

# Toposym 3

---

Petr Kratochvíl

On a convergence property of set algebras

In: Josef Novák (ed.): General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, Proceedings of the Third Prague Topological Symposium, 1971. Academia Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1972. pp. 253--255.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700762>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ON A CONVERGENCE PROPERTY OF SET ALGEBRAS

P. KRATOCHVÍL

Praha

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $2^X$  the algebra of all subsets of the set  $X$  and  $\lambda$  the convergence closure operator on  $2^X$ . We recall its definition. For each  $\mathfrak{M} \subset 2^X$ ,

$\lambda\mathfrak{M} = \{A; A \in 2^X \text{ and there is a sequence of sets } A_n \in \mathfrak{M} \text{ such that}$

$$A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n\}.$$

A power of  $\lambda$  is defined by the transfinite induction:  $\lambda^0\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\lambda^\alpha\mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \lambda(\lambda^\beta\mathfrak{M})$  for an ordinal  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\mathfrak{M} \subset 2^X$ .

Let a set algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{A} \subset 2^X$ , be given. It has been noticed (see [2]) that  $\lambda^\alpha\mathfrak{A}$  is also a set algebra for an arbitrary ordinal  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda^{\omega_1}\mathfrak{A}$  ( $\omega_1 =$  the first uncountable ordinal) is equal to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathfrak{A}$ . An easy completion of the well-known statement (see [1], Chap. 1, Exercise 13) claims:

(1) The image  $P[\lambda^{\omega_1}\mathfrak{A}] = \{PA; A \in \lambda^{\omega_1}\mathfrak{A}\}$  is a closed subset of the real line for each probability measure  $P$ .

J. Novák has raised the problem to find the least ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $P[\lambda^\alpha\mathfrak{A}]$  is always closed. The answer is given by

**Theorem.** *The number  $\alpha = 2$  is the least ordinal such that  $P[\lambda^\alpha\mathfrak{A}]$  is closed.*

*Proof.* 1)  $P[\lambda^2\mathfrak{A}]$  is closed. Let a real number  $a$  belong to the closure of  $P[\lambda^2\mathfrak{A}]$ . From (1) it follows that there is  $B \in \lambda^{\omega_1}\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $PB = a$ . The definition of the outer measure implies the existence of sets  $B_{ni} \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $a \leq P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{ni}) \leq a + 1/n$  and  $B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{ni} \in \lambda\mathfrak{A}$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence

$$a = P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{ni}) \in P[\lambda^2\mathfrak{A}].$$

2) The image  $P[\lambda\mathfrak{A}]$  need not be closed as the following example shows.

Let  $R$  denote the real line,  $\mathfrak{R}$  the algebra generated by semiclosed intervals of the form  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ,  $a, b \in R$ .

**Lemma.** *If  $A_n \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$ , then there is a set  $Y$ ,  $\emptyset \neq Y \in \mathfrak{R}$  such that  $Y \subset A$  or  $Y \cap A = \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** We denote  $B_i = R + A_i + A_{i+1}$ ,  $C_i = \bigcap_{n=i}^{\infty} B_n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $+$  denotes the symmetric difference. Evidently  $B_i \in \mathfrak{R}$  and

$$(2) \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = R.$$

Now two cases are possible:

1) There is a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $C_{n_0} = R$ . Then  $B_{n_0} = B_{n_0+1} = \dots = R$  and  $A_{n_0} = A_{n_0+1} = \dots = A$ . At least one of the sets  $Y = A_{n_0}$  or  $Y = R - A_{n_0}$  possesses the declared property.

2) All the sets  $C_n \neq R$ . Then there is  $B_k \neq R$ . We choose a compact non-degenerate interval  $T_1 \subset R - B_k$ . Suppose that compact non-degenerate intervals  $T_1 \supset T_2 \supset \dots \supset T_n$  have been constructed in such a way that  $T_i \cap C_i = \emptyset$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Denote  $T_n^* = T_n - \{r\}$ , where  $r$  is the right end point of  $T_n$ . Now two cases are possible:

a)  $T_n^* \subset C_{n+1}$ . Then  $A_{n+1} \cap T_n^* = A_{n+2} \cap T_n^* = \dots = A \cap T_n^*$  (otherwise there would be  $k > n$  and a point  $x \in (A_k + A_{k+1}) \cap T_n^* \subset (R - B_k) \cap C_{n+1} = \emptyset$ ). Hence the set  $Y$  from the Lemma can be found by using a nonempty measurable subset of  $T_n^* \cap A$  or  $T_n^* - A$ .

b)  $T_n^* \not\subset C_{n+1}$ . Then there is a point  $x \in T_n^*$ ,  $x \notin C_{n+1}$ , i.e. there is  $j \geq n+1$  such that  $x \notin B_j$ . We pick out a non-degenerate compact interval  $T_{n+1} \subset T_n^* - B_j$ .

In the case a) we have the set  $Y$  as desired in the Lemma. If the case a) does not occur, then we have a non-increasing sequence of non-degenerate compact intervals  $T_n$ .

The intersection of it is disjoint with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$  and nonempty. We get a contradiction with (2).

**Example.** Let  $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots\}$  be the set of all rational numbers,  $s_n = q_n + \sqrt{2}$ ,  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$ . A probability  $P$  on  $\lambda^2 \mathfrak{R}$  is defined by the relations  $P(\{q_n\}) = 2/3^{2n-1}$ ,  $P(\{s_n\}) = 2/3^{2n}$ ,  $P(R - Q - S) = 0$ . It is easy to see that sets  $A_n \in \mathfrak{R}$  can be chosen in such a way that  $q_i \in A_n$  and  $s_i \notin A_n$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Then  $(3/4)(1 - 1/9^n) = \sum_{i=1}^n Pq_i \leq PA_n \leq 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n Ps_i = 3/4 + 1/(4 \cdot 9^n)$  and hence

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} PA_n = 3/4$ . Now, let  $A$  be any set of  $\lambda^2 \mathfrak{R}$  such that  $PA = 3/4$ . Then the uniqueness of the ternary expansion  $3/4 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2/3^{2i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Pq_i = P(Q)$  implies  $Q \subset A$

and  $A \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then  $A \notin \lambda \mathfrak{R}$  as follows from the Lemma and from the fact that  $Q$  and  $S$  are dense subsets of  $R$ . It follows that  $3/4$  is a point of the closure of the  $P$ -image of  $\mathfrak{R}$  but there is no element  $A \in \lambda \mathfrak{R}$  such that  $PA = 3/4$ .

Remark. Part 1) of the proof of the Theorem can be proved without using an outer measure. The outer measure can be replaced by Marczewski's characteristic function of a sequence of sets (see [3]). Problems and importance of elimination of the notion of an outer measure from measure theory are treated in [2].

#### References

- [1] *M. Loève*: Probability theory. 2nd edition, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.
- [2] *J. Novák*: Über die eindeutigen stetigen Erweiterungen stetiger Funktionen. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 8 (1958), 344–355.
- [3] *E. Szpilrajn*: The characteristic function of a sequence of sets and some of its applications. *Fund. Math.* 31 (1938), 207–223.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE CZECHOSLOVAK ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,  
PRAHA