

Chunxu Xu; Tao Yu

Schatten class generalized Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 71 (2021), No. 4, 1173–1188

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/149247>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2021

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SCHATTEN CLASS GENERALIZED TOEPLITZ OPERATORS
ON THE BERGMAN SPACE

CHUNXU XU, TAO YU, Dalian

Received August 5, 2020. Published online June 17, 2021.

Abstract. Let μ be a finite positive measure on the unit disk and let $j \geq 1$ be an integer. D. Suárez (2015) gave some conditions for a generalized Toeplitz operator $T_\mu^{(j)}$ to be bounded or compact. We first give a necessary and sufficient condition for $T_\mu^{(j)}$ to be in the Schatten p -class for $1 \leq p < \infty$ on the Bergman space A^2 , and then give a sufficient condition for $T_\mu^{(j)}$ to be in the Schatten p -class ($0 < p < 1$) on A^2 . We also discuss the generalized Toeplitz operators with general bounded symbols. If $\varphi \in L^\infty(D, dA)$ and $1 < p < \infty$, we define the generalized Toeplitz operator $T_\varphi^{(j)}$ on the Bergman space A^p and characterize the compactness of the finite sum of operators of the form $T_{\varphi_1}^{(j)} \dots T_{\varphi_n}^{(j)}$.

Keywords: generalized Toeplitz operator; Schatten class; compactness; Bergman space; Berezin transform

MSC 2020: 47B35, 47B10

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATIONS

Let dA denote the normalized Lebesgue area measure on the unit disk D . For $0 < p < \infty$, the space $L^p(D, dA)$ consists of complex valued measurable functions on D such that

$$\|f\|_p := \left[\int_D |f(z)|^p dA(z) \right]^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Let $L^\infty(D, dA)$ be the space of measurable functions f on D such that

$$\|f\|_\infty := \operatorname{ess\,sup}\{|f(z)| : z \in D\} < \infty.$$

This research was supported by NNSF of China (grant no. 11971087).

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the Bergman space A^p consists of all analytic functions on D that are also in $L^p(D, dA)$. Let $\mathcal{L}(A^p)$ be the space of all linear bounded operators on A^p . For $z \in D$, let φ_z be the analytic automorphism of D defined by $\varphi_z(w) = (z - w)/(1 - \bar{z}w)$. For $z \in D$, define the operator U_z on A^2 by $U_z f = (f \circ \varphi_z)\varphi'_z$, then U_z is unitary and self-adjoint on A^2 . Let $K_z(w) = 1/(1 - \bar{z}w)^2$ be the reproducing kernel of A^2 and let $k_z = K_z/\|K_z\|$. For any $f, g \in A^2$, let $f \otimes g$ be the rank-one operator on A^2 which is defined by

$$(f \otimes g)h = \langle h, g \rangle f \quad \forall h \in A^2.$$

Let $e_k = \sqrt{k+1}w^k$ ($k \geq 0$), then $\{e_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ is an orthonormal basis of A^2 . The operator $E_k := e_k \otimes e_k$ is in fact the orthogonal projection onto the subspace generated by e_k . For $z \in D$, it is easy to check that

$$(1.1) \quad \langle U_z E_0 U_z f, g \rangle = (1 - |z|^2)^2 f(z) \overline{g(z)} \quad \forall f, g \in A^2.$$

Let $d\tilde{A}(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{-2} dA(z)$, then by (1.1), the traditional Toeplitz operator T_a on A^2 with the symbol $a \in L^\infty(D, dA)$ can be written as

$$T_a = \int_D U_z E_0 U_z a(z) d\tilde{A}(z),$$

where the integral converges in the weak operator topology. If R is a bounded linear operator on A^2 and $a \in L^\infty(D, dA)$, Engliš in [2] considered the more general operators defined as

$$(1.2) \quad R_a := \int_D U_z R U_z a(z) d\tilde{A}(z)$$

and showed that if R is in the trace class then $\|R_a\| \leq \|R\|_{\text{tr}} \|a\|_\infty$. If the matrix of R in the orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ is diagonal, then the operator R is an l^1 linear combination of the projections E_j , with the trace norm of R given by the corresponding l^1 -norm of its eigenvalues, and then the above result is equivalent to $\|T_a^{(j)}\| \leq \|a\|_\infty$ for all integers $j \geq 0$, where the operator $T_a^{(j)}$ is defined by

$$(1.3) \quad T_a^{(j)} := \int_D U_z E_j U_z a(z) d\tilde{A}(z).$$

More generally, let μ be a finite Borel measure on D and let $j \geq 0$, then Suárez defined the following generalized Toeplitz operator with symbol μ on the Bergman space, see [8]:

$$(1.4) \quad T_\mu^{(j)} := \int_D U_z E_j U_z (1 - |z|^2)^{-2} d\mu(z).$$

In [8], using Carleson measure conditions, Suárez characterized the boundedness and compactness of the operator $T_\mu^{(j)}$ on the Bergman space.

It is a natural problem to discuss when an operator $T_\mu^{(j)}$ is in the Schatten class operator on the Bergman space.

For any $0 < p < \infty$, the Schatten class S_p on a separable Hilbert space H consists of all the compact operators on H for which their singular numbers form a sequence belonging to l^p . The singular numbers of a compact operator T are defined by

$$s_n = s_n(T) = \inf\{\|T - K\| : \text{rank } K \leq n - 1\}.$$

For any $T \in S_p$, the S_p norm of T is defined as

$$\|T\|_{S_p} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

For more information one refers, for example, to [6] and [12].

Luecking was the first to study Toeplitz operators with measures as symbols on the Bergman space, see [3]. He gave a characterization of Schatten class Toeplitz operators based on l^p condition at a hyperbolic lattice of the unit disk. While the characterization in terms of the $L^p(d\tilde{A})$ integrability of the averaging functions and the Berezin transform is proved in [9] in the situation of a bounded symmetric domain, Arazy, Fisher and Peetre in [1] studied Schatten class Hankel operators on the weighted Bergman spaces.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we consider the case of $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $\varphi \in L^p(d\tilde{A})$ be a nonnegative function, using the formula of Faá di Bruno, we then prove that $T_\varphi^{(j)} \in S_p$ on the Bergman space A^2 for any integer $j \geq 0$. Furthermore, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for $T_\mu^{(j)} \in S_p$ on A^2 . In Section 3, we consider the situation of $0 < p < 1$. We give a sufficient condition for $T_\mu^{(j)} \in S_p$ on A^2 . In Section 4, if $\varphi \in L^\infty(D, dA)$ and $1 < p < \infty$, we introduce the generalized Toeplitz operator $T_\varphi^{(j)}$ on the Bergman space A^p and characterize the compactness of the finite sum of operators of the form $T_{\varphi_1}^{(j)} \dots T_{\varphi_n}^{(j)}$ on A^p . Throughout this paper, let j denote a fixed natural number.

2. THE SITUATION OF $1 \leq p < \infty$

In this section, we use the Berezin transform and average function of the symbol to characterize the Schatten class property of generalized Toeplitz operators. For an operator S on A^2 , with a dense domain containing H^∞ , the Berezin transform of S is the function \tilde{S} defined on D by

$$\tilde{S}(z) = \langle S k_z, k_z \rangle.$$

Let $\beta(z, w)$ be the Bergman metric on D . For any $z \in D$ and $r > 0$, let

$$D(z, r) = \{w \in D: \beta(z, w) < r\}$$

be the hyperbolic disk with center z and radius r , and let $|D(z, r)|$ be the area of $D(z, r)$. By Proposition 4.5 of [11], there exists a constant C_r (depending only on r) such that

$$(2.1) \quad C_r^{-1} \leq |D(z, r)|K(w, w) \leq C_r, \quad w \in D(z, r).$$

Let μ be a finite positive Borel measure on D , $r > 0$, and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then put

$$\widehat{\mu}_{r,j}(z) = \int_{D(z,r)} |\varphi_z(w)|^{2j} K(w, w) d\mu(w).$$

When $j = 0$, by (2.1), $\widehat{\mu}_{r,j}$ is then equivalent to $\widehat{\mu}_r$ defined in [11].

The following lemma is Corollary 6.5 of [11].

Lemma 2.1. *If T is a trace class operator on A^2 , then \widetilde{T} is in $L^1(D, d\widetilde{A})$ and the formula*

$$\text{tr}(T) = \int_D \langle TK_z, K_z \rangle dA(z)$$

holds.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that μ is a finite positive Borel measure on D , $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $T_\mu^{(j)} \in S_p$ on A^2 ;
- (2) $\widetilde{T}_\mu^{(j)}(z) \in L^p(D, d\widetilde{A}(z))$;
- (3) *there exists some $r > 0$ such that $\widehat{\mu}_{r,j}(z) \in L^p(D, d\widetilde{A}(z))$.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose $T_\mu^{(j)} \in S_p$ on A^2 . Since $T_\mu^{(j)} \geq 0$, using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\mu^{(j)}\|_{S_p}^p &= \text{tr}((T_\mu^{(j)})^p) = \int_D \langle (T_\mu^{(j)})^p K_z, K_z \rangle dA(z) \\ &= \int_D K(z, z) \langle (T_\mu^{(j)})^p k_z, k_z \rangle dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 \leq p < \infty$ and k_z is the unit vector in A^2 , by Proposition 6.4 of [1], we have

$$\|T_\mu^{(j)}\|_{S_p}^p \geq \int_D K(z, z) \langle T_\mu^{(j)} k_z, k_z \rangle^p dA(z)$$

and then $\widetilde{T}_\mu^{(j)}(z) \in L^p(D, d\widetilde{A}(z))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). By Proposition 4.5 of [11], for $r > 0$, there exists a constant C_r (depending only on r) such that

$$1 - |w|^2 \geq C_r |1 - \bar{z}w|$$

for $w \in D(z, r)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{T_\mu^{(j)}}(z) &= \langle T_\mu^{(j)} k_z, k_z \rangle = \int_D |\langle U_w e_j, k_z \rangle|^2 K(w, w) \, d\mu(w) \\ &= (j+1) \int_D (1 - |z|^2)^2 |\langle U_w \xi^j, K_z \rangle|^2 K(w, w) \, d\mu(w) \\ &= (j+1) \int_D (1 - |z|^2)^2 |\varphi_w(z)|^{2j} |\varphi'_w(z)|^2 K(w, w) \, d\mu(w) \\ &= (j+1) \int_D |\varphi_z(w)|^{2j} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2 (1 - |w|^2)^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^4} K(w, w) \, d\mu(w) \\ &\geq C_r (j+1) \int_{D(z, r)} |\varphi_z(w)|^{2j} K(w, w) \, d\mu(w) \end{aligned}$$

and then we get

$$\widehat{\mu}_{r, j}(z) \in L^p(D, d\tilde{A}(z)).$$

In order to prove that (3) \Rightarrow (1), we need some preliminaries.

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\varphi \in L^p(D, d\tilde{A})$, and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The generalized Toeplitz operator $T_\varphi^{(j)}$ on A^2 is defined as

$$(2.2) \quad T_\varphi^{(j)} = \int_D U_z E_j U_z \varphi(z) \, d\tilde{A}(z),$$

where the integral converges in the weak operator topology.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\varphi \in L^p(D, d\tilde{A})$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let φ has a compact support in D , then $T_\varphi^{(j)}$ is a compact operator on A^2 .*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.6 of [8] and we omit it. \square

Next lemma follows from Theorem 4.28 of [11].

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that $p > 0$, $n \geq 1$, and f is a holomorphic function in D , then $f \in L^p(D, dA)$ if and only if the function*

$$g(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^n f^{(n)}(z)$$

is in $L^p(D, dA)$. Furthermore, the norm of $f \in L^p(D, dA)$ is equivalent to the norm

$$|f(0)| + |f'(0)| + \dots + |f^{(n-1)}(0)| + \|(1 - |z|^2)^n f^{(n)}(z)\|_{L^p}.$$

The following lemma is a formula of Faà di Bruno, see [5].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $l \geq 1$. If $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are functions defined in some intervals for which all the necessary derivatives are defined, then*

$$(2.3) \quad [f \circ g]^{(l)}(x) = \sum \frac{l!}{k_1! \dots k_l!} f^{(k)}(g(x)) \left[\frac{g'(x)}{1!} \right]^{k_1} \left[\frac{g''(x)}{2!} \right]^{k_2} \dots \left[\frac{g^{(l)}(x)}{l!} \right]^{k_l},$$

where $k = k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_l$ and the sum is over all k_1, \dots, k_l for which $l = k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + lk_l$. In particular, if f is a holomorphic function in D and $g = \varphi_z$, then

$$(2.4) \quad [f \circ \varphi_z]^{(l)}(0) = \sum \frac{l!}{k_1! \dots k_l!} f^{(k)}(z) (-1)^k \bar{z}^{l-k} (1 - |z|^2)^k,$$

where $k = k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_l$ and the sum is over all k_1, \dots, k_l for which $l = k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + lk_l$.

Theorem 2.6. *If $1 \leq p < \infty$, and if $\varphi \in L^p(D, d\tilde{A})$, $\varphi \geq 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $T_\varphi^{(j)} \in S_p$ on A^2 .*

Note that this result is a particular case of Theorem 1 (d) in [2]. Using Marcinkiewicz interpolation, Engliš proved this result in a far more general form. For completeness, we present an elementary proof in some details here.

Proof. If $\varphi \in L^p(D, d\tilde{A})$ has a compact support in D , then, by Lemma 2.3, $T_\varphi^{(j)}$ is a compact operator on A^2 . Let

$$T_\varphi^{(j)} f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle f, f_n \rangle g_n$$

be the canonical decomposition of $T_\varphi^{(j)}$, where $\{\lambda_n\}$ is the sequence of singular values of $T_\varphi^{(j)}$ repeated according to their multiplicity, and $\{f_n\}$ and $\{g_n\}$ are two orthonormal sets in A^2 . Hence,

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_n &= \langle T_\varphi^{(j)} f_n, g_n \rangle = \int_D \langle U_z E_j U_z f_n, g_n \rangle \varphi(z) d\tilde{A}(z) \\ &\leq \int_D |\langle U_z f_n, e_j \rangle| |\langle U_z g_n, e_j \rangle| |\varphi(z)| d\tilde{A}(z). \end{aligned}$$

When $p = 1$, then

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n &\leq \int_D \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f_n, U_z e_j \rangle| |\langle g_n, U_z e_j \rangle| |\varphi(z)| d\tilde{A}(z) \\ &\leq \int_D \|U_z e_j\|^2 |\varphi(z)| d\tilde{A}(z) = \int_D |\varphi(z)| d\tilde{A}(z) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If $1 < p < \infty$, it follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$(2.7) \quad \lambda_n^p \leq \int_D |\langle U_z f_n, e_j \rangle| |\langle U_z g_n, e_j \rangle| |\varphi(z)|^p d\tilde{A}(z) \\ \times \left(\int_D |\langle U_z f_n, e_j \rangle| |\langle U_z g_n, e_j \rangle| d\tilde{A}(z) \right)^{p/q}.$$

Let $F(z) = \int_0^z f(u) du$ for a function $f \in A^2$. We can calculate that

$$(2.8) \quad \int_D |\langle U_z f, e_j \rangle|^2 d\tilde{A}(z) = (j+1) \int_D |((f \circ \varphi_z)\varphi'_z, w^j)|^2 d\tilde{A}(z) \\ = (j+1) \int_D |((F \circ \varphi_z)', w^j)|^2 d\tilde{A}(z) \\ = (j+1) \int_D \left| \frac{(F \circ \varphi_z)^{(j+1)}(0)}{(j+1)!} \right|^2 d\tilde{A}(z) \\ = (j+1) \int_D \left| \sum \frac{1}{k_1! \dots k_{j+1}!} F^{(k)}(z) (-1)^k \bar{z}^{j+1-k} (1-|z|^2)^k \right|^2 d\tilde{A}(z) \\ \leq (j+1)^2 \int_D \sum_{k=1}^{j+1} |F^{(k)}(z)(1-|z|^2)^k|^2 d\tilde{A}(z) \\ = (j+1)^2 \int_D \sum_{k=1}^{j+1} |f^{(k-1)}(z)(1-|z|^2)^k|^2 d\tilde{A}(z) \\ = (j+1)^2 \sum_{k=0}^j \int_D |f^{(k)}(z)(1-|z|^2)^k|^2 dA(z) \leq C_j \|f\|,$$

where the fourth equality follows from Lemma 2.5, and the last inequality follows from Lemma 2.4, and C_j is a constant depending only on j . Let $C = C_j^{p/q}$, by (2.7) and (2.8), we then have

$$(2.9) \quad \lambda_n^p \leq C \int_D |\langle U_z f_n, e_j \rangle| |\langle U_z g_n, e_j \rangle| |\varphi(z)|^p d\tilde{A}(z), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Therefore, like in the proof of (2.6),

$$\|T_\varphi^{(j)}\|_{S_p}^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^p \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^p(d\tilde{A})}^p.$$

In the general case, for $0 < r < 1$, let $\varphi_r = \chi_{rD}\varphi$, where χ_{rD} is the characteristic function of $rD := \{z: |z| \leq r\}$. The argument in the preceding paragraph shows that $\{T_{\varphi_r}^{(j)}\}$ is a Cauchy net in S_p -norm, so it converges to some $T \in S_p$ in S_p -norm as $r \rightarrow 1^-$.

Next, we prove that $T_{\varphi}^{(j)} \in \mathcal{L}(A^2)$ and $T_{\varphi_r}^{(j)} \rightarrow T_{\varphi}^{(j)}$ in the operator norm as $r \rightarrow 1^-$. In fact, for any $f, g \in A^2$, similarly to the proof of (2.6) and (2.9), it is easy to check that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} |\langle (T_{\varphi_r}^{(j)} - T_{\varphi}^{(j)})f, g \rangle| &\leq \int_D |\langle U_z f, e_j \rangle \langle e_j, U_z g \rangle| |\varphi_r(z) - \varphi(z)| d\tilde{A}(z) \\ &\leq C \|\varphi_r - \varphi\|_{L^p(d\tilde{A})} \|f\| \|g\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then $T_{\varphi}^{(j)} \in \mathcal{L}(A^2)$ and $T_{\varphi_r}^{(j)} \rightarrow T_{\varphi}^{(j)}$ in the operator norm as $r \rightarrow 1^-$. □

Now we prove that (3) \Rightarrow (1) in Theorem 2.2. Let $r > 0$ be such that

$$\hat{\mu}_{r,j}(z) \in L^p(D, d\tilde{A}(z)),$$

then by Theorem 2.6, $T_{\hat{\mu}_{r,j}}^{(j)} \in S_p$. By Lemma 14 of [9], it is sufficient to show that there exists a positive constant C such that $T_{\mu}^{(j)} \leq CT_{\hat{\mu}_{r,j}}^{(j)}$. In fact, for any $f \in A^2$, by Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{\hat{\mu}_{r,j}}^{(j)} f, f \rangle &= \int_D \langle U_z E_j U_z f, f \rangle \hat{\mu}_{r,j}(z) d\tilde{A}(z) \\ &= \int_D \langle U_z E_j U_z f, f \rangle \int_D |\varphi_z(w)|^{2j} \chi_{D(z,r)}(w) K(w, w) d\mu(w) d\tilde{A}(z) \\ &= \int_D \langle U_z E_j U_z f, f \rangle \int_D |\varphi_w(z)|^{2j} \chi_{D(w,r)}(z) K(w, w) d\mu(w) d\tilde{A}(z) \\ &= \int_D \left(\int_{D(w,r)} |\varphi_w(z)|^{2j} \langle U_z E_j U_z f, f \rangle d\tilde{A}(z) \right) K(w, w) d\mu(w) \\ &\geq \int_D \left(\int_{D(w,r)/D(w,r/2)} |\varphi_w(z)|^{2j} \langle U_z E_j U_z f, f \rangle d\tilde{A}(z) \right) K(w, w) d\mu(w) \\ &\geq \left(\tanh \frac{r}{2} \right)^{2j} \int_D \left(\int_{D(w,r)/D(w,r/2)} \langle U_z E_j U_z f, f \rangle d\tilde{A}(z) \right) K(w, w) d\mu(w). \end{aligned}$$

Next we need to prove that the inequality

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{D(w,r)/D(w,r/2)} \langle U_z E_j U_z f, f \rangle d\tilde{A}(z) \geq C_{r,j} |\langle U_w f, e_j \rangle|^2$$

holds for some constant $C_{r,j} > 0$. For any $F(\xi) = \sum a_m e_m(\xi) \in A^2$ and $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$, $0 \leq s < 1$, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle F, U_{se^{it}} e_j \rangle|^2 &= |\langle F(\xi), (U_s e_j)(e^{-it}\xi) \rangle|^2 = |\langle F(e^{it}\xi), (U_s e_j)(\xi) \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m,l} a_m \bar{a}_l \langle e_m(e^{it}\xi), (U_s e_j)(\xi) \rangle \overline{\langle e_l(e^{it}\xi), (U_s e_j)(\xi) \rangle} \\ &= \sum_{m,l} a_m \bar{a}_l e^{i(m-l)t} \langle e_m, U_s e_j \rangle \overline{\langle e_l, U_s e_j \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle F, U_{se^{it}} e_j \rangle|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} &= \sum_m |a_m|^2 |\langle e_m, U_s e_j \rangle|^2 \\
 &\geq |a_j|^2 |\langle e_j, U_s e_j \rangle|^2 = |\langle F, e_j \rangle|^2 |\langle e_j, U_s e_j \rangle|^2 \\
 &= |\langle F, e_j \rangle|^2 \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle e_j, U_{se^{it}} e_j \rangle|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.13) \quad \int_{D(0,r)/D(0,r/2)} |\langle F, U_z e_j \rangle|^2 K(z, z) \, dA(z) \\
 &= \int_{\tanh r/2}^{\tanh r} \frac{2s}{(1-s^2)^2} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |\langle F, U_{se^{it}} e_j \rangle|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right) ds \\
 &\geq |\langle F, e_j \rangle|^2 \int_{\tanh r/2}^{\tanh r} \frac{2s}{(1-s^2)^2} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |\langle e_j, U_{se^{it}} e_j \rangle|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right) ds \\
 &= |\langle F, e_j \rangle|^2 \int_{D(0,r)/D(0,r/2)} |\langle e_j, U_z e_j \rangle|^2 K(z, z) \, dA(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, let $F(\xi) = (U_w f)(\xi)$, by (2.13), we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.14) \quad \int_{D(0,r)/D(0,r/2)} |\langle U_w f, U_z e_j \rangle|^2 K(z, z) \, dA(z) \\
 \geq |\langle U_w f, e_j \rangle|^2 \int_{D(0,r)/D(0,r/2)} |\langle e_j, U_z e_j \rangle|^2 K(z, z) \, dA(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $f(z) = |\langle e_j, U_z e_j \rangle|^2 K(z, z)$, $z \in D$. By Lemma 4.3 of [7], the function $z \mapsto \langle e_j, U_z e_j \rangle$ is uniformly continuous on compact sets of D , then $f(z)$ is continuous on D . Note that $f(0) = 1$, we assume that $f(z) \neq 0$ on $D(0, r)$. Then by (2.8),

$$\int_{D(0,r)/D(0,r/2)} |\langle e_j, U_z e_j \rangle|^2 K(z, z) \, dA(z) < \infty$$

is a finite positive constant depending on r and j . On the other hand, note that $U_w U_z = U_{\varphi_w(z)} V_\lambda$, where $\lambda = (z\bar{w} - 1)/(1 - w\bar{z})$, $(V_\lambda h)(w) = \lambda h(\lambda w)$ for any $h \in A^2$. Consequently, $|\langle U_w f, U_z e_j \rangle| = |\langle f, U_{\varphi_w(z)} e_j \rangle|$ and the change of variable $\nu = \varphi_w(z)$ on the left hand side of (2.14) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.15) \quad \int_{D(w,r)/D(w,r/2)} |\langle f, U_\nu e_j \rangle|^2 K(\nu, \nu) \, dA(\nu) \\
 \geq |\langle U_w f, e_j \rangle|^2 \int_{D(0,r)/D(0,r/2)} |\langle e_j, U_z e_j \rangle|^2 K(z, z) \, dA(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (2.11) holds and the proof is complete. □

Corollary 2.7. *If $1 \leq p < \infty$ and if $\varphi \in L^\infty(D, dA)$, is a nonnegative function on D , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $T_\varphi^{(j)} \in S_p$ on A^2 ;
- (ii) $T_\varphi^{(j)}(z) \in L^p(D, d\tilde{A}(z))$;
- (iii) *there exists some $r > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{D(z,r)} |\varphi_z(w)|^{2j} K(w, w) \varphi(w) dA(w) \in L^p(D, d\tilde{A}(z)).$$

A sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in D is called an r -lattice in the Bergman metric if

$$D = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty D(a_k, r)$$

and $\beta(a_i, a_j) \geq \frac{1}{2}r$ for $i \neq j$. For more information about lattices, see [11].

Theorem 2.8. *Suppose that μ is a finite positive Borel measure on D and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $T_\mu^{(j)} \in S_1$ on A^2 ;
- (ii) $\tilde{\mu} \in L^1(D, d\tilde{A})$;
- (iii) $\hat{\mu}_r \in L^1(D, d\tilde{A})$ for all (or some) $r > 0$;
- (iv) $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \hat{\mu}_r(a_n) < \infty$, where $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an r -lattice in the Bergman metric.

Proof. For any $j \geq 1$, $T_\mu^{(j)} \in S_1$ if and only if $T_\mu \in S_1$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T_\mu^{(j)}) &= \int_D \langle T_\mu^{(j)} K_z, K_z \rangle dA(z) = \int_D \int_D \langle U_w E_j U_w K_z, K_z \rangle K(w, w) d\mu(w) dA(z) \\ &= \int_D \int_D |\langle U_w K_z, e_j \rangle|^2 dA(z) K(w, w) d\mu(w) = \int_D K(w, w) d\mu(w) = \text{tr}(T_\mu). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem C of [9], the proof is complete. □

3. THE SITUATION OF $0 < p < 1$

For $0 < p < \infty$, the sequence space l^p is defined by

$$l^p = \left\{ \{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty : \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty |a_i|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.$$

The atomic decomposition for Bergman spaces turns out to be a powerful theorem in the theory of Bergman spaces. The following lemma is related to [11]. For more information about atomic decomposition, see [10].

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $p > 0$ and*

$$(3.1) \quad b > \max\left(1, \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p}.$$

Then there exists a constant $\sigma > 0$ such that for any r -lattice $\{a_k\}$ in the Bergman metric, where $0 < r < \sigma$, the space A^p consists exactly of functions of the form

$$(3.2) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \frac{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{(pb-2)/p}}{(1 - z\bar{a}_k)^b},$$

where $\{c_k\} \in l^p$, the series in (3.2) converges in A^p , and the norm of f in A^p is comparable to

$$\inf \left\{ \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^p \right]^{1/p} : \{c_k\} \text{ satisfies (3.2)} \right\}.$$

The following lemma is Proposition 4.13 of [11] which reflects the subharmonic property of a holomorphic function in the Bergman metric.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $p > 0$, $r > 0$, then there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$|f(z)|^p \leq \frac{C}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} \int_{D(z,r)} |f(w)|^p dA(w),$$

where f is a holomorphic function in D and $z \in D$.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that μ is a finite positive Borel measure on D , $0 < p < 1$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. There exist a positive radius $\sigma > 0$ and a σ -lattice $\{a_n\}$ in D such that if the sequence $\{\widehat{\mu}_\sigma(a_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ belongs to l^p , then $T_\mu^{(j)} \in S_p$ on A^2 .*

Proof. Since for a σ -lattice $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, the sequence $\{\widehat{\mu}_\sigma(a_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ belongs to l^p and must be bounded, then the Toeplitz operator T_μ is bounded on A^2 and μ is a Carleson measure, see [9]. Theorem 4.2 of [8] implies that $T_\mu^{(j)}$ is bounded on A^2 . By Lemma 3.1, for any $b > \frac{1}{2}(3+p^{-1})$ there exist a positive radius σ' and a σ' -lattice $\{z_n\}$ in the Bergman metric such that the space A^2 consists exactly of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)^{b-1}}{(1 - \bar{z}_n z)^b},$$

where $\{c_n\} \in l^2$, the above series converges in A^2 , and

$$(3.3) \quad \int_D |f(z)|^2 dA(z) \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^2$$

for some constant C independent of $\{c_n\}$.

Let $\{e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis on A^2 and define the operator T on A^2 by

$$T\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)^{b-1}}{(1 - \bar{z}_n z)^b},$$

then T is a bounded surjective linear operator on A^2 . According to Proposition 1.30 of [11], $T_\mu^{(j)} \in S_p$ is equivalent to $T^* T_\mu^{(j)} T \in S_p$. Since $T^* T_\mu^{(j)} T$ is positive, in order to complete the proof, we need to check that $M = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T^* T_\mu^{(j)} T e_n, e_n \rangle^p < \infty$. In fact,

$$M = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\langle T_\mu^{(j)} \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)^{b-1}}{(1 - \bar{z}_n z)^b}, \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)^{b-1}}{(1 - \bar{z}_n z)^b} \right\rangle^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n^p,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad I_n &= \left\langle T_\mu^{(j)} \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)^{b-1}}{(1 - \bar{z}_n z)^b}, \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)^{b-1}}{(1 - \bar{z}_n z)^b} \right\rangle \\ &= \int_D \left| \left\langle U_z \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)^{b-1}}{(1 - \bar{z}_n w)^b}, e_j \right\rangle \right|^2 K(z, z) \, d\mu(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{a_n\}$ is a σ -lattice in the Bergman metric, by Lemma 4.30 of [11] and the proof of (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad I_n &\leq (j+1)^2 \sum_{k=0}^j \int_D |h_n^{(k)}(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{2k} \, d\mu(z) \\ &\leq (j+1)^2 \sum_{k=0}^j \int_D \left| \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)^{b-1}}{(1 - \bar{z}_n z)^{b+k}} \right|^2 \\ &\quad \times [b(b+1) \dots (b+k)]^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{2k} \, d\mu(z) \\ &\leq (j+1)^2 \sum_{k=0}^j \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(a_l, \sigma)} \left| \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)^{b-1}}{(1 - \bar{z}_n z)^{b+k}} \right|^2 \\ &\quad \times [b(b+1) \dots (b+k)]^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{2k} \, d\mu(z) \\ &\leq C(j+1)^2 \sum_{k=0}^j \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(a_l, \sigma)} |h_n(a_l)|^2 \\ &\quad \times [b(b+1) \dots (b+k)]^2 \frac{(1 - |a_l|^2)^{2k}}{|1 - \bar{z}_n a_l|^{2k}} \, d\mu(z) \\ &\leq C(j+1)^2 \sum_{k=0}^j [b(b+1) \dots (b+k) 2^k]^2 \\ &\quad \times \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - |a_l|^2)^2} |h_n(a_l)|^2 \hat{\mu}_\sigma(a_l), \end{aligned}$$

where $h_n(z) = (1 - |z_n|^2)^{b-1}/(1 - \overline{z_n}z)^b$, and C depends on σ , b and j . Since $0 < p < 1$, there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad I_n^p \leq C_1 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - |a_l|^2)^{2p}} |h_n(a_l)|^{2p} \widehat{\mu}_\sigma^p(a_l).$$

Therefore,

$$M = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n^p \leq C_1 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - |a_l|^2)^{2p}} \widehat{\mu}_\sigma^p(a_l) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n(a_l)|^{2p}.$$

For any positive integer l , we consider the series

$$S_l = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n(a_l)|^{2p} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)^{p(2b-2)}}{|1 - \overline{a_l}z_n|^{2pb}}.$$

Since $\{z_n\}$ is a σ' -lattice in the Bergman metric, then the Bergman disks $D(z_n, \frac{1}{8}\sigma')$ are mutually disjoint. Let

$$f(z) = \frac{(1 - \overline{z_n}z)^{2b-2}}{(1 - \overline{a_l}z)^{2b}},$$

by Lemma 3.2, then there exists a positive constant C (depending only on σ') such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z_n)|^p &= \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)^{p(2b-2)}}{|1 - \overline{a_l}z_n|^{2pb}} \leq \frac{C}{(1 - |z_n|^2)^2} \int_{D(z_n, \sigma'/8)} \frac{|1 - \overline{z_n}z|^{p(2b-2)}}{|1 - \overline{a_l}z|^{2pb}} dA(z) \\ &\leq C \int_{D(z_n, \sigma'/8)} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p(2b-2)-2}}{|1 - \overline{a_l}z|^{2pb}} dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$S_l \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(z_n, \sigma'/8)} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p(2b-2)-2}}{|1 - \overline{a_l}z|^{2pb}} dA(z) \leq C \int_D \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p(2b-2)-2}}{|1 - \overline{a_l}z|^{2pb}} dA(z).$$

Since $p(2b - 2) - 2 > -1$, by Lemma 3.10 of [11], there is a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$S_l \leq \frac{C_2}{(1 - |a_l|^2)^{2p}}.$$

Therefore,

$$M \leq C_1 C_2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\mu}_\sigma^p(a_l) < \infty.$$

□

4. THE GENERALIZED TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON THE BERGMAN
SPACES A^p ($1 < p < \infty$)

In this section, we assume $1 < p < \infty$. For any fixed $z \in D$, define the operator $U_z: A^p \rightarrow A^p$ such that

$$U_z f = (f \circ \varphi_z) \varphi'_z \quad \forall f \in A^p.$$

Then U_z is bounded. It's easy to check that

$$U_z^* g = (g \circ \varphi_z) \varphi'_z \quad \forall g \in A^q, \text{ where } 1/p + 1/q = 1.$$

Let S be a bounded operator on A^p and let $S_z = U_z S U_z$. The Berezin transform of S is the function \tilde{S} defined on D such that

$$\tilde{S}(z) = \langle S k_z, k_z \rangle, \quad \text{where } \langle f, g \rangle = \int_D f \bar{g} \, dA.$$

Let $E_j := e_j \otimes e_j$ be the rank one operator defined on A^p such that

$$E_j f = \langle f, e_j \rangle e_j, \quad f \in A^p.$$

Let $\varphi \in L^\infty(D, dA)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The generalized Toeplitz operator $T_\varphi^{(j)}$ on A^p is defined as

$$(4.1) \quad T_\varphi^{(j)} := \int_D U_z E_j U_z \varphi(z) \, d\tilde{A}(z),$$

where the integral converges in the weak operator topology.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $\varphi \in L^\infty(D, dA)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $T_\varphi^{(j)}$ is bounded on A^p .*

Proof. For any $f \in A^p, g \in A^q$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T_\varphi^{(j)} f, g \rangle| &\leq \int_D |\langle U_z f, e_j \rangle| |\langle e_j, U_z^* g \rangle| |\varphi(z)| \, d\tilde{A}(z) \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_\infty \left(\int_D |\langle U_z f, e_j \rangle|^p \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^p} \, dA(z) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_D |\langle U_z^* g, e_j \rangle|^q \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^q} \, dA(z) \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $1 < b < \infty, h \in A^b$. Note that for any $g \in A^b$ and g_n being the n th Taylor polynomial of g we have $\|g_n - g\|_{L^b} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Repeating the course of the proof of (2.8), we get

$$(4.2) \quad \int_D |\langle U_z h, e_j \rangle|^b \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^b} \, dA(z) \leq C_j (j+1)^{b/2} \|h\|_b^b,$$

where C_j is a constant depending on j . Hence

$$(4.3) \quad |\langle T_\varphi^{(j)} f, g \rangle| \leq C_j(j+1) \|\varphi\|_\infty \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.$$

□

The following lemma is Lemma 4.2 of [7].

Lemma 4.2. *For any fixed $z, w \in D$, if $t = (w\bar{z} - 1)/(1 - \bar{w}z)$, then $U_z U_w = U_{\varphi_z(w)} V_t$, where $(V_t f)(u) = t f(tu)$ for $f \in A^p$.*

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that $\varphi \in L^\infty(D, dA)$ and $w \in D$, then $U_w T_\varphi^{(j)} U_w = T_{\varphi \circ \varphi_w}^{(j)}$.*

Proof. For any $f \in A^p$, $g \in A^q$, we get

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle U_w T_\varphi^{(j)} U_w f, g \rangle &= \int_D \langle U_z U_w f, e_j \rangle \langle e_j, U_z^* U_w^* g \rangle \varphi(z) d\tilde{A}(z) \\ &= \int_D \langle f, U_w^* U_z^* e_j \rangle \langle U_w U_z e_j, g \rangle \varphi(z) d\tilde{A}(z). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.2, we have $U_w U_z = U_{\varphi_w(z)} V_\lambda$, where $\lambda = (z\bar{w} - 1)/(1 - w\bar{z})$. Hence,

$$\langle U_w T_\varphi^{(j)} U_w f, g \rangle = \int_D \langle f, U_u^* e_j \rangle \langle U_u e_j, g \rangle \varphi \circ \varphi_w(u) d\tilde{A}(u) = \langle T_{\varphi \circ \varphi_w}^{(j)} f, g \rangle.$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *If S is a finite sum of operators of the form $T_{\varphi_1}^{(j)} \dots T_{\varphi_n}^{(j)}$, where $\varphi_i \in L^\infty(D, dA)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$(4.5) \quad \sup_{z \in D} \|S_z 1\|_p < \infty, \quad \sup_{z \in D} \|S_z^* 1\|_p < \infty$$

for every $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $S = T_{\varphi_1}^{(j)} \dots T_{\varphi_n}^{(j)}$. For $p \in (1, \infty)$, by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \|S_z 1\|_p = \|T_{\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_z}^{(j)} \dots T_{\varphi_n \circ \varphi_z}^{(j)} 1\|_p \leq C_j^n (j+1)^n \|\varphi_1\|_\infty \dots \|\varphi_n\|_\infty.$$

It is easy to check that $(T_{\varphi_i}^{(j)})^* = T_{\varphi_i}^{(j)}$ and then

$$(4.7) \quad \|S_z^* 1\|_p = \|T_{\varphi_n \circ \varphi_z}^{(j)} \dots T_{\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_z}^{(j)} 1\|_p \leq C_j^n (j+1)^n \|\varphi_n\|_\infty \dots \|\varphi_1\|_\infty.$$

□

The following theorem can be found in [4].

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose that S is a bounded operator on A^p such that*

$$(4.8) \quad \sup_{z \in D} \|S_z 1\|_m < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{z \in D} \|S_z^* 1\|_m < \infty$$

for some $m > 3/(p_1 - 1)$, where $p_1 = \min\{p, q\}$, then S is compact if and only if $\tilde{S} \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \partial D$.

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose that S is a finite sum of operators of the form $T_{\varphi_1}^{(j)} \dots T_{\varphi_n}^{(j)}$ on A^p , where each $\varphi_i \in L^\infty(D, dA)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then S is compact on A^p if and only if $\tilde{S}(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \partial D$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.4 and Theorem 4.5, it is easy to get the result desired. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors are very grateful to the referee for his helpful suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] *J. Arazy, S. D. Fisher, J. Peetre:* Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces. *Am. J. Math.* *110* (1988), 989–1053. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *M. Engliš:* Toeplitz operators and group representations. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* *13* (2007), 243–265. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] *D. H. Luecking:* Trace ideal criteria for Toeplitz operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* *73* (1987), 345–368. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *J. Miao, D. Zheng:* Compact operators on Bergman spaces. *Integral Equations Oper. Theory* *48* (2004), 61–79. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] *S. Roman:* The formula of Faa di Bruno. *Am. Math. Mon.* *87* (1980), 805–809. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *B. Simon:* Trace Ideals and Their Applications. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 35. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *D. Suárez:* Approximation and symbolic calculus for Toeplitz algebras on the Bergman space. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* *20* (2004), 563–610. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [8] *D. Suárez:* A generalization of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space. *J. Oper. Theory* *73* (2015), 315–332. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [9] *K. Zhu:* Positive Toeplitz operators on the weighted Bergman spaces of bounded symmetric domains. *J. Oper. Theory* *20* (1988), 329–357. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [10] *K. Zhu:* Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 226. Springer, New York, 2005. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [11] *K. Zhu:* Operator Theory in Function Spaces. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 138. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2007. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [12] *K. Zhu:* Schatten class Toeplitz operators on weighted Bergman spaces of the unit ball. *New York J. Math.* *13* (2007), 299–316. [zbl](#) [MR](#)

Authors' address: CHUNXU XU, TAO YU (corresponding author), School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology, No.2 Linggong Road, Dalian 116024, P.R. China, e-mail: cxxu@mail.dlut.edu.cn, tyu@dlut.edu.cn.