $(f_0 \equiv 1, f_1, \dots, f_m)$ of bounded Chebyshev rank and arbitrary length m .

References

- [1] В. Г. Болтянский: Отображения комплексов в евклидовы пространства. Известия Ак. наук СССР, сер. матем., 23 (1959), 871—892.
- [2] В. Г. Болтянский, С. С. Рышков, Ю. А. Шапкин: О k -регулярных вложениях и их применении к теории приближения функций. Успехи матем. наук, 15, No 6 (1960), 125—132.