Mařík, Jan: Scholarly works

Jan Mařík
Norms and derivatives

Jan Mařík, Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824.

NORMS AND DERIVATIVES

1. Introduction

The main purpose of this note is to investigate the equation

$$(*) \qquad\qquad f^2 + g^2 = h^2$$

where f, g, and h are derivatives. In particular, we shall look for conditions under which $(f^2 + g^2)^{1/2}$, the Euclidean norm of the pair (f, g), is again a derivative. It turns out that the corresponding results hold also for some other norms. In Theorems 3.7, 3.8, and 6.3 we investigate n-tuples of derivatives, where n is any integer greater than 1.

Setting $f(x) = \sin\frac{1}{x}$, $g(x) = \cos\frac{1}{x}$ for $x \neq 0$ and $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ we have derivatives for which $f^2 + g^2$ is not the square of any derivative. On the other hand we would like to find nontrivial examples of triples (f, g, h) of derivatives fulfilling $(*)$; this can be done with the help of Proposition 3.6 and Theorems 3.7 and 3.8. Theorem 6.2 gives information about g and h under some assumptions about f provided that $(*)$ holds. Theorems 6.3, 6.8, and 6.9 point in the opposite direction; if f and g fulfill certain conditions, there is a derivative h for which $(*)$ holds. Examples 2 and 3 indicate that it would not be easy to weaken the assumptions in Theorems 6.8 and 6.9.

2. Notation

The word function means a mapping to the real line $\mathbb{R}$. By C, L, C_{ap}, D we understand the systems of all continuous functions, Lebesgue functions, approximately continuous functions and derivatives on the interval $I = [0, 1]$, respectively. Symbols like $\int_a^b f$, $\int_Q f$ denote the corresponding Lebesgue integrals. If Y is any system of functions, then bY $[Y^+]$ stands for the system of all elements of Y that are bounded [nonnegative].

Throughout this paper, p is an element of $(1, \infty)$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Further we set $S_p = \{f \in D; \ |f|^p \in D\}$,

$$T_p = \{f \in D; \ \limsup \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y |f|^p < \infty \ (y \to x, y \in I) \ \text{for each} \ x \in I\}.$$

It is well-known that $bC_{ap} = bL$, $L \subset D \cap C_{ap}$ and that a function f integrable on I is in D if and only if $\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f \to f(x) \ (y \to x, y \in I)$ for each $x \in I$.

For any system $Y \subset D$ let $M(Y) = \{g \in D; \ fg \in D \ \text{for each} \ f \in Y\}$.

3. Elementary and Known Results

Lemma 3.1 . *Let $f, g \in C_{ap}$, $|g| \leqq f \in D$. Then $g \in L$.*(See [M1], 1.8.)

Lemma 3.2 *L is a vector space. If $f \in L$, then $|f| \in L$.* (This is well-known.)

Proposition 3.3 *S_p is a vector space. If $f \in S_p$, then f, $|f|^p \in L$.*

PROOF. Let $f \in S_p$. It follows from Lemma 4.4 in [MW] that f, $|f|^p \in L$. If also $g \in S_p$, then $f + g \in L$ and $|f + g|^p \leqq 2^p(|f|^p + |g|^p) \in L$. By Lemma 3.1 we have $|f + g|^p \in L$ so that $f + g \in S_p$.

Proposition 3.4 *Let $q \in (1, \infty)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Let $f \in S_p$, $g \in T_q$. Then $fg \in D$.*

PROOF. Let $x \in I$. By Proposition 3.3 we have $f - f(x) \in S_p$ whence $\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y |f - f(x)|^p \to 0$. Thus $|\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y (f - f(x))g| \leqq (\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y |f - f(x)|^p)^{1/p} \cdot (\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y |g|^q)^{1/q} \to 0 \ (y \to x, y \in I)$. Hence $\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y fg = \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y (f - f(x))g + f(x)\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y g \to f(x)g(x) \ (y \to x, y \in I)$.

Definition 1 *Let $Y \subset D$. We say that Y has property V_p if $(|\alpha|^p + |\beta|^p)^{1/p} \in Y$, whenever $\alpha, \beta \in Y$.*

Proposition 3.5 *Let Y have property V_p. Let $n \in \{2, 3, \ldots\}$ and let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in Y$. Then $(\sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j|^p)^{1/p} \in Y$.*

(The proof is left to the reader).

Proposition 3.6 *Let $Y \in \{C, bC_{ap}, S_p, L\}$. Then Y has property V_p.*

PROOF. It is obvious that C and bC_{ap} have property V_p. Now let $\alpha, \beta \in L$, $\gamma = (|\alpha|^p + |\beta|^p)^{1/p}$. Then $\gamma \in C_{ap}$, $|\gamma| \leq |\alpha| + |\beta|$ so that, by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.1, $\gamma \in L$. If $\alpha, \beta \in S_p$, then, by Proposition 3.3, $\gamma^p \in L$ whence $\gamma \in S_p$.

Theorem 3.7 *Let Y have property V_p. Let $n \in \{2, 3, \ldots\}$, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in Y$, $\psi \in M(Y)$. Set $f_j = \psi \alpha_j$ $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$, $h = \psi \cdot (\sum |\alpha_j|^p)^{1/p}$. Then $f_j, h \in D$ and $\sum |f_j|^p = |h|^p$.*

(The proof is left to the reader).

Remark 1 *The systems $M(C)$ and $M(bC_{ap})$ have been characterized in [M3] (Theorems 7 and 12). According to 4.2 in [M1] we have $M(L) = bD$. Proposition 3.4 says that $T_q \subset M(S_p)$; it will be proved elsewhere that $M(S_p) = T_q$.*

Remark 2 *The next theorem shows that if $Y = S_p$, then the assumption $\alpha_j \in Y$ can be replaced by the weaker assumption that $\alpha_j \in D$ and $\sum |\alpha_j|^p \in D$.*

Theorem 3.8 *Let $n \in \{2, 3, \ldots\}$. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in D$, $\sum |\alpha_j|^p \in D$. Let $\psi \in T_q$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Set $f_j = \psi \alpha_j$ $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$, $h = \psi \cdot (\sum |\alpha_j|^p)^{1/p}$. Then $f_j, h \in D$ and $\sum |f_j|^p = |h|^p$.*

PROOF. Choose an $s \in (1, p)$. Then $\sum |a_j|^p \in Q_s$, where Q_s is as in Section 5.4 of [MW] (we take $r = q_1 = 1$ there), so that we can apply Theorem 5.5 of [MW] (where we take $p_i = p$ and s instead of p) and we get $\alpha_j \in L$. By Lemma 3.1 we have $|\alpha_j|^p \in L$ so that $\alpha_j \in S_p$ $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$. Now we apply Proposition 3.4, Proposition 3.6, and Theorem 3.7.

4. Conventions

For any (Lebesgue) measurable set $Q \subset \mathbb{R}$ let $|Q|$ be its measure. If Q is such a set and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, we write $d(Q, b) = \lim |Q \cap (b - x, b + x)|/2x$ $(x \to 0+)$, provided that this limit exists.

Throughout the rest of this note we write $M = M(bC_{ap})$ $(= M(bL))$.

For $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^2$ let $v \cdot w$ be their scalar product.

If $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then $\|z\|_p$ means $(|x|^p + |y|^p)^{1/p}$.

In Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 6.1 we suppose that $\| \cdot \|$ is a norm in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and that θ is a mapping of $\mathbb{R}^2$ to $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the following properties:

(i) For each $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we have $|y| \leqq \|(x, y)\| = \|(x, -y)\|$.

(ii) If $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $x \neq 0$ and $\theta(z) = (A, B)$, then $A \neq 0$ and $|B| \cdot \|z\| < z \cdot \theta(z)$.

(iii) If $v, z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\|v\| = \|z\|$, then $v \cdot \theta(z) \leqq z \cdot \theta(z)$.

5. Preliminary Results

Proposition 5.1 *For each $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ set $\|z\| = \|z\|_p$ and set $\theta(z) = (|x|^{p-1} sgn\, x, |y|^{p-1} sgn\, y)$. Then the conditions (i)-(iii) are fulfilled.*

PROOF. (i) is obvious. Let $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $x \neq 0$, $\theta(z) = (A, B)$. Clearly $A \neq 0$, $z \cdot \theta(z) = \|z\|^p = \|z\| \cdot \|z\|^{p-1}$ and $\|z\|^{p-1} = (|x|^p + |y|^p)^{(p-1)/p} > |y|^{p-1} = |B|$. Now let $v, z \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\|v\| = \|z\|$. Define q by $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then $p = q(p-1) = 1 + p/q$, $v \cdot \theta(z) \leq \|v\| \cdot \|\theta(z)\|_q = \|z\| \cdot (|x|^{(p-1)q} + |y|^{(p-1)q})^{1/q} = \|z\| \cdot (|x|^p + |y|^p)^{1/q} = \|z\|^{1+p/q} = \|z\|^p = z \cdot \theta(z)$.

Lemma 5.2 *Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$. Set $K = z \cdot \theta(z)/\|z\|$. Then $v \cdot \theta(z) \leq K\|v\|$ for each $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$.*

PROOF. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$. Set $w = v\|z\|/\|v\|$. Then $\|w\| = \|z\|$ so that, by (iii), $v \cdot \theta(z) = w \cdot \theta(z)\|v\|/\|z\| \leq z \cdot \theta(z)\|v\|/\|z\| = K\|v\|$.

6. Main Results

Proposition 6.1 *Let $f \in M$, $g \in D \setminus M$, $f > 0$. Suppose the conditions (i) - (iii) are fulfilled. Then $\|(f, g)\| \notin D$.*

PROOF. Set $h = \|(f, g)\|$ and suppose that $h \in D$. Then all the functions f, g, h are Lebesgue integrable. By Theorem 12 in [M3] there is a $b \in I$ and a measurable set $Q \subset I$ such that $d(Q, b) = 0$ and that the relation $\frac{1}{x} \int_{Q \cap (b-x, b+x)} g \to 0$ $(x \to 0+)$ fails. We may suppose that $b = 0$ and that $\limsup \frac{1}{x} \int_{Q \cap (0,x)} g$ $(x \to 0+)$ is positive; call it u. Applying again Theorem 12 in [M3] we get

$$(1) \qquad \frac{1}{x} \int_{Q \cap (0,x)} f \to 0 \ (x \to 0+).$$

Set $z = (f(0), g(0))$, $\theta(z) = (A, B)$, $K = z \cdot \theta(z)/\|z\|$. By Lemma 5.2 we have

$$(2) \qquad Af + Bg \leq Kh.$$

Hence

$$(3) \qquad A \int_Z f + B \int_Z g \leq K \int_Z h$$

for each measurable set $Z \subset I$. There are $x_1, x_2, \ldots \in (0, 1)$ such that $x_n \to 0$ and that, setting $Q_n = Q \cap (0, x_n)$ and $u_n = \frac{1}{x_n} \int_{Q_n} g$, we have $u_n \to u$ and

$u_n > 0$ for each n. Define t_n by

(4)
$$A \int_{Q_n} f + B \int_{Q_n} g = t_n \int_{Q_n} h.$$

Since $g \leq h$, we have $|t_n| \int_{Q_n} g \leq |t_n| \int_{Q_n} h \leq |A| \int_{Q_n} f + |B| \int_{Q_n} g$, $|t_n| u_n \leq |A| \frac{1}{x_n} \int_{Q_n} f + |B| u_n$; by (1) we get $\limsup |t_n| \leq |B|$. Hence we may suppose that the sequence $\langle t_n \rangle$ converges to some t. Then $t \leq |B|$. By (ii) we have $|B| < K$ so that $t < K$. There is an m such that $t_n < K$ for each $n > m$. From (4) and (3) with $Z = (0, x_n) \setminus Q_n$ we get $A \int_0^{x_n} f + B \int_0^{x_n} g \leq K \int_0^{x_n} h - (K - t_n) \int_{Q_n} h$ whence $K \int_0^{x_n} h \geq A \int_0^{x_n} f + B \int_0^{x_n} g + (K - t_n) \int_{Q_n} g$ $(n > m)$. We see that $u < \infty$. Since $z \cdot \theta(z) = K\|z\|$ and $\|z\| = h(0)$, we have

$$Kh(0) \geq Af(0) + Bg(0) + (K - t)u = K\|z\| + (K - t)u > Kh(0);$$

a contradiction.

Theorem 6.2 *Let $f \in M$, $g, h \in D$, $f > 0$ and let $f^p + |g|^p = |h|^p$. Then $g, h \in M$.*

PROOF. We may suppose that $h > 0$. Then $h = \|(f, g)\|_p$. By Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 6.1 we have $g \in M$. Since $h \leq f + |g|$, it follows easily from [M3] (see condition (ii) in Theorem 12) that $h \in M$.

Remark 3 Let $1 = x_0 > x_1 > \cdots$, $x_n \to 0$, $x_n/x_{n+1} \to 1$. Set $d_n = x_{n-1} - x_n$. Let $x_n < y_n < z_n < x_{n-1}, z_n - y_n < d_n/n$. Let l be a nonnegative function on I such that $l(0) = 1$, l is continuous on $(0, 1]$, $l = 0$ on $(0, 1] \setminus \cup(y_n, z_n)$ and $\int_{y_n}^{z_n} l = d_n$ $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$. It is easy to see that $l \in D$. Now let $v_n \in (x_n, y_n)$, $w_n \in (z_n, x_{n-1})$, $w_n - v_n < d_n/n$. There is a function ω such that $0 \leq \omega \leq 1$ on I, ω is continuous on $(0, 1]$, $\omega = 1$ on $\cup(y_n, z_n)$ and $\omega = 0$ on $I \setminus \cup(v_n, w_n)$. It is obvious that $\lim$ ap $l(x) = 0$ $(x \to 0+)$, $\omega \in bC_{ap}$ and $l\omega = l$ on $(0, 1]$. Since $(l\omega)(0) = 0$, we have $l\omega \notin D$ so that $l \notin M$.

Now it is clear that there are $l, \mu \in D^+ \setminus M$ continuous on $(0, 1]$ such that $l(0) = \mu(0) = 1$, $\lim$ ap $l(x) = \lim$ ap $\mu(x) = 0$ $(x \to 0+)$ and $l\mu = 0$ on $(0, 1]$.

Remark 4 *Example 1 shows that the requirement "$f > 0$" in Theorem 6.2 cannot be replaced by "$f > 0$ on $(0, 1]$".*

Example 1 Let l be as in Remark 1. Set $f(x) = x$ $(x \in I)$, $g = 1 + l$, $h = (f^2 + g^2)^{1/2}$, $\varphi = f/g$. Then $\varphi \in C$ and $h = g(\varphi^2 + 1)^{1/2}$. Since $D^+ \subset M(C)$ (see, e.g., Theorem 7 in [M3]), we have $h \in D$. Clearly $f \in M$, $f^2 + g^2 = h^2$, $g \in D \setminus M$.

Remark 5 *If $f, g, h \in D$, $f^2 + g^2 = h^2$ and if $\liminf ap\ h(y) > 0\ (y \to x, y \in I)$ for each $x \in I$, then, by Proposition 4.6 of [MW], there are α, β, and ψ (namely $\psi = h$) such that*

(5) $$f = \alpha\psi,\ g = \beta\psi,\ \alpha, \beta \in C_{ap}.$$

Examples 1 and 2 in [MW] (sections 5.12-5.13) indicate the importance of the corresponding assumptions. If we set $\alpha(x) = x \sin\frac{1}{x}$, $\beta(x) = x \cos\frac{1}{x}$, $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ $(x \in (0, 1])$, $\alpha(0) = \beta(0) = \psi(0) = 0$, $f = \alpha\psi$, $g = \beta\psi$, $h = (f^2 + g^2)^{1/2}$, we see that the assumption $\liminf ap\ h(y) > 0\ (y \to x, y \in I)$ for each $x \in I$ does not imply that $h > 0$ on I (even if (5) holds and $f, g \in bD$).

Now we would like to find conditions for f and g that would allow us to deduce from (5) the existence of an $h \in D$ fulfilling $f^2 + g^2 = h^2$.

Theorem 6.3 *Let $n \in \{2, 3, \ldots\}$, $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in M$, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in C_{ap}$. Let $\sum \alpha_j^2 > 0$ on I. Let ψ be a function on I such that $f_j = \alpha_j\psi$ $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$. Then there is an $h \in M$ such that $\sum |f_j|^p = |h|^p$.*

PROOF. Set $\gamma = (\sum |\alpha_j|^p)^{1/p}$, $\beta_j = |\alpha_j/\gamma|^{p-1} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} \alpha_j$, $h = \sum \beta_j f_j$. Then $\beta_j \in bC_{ap}$ so that $h \in D$; clearly $h \in M$, $h = \psi \sum \beta_j \alpha_j = \psi \sum |\alpha_j|^p/\gamma^{p-1} = \psi\gamma$ whence $|h|^p = \gamma^p |\psi|^p = \sum |\alpha_j\psi|^p = \sum |f_j|^p$.

Remark 6 *The reader may compare this theorem with Proposition 5.10 and Theorem 5.11 in [MW].*

Our next goal is Theorem 6.8 which is a modification of Theorem 6.3 with $n = 2$. In Theorem 6.8 we still assume that $f_1 \in M$, but the requirement $f_2 \in M$ is replaced by other conditions. We need a few lemmas.

Lemma 6.4 *Let $f \in M$, $g \in D$, $f^2 + g^2 > 0$, $g \geqq -|f|$. Let α, β, ψ be functions on I such that (5) holds. Let $a, b \in I$, $a < b$ and let $\psi > 0$ on (a, b). Then $\psi(a) > 0$.*

PROOF. Clearly $\psi(a) \neq 0$. We distinguish two cases.

(i) $f(a) \neq 0$. Set $\gamma = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{1/2}$, $\varphi = \psi\alpha^2/\gamma$. Since $\varphi \geqq 0$ on (a, b) and $\varphi = f\alpha/\gamma \in D$, we have $\varphi(a) \geqq 0$, $\psi(a) > 0$.

(ii) $f(a) = 0$. Then $\alpha(a) = 0$, $\beta(a) \neq 0$, $g(a) \geqq -|f(a)| = 0$. Since $\psi > 0$ on (a, b), we have $\beta \geqq -|\alpha|$ on (a, b), $\beta(a) \geqq -|\alpha(a)| = 0$, $\beta(a) > 0$, $\psi(a) > 0$.

Lemma 6.5 *Let $f, g, \alpha, \beta, \psi$ be as before. Then $\operatorname{sgn} \psi$ is constant.*

PROOF. Set $\sigma = \operatorname{sgn} \psi$. Clearly $\psi = (\alpha f + \beta g)/(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)$, $\sigma = \psi/|\psi|$. Then σ is a Baire one function. Let G be the set of all points where σ is continuous (with respect to I). It is easy to see that G is open in I and that σ is constant on each component of G. Let $F = I \setminus G$. Suppose that $F \neq \emptyset$. Then there is a $b \in F$ such that σ is continuous at b with respect to F. There is an open interval J such that $b \in J$ and that σ is constant on $F \cap J$. By Lemma 6.4 σ is constant on the closure of each component of G. Hence σ is constant on $J \cap I \subset G$; a contradiction. Thus $F = \emptyset$, $G = I$ and σ is constant on I.

Lemma 6.6 *Let $A = \{(0,y); y \in (-\infty, 0]\}$, $G = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A$. Define a function F on G setting $F(x,y) = ((|x|^p + |y|^p)^{1/p} - y)/x$ $(x \neq 0)$, $F(0,y) = 0$ $(y > 0)$. Then F is continuous.*

PROOF. It is obvious that F is continuous at each point (x,y), where $x \neq 0$. Now let y_0, $\varepsilon \in (0,\infty)$. Let $y > y_0/2$, $|x| < y_0(\varepsilon p)^{1/(p-1)}/2$. Set $t = \varepsilon|x|/y$. Then $|x|^{p-1} < y^{p-1}\varepsilon p$, $|x|^p < y^p tp$, $|x|^p + y^p < y^p(1 + tp) \leqq y^p(1 + t)^p = (y + \varepsilon|x|)^p$, $(|x|^p + y^p)^{1/p} - y < \varepsilon|x|$, $|F(x,y)| < \varepsilon$. This proves the continuity of F at $(0, y_0)$.

Lemma 6.7 *Let G, F be as before. Let $k \in (0,\infty)$, $H = \{(x,y) \in G; \ y \geqq -k|x|\}$. Then $|F| \leqq 2k + 1$ on H.*

PROOF. If $x, y \in (0,\infty)$, then $x^p + y^p < (x+y)^p$ so that $0 < F(x,y) < 1$. Now let $x > 0$, $-kx \leqq y \leqq 0$. Then $(x^p + |y|^p)^{1/p} - y \leqq x + |y| + |y| \leqq (2k+1)x$ whence $0 < F(x,y) \leqq 2k + 1$. Clearly $F(-x,y) = -F(x,y)$.

Theorem 6.8 *Let $f \in M$, $g \in D$, $f^2 + g^2 > 0$. Let α, β, ψ be functions such that (5) holds. Let $k \in (0,\infty)$, $g \geqq -k|f|$. Then $(|f|^p + |g|^p)^{1/p} \in D$.*

PROOF. Let G, H, F be as before. By Lemma 6.5 we may suppose that $\psi > 0$. Then $(\alpha(t), \beta(t)) \in H$ for each $t \in I$. Clearly $y + xF(x,y) = (|x|^p + |y|^p)^{1/p}$ for each $(x,y) \in G$. Hence $(|f|^p + |g|^p)^{1/p} = g + fF(f,g)$ on I. It is easy to see that $F(f,g) = F(\alpha, \beta)$; by Lemmas 6.6 and 6.7 we have $F(\alpha, \beta) \in bC_{ap}$. Thus $fF(f,g) \in D$ which proves our assertion.

Remark 7 Taking $f(x) = \sin\frac{1}{x}$ $(x \in (0,1])$, $f(0) = 0$, $g = \psi = f$ and $\alpha = \beta = k = 1$ we see that the assumption "$f^2 + g^2 > 0$" in Theorem 6.8 cannot be dropped. If, however, $f \in M^+$, $g \in D$, $\beta \in C_{ap}$, β is bounded below and $g = \beta f$, then, clearly, $(f^p + |g|^p)^{1/p} = g + f\varphi$, where $\varphi = (1 + |\beta|^p)^{1/p} - \beta \in bC_{ap}$ so that $(f^p + |g|^p)^{1/p} \in D$. Thus we may ask whether "$f^2 + g^2 > 0$" can be replaced by "$f \geqq 0$". The next theorem gives a positive answer to this question.

Theorem 6.9 *Let* $f \in M^+$, $g \in D$. *Let* α, β, ψ *be functions such that* (5) *holds. Let* $k \in (0, \infty)$, $g \geqq -kf$. *Then* $(f^p + |g|^p)^{1/p} \in D$.

PROOF. Set $h = (f^p + |g|^p)^{1/p}$. If $f(x) = g(x) = 0$, set $\varphi(x) = 0$; otherwise set $\varphi(x) = F(f(x), g(x))$, where F is as in Lemma 6.6. Clearly $h = g + f\varphi$ and, by Lemma 6.7, $|\varphi| \leqq 2k + 1$ on I. A simple computation shows that $g = (|k\alpha + \beta| - k|\alpha|)|\psi|$. Thus we may suppose that $\alpha, \psi \geqq 0$. Set $W = \{f > 0\}$. On W we have $\psi > 0$ and $\varphi = F(\alpha, \beta)$ so that φ is approximately continuous at each point of W. It follows easily from Proposition 11 in [M3] (see condition (iv)) that $\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f\varphi \to f(x)\varphi(x)$ $(y \to x, y \in I)$ for each $x \in W$. If $f(x) = 0$, then the inequalities $g \leqq |g| \leqq h \leqq |g| + f \leqq g + (2k+1)f$ imply that $\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y h \to g(x) = h(x)$. Thus $h \in D$.

Remark 8 Let $f = 1$, $g \in C_{ap} \cap D$, $\int_I |g| = \infty$. Set $\alpha = \psi = 1$, $\beta = g$. Then $f \in M$, $f^2 + g^2 > 0$, (5) holds, but, obviously, $(f^2 + g^2)^{1/2} \notin D$. We see that the requirement "$g \geqq -k|f|$" in Theorem 6.8 or Theorem 6.9 cannot be dropped. This example raises naturally the question whether "$g \geqq -k|f|$" cannot be replaced by "$\int_I |g| < \infty$". Example 2 shows that this is not possible; the corresponding function g is the difference of two nonnegative derivatives. (Not every Lebesgue integrable derivative can be expressed in this way.) A more complicated example (not given here) shows that not even "$|g| \in D$" can replace "$g \geqq -k|f|$" in Theorem 6.8 or Theorem 6.9.

Example 3 in [MW] (section 5.14) shows that the requirement "$f \in M$" in Theorem 6.8 cannot be replaced by "$f \in D^+$". Our Example 3 shows the same thing in a simpler way. Theorem 6.11 shows that the assumption "$f \in M$" is very essential. This theorem follows easily from Proposition 6.10 that is stated without proof.

Example 2 Let l, μ be as in Remark 1, section 15. Set $f = 1$, $g = l - \mu$, $h = (f^2 + g^2)^{1/2}$, $\alpha = \psi = 1$, $\beta = g$. Then $f \in M$ and (5) holds. However, $h \geqq |g| = l + \mu$ on $(0, 1]$ whence $\liminf \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x h \geqq l(0) + \mu(0) = 2 > 1 = h(0)$. Thus $h \notin D$.

Example 3 Let l, μ be as before. Set $f = 1 + l$, $g = 1 + \mu$, $h = (f^2 + g^2)^{1/2}$. Then $f, g \in D$, $g/f \in C_{ap}$. Since $l^2 + \mu^2 = (l + \mu)^2$ on $(0, 1]$, we have there $h^2 > 1 + 2(l+\mu) + l^2 + \mu^2 = (1 + l + \mu)^2$ so that $\liminf \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x h \geqq 1 + l(0) + \mu(0) = 3 > 2\sqrt{2} = h(0)$. Thus $h \notin D$. It is obvious that $g > -|f|$ and that (5) holds with $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = g/f$ and $\psi = f$.

Proposition 6.10 *Let* $f \in D \setminus M$ *and let* $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. *Then there is a* $\beta \in C_{ap}$ *such that* $|\beta - 1| < \varepsilon$ *on* I, $\beta f \in D$ *and* $(f^2 + (\beta f)^2)^{1/2} \notin D$.

Theorem 6.11 *Let $f \in D \setminus M$ and let $f > 0$ on I. Then there is a $k \in (0, \infty)$ and functions g, α, β, ψ such that (5) holds, $g \in D$, $g \geqq -k|f|$ and $(f^2 + g^2)^{1/2} \notin D$.*

PROOF. Let $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ and let β be as in Proposition 6.10. Now it suffices to take $\alpha = k = 1$, $\psi = f$, and $g = \beta f$.

Remark 9 *Some of the results of this note with $p = 2$ have been stated without proof in [M2].*

References

[M1] J. Mařík, *Transformation and multiplication of derivatives*, Contemporary Mathematics, **42** (1985), 119–134.

[M2] J. Mařík, *The equation $f^2 + g^2 = h^2$, where f, g, and h are derivatives*, Real Anal. Exchange, **17** (1991-92), 37–39.

[M3] J. Mařík, *Derivatives, continuous functions and bounded Lebesgue functions*, Real Anal. Exchange, **18** (1993), 169–175.

[MW] J. Mařík and C.E. Weil, *Sums of powers of derivatives*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **112**, No. 3, 807–817.