

Toposym 4-B

S. Gähler

On generalized vector topologies

In: Josef Novák (ed.): General topology and its relations to modern analysis and algebra IV, Proceedings of the fourth Prague topological symposium, 1976, Part B: Contributed Papers. Society of Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicist, Praha, 1977. pp. 132--135.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700651>

Terms of use:

© Society of Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicist, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON GENERALIZED VECTOR TOPOLOGIES

S. GÄHLER

Berlin

The present paper deals with certain classes of generalized vector topologies which in the investigations on general extremality theory in [2] are of importance. For this, let R be a linear space and \mathcal{W}_1 the set of all (T_1) -vector topologies on R . Let \mathcal{W}_2 denote the set of all translation invariant T_1 -topologies on R , each of which has an open base B at O consisting of equilibrated and absorbing sets such that $\alpha U \in B$ for every $\alpha > 0$ and every $U \in B$. Moreover, let \mathcal{W}_3 denote the set of all translation invariant T_1 -topologies on R , each of which has an open base B at O consisting of algebraically open sets such that $\alpha U \in B$ for every $\alpha > 0$ and every $U \in B$.

Evidently, $\mathcal{W}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{W}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{W}_3$. The equality signs hold if and only if $\dim R \leq 1$ (see [1], Theorem 13, and [2], Theorem 5). In what follows, we give examples of topologies of $\mathcal{W}_2 \setminus \mathcal{W}_1$ and of $\mathcal{W}_3 \setminus \mathcal{W}_2$. Let be $\dim R = 2$ and $\{e_1, e_2\}$ a base of R . By B we denote the set of all sets

$$U_{\xi_1, \xi_2} = \left\{ p = \sum_{i=1}^2 \pi_i e_i \mid |\pi_i| < \xi_1 \text{ and } (\pi_1, \pi_2) \notin \{0\} \times ((-\infty, -\xi_2] \cup [\xi_2, \infty)) \right\},$$

where ξ_1, ξ_2 are positive real numbers, respectively the set of all algebraically open sets

$$U_{\xi, p_1, p_2, \dots} = \left\{ p = \sum_{i=1}^2 \pi_i e_i \mid \sum_{i=1}^2 \pi_i^2 < \xi; p \neq p_i, i = 1, 2, \dots \right\},$$

where ξ is a positive real number and p_1, p_2, \dots a suitable sequence of points $\neq 0$ converging relative to the natural topology of R to O . In both cases there exists a unique translation invariant topology T on R with B as open base at O . In the first case we have $T \in \mathcal{W}_2 \setminus \mathcal{W}_1$ and in the second case we have $T \in \mathcal{W}_3 \setminus \mathcal{W}_2$.

As is well known, a topology $T \in \mathcal{W}_2$ belongs to \mathcal{W}_1 if and only if for every $U \in B$ there exists a $V \in B$ with $V + V \subseteq U$. A topology $T \in \mathcal{W}_3$ belongs to \mathcal{W}_2 if and only if there exists an open base B at O consisting of equilibrated sets.

The topologies of \mathcal{W}_2 and \mathcal{W}_3 may be characterized by continu-

ity properties of the vector addition and the scalar multiplication in an analogous way as it is well known for vector topologies.

Theorem 1. A T_1 -topology T on R belongs to \mathcal{W}_2 if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

i. For every $q \in R$ the mapping $p \rightarrow p + q$ of R into R is continuous on R .

ii. The mapping $(\alpha, p) \rightarrow \alpha p$ of $R \times R$ into R is continuous at every point $(\alpha, 0)$ and at every point $(0, p)$.

A T_1 -topology T on R belongs to \mathcal{W}_3 if and only if the condition i and the following condition are satisfied:

ii'. For every $\alpha > 0$ the mapping $p \rightarrow \alpha p$ of R into R is continuous at $p = 0$; for every $p \in R$ the mapping $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha p$ of R into R is continuous at $\alpha = 0$.

Proof. Concerning the characterization of the topologies of \mathcal{W}_2 , we refer to [1], Theorem 7. Now we show that for every T_1 -topology T on R to belong to \mathcal{W}_3 the conditions i and ii' are necessary and sufficient. At first, let be $T \in \mathcal{W}_3$. From the translation invariance of T , for arbitrary $q \in R$ we get the continuity of the mapping $p \rightarrow p + q$ of R into R on R . Since for every $\alpha > 0$ and every neighbourhood U of 0 the set $\frac{1}{\alpha}U$ also is a neighbourhood of 0 , the continuity of the mapping $p \rightarrow \alpha p$ of R into R at $p = 0$ is true. The open sets being algebraically open, for every $p \in R$ the mapping $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha p$ of R into R is continuous at $\alpha = 0$. Therefore the conditions i and ii' are satisfied. Conversely, now let T be an arbitrary T_1 -topology fulfilling i and ii'. Using condition i, easily we get the translation invariance of T . Let B denote the set of all open neighbourhoods of 0 . By the second statement of condition ii' and the translation invariance of T , the sets of B turn out to be algebraically open. From the first statement of condition ii' and the translation invariance of T , it follows $\alpha U \in B$ for every $\alpha > 0$ and $U \in B$. Thus, we have $T \in \mathcal{W}_3$ and the proof of the Theorem is complete.

Theorem 2. A topology $T \in \mathcal{W}_2$ belongs to \mathcal{W}_1 if and only if the mapping $(p, q) \rightarrow p + q$ of $R \times R$ into R is continuous at $(0, 0)$. A topology $T \in \mathcal{W}_3$ belongs to \mathcal{W}_2 if and only if the mapping $(\alpha, p) \rightarrow \alpha p$ of $R \times R$ into R is continuous at $(0, 0)$.

Proof. The first statement of the Theorem is evident. By Theorem 1, also it is obvious that for $T \in \mathcal{W}_3$ to belong to \mathcal{W}_2 the

continuity property of the second statement of the Theorem is necessary. We now prove the sufficiency. Thus, we assume that for a given $T \in \mathcal{A}_3$ the continuity property is fulfilled. For any neighbourhood U of 0 there exist a $\beta > 0$ and a neighbourhood V of 0 with $(-\beta, \beta)V \subseteq U$. From this, for any $\alpha > 0$ we get $(0, 2\alpha)(\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}V) \subseteq U$ and hence we have the continuity of the mapping $(\alpha, p) \rightarrow \alpha p$ of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ into \mathbb{R} at the point $(\alpha, 0)$. For any $p \in \mathbb{R}$ let γ be a real number > 0 with $\gamma p \in V$. From $(-\beta, \beta)V \subseteq U$, we get $(-\beta\gamma, \beta\gamma)(\frac{1}{\gamma}(V - \gamma p) + p) \subseteq U$ and hence we have the continuity of the mapping $(\alpha, p) \rightarrow \alpha p$ of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ into \mathbb{R} at $(0, p)$. By Theorem 1, $T \in \mathcal{A}_2$. Thus, the Theorem is true.

For any non-empty set \mathcal{M} of topologies on \mathbb{R} , by $T^{\mathcal{M}}$ we denote the coarsest topology on \mathbb{R} which is finer than all topologies of \mathcal{M} . As is well known, $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{A}_1$ yields $T^{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Analogous statements with regard to \mathcal{A}_2 and \mathcal{A}_3 also are true, that is, we have

Theorem 3. For $\mathcal{M}(\neq \emptyset) \in \mathcal{A}_i$ ($i = 2, 3$), $T^{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{A}_i$.

Proof. [1], Theorem 11, and [2], Theorem 4.

As for $i = 1$, because of Theorem 3, for $i = 2, 3$ in \mathcal{A}_i there exists a finest topology $T^{\mathcal{A}_i}$. Concerning a characterization of $T^{\mathcal{A}_1}$, we refer to [3], 6.C. By [1], Theorem 12, and [2], Theorem 5, we get the following

Theorem 4. $T^{\mathcal{A}_1}$ is the topology on \mathbb{R} which has as an open base at 0 the set of all subsets U of \mathbb{R} such that for every finite-dimensional subspace R' of \mathbb{R} relative to the natural topology of R' the set $U \cap R'$ is an equilibrated open neighbourhood of 0 . $T^{\mathcal{A}_3}$ consists of all algebraically open sets of \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 5. $T^{\mathcal{A}_2}$ belongs to \mathcal{A}_1 if and only if $\dim \mathbb{R}$ is finite. $T^{\mathcal{A}_3}$ belongs to \mathcal{A}_2 (and hence to \mathcal{A}_1) if and only if $\dim \mathbb{R} \leq 1$.

Proof. [1], Theorem 12, and [2], Theorem 5.

With regard to the partial ordering \leq given by $T \leq T' \Leftrightarrow T \subseteq T'$, the topologies $T^{\mathcal{A}_2}$ and $T^{\mathcal{A}_3}$ are the maximal elements of \mathcal{A}_2 and \mathcal{A}_3 , respectively. As to minimal elements of \mathcal{A}_2 and of \mathcal{A}_3 , we have the following

Theorem 6. Let be $\dim \mathbb{R} \geq 2$. Then the minimal elements of \mathcal{A}_2

and of \mathcal{Q}_3 do not belong to \mathcal{Q}_1 .

Proof. The statement is an immediate consequence of [1], Theorem 13.

In what follows, we restrict ourselves to the case in which R has a finite dimension $n \geq 2$. Let be $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ a base of R and μ the euclidean norm on R with respect to this base. Moreover, let be $K = \{p \in R; \mu(p) < 1\}$ and $\partial K = \{p \in R; \mu(p) = 1\}$. For any $p \in \partial K$ we denote by R_p the $(n-1)$ -dimensional linear subspace of R consisting of all points of R orthogonally to p and by π_p the orthogonal projection of R onto R_p . Let T' be the natural topology of R .

Theorem 7. For any $T \in \mathcal{Q}_2$, $T \leq T'$. For $T \in \mathcal{Q}_3$, $T' \leq T$ if and only if for every point $p \in \partial K$ there exist an equilibrated T -open set U with $0 \in U \cap R_p \subseteq K$, a point $q \in U$ with $\mu(\pi_p(q)) > 1$, and an equilibrated T -open set V with $0 \in V \subseteq U \cap (U + q)$.

Proof. Concerning the first statement of Theorem 7, we refer to [1], Theorem 9. In [1], Corollary to Theorem 9, the second statement is proved in the special case $T \in \mathcal{Q}_2$. The proof in the case $T \in \mathcal{Q}_3$ is obtained from this by some slight modifications.

Corollary. For $T \in \mathcal{Q}_2$, $T = T'$ if and only if for every point $p \in \partial K$ there exist a T -open set U with $0 \in U \cap R_p \subseteq K$ and a point $q \in U$ with $\mu(\pi_p(q)) > 1$.

References

- [1] S. Gähler, m -Verfeinerungen von Topologien und verallgemeinerte topologische Vektorräume, Math. Nachr. 73, 225 - 241 (1976).
- [2] ———, Eine Verallgemeinerung des Satzes von Dubovickij-Miljutin, Math. Nachr. (in print).
- [3] J. L. Kelley and I. Namioka, Linear topological spaces, Princeton 1961.

Zentralinstitut für Mathematik und Mechanik
der Akademie der Wissenschaften der DDR
DDR - 108 Berlin
Mohrenstr. 39