

Sergei Logunov

On hereditary normality of ω^* , Kunen points and character ω_1

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 62 (2021), No. 4, 507–511

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/149373>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2021

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

On hereditary normality of ω^* , Kunen points and character ω_1

SERGEI LOGUNOV

Abstract. We show that $\omega^* \setminus \{p\}$ is not normal, if p is a limit point of some countable subset of ω^* , consisting of points of character ω_1 . Moreover, such a point p is a Kunen point and a super Kunen point.

Keywords: non-normality point; butterfly point; Kunen point; super Kunen point

Classification: 54D15, 54D35, 54D40, 54D80, 54E35, 54G20

1. Introduction

We investigate properties of Čech–Stone compactification $\beta\omega$ of the countable discrete space $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. One of the most intriguing problems in this area was stated probably around 1960 by L. Gillman in [4] or in [3]: Is $\omega^* \setminus \{p\}$ non-normal for any point p of the remainder $\omega^* = \beta\omega \setminus \omega$? If so, then p is called a non-normality point of ω^* .

Assuming that the continuum hypothesis of CH (axiom of choice) is valid, a positive answer was obtained independently of N. M. Warren in [8] and M. Rajagopalan in [6] in 1972. A. Bešlagić and E. K. van Douwen in [1] in 1990 weakened CH to Martin’s axiom (MA).

But so far not much is known within ZFC (Zermelo–Fraenkel set theory with the axiom of choice). Thus $p \in \omega^*$ is called a Kunen point, if there exists a discrete subset P of ω^* of cardinality ω_1 , that is no more than countable outside any open neighbourhood of p . Any Kunen point is a non-normality point of ω^* (E. van Douwen).

A. Szymański in [7] in 2012 proved the same, if p is a non-isolated point of some closed subset of ω^* of countable π -weight.

Some other more technical results were obtained in [5].

A. Błaszczyk and A. Szymański in [2] stated in 1980, that p is a non-normality point, if p is a limit point of some countable discrete subset P of ω^* . Now we

omit the discrete requirement, assuming instead that P consists of points of the character ω_1 .

Theorem 1. *Let P be a countable subset of ω^* , consisting of points of the character ω_1 . Then $\omega^* \setminus \{p\}$ is not normal for any point p of ω^* , which is in the closure of P . Moreover, p is a Kunen point and a super Kunen point.*

2. Preliminaries

In our article $|N| = \omega$ and $|R| = \mathcal{C}$. Each ordinal number α can be represented in a unique way in the form $\alpha = \beta + n$, where β is a limit ordinal and $n \in \omega$. Then α is even if n is even and odd otherwise.

By $[\]$ we always denote closure operator in ω^* , by Oa – a clopen neighbourhood of a , i.e. closed and open in ω^* set, containing a . A set A is strongly discrete if there is a cellular family $\{Oa : a \in A\}$. A family $\{O_\alpha a\}_{\alpha < \tau}$ is called a clopen local base in a , if each Oa contains some $O_\alpha a$. The minimal cardinality of the local base is called the character in a and denoted $\chi(a)$.

Definition. A point p of ω^* is called a super Kunen point, if there is a strongly discrete subset P of ω^* of cardinality ω_1 , that is no more than countable outside any neighbourhood Op .

Of course, any super Kunen point is a Kunen point.

3. Proofs

Let from now on $P = \{p_i : i < \omega\}$ be a countable subset of ω^* , consisting of points of character ω_1 and let p be any point of $[P] \setminus P$. For every $i < \omega$ assume $\{O_{i\alpha} : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ to be a clopen local base of cardinality ω_1 in p_i . For any clopen neighbourhood O of p we denote

$$\mathcal{K}(O) = \min\{\lambda < \omega_1 : \forall i < \omega (p_i \in O \rightarrow \exists \alpha \leq \lambda (O_{i\alpha} \subset O))\}.$$

We define a filter \mathcal{F} on ω as follows:

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\{i \in \omega : p_i \in O\} : O \text{ is a clopen neighbourhood of } p\}.$$

Some of the following facts are simple and sometimes well-known.

Lemma 1. *Every nonempty G_δ -subset of ω^* has nonempty interior in ω^* .*

Lemma 2. *Every point q of ω^* of character ω_1 is a super Kunen point.*

PROOF: Let $\{O_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a local base in q . By the previous lemma for every $\alpha < \omega_1$ we can find a nonempty clopen set U_α so that $q \notin U_\alpha$ and

$$U_\alpha \subset \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} O_\beta \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta.$$

For any points $x_\alpha \in U_\alpha$ the set $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ witnesses that q is a super Kunen point. □

Lemma 3. *The family $\{\bigcap_{\alpha < \lambda_i} O_{i\alpha} : i < \omega\}$ is cellular for some $\lambda_i < \omega_1$.*

PROOF: We can choose every λ_i so that the set $\bigcap_{\alpha < \lambda_i} O_{i\alpha}$ is disjoint from both $\bigcap_{\beta < \lambda_j} O_{j\beta}$ for every $j < i$ and $\{p_j : j > i\}$. □

Lemma 4. *The family $\{U_{i\alpha} : i < \omega \text{ and } \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is cellular for some nonempty clopen sets $U_{i\alpha}$ such that $U_{i\alpha} \subset \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} O_{i\beta}$.*

PROOF: By the previous lemma we can choose every $U_{i\alpha}$ so that

$$U_{i\alpha} \subset \bigcap \{O_{i\beta} : \beta < \max\{\alpha, \lambda_i\}\},$$

$p_i \notin U_{i\alpha}$ and $U_{i\beta} \cap U_{i\alpha} = \emptyset$ for every $\beta < \alpha$. □

Lemma 5. *For every $\alpha < \omega_1$ there is a point $a_\alpha \in \omega^*$ such that $a_\alpha \notin [P]$ and*

$$a_\alpha \in \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \left[\bigcup_{i \in F} U_{i\alpha} \right].$$

PROOF: For $U = \bigcup_{i < \omega} U_{i\alpha}$ assume $D \subset \omega^* \setminus [U]$ to be σ -compact. Then $X = \omega \cup U \cup D$ is σ -compact and, so, normal. Since $\omega \subset X$, then $[X]_{\beta\omega} = \beta X$ is a Čech–Stone compactification of X . Since U and D are closed in X , then $[U] \cap [D] = [U]_{\beta X} \cap [D]_{\beta X} = \emptyset$. Hence $[U]$ is a P -set.

In every $U_{i\alpha}$ we can find a cellular family of C -many nonempty clopen sets $\{V_{i\beta} : \beta < C\}$ and put $V_\beta = \bigcup_{i < \omega} V_{i\beta}$ for any $\beta < C$. Since $[U] = \beta U$ by the standard arguments, then $[V_\beta]$ are disjoint clopen subsets of $[U]$.

Thus $[V_{\beta_0}] \cap P = \emptyset$ for some $\beta_0 < C$, and by the first paragraph of this proof, this implies $[V_{\beta_0}] \cap [P] = \emptyset$. So we can choose a_α to be any point of $\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} [\bigcup_{i \in F} V_{i\beta_0}]$. □

From now on every point a_α satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5.

Lemma 6. *Let O be any clopen neighbourhood of p . If $\alpha > \mathcal{K}(O)$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$, then $a_\alpha \in O$.*

PROOF: For $F = \{i \in \omega : p_i \in O\}$ we get $F \in \mathcal{F}$. For any $i \in F$ there is $\alpha_i \leq \mathcal{K}(O)$ such that $O_{i\alpha_i} \subset O$. Then $\alpha > \alpha_i$ implies $U_{i\alpha} \subset O_{i\alpha_i} \subset O$ by our

construction and

$$a_\alpha \in \left[\bigcup_{i \in F} U_{i\alpha} \right] \subset \left[\bigcup_{i \in F} O_{i\alpha_i} \right] \subset O.$$

□

Lemma 7. *The set $\{a_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is discrete. Hence p is a Kunen point.*

PROOF: For any $\alpha < \omega_1$ let O be any clopen neighbourhood of p such that $a_\alpha \notin O$. Then $a_\beta \in O$ for every $\beta > \mathcal{K}(O)$ by the previous lemma. Since the sets

$$C = \bigcup \{U_{i\alpha} : i < \omega\} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \bigcup \{U_{i\beta} : i < \omega, \beta \neq \alpha \text{ and } \beta \leq \mathcal{K}(O)\}$$

are σ -compact, open and disjoint, $[C] \cap [D] = \emptyset$. Since $a_\alpha \in [C]$ and $a_\beta \in [D]$ if $\beta \neq \alpha$ and $\beta \leq \mathcal{K}(O)$, then the open set $\omega^* \setminus (O \cup [D])$ contains a_α and non of a'_β s for $\beta \neq \alpha$. □

It implies that $\omega^* \setminus \{p\}$ is not normal by E. van Douwen. We shall give now another proof. Denote $A = \{a_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \text{ even}\}$ and $B = \{a_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \text{ odd}\}$.

Lemma 8. *Since $p = [A] \cap [B]$, p is a butterfly-point.*

PROOF: By Lemma 6 we get $p \in [A] \cap [B]$. On the other hand, let O be any clopen neighbourhood of p . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [A] \cap [B] \setminus O &\subset \{a_\alpha : \alpha \leq \mathcal{K}(O) \text{ even}\} \cap \{a_\alpha : \alpha \leq \mathcal{K}(O) \text{ odd}\} \\ &\subset \left[\bigcup \{U_{i\alpha} : i < \omega \text{ and } \alpha \leq \mathcal{K}(O) \text{ even}\} \right] \\ &\quad \cap \left[\bigcup \{U_{i\alpha} : i < \omega \text{ and } \alpha \leq \mathcal{K}(O) \text{ odd}\} \right] = \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

because ω^* is an F -space. □

Lemma 9. *The space $\omega^* \setminus \{p\}$ is not normal.*

PROOF: For any continuous map $f : \omega^* \setminus \{p\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ it is enough to show that $f(A) \cap f(B) \neq \emptyset$.

For every $i < \omega$ we choose $\alpha_i < \omega_1$ so that $p \notin O_{i\alpha_i}$ and put $W = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} O_{i\alpha_i}$. Since $Y = \omega \cup W$ is regular and σ -compact, it is normal. Since W is closed in Y , the restriction $f|_W$ has a continuous extension $g : Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Since $\omega \subset Y \subset \beta\omega$, then g has a continuous extension $\tilde{g} : \beta\omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$. For its restriction $h = \tilde{g}/\omega^*$ onto ω^* we have $h^{-1}h(p) = \bigcap_{i \in \omega} O_i$ for some clopen $O_i \subset \omega^*$. If $\alpha > \sup_{i < \omega} \alpha_i$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$, then $a_\alpha \in [\bigcup_{i < \omega} U_{i\alpha}] \subset [\bigcup_{i < \omega} O_{i\alpha_i}]$, i.e. $a_\alpha \in [W] \setminus \{p\}$. Since $f|_W = h|_W$, then $f(a_\alpha) = h(a_\alpha)$. If $\alpha > \sup_{i \in \omega} \mathcal{K}(O_i)$, then $a_\alpha \in \bigcap_{i \in \omega} O_i$ by Lemma 6 and, so, $h(a_\alpha) = h(p)$. But then $h(p) \in f(A) \cap f(B)$. □

Lemma 10. *There is a strongly discrete subset of $\{a_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of cardinality ω_1 .*

PROOF: We shall construct by induction on $\lambda < \omega_1$ both a countable set $A_\lambda \subset \{a_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and a cellular family of clopen neighbourhoods of its points $\mathcal{B}_\lambda = \{Oa : a \in A_\lambda\}$ so that $A_\lambda \subsetneq A_\gamma$ if $\lambda < \gamma < \omega_1$ and $Oa \cap P = \emptyset$ for any $Oa \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda$.

First we put $A_0 = \{a_0\}$ and choose $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{Oa_0\}$ so that $Oa_0 \cap P = \emptyset$.

If A_α and \mathcal{B}_α have been constructed for every $\alpha < \lambda$ for some limit ordinal $\lambda < \omega_1$, then we put $A_\lambda = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} A_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{B}_\lambda = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} \mathcal{B}_\alpha$.

Assume A_λ and \mathcal{B}_λ have been constructed for some ordinal $\lambda < \omega_1$. Then $V_a = \omega^* \setminus Oa$ is a clopen neighbourhood of P for each $Oa \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda$. Let $\alpha > \sup_{a \in A_\lambda} \mathcal{K}(V_a)$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$. Then $a_\alpha \in [\bigcup_{i \in \omega} U_{i\alpha}]$. For any $i \in \omega$ and $a \in A_\lambda$ we have $U_{i\alpha} \subset O_{i\beta} \subset V_a$ for some $\beta \leq \mathcal{K}(V_a)$, i.e. $U_{i\alpha} \cap Oa = \emptyset$. Hence $[\bigcup_{i \in \omega} U_{i\alpha}] \cap [\bigcup_{a \in A_\lambda} Oa] = \emptyset$, because ω^* is an F -space, and $a_\alpha \notin [\bigcup_{a \in A_\lambda} Oa]$. There is a clopen neighbourhood Oa_α , which does not intersect neither P nor $\bigcup_{a \in A_\lambda} Oa$. We put $A_{\lambda+1} = A_\lambda \cup \{a_\alpha\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\lambda+1} = \mathcal{B}_\lambda \cup \{Oa_\alpha\}$.

Finally, the set $\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} A_\alpha$ is as required. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Bešliagić A., van Douwen E.K., *Spaces of nonuniform ultrafilters in spaces of uniform ultrafilters*, Topology Appl. **35** (1990), no. 2–3, 253–260.
- [2] Błaszczyk A., Szymański A., *Some non-normal subspaces of the Čech–Stone compactification of a discrete space*, Proc. Eighth Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1980, pages 35–38.
- [3] Comfort W. W., Negrepontis S., *Homeomorphs of three subspaces of $\beta N \setminus N$* , Math. Z. **107** (1968), 53–58.
- [4] Fine N. J., Gillman L., *Extensions of continuous functions in βN* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1960), 376–381.
- [5] Gryzlov A. A., *On the question of hereditary normality of the space $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$* , Topology and Set Theory, Udmurt. Gos. Univ., Izhevsk, 1982, 61–64 (Russian).
- [6] Rajagopalan M., *$\beta N - N - \{p\}$ is not normal*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) **36** (1972), 173–176.
- [7] Szymanski A., *Retracts and non-normality points*, Topology Proc. **40** (2012), 195–201.
- [8] Warren N. M., *Properties of Stone–Čech compactifications of discrete spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (1972), 599–606.

S. Logunov:

DEPARTMENT FOR ALGEBRA AND TOPOLOGY, UDMURT STATE UNIVERSITY,
UNIVERSITETSKAYA 1, IZHEVSK 426034, RUSSIA

E-mail: olla209@yandex.ru

(Received March 1, 2020, revised June 18, 2020)