

Ismael Calomino; Sergio A. Celani

α -filters and α -order-ideals in distributive quasicomplemented semilattices

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 62 (2021), No. 1, 15–32

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/148940>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2021

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

α -filters and α -order-ideals in distributive quasicomplemented semilattices

ISMAEL CALOMINO, SERGIO CELANI

Abstract. We introduce some particular classes of filters and order-ideals in distributive semilattices, called α -filters and α -order-ideals, respectively. In particular, we study α -filters and α -order-ideals in distributive quasicomplemented semilattices. We also characterize the filters-congruence-cokernels in distributive quasicomplemented semilattices through α -order-ideals.

Keywords: bounded distributive semilattice; quasicomplement; relative annihilator; order-ideal; filter

Classification: 06A12, 03G10

1. Introduction

If $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a bounded distributive lattice and $a, b \in A$, then the *annihilator of a relative to b* is the set $\langle a, b \rangle = \{x \in A : a \wedge x \leq b\}$. In [13] M. Mandelker studied the properties of relative annihilators and prove that a lattice \mathbf{A} is distributive if and only if $\langle a, b \rangle$ is an ideal of \mathbf{A} for all $a, b \in A$. These results were generalized by J. Varlet in [21] to the class of distributive semilattices. In particular, the annihilator of a relative to 0 is the set $a^\circ = \langle a, 0 \rangle = \{x \in A : a \wedge x = 0\}$, called *annihilator of a* or *annulet of a* . This concept was studied by W. Cornish in [8], [9], where introduces the notion of α -ideal in distributive lattices. A generalization of the concept of α -ideal in 0-distributive semilattices and 0-distributive lattices were studied in [16], [17].

On the other hand, in [20], [19], [10] the class of *distributive quasicomplemented lattices* was studied as a generalization of the variety of distributive pseudocomplemented lattices. A bounded distributive lattice \mathbf{A} is *quasicomplemented* if for each $a \in A$, there is $b \in A$ such that $a^{\circ\circ} = b^\circ$, where $a^{\circ\circ} = \{x \in A : \forall y \in a^\circ (x \wedge y = 0)\}$. Clearly, this class of lattices is not a variety, and in general, the element b is non-unique. This concept can be generalized to bounded semilattices in [12], [15], [18].

The main aim of this paper is to introduce and study the notions of α -filter and α -order-ideal in bounded distributive semilattices, which generalizes the results

given in [8], [9]. In particular, we investigate α -filters and α -order-ideals in the class of distributive quasicomplemented semilattices and we give some results on filters-congruence-cokernels through α -order-ideals.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some necessary definitions and results to make the paper self-contained. We recall the notion of annihilator and its properties in terms of irreducible and maximal filters given in [4], [5]. In Section 3 we present the concepts of α -filter and α -order-ideal in bounded distributive semilattices and give some properties. We prove a separation theorem between filters and α -order-ideals by means of irreducible α -filters. In Section 4 we study α -filters and α -order-ideals in distributive quasicomplemented semilattices. We prove that the set of all α -filters is a Heyting algebra isomorphic to the Heyting algebra of α -order-ideals. Finally, in Section 5, we study the filters-congruence-cokernels in distributive quasicomplemented semilattices. We prove that a subset I is an α -filter-congruence-cokernel if and only if it is an α -order-ideal.

2. Preliminaries

We give some necessary notations and definitions. Let $\langle X, \leq \rangle$ be a poset. A subset $U \subseteq X$ is said to be *increasing* (*decreasing*, respectively), if for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \in U$ ($y \in U$) and $x \leq y$, we have $y \in U$ ($x \in U$). The set of all subsets of X is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and the set of all increasing subsets of X is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_i(X)$. For each $Y \subseteq X$, the increasing (decreasing) set generated by Y is $[Y] = \{x \in X : \exists y \in Y (y \leq x)\}$ ($(Y) = \{x \in X : \exists y \in Y (x \leq y)\}$, respectively). If $Y = \{y\}$, then we will write $[y]$ and (y) instead of $[\{y\}]$ and $(\{y\})$, respectively.

A *meet-semilattice with greatest element*, or simply *semilattice*, is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, 1 \rangle$ of type $(2, 0)$ such that the operation “ \wedge ” is idempotent, commutative, associative and $a \wedge 1 = a$ for all $a \in A$. So, the binary relation “ \leq ” defined by $a \leq b$ if and only if $a \wedge b = a$ is an order. A *bounded semilattice* is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, 0, 1 \rangle$ of type $(2, 0, 0)$ such that $\langle A, \wedge, 1 \rangle$ is a semilattice and $a \wedge 0 = 0$ for all $a \in A$.

Let \mathbf{A} be a semilattice. A *filter* is a subset F of A such that $1 \in F$, F is increasing, and if $a, b \in F$, then $a \wedge b \in F$. The *filter generated by a subset* $X \subseteq A$ is the set $F(X) = \{a \in A : \exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in X (x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n \leq a)\}$. If $X = \{a\}$, then $F(\{a\}) = [a]$. Denote by $\text{Fi}(A)$ the set of all filters of A . Since $1 \in A$, it follows that $\langle \text{Fi}(A), \subseteq \rangle$ is a lattice. A proper filter P is *irreducible* if for every $F_1, F_2 \in \text{Fi}(A)$ such that $P = F_1 \cap F_2$, then $P = F_1$ or $P = F_2$. Note that a filter F is irreducible if and only if for every $a, b \notin F$, there exists $c \notin F$ and $f \in F$ such that $a \wedge f \leq c$ and $b \wedge f \leq c$. The set of all irreducible filters of A

is denoted by $X(A)$. A proper filter U is *maximal* if for any $G \in \text{Fi}(A)$ such that $U \subseteq G$, we have $G = U$ or $G = A$. Denote by $X_m(A)$ the set of all maximal filters of A . A subset I of A is an *order-ideal* if I is decreasing, and for every $a, b \in I$, there exists $c \in I$ such that $a \leq c$ and $b \leq c$. We denote by $\text{Id}(A)$ the set of all order-ideals of A . Finally, a proper order-ideal I is *prime* if $a \wedge b \in I$ implies $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. The following result was proved in [2].

Theorem 1. *Let \mathbf{A} be a semilattice. Let $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$ and $I \in \text{Id}(A)$ such that $F \cap I = \emptyset$. Then there exists $P \in X(A)$ such that $F \subseteq P$ and $P \cap I = \emptyset$.*

We are interested in a particular class of semilattices.

Definition 2. Let \mathbf{A} be a semilattice. We say that \mathbf{A} is *distributive* if for every $a, b, c \in A$ such that $a \wedge b \leq c$, there exist $a_1, b_1 \in A$ such that $a \leq a_1$, $b \leq b_1$ and $c = a_1 \wedge b_1$.

We denote by \mathcal{DS} and \mathcal{DS}_{01} the class of distributive semilattices and the class of bounded distributive semilattices, respectively. Note that \mathcal{DS} is not a variety. A lattice is distributive if and only if it is distributive as a semilattice, see [7], [11]. The next theorem was proved by G. Grätzer in [11].

Theorem 3. *Let \mathbf{A} be a semilattice. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The semilattice \mathbf{A} is distributive.*
- (2) *$\langle \text{Fi}(A), \subseteq \rangle$, considered as a lattice, is distributive.*

In [6] it was proved that if \mathbf{A} is a distributive semilattice, then the structure $\text{Fi}(\mathbf{A}) = \langle \text{Fi}(A), \vee, \bar{\wedge}, \rightarrow, \{1\}, A \rangle$ is a Heyting algebra where the least element is $\{1\}$, the greatest element is A , $G \vee H = F(G \cup H)$, $G \bar{\wedge} H = G \cap H$ and

$$G \rightarrow H = \{a \in A: [a] \cap G \subseteq H\}$$

for all $G, H \in \text{Fi}(A)$.

Remark 4. If $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}$, then every maximal filter U is irreducible. Indeed, let $F_1, F_2 \in \text{Fi}(A)$ be such that $U = F_1 \cap F_2$. Suppose that $U \subset F_1$ and $U \subset F_2$. Since U is maximal, we have $F_1 = F_2 = A$ and $U = A$, which is a contradiction because U is proper. Thus, $X_m(A) \subseteq X(A)$.

Let \mathbf{A} be a bounded semilattice and $a \in A$. The *annihilator* of a is the set

$$a^\circ = \{x \in A: a \wedge x = 0\}.$$

The annihilators were studied by several authors in [13], [4], [17], [5], [9], [10]. In general, a° is a decreasing subset, but not an order-ideal. Later, \mathbf{A} is distributive if and only if a° is an order-ideal for all $a \in A$. For more details see [21], [7]. We note that if \mathbf{A} is pseudocomplemented, i.e., if for every $a \in A$ there exists $a^* \in A$ such that $a \wedge x = 0$ if and only if $x \leq a^*$, then $a^\circ = (a^*)$.

If $X \subseteq A$, then we define the *annihilator* of X as the set

$$X^\circ = \{x \in A : \forall y \in X (x \wedge y = 0)\} = \bigcap \{x^\circ : x \in X\}.$$

In particular, $a^{\circ\circ} = \{x \in A : \forall y \in a^\circ (x \wedge y = 0)\} = \bigcap \{x^\circ : x \in a^\circ\}$ for all $a \in A$. In the following result we remember some properties of the annihilators in bounded distributive semilattices.

Lemma 5. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$. Let $a, b \in A$ and $P \in X(A)$. We have the following properties:*

- (1) *If $a \in b^\circ$, then $b^{\circ\circ} \subseteq a^\circ$.*
- (2) *$(a \wedge b)^{\circ\circ} = a^{\circ\circ} \cap b^{\circ\circ}$.*
- (3) *$a^\circ \cap P = \emptyset$ if and only if there exists $Q \in X(A)$ such that $P \subseteq Q$ and $a \in Q$.*
- (4) *$a^\circ \cap P = \emptyset$ if and only if there exists $U \in X_m(A)$ such that $P \subseteq U$ and $a \in U$.*
- (5) *$U \in X_m(A)$ if and only if $U \in \text{Fi}(A)$ and $\forall a \in A (a \notin U \iff a^\circ \cap U \neq \emptyset)$.*
- (6) *If $U \in X_m(A)$, then $\forall a \in A (a \notin U \iff a^{\circ\circ} \cap U = \emptyset)$.*

PROOF: We only prove (1) and (2). The rest can be seen in [4], [5].

(1) Let $x \in b^{\circ\circ} = \bigcap \{y^\circ : y \in b^\circ\}$. In particular, $a \in b^\circ$ and $x \in a^\circ$. Then $b^{\circ\circ} \subseteq a^\circ$.

(2) Let $x \in (a \wedge b)^{\circ\circ}$. Let $y \in a^\circ$. Since $a \wedge b \leq a$, we have $a^\circ \subseteq (a \wedge b)^\circ$. Thus, $y \in (a \wedge b)^\circ$ and as $x \in (a \wedge b)^{\circ\circ}$, it follows that $y \wedge x = 0$, i.e., $x \in a^{\circ\circ}$. Similarly, $x \in b^{\circ\circ}$ and $(a \wedge b)^{\circ\circ} \subseteq a^{\circ\circ} \cap b^{\circ\circ}$.

Conversely, let $x \in a^{\circ\circ} \cap b^{\circ\circ}$. If $y \in (a \wedge b)^\circ$, then $y \wedge (a \wedge b) = (y \wedge a) \wedge b = 0$ and $y \wedge a \in b^\circ$. Since $x \in b^{\circ\circ}$, $x \wedge (y \wedge a) = (x \wedge y) \wedge a = 0$ and $x \wedge y \in a^\circ$. Again, as $x \in a^{\circ\circ}$, we have $x \wedge (x \wedge y) = x \wedge y = 0$ and $x \in (a \wedge b)^{\circ\circ}$. So, $a^{\circ\circ} \cap b^{\circ\circ} \subseteq (a \wedge b)^{\circ\circ}$. \square

Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$. Consider $\langle X(A), \subseteq \rangle$ and the map $\varphi_{\mathbf{A}} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_i(X(A))$ given by $\varphi_{\mathbf{A}}(a) = \{P \in X(A) : a \in P\}$. It follows that \mathbf{A} is isomorphic to the subalgebra $\varphi_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathbf{A}] = \{\varphi_{\mathbf{A}}(a) : a \in A\}$ of $\mathcal{P}_i(X(A))$. Later, for each $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$, we define

$$\phi[F] = \{P \in X(A) : F \subseteq P\}.$$

So, $\phi[F] = \bigcap \{\varphi_{\mathbf{A}}(a) : a \in F\}$. Also, if $Y \subseteq A$, then

$$\psi[Y] = \{P \in X(A) : Y \cap P \neq \emptyset\} = \bigcup \{\varphi_{\mathbf{A}}(a) : a \in Y\}.$$

Remark 6. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$. By Lemma 5, we have that:

- (1) $\varphi_{\mathbf{A}}(a)^c \cap X_m(A) = \psi[a^\circ] \cap X_m(A)$.
- (2) $\varphi_{\mathbf{A}}(a) \cap X_m(A) = \psi[a^{\circ\circ}] \cap X_m(A)$.

3. α -filters and α -order-ideals in \mathcal{DS}_{01}

In this section we introduce the classes of α -filters and α -order-ideals in bounded distributive semilattices. In particular, we give a separation theorem between filters and α -order-ideals by means of irreducible α -filters.

Definition 7. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$ and $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$. We say that F is an α -filter if $a^\circ \cap F \neq \emptyset$ implies that $a \in F$ for all $a \in A$.

Denote by $\text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$ and $\text{X}_\alpha(A)$ the set of all α -filters and irreducible α -filters of A , respectively.

Example 8. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$. It follows that the set $D(A) = \{a \in A : a^\circ = \{0\}\}$, called the set of *dense elements*, is a filter of A . Then, $D(A)$ is an α -filter. Indeed, if $a^\circ \cap D(A) \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $x \in a^\circ$ such that $x^\circ = \{0\}$. So, by Lemma 5, $a^\circ \subseteq x^\circ = \{0\}$ and $a \in D(A)$. Moreover, the α -filter $D(A)$ is the smallest α -filter of A . Let $F \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$. If $a \in D(A)$, then $a^\circ = \{0\}$ and $a^\circ \cap F = \{0\} \neq \emptyset$ and since F is an α -filter, we have $a \in F$. Therefore, $D(A) \subseteq F$ for all $F \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$.

Lemma 9. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$ and $I \in \text{Id}(A)$. Then

$$F_I = \{a \in A : \exists x \in I (a^\circ \subseteq x^{\circ\circ})\}$$

is an α -filter.

PROOF: It is clear that $1 \in F_I$ and F_I is an increasing subset of A . Let $a, b \in F_I$. Then there exist $x, y \in I$ such that $a^\circ \subseteq x^{\circ\circ}$ and $b^\circ \subseteq y^{\circ\circ}$. So, $x^\circ \subseteq a^\circ$ and $y^\circ \subseteq b^\circ$. By Lemma 5, $x^\circ \cap y^\circ \subseteq a^\circ \cap b^\circ = (a \wedge b)^\circ$. As I is an order-ideal, there is $z \in I$ such that $x \leq z$ and $y \leq z$. It follows that $z^\circ \subseteq x^\circ \cap y^\circ \subseteq (a \wedge b)^\circ$, i.e., $(a \wedge b)^\circ \subseteq z^{\circ\circ}$ and $a \wedge b \in F_I$. Thus, $F_I \in \text{Fi}(A)$. We see that F_I is an α -filter. Let $a \in A$ be such that $a^\circ \cap F_I \neq \emptyset$. Then there is $b \in a^\circ$ such that $b \in F_I$. Then $a^\circ \subseteq b^\circ$ and there is $x \in I$ such that $b^\circ \subseteq x^{\circ\circ}$. So, $a^\circ \subseteq x^{\circ\circ}$ and $a \in F_I$. Therefore, F_I is an α -filter. \square

Lemma 10. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$ and $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$. We have the following properties:

- (1) If $\phi[F] \subseteq \text{X}_m(A)$, then F is an α -filter.
- (2) $\text{X}_m(A) \subseteq \text{X}_\alpha(A)$.

PROOF: (1) Let $a \in A$ be such that $a^\circ \cap F \neq \emptyset$. If $a \notin F$, by Theorem 1 there exists $P \in \text{X}(A)$ such that $F \subseteq P$ and $a \notin P$. So, $P \in \phi[F]$ and $P \in \text{X}_m(A)$. Since $a \notin P$, by Lemma 5, we have $a^\circ \cap P = \emptyset$ and $a^\circ \cap F = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Then $a \in F$ and F is an α -filter.

(2) It follows by Lemma 5. \square

Remark 11. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$ and $P \in \text{X}(A)$. If \mathbf{A} is pseudocomplemented, then $a^\circ = (a^*)$ and $a^{\circ\circ} = (a^{**})$. Thus, the condition $a^\circ \cap P \neq \emptyset$ is equivalent to

$a^{**} \in P$ and by the results developed in [3], P is maximal if and only if $a^{**} \in P$ implies that $a \in P$ for all $a \in A$. Then, if \mathbf{A} is pseudocomplemented, we have that $X_m(A) = X_\alpha(A)$. In particular, this result is also valid if \mathbf{A} is a distributive pseudocomplemented lattice, see [1].

Theorem 12. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$ and $X \subseteq A$. Then*

$$(\star) \quad F_\alpha(X) = \{a \in A : a^{\circ\circ} \cap F(X) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is the smallest α -filter containing X .

PROOF: Since $1^{\circ\circ} = A$, we have $1^{\circ\circ} \cap F(X) \neq \emptyset$ and $1 \in F_\alpha(X)$. Let $a, b \in A$ be such that $a \leq b$ and $a \in F_\alpha(X)$. It follows that $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq b^{\circ\circ}$ and $a^{\circ\circ} \cap F(X) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $b^{\circ\circ} \cap F(X) \neq \emptyset$ and $b \in F_\alpha(X)$. Let $a, b \in F_\alpha(X)$. So, $a^{\circ\circ} \cap F(X) \neq \emptyset$ and $b^{\circ\circ} \cap F(X) \neq \emptyset$, i.e., there exist $f_1, f_2 \in F(X)$ such that $f_1 \in a^{\circ\circ}$ and $f_2 \in b^{\circ\circ}$. Then, by Lemma 5, we have $f_1 \wedge f_2 \in a^{\circ\circ} \cap b^{\circ\circ} \cap F(X) = (a \wedge b)^{\circ\circ} \cap F(X)$, i.e., $(a \wedge b)^{\circ\circ} \cap F(X) \neq \emptyset$ and $a \wedge b \in F_\alpha(X)$. Then $F_\alpha(X) \in \text{Fi}(A)$. We see that $F_\alpha(X)$ is an α -filter. Let $a \in A$ be such that $a^{\circ\circ} \cap F_\alpha(X) \neq \emptyset$. So, there exists $x \in a^{\circ\circ}$ such that $x^{\circ\circ} \cap F(X) \neq \emptyset$. Then $x^{\circ\circ} \subseteq a^{\circ\circ}$ and $a^{\circ\circ} \cap F(X) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $a \in F_\alpha(X)$ and $F_\alpha(X)$ is an α -filter. Since $a \in a^{\circ\circ}$, it follows that $X \subseteq F_\alpha(X)$. Finally, let $H \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$ be such that $X \subseteq H$. If $a \in F_\alpha(X)$, then $a^{\circ\circ} \cap F(X) \neq \emptyset$ and $a^{\circ\circ} \cap H \neq \emptyset$. As H is an α -filter, $a \in H$ and $F_\alpha(X) \subseteq H$. \square

It is easy to see that (\star) is equivalent to

$$F_\alpha(X) = \{a \in A : \exists f \in F(X)(a^\circ \subseteq f^\circ)\}.$$

Throughout this paper we will use the two characterizations. Moreover, by Theorem 12, a filter F is an α -filter if and only if $F_\alpha(F) = F$. If $X = \{a\}$, we write simply $F_\alpha(\{a\}) = [a]_\alpha$. Note that

$$[a]_\alpha = \{b \in A : b^{\circ\circ} \cap [a] \neq \emptyset\} = \{b \in A : a \in b^{\circ\circ}\} = \{b \in A : b^\circ \subseteq a^\circ\}.$$

Lemma 13. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$ and $a, b \in A$. We have the following properties:*

- (1) *If $a \leq b$, then $[b]_\alpha \subseteq [a]_\alpha$.*
- (2) *$[a]_\alpha = A$ if and only if $a = 0$.*
- (3) *$[a]_\alpha = D(A)$ if and only if $a \in D(A)$.*
- (4) *If $a \wedge b = 0$, then $[a]_\alpha \vee [b]_\alpha = A$.*
- (5) *$[a]_\alpha = [b]_\alpha$ if and only if $a^\circ = b^\circ$.*
- (6) *If $[a]_\alpha = [b]_\alpha$, then $[a \wedge c]_\alpha = [b \wedge c]_\alpha$ for all $c \in A$.*

PROOF: It is left to the reader. \square

We have the following result that characterizes the α -filters.

Proposition 14. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$ and $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $F \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$.
- (2) If $a \in F$, then $[a]_\alpha \subseteq F$.
- (3) If $[a]_\alpha = [b]_\alpha$ and $a \in F$, then $b \in F$.
- (4) $F = \bigcup\{[a]_\alpha : a \in F\}$.

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2) If $b \in [a]_\alpha$, then $b^\circ \subseteq a^\circ$ and $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq b^{\circ\circ}$. Since $a \in a^{\circ\circ}$, we have $a^{\circ\circ} \cap F \neq \emptyset$. So, $b^{\circ\circ} \cap F \neq \emptyset$ and as F is an α -filter, $b \in F$. Then $[a]_\alpha \subseteq F$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) It is immediate.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Since $[a] \subseteq [a]_\alpha$ for all $a \in A$, we have $F \subseteq \bigcup\{[a]_\alpha : a \in F\}$. Conversely, if $b \in \bigcup\{[a]_\alpha : a \in F\}$, then there exists $a \in F$ such that $b \in [a]_\alpha$, i.e., $b^\circ \subseteq a^\circ$ and $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq b^{\circ\circ}$. So, $a^{\circ\circ} = a^{\circ\circ} \cap b^{\circ\circ} = (a \wedge b)^{\circ\circ}$ and $[a]_\alpha = [a \wedge b]_\alpha$. By hypothesis, $a \wedge b \in F$ and $b \in F$. Therefore, $F = \bigcup\{[a]_\alpha : a \in F\}$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Let $b \in A$ be such that $b^{\circ\circ} \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Then there is $f \in F$ such that $f \in b^{\circ\circ}$. So, $b^\circ \subseteq f^\circ$. As $F = \bigcup\{[a]_\alpha : a \in F\}$, there exists $a \in F$ such that $f \in [a]_\alpha$, i.e., $f^\circ \subseteq a^\circ$. Thus, $b^\circ \subseteq a^\circ$ and $b \in [a]_\alpha \subseteq F$. We conclude that F is an α -filter. \square

Now, we define the notion of α -order-ideal.

Definition 15. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$ and $I \in \text{Id}(A)$. We say that I is an α -order-ideal if $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq I$ for all $a \in I$.

Denote by $\text{Id}_\alpha(A)$ the set of all α -order-ideals of A .

Example 16. If $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$, then a° is an α -order-ideal for all $a \in A$.

Example 17. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$ and $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$. We consider the set

$$I_F = \{a \in A : \exists f \in F (a \in f^\circ)\}.$$

Then I_F is an α -order-ideal. It is easy to see that I_F is decreasing. Let $a, b \in I_F$. So, there exist $f_1, f_2 \in F$ such that $a \in f_1^\circ$ and $b \in f_2^\circ$. As F is a filter, $f = f_1 \wedge f_2 \in F$. It follows that $a, b \in f^\circ$. Since \mathbf{A} is distributive, f° is an order-ideal and there exists $c \in f^\circ$ such that $a \leq c$ and $b \leq c$. It is clear that $c \in I_F$ and I_F is an order-ideal. Now, we prove that I_F is an α -order-ideal. Let $a \in I_F$. Then there is $f \in F$ such that $a \in f^\circ$. If $x \in a^{\circ\circ}$, then $x \in \bigcap\{y^\circ : y \in a^\circ\}$. By Lemma 5, $f^{\circ\circ} \subseteq a^\circ$ and $f \in a^\circ$. Thus, $x \in f^\circ$ and $x \in I_F$. Therefore, $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq I_F$. In addition, note that if F is proper, then $F \cap I_F = \emptyset$. If $a \in F \cap I_F$, then there is $f \in F$ such that $a \in f^\circ$. By Lemma 5, $f^{\circ\circ} \subseteq a^\circ$ and $f \in a^\circ$, i.e., $f \wedge a = 0 \in F$, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 18. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$ and $I \in \text{Id}(A)$. If $X(A) - \psi[I] \subseteq X_m(A)$, then I is an α -order-ideal.*

PROOF: Let $a \in I$ and suppose that $a^{\circ\circ} \not\subseteq I$, i.e., there is $x \in a^{\circ\circ}$ such that $x \notin I$. By Theorem 1, there exists $P \in \mathbf{X}(A)$ such that $x \in P$ and $P \cap I = \emptyset$. Thus, $P \in \mathbf{X}(A) - \psi[I]$ and $P \in \mathbf{X}_m(A)$. It follows that $x \in a^{\circ\circ} \cap P$, i.e., $a^{\circ\circ} \cap P \neq \emptyset$. Then, by Lemma 5, we have $a \in P$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq I$ and I is an α -order-ideal. \square

Proposition 19. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$ and $I \in \text{Id}(A)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $I \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$.
- (2) If $a^\circ = b^\circ$ and $a \in I$, then $b \in I$.
- (3) $I = \bigcup \{a^{\circ\circ} : a \in I\}$.

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $a, b \in A$ be such that $a^\circ = b^\circ$ and $a \in I$. So, $a^{\circ\circ} = b^{\circ\circ}$ and since I is an α -order-ideal, we have $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq I$. Then $b^{\circ\circ} \subseteq I$ and $b \in I$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) As $a \in a^{\circ\circ}$ for all $a \in A$, it is immediate that $I \subseteq \bigcup \{a^{\circ\circ} : a \in I\}$. Inversely, if $x \in \bigcup \{a^{\circ\circ} : a \in I\}$, then there is $b \in I$ such that $x \in b^{\circ\circ}$. Thus, by Lemma 5, $x^{\circ\circ} \subseteq b^{\circ\circ}$ and $x^\circ = (x \wedge b)^\circ$, i.e., $x^\circ = (x \wedge b)^\circ$. Since $b \in I$, $x \wedge b \in I$ and by hypothesis, $x \in I$. Therefore, $I = \bigcup \{a^{\circ\circ} : a \in I\}$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $b \in I$ and $x \in b^{\circ\circ}$. Then $x \in \bigcup \{a^{\circ\circ} : a \in I\} = I$, i.e., $x \in I$. So, $b^{\circ\circ} \subseteq I$ and I is an α -order-ideal. \square

In [14] the author develops a theorem of separation in 0-distributive posets. Now, we prove a separation theorem between filters and α -order-ideals by means of irreducible α -filters in the class of bounded distributive semilattices. The following theorem will be used in Theorem 24.

Theorem 20. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$. Let $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$ and $I \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$ such that $F \cap I = \emptyset$. Then there exists $P \in \mathbf{X}_\alpha(A)$ such that $F \subseteq P$ and $P \cap I = \emptyset$.*

PROOF: Let us consider the set $\mathcal{F} = \{H \in \text{Fi}(A) : F \subseteq H \text{ and } H \cap I = \emptyset\}$. Since $F \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$. The union of a chain of elements of \mathcal{F} is also in \mathcal{F} . Then, by Zorn's lemma, there exists a filter P maximal in \mathcal{F} . We prove that $P \in \mathbf{X}_\alpha(A)$. Let $F_1, F_2 \in \text{Fi}(A)$ be such that $P = F_1 \cap F_2$. So, $F_1, F_2 \notin \mathcal{F}$, i.e., $F_1 \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $F_2 \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist $x, y \in I$ such that $x \in F_1$ and $y \in F_2$. As I is an order-ideal, there is $z \in I$ such that $x \leq z$ and $y \leq z$. Thus, $z \in P \cap I$, which is a contradiction. Then P is irreducible. Now, we prove that P is an α -filter. Let $a \in A$ be such that $a^{\circ\circ} \cap P \neq \emptyset$ and suppose that $a \notin P$. Let $F = F(P \cup \{a\})$. Then $F \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $F \cap I \neq \emptyset$, i.e., there exists $p \in P$ such that $p \wedge a \in I$. Since I is an α -order-ideal, $(p \wedge a)^{\circ\circ} \subseteq I$. On the other hand, as $a^{\circ\circ} \cap P \neq \emptyset$, there is $b \in A$ such that $b \in a^{\circ\circ} \cap P$. It follows that

$$p \wedge b \in p^{\circ\circ} \cap a^{\circ\circ} = (p \wedge a)^{\circ\circ} \subseteq I.$$

So, $p \wedge b \in P \cap I$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, P is an irreducible α -filter. \square

Corollary 21. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$. Then every proper α -order-ideal is the intersection of prime α -order-ideals.*

PROOF: Let I be a proper α -order-ideal of A . For each $a \notin I$, we have $[a] \cap I = \emptyset$. By Theorem 20, there exists $P_a \in X_\alpha(A)$ such that $a \in P_a$ and $P_a \cap I = \emptyset$. Since \mathbf{A} is distributive, P_a^c is an order-ideal. As P_a is an α -filter, we have that P_a^c is a prime α -order-ideal. Thus, $I = \bigcap \{P_a^c : P_a \in X_\alpha(A) \text{ and } a \notin I\}$. \square

4. Distributive quasicomplemented semilattices

The concept of quasicomplement in bounded distributive lattices was studied by T. Speed in [19] and W. Cornish in [10] as a generalization of the class of distributive pseudocomplemented lattices. In this section we give a characterization of distributive quasicomplemented semilattices and study the concepts of α -filter and α -order-ideal in distributive quasicomplemented semilattices.

Definition 22. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$. We say that \mathbf{A} is *quasicomplemented* if for each $a \in A$, there exists $b \in A$ such that $a^{\circ\circ} = b^\circ$.

We denote by \mathcal{QDS} the class of distributive quasicomplemented semilattices.

Theorem 23. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{QDS}$.
- (2) For every $a \in A$, there exists $b \in A$ such that

$$\psi[a^{\circ\circ}] \cap X_m(A) = \psi[b^\circ] \cap X_m(A).$$

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2) If $a \in A$, then there exists $b \in A$ such that $a^{\circ\circ} = b^\circ$. It is immediate to see that $\psi[a^{\circ\circ}] \cap X_m(A) = \psi[b^\circ] \cap X_m(A)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $a \in A$. Then, by hypothesis, there exists $b \in A$ such that $\psi[a^{\circ\circ}] \cap X_m(A) = \psi[b^\circ] \cap X_m(A)$. We prove that $a^{\circ\circ} = b^\circ$. Let $x \in a^{\circ\circ}$ and suppose that $x \notin b^\circ$. By Theorem 1, there exists $P \in X(A)$ such that $b^\circ \cap P = \emptyset$ and $x \in P$. So, by Lemma 5, there exists $U \in X_m(A)$ such that $P \subseteq U$ and $b \in U$. Then $x \in a^{\circ\circ} \cap U$, i.e., $U \in \psi[a^{\circ\circ}] \cap X_m(A) = \psi[b^\circ] \cap X_m(A)$. It follows that $b^\circ \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $b \notin U$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $x \in b^\circ$ and $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq b^\circ$. Now, let $x \in b^\circ$ and suppose that $x \notin a^{\circ\circ} = \bigcap \{y^\circ : y \in a^\circ\}$. Then there is $y \in a^\circ$ such that $x \notin y^\circ$. By Theorem 1, there exists $P \in X(A)$ such that $y^\circ \cap P = \emptyset$ and $x \in P$. So, by Lemma 5, there exists $U \in X_m(A)$ such that $P \subseteq U$ and $y \in U$. Then $x \in b^\circ \cap U$, i.e., $U \in \psi[b^\circ] \cap X_m(A) = \psi[a^{\circ\circ}] \cap X_m(A)$ and $a^{\circ\circ} \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Thus, there exists $z \in a^{\circ\circ}$ such that $z \in U$. On the other hand, $y \in a^\circ \cap U$ and

$z \wedge y = 0 \in U$. Then $U = A$, which is a contradiction. It follows that $x \in a^{\circ\circ}$ and $b^\circ \subseteq a^{\circ\circ}$. Therefore, $a^{\circ\circ} = b^\circ$ and \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented. \square

Now, we will see some consequences of Theorem 20. We define operations of infimum “ \sqcap ”, supremum “ \sqcup ”, and implication “ \Rightarrow ” in the set of all α -filters $\text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} G \sqcap H &= G \cap H, \\ G \sqcup H &= F_\alpha(G \vee H), \\ G \Rightarrow H &= F_\alpha(G \rightarrow H) \end{aligned}$$

for each pair $G, H \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$. By Theorem 12, $G \sqcap H, G \sqcup H, G \Rightarrow H \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$ for all $G, H \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$. By Example 8, we consider the structure

$$\text{Fi}_\alpha(\mathbf{A}) = \langle \text{Fi}_\alpha(A), \sqcup, \sqcap, \Rightarrow, D(A), A \rangle.$$

Theorem 24. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{QDS}$. Then $\text{Fi}_\alpha(\mathbf{A})$ is a Heyting algebra.*

PROOF: Let $G, H \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$. It is immediate that $G \sqcap H$ is the infimum of G and H . We prove that $G \sqcup H = F_\alpha(G \vee H)$ is the supremum of G and H . Note that $F_\alpha(G \vee H) = F_\alpha(G \cup H)$. It is clear that $G \cup H$ is an upper bound of G and H . Let $K \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$ be such that $G \subseteq K$ and $H \subseteq K$. If $a \in G \cup H = F_\alpha(G \vee H)$, then $a^{\circ\circ} \cap F(G \cup H) \neq \emptyset$, i.e., there are $g \in G$ and $h \in H$ such that $g \wedge h \in a^{\circ\circ}$. On the other hand, $g, h \in K$ and $g \wedge h \in K$. So, $a^{\circ\circ} \cap K \neq \emptyset$ and since K is an α -filter, we have $a \in K$. Then $G \cup H$ is the supremum of G and H .

We see that $G \sqcap H \subseteq K$ if and only if $G \subseteq H \Rightarrow K$ for all $G, H, K \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$. Suppose that $G \sqcap H \subseteq K$. If $x \in G$, then $[x] \cap H \subseteq G \cap H \subseteq K$, i.e., $[x] \cap H \subseteq K$. So, $x \in H \rightarrow K$. Thus, $x \in F_\alpha(H \rightarrow K) = H \Rightarrow K$ and $G \subseteq H \Rightarrow K$. Reciprocally, we assume that $G \subseteq H \Rightarrow K$. Let $x \in G \sqcap H$. Then $x \in G$ and by hypothesis, $x \in H \Rightarrow K = F_\alpha(H \rightarrow K)$ and there exists $f \in H \rightarrow K$, i.e., $[f] \cap H \subseteq K$, such that $x^\circ \subseteq f^\circ$. Suppose that $x \notin K$. Since K is an α -filter, we have $x^{\circ\circ} \cap K = \emptyset$. As \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there exists $y \in A$ such that $x^{\circ\circ} = y^\circ$. So, $y^\circ \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$ and by Theorem 20 there exists $P \in X_\alpha(A)$ such that $K \subseteq P$ and $P \cap y^\circ = \emptyset$, i.e., $P \cap x^{\circ\circ} = \emptyset$. It follows that $f^{\circ\circ} \subseteq x^{\circ\circ}$ and $x, f \in x^{\circ\circ}$. Then $x, f \in P^c$ and since \mathbf{A} is distributive, P^c is an order-ideal. Then there is $p \in P^c$ such that $x \leq p$ and $f \leq p$. On the other hand, $x \in H$ and $p \in H$. Thus, $p \in [f] \cap H \subseteq K \subseteq P$ and $p \in P$, which is a contradiction. Then $x \in K$ and $G \sqcap H \subseteq K$. Therefore, $\text{Fi}_\alpha(\mathbf{A})$ is a Heyting algebra. \square

Remark 25. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$. If \mathbf{A} is pseudocomplemented, $\text{R}(A) = \{a^* : a \in A\}$ is the set of all *regular elements* of A . So, $\text{R}(A) = \{a \in A : a = a^{**}\}$ and $a^{**} \in \text{R}(A)$ for all $a \in A$. If we consider the binary operation $a \vee b = (a^* \wedge b^*)^*$

for each $a, b \in A$, then we have that

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{A}) = \langle \mathbf{R}(A), \vee, \wedge, *, 0, 1 \rangle$$

is a Boolean algebra, see [7]. On the other hand, recall that the set of all filters of a Boolean algebra has a structure of Heyting algebra, see [1]. We denote by $\text{Fi}(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{A})) = \langle \text{Fi}(\mathbf{R}(A)), \vee, \bar{}, \rightarrow, \{1\}, \mathbf{R}(A) \rangle$ the Heyting algebra of filters of the Boolean algebra $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{A})$. Then, $\text{Fi}_\alpha(\mathbf{A})$ is isomorphic to the Heyting algebra $\text{Fi}(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{A}))$. If we define $\lambda: \text{Fi}_\alpha(A) \rightarrow \text{Fi}(\mathbf{R}(A))$ given by $\lambda(F) = F \cap \mathbf{R}(A)$, then λ is well-defined, $\lambda(F \sqcap G) = \lambda(F) \bar{} \lambda(G)$, $\lambda(F \sqcup G) = \lambda(F) \vee \lambda(G)$ and $\lambda(F \Rightarrow G) = \lambda(F) \rightarrow \lambda(G)$ for all $F, G \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$. Let $F, G \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$ such that $\lambda(F) = \lambda(G)$ and $a \in F$. Since $a \leq a^{**}$, we have $a^{**} \in F$. So, $a^{**} \in F \cap \mathbf{R}(A) = \lambda(F) = \lambda(G)$, i.e., $a^{**} \in G$. As G is an α -filter, $a \in G$ and $F \subseteq G$. The other inclusion is similar and λ is 1-1. Let $H \in \text{Fi}(\mathbf{R}(A))$. Then $F_\alpha(H) \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \lambda(F_\alpha(H)) &\iff a \in F_\alpha(H) \cap \mathbf{R}(A) \\ &\iff a^{**} \in H \text{ and } a \in \mathbf{R}(A) \\ &\iff a \in H. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, λ is onto and therefore λ is an isomorphism.

In every distributive pseudocomplemented lattice the filter of dense elements is the intersection of maximal filters, see [1]. We see that the filter $D(A)$ is the intersection of irreducible α -filters in any distributive quasicomplemented semilattice.

Lemma 26. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{QDS}$. Then $D(A) = \bigcap \{P : P \in X_\alpha(A)\}$.*

PROOF: By Example 8, $D(A) \subseteq F$ for all $F \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$. In particular, we have $D(A) \subseteq \bigcap \{P : P \in X_\alpha(A)\}$. We prove the other inclusion. Suppose there is $a \in \bigcap \{P : P \in X_\alpha(A)\}$ such that $a \notin D(A)$. Since $D(A)$ is an α -filter, $a^{\circ\circ} \cap D(A) = \emptyset$. As \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there exists $b \in A$ such that $a^{\circ\circ} = b^\circ$. So, $b^\circ \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$ and by Theorem 20 there exists $Q \in X_\alpha(A)$ such that $D(A) \subseteq Q$ and $Q \cap b^\circ = \emptyset$, i.e., $Q \cap a^{\circ\circ} = \emptyset$. It follows that $a \notin Q$, which is a contradiction because $a \in \bigcap \{P : P \in X_\alpha(A)\}$. Therefore, we have $D(A) = \bigcap \{P : P \in X_\alpha(A)\}$. \square

In Lemma 10 we proved that every maximal filter is an α -filter. Now, we see that in the class \mathcal{QDS} the reciprocal is also valid.

Lemma 27. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{QDS}$. Then $X_m(A) = X_\alpha(A)$.*

PROOF: By Lemma 10, $X_m(A) \subseteq X_\alpha(A)$. We prove the other inclusion. Let $P \in X_\alpha(A)$ and $a \in A$ be such that $a \notin P$. Since \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there is $b \in A$ such that $a^{\circ\circ} = b^\circ$. So, $b \in a^\circ$. Note that $[a] \cap [b] \subseteq D(A)$. Indeed, if

$x \in [a] \cap [b]$ and $y \in x^\circ$, then $a \wedge y = 0$ and $b \wedge y = 0$, i.e., $y \in a^\circ$ and $y \in b^\circ = a^{\circ\circ}$. Thus, $y = 0$ and $x^\circ = \{0\}$. So, $[a] \cap [b] \subseteq D(A)$ and by Lemma 26, $[a] \cap [b] \subseteq P$. Since P is irreducible and $a \notin P$, we have $b \in P$. It follows that $b \in a^\circ \cap P$, i.e., $a^\circ \cap P \neq \emptyset$. Conversely, it is easy to see that if $a^\circ \cap P \neq \emptyset$, then $a \notin P$. Therefore, by Lemma 5, $P \in X_m(A)$. \square

Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$ and $I \in \text{Id}(A)$. We consider

$$I_\alpha(I) = \{a \in A : \exists x \in I(a \in x^{\circ\circ})\} = \{a \in A : \exists x \in I(x^\circ \subseteq a^\circ)\}.$$

It is clear that $I_\alpha([a]) = a^{\circ\circ}$ for all $a \in A$.

Theorem 28. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{QDS}$ and $I \in \text{Id}(A)$. Then $I_\alpha(I)$ is the smallest α -order-ideal containing I .*

PROOF: It is easy to see that $I \subseteq I_\alpha(I)$ and that $I_\alpha(I)$ is decreasing. Let $a, b \in I_\alpha(I)$. Then there exist $x, y \in I$ such that $a \in x^{\circ\circ}$ and $b \in y^{\circ\circ}$. As I is an order-ideal, there is $z \in I$ such that $x \leq z$ and $y \leq z$. So, $x^{\circ\circ} \subseteq z^{\circ\circ}$ and $y^{\circ\circ} \subseteq z^{\circ\circ}$. Then $a, b \in z^{\circ\circ}$. On the other hand, since \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there is $w_z \in A$ such that $z^{\circ\circ} = w_z^\circ$. Thus, $a, b \in w_z^\circ$ and as w_z° is an order-ideal, there is $c \in w_z^\circ$ such that $a \leq c$ and $b \leq c$. It follows that $z \in I$ and $c \in z^{\circ\circ}$, i.e., $c \in I_\alpha(I)$. Then $I_\alpha(I)$ is an order-ideal. We prove that $I_\alpha(I)$ is an α -order-ideal. Let $a \in I_\alpha(I)$. Then there is $x \in I$ such that $x^\circ \subseteq a^\circ$. So, $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq x^{\circ\circ}$. If $y \in a^{\circ\circ}$, then $y \in x^{\circ\circ}$ and $y \in I_\alpha(I)$, i.e., $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq I_\alpha(I)$ and $I_\alpha(I)$ is an α -order-ideal. Finally, let $H \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$ such that $I \subseteq H$. If $a \in I_\alpha(I)$, then there is $x \in I$ such that $a \in x^{\circ\circ}$. Thus, $x \in H$ and since H is an α -order-ideal, $x^{\circ\circ} \subseteq H$. Therefore, $a \in H$ and $I_\alpha(I) \subseteq H$. We proved that $I_\alpha(I)$ is the smallest α -order-ideal containing I . \square

The following technical result will be useful.

Lemma 29. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{QDS}$. Let $P \in X_m(A)$ and $a, b \in A$. If $(a^\circ \cap b^\circ)^\circ \cap P \neq \emptyset$, then $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.*

PROOF: As \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there exist $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in A$ such that $a^\circ = (\tilde{a})^{\circ\circ}$ and $b^\circ = (\tilde{b})^{\circ\circ}$. So,

$$(a^\circ \cap b^\circ)^\circ \cap P = ((\tilde{a})^{\circ\circ} \cap (\tilde{b})^{\circ\circ})^\circ \cap P = ((\tilde{a} \wedge \tilde{b})^{\circ\circ})^\circ \cap P = (\tilde{a} \wedge \tilde{b})^\circ \cap P \neq \emptyset.$$

Then, by Lemma 5, $\tilde{a} \wedge \tilde{b} \notin P$ and $\tilde{a} \notin P$ or $\tilde{b} \notin P$. If $\tilde{a} \notin P$, then $(\tilde{a})^\circ \cap P \neq \emptyset$ and $a^{\circ\circ} \cap P \neq \emptyset$. It follows by Lemma 5 that $a \in P$. Analogously, if $\tilde{b} \notin P$, then $b \in P$. Therefore, we conclude that $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. \square

On $\text{Id}_\alpha(A)$ we define the binary operations

$$I \uplus J = \{a \in A : \exists (x, y) \in I \times J [a \in (x^\circ \cap y^\circ)^\circ]\}$$

and $I \pitchfork J = I \cap J$ for all $I, J \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$. We consider

$$\text{Id}_\alpha(\mathbf{A}) = \langle \text{Id}_\alpha(A), \uplus, \pitchfork, \{0\}, A \rangle.$$

Theorem 30. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{QDS}$. Then $\text{Id}_\alpha(\mathbf{A})$ is a bounded distributive lattice.*

PROOF: Let $I, J \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$. It is clear that $I \pitchfork J \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$ and $I \pitchfork J$ is the infimum of I and J . We prove that $I \uplus J \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$. By definition, $I \uplus J$ is decreasing. Let $a, b \in I \uplus J$. Then there exist $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in I \times J$ such that $a \in (x_1^\circ \cap y_1^\circ)^\circ$ and $b \in (x_2^\circ \cap y_2^\circ)^\circ$. Since I and J are order-ideals, there is $(x, y) \in I \times J$ such that $x_1, x_2 \leq x$ and $y_1, y_2 \leq y$. So, $(x_1^\circ \cap y_1^\circ)^\circ \subseteq (x^\circ \cap y^\circ)^\circ$ and $(x_2^\circ \cap y_2^\circ)^\circ \subseteq (x^\circ \cap y^\circ)^\circ$. It follows that $a, b \in (x^\circ \cap y^\circ)^\circ$. Since \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there exist $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in A$ such that $x^\circ = (\tilde{x})^{\circ\circ}$ and $y^\circ = (\tilde{y})^{\circ\circ}$. Then

$$(x^\circ \cap y^\circ)^\circ = ((\tilde{x})^{\circ\circ} \cap (\tilde{y})^{\circ\circ})^\circ = ((\tilde{x} \wedge \tilde{y})^{\circ\circ})^\circ = (\tilde{x} \wedge \tilde{y})^\circ$$

and $a, b \in (\tilde{x} \wedge \tilde{y})^\circ$. As $(\tilde{x} \wedge \tilde{y})^\circ$ is an order-ideal, there is $c \in (\tilde{x} \wedge \tilde{y})^\circ$ such that $a \leq c$ and $b \leq c$, i.e., $I \uplus J$ is an order-ideal. Let $a \in I \uplus J$. Then there exists $(x, y) \in I \times J$ such that $a \in (x^\circ \cap y^\circ)^\circ$. So, $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq (x^\circ \cap y^\circ)^\circ$. It follows that $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq I \uplus J$ and $I \uplus J$ is an α -order-ideal. Now, we see that $I \uplus J$ is the supremum of I and J . Let $H \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$ such that $I \subseteq H$ and $J \subseteq H$. If $a \in I \uplus J$, then there exists $(x, y) \in I \times J$ such that $a \in (x^\circ \cap y^\circ)^\circ$. In particular, $x, y \in H$. Suppose that $a \notin H$. Then, by Theorem 20, there exists $P \in \mathbf{X}_\alpha(A)$ such that $a \in P$ and $P \cap H = \emptyset$. By Lemma 27, $\mathbf{X}_\alpha(A) = \mathbf{X}_m(A)$ and $P \in \mathbf{X}_m(A)$. Also, $a \in (x^\circ \cap y^\circ)^\circ \cap P$, i.e., $(x^\circ \cap y^\circ)^\circ \cap P \neq \emptyset$ and by Lemma 29 we have $x \in P$ or $y \in P$. In both cases, $P \cap H \neq \emptyset$ which is a contradiction. Then $I \uplus J \subseteq H$ and $I \uplus J$ is the supremum of I and J .

Finally, we see that $\text{Id}_\alpha(\mathbf{A})$ is distributive. Let $I, J, H \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$. We prove that $I \pitchfork (J \uplus H) \subseteq (I \pitchfork J) \uplus (I \pitchfork H)$. Let $a \in I \pitchfork (J \uplus H)$. If we suppose that $a \notin (I \pitchfork J) \uplus (I \pitchfork H)$, then by Theorem 20 and Lemma 27 there exists $P \in \mathbf{X}_m(A)$ such that $a \in P$ and $P \cap [(I \cap J) \uplus (I \cap H)] = \emptyset$. So, $P \cap (I \cap J) = \emptyset$ and $P \cap (I \cap H) = \emptyset$. On the other hand, since $a \in J \uplus H$, there exists $(x, y) \in J \times H$ such that $a \in (x^\circ \cap y^\circ)^\circ$. Then $(x^\circ \cap y^\circ)^\circ \cap P \neq \emptyset$ and by Lemma 29, $x \in P$ or $y \in P$. Thus, $x \wedge a \in P \cap (I \cap J)$ or $y \wedge a \in P \cap (I \cap H)$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $a \in (I \pitchfork J) \uplus (I \pitchfork H)$ and $\text{Id}_\alpha(\mathbf{A})$ is a bounded distributive lattice. \square

Actually, $\text{Id}_\alpha(\mathbf{A})$ is a Heyting algebra as we will see later. Following Lemma 9 and Example 17, we have the following result.

Lemma 31. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{QDS}$. We have the following properties:*

- (1) *If $F \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$, then $F = F_{I_F}$.*
- (2) *If $I \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$, then $I = I_{F_I}$.*

PROOF: (1) If $a \in F_{I_F}$, then there is $x \in I_F$ such that $a^\circ \subseteq x^\circ$. So, there exists $f \in F$ such that $x \in f^\circ$. By Lemma 5, $f^\circ \subseteq x^\circ$ and $x^\circ \subseteq f^\circ$. It follows that $f^\circ \subseteq a^\circ$. Since $f \in f^\circ$, we have $f \in a^\circ$. As $a^\circ \cap F \neq \emptyset$ and F is an α -filter, $a \in F$. Thus, $F_{I_F} \subseteq F$. Reciprocally, let $a \in F$. We take a° . Since \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there is $x \in A$ such that $a^\circ = x^\circ$, i.e., $a^\circ = x^\circ$. As $x \in x^\circ$, then $x \in a^\circ$ and $a \in F$. So, $x \in I_F$. On the other hand, since $a^\circ \subseteq x^\circ$ and $x \in I_F$, we have $a \in F_{I_F}$ and $F \subseteq F_{I_F}$. Thus, $F = F_{I_F}$.

(2) Let $a \in I_{F_I}$. Then there is $f \in F_I$ such that $a \in f^\circ$. So, there exists $x \in I$ such that $f^\circ \subseteq x^\circ$. Since $x \in I$ and I is an α -order-ideal, we have $x^\circ \subseteq I$. It follows that $a \in f^\circ \subseteq x^\circ$, i.e., $a \in I$ and $I_{F_I} \subseteq I$. Conversely, let $a \in I$ and we take a° . As \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there exists $x \in A$ such that $a^\circ = x^\circ$. Then $x^\circ \subseteq a^\circ$ and $x \in F_I$. Later, $a \in x^\circ$ and $x \in F_I$, i.e., $a \in I_{F_I}$. Therefore, $I = I_{F_I}$. \square

The following observation will be useful.

Remark 32. Let $\mathbf{L} = \langle L, \vee_L, \wedge_L, 0, 1 \rangle$ be a bounded distributive lattice. Let $\mathbf{H} = \langle H, \vee_H, \wedge_H, \rightarrow_H, 0, 1 \rangle$ be a Heyting algebra and $h: L \rightarrow H$ an isomorphism between bounded distributive lattices. If for each $a, b \in L$ we define the binary operation $a \rightarrow_L b = h^{-1}(h(a) \rightarrow_H h(b))$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \leq b \rightarrow_L c &\iff a \leq h^{-1}(h(b) \rightarrow_H h(c)) \\ &\iff h(a) \leq h(b) \rightarrow_H h(c) \\ &\iff h(a) \wedge_H h(b) \leq h(c) \\ &\iff h(a \wedge_L b) \leq h(c) \\ &\iff a \wedge_L b \leq c \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c \in L$. Then $\mathbf{L} = \langle L, \vee_L, \wedge_L, \rightarrow_L, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Heyting algebra.

Theorem 33. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{QDS}$. Then the Heyting algebras $\text{Id}_\alpha(\mathbf{A})$ and $\text{Fi}_\alpha(\mathbf{A})$ are isomorphic.*

PROOF: Let $f: \text{Id}_\alpha(A) \rightarrow \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$ be the mapping given by $f(I) = F_I$. For Lemma 9, f is well defined. On the other hand, by Lemma 31, f is 1-1 and onto. We prove that f is an isomorphism between bounded distributive lattices.

Let $I_1, I_2 \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$. We see that $F_{I_1 \pitchfork I_2} = F_{I_1} \pitchfork F_{I_2}$. If $a \in F_{I_1 \pitchfork I_2}$, then there is $x \in I_1 \cap I_2$ such that $a^\circ \subseteq x^\circ$. Since $x \in I_1$, $a \in F_{I_1}$. Analogously, $a \in F_{I_2}$. So, $a \in F_{I_1} \cap F_{I_2}$ and $F_{I_1 \pitchfork I_2} \subseteq F_{I_1} \pitchfork F_{I_2}$. Reciprocally, if $a \in F_{I_1} \pitchfork F_{I_2}$, then there is $x \in I_1$ such that $a^\circ \subseteq x^\circ$ and there is $y \in I_2$ such that $a^\circ \subseteq y^\circ$. By Lemma 5, we have $a^\circ \subseteq x^\circ \cap y^\circ = (x \wedge y)^\circ$ and $x \wedge y \in I_1 \cap I_2$, i.e., $a \in F_{I_1 \pitchfork I_2}$. Therefore, $f(I_1 \pitchfork I_2) = f(I_1) \pitchfork f(I_2)$.

Now, we prove that $F_{I_1 \uplus I_2} = F_{I_1} \sqcup F_{I_2}$. If $a \in F_{I_1 \uplus I_2}$, then there exists $x \in I_1 \uplus I_2$ such that $a^\circ \subseteq x^{\circ\circ}$. So, there is $y \in I_1$ and there is $z \in I_2$ such that $x \in (y^\circ \cap z^\circ)^\circ$. We take $y^{\circ\circ}$ and $z^{\circ\circ}$. Since \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there exist $f_1, f_2 \in A$ such that $y^{\circ\circ} = f_1^\circ$ and $z^{\circ\circ} = f_2^\circ$. It follows that $f_1 \in F_{I_1}$ and $f_2 \in F_{I_2}$. If we consider $f = f_1 \wedge f_2$, then $f \in F_{I_1} \vee F_{I_2}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 5,

$$(y^\circ \cap z^\circ)^\circ = (f_1^{\circ\circ} \cap f_2^{\circ\circ})^\circ = ((f_1 \wedge f_2)^{\circ\circ})^\circ = f^\circ$$

and since $x \in (y^\circ \cap z^\circ)^\circ$, we have $x \in f^\circ$ and $x^{\circ\circ} \subseteq f^\circ$. Then $a^\circ \subseteq f^\circ$ and $a \in F_{I_1} \sqcup F_{I_2}$. Thus, $F_{I_1 \uplus I_2} \subseteq F_{I_1} \sqcup F_{I_2}$. Conversely, if $a \in F_{I_1} \sqcup F_{I_2}$, then there is $f \in F_{I_1} \vee F_{I_2}$ such that $a^\circ \subseteq f^\circ$. So, there exists $f_1 \in F_{I_1}$ and there exists $f_2 \in F_{I_2}$ such that $f_1 \wedge f_2 \leq f$, i.e., there exists $(y, z) \in I_1 \times I_2$ such that $f_1^\circ \subseteq y^{\circ\circ}$ and $f_2^\circ \subseteq z^{\circ\circ}$. Then, by Lemma 5, $y^\circ \cap z^\circ \subseteq (f_1 \wedge f_2)^{\circ\circ}$. Again, as \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there is $g \in A$ such that $(f_1 \wedge f_2)^{\circ\circ} = g^\circ$. Thus, $g \in g^{\circ\circ} \subseteq (y^\circ \cap z^\circ)^\circ$ and $g \in I_1 \uplus I_2$. However, since $a^\circ \subseteq f^\circ \subseteq (f_1 \wedge f_2)^\circ$, it follows that $a^\circ \subseteq g^{\circ\circ}$. Then $a \in F_{I_1 \uplus I_2}$ and $F_{I_1} \sqcup F_{I_2} \subseteq F_{I_1 \uplus I_2}$. We conclude that $f(I_1 \uplus I_2) = f(I_1) \sqcup f(I_2)$.

Finally, by Remark 32, we define for each $I_1, I_2 \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$ the operation $I_1 \rightsquigarrow I_2 = f^{-1}(f(I_1) \Rightarrow f(I_2))$. Then the structure

$$\text{Id}_\alpha(\mathbf{A}) = \langle \text{Id}_\alpha(A), \uplus, \cap, \rightsquigarrow, \{0\}, A \rangle$$

is a Heyting algebra and $f(I_1 \rightsquigarrow I_2) = f(I_1) \Rightarrow f(I_2)$ for all $I_1, I_2 \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$. Therefore, f is an isomorphism between Heyting algebras. \square

Remark 34. If \mathbf{L} is a bounded distributive lattice, then we know that the set of all ideals $\text{Id}(L)$ of L is a Heyting algebra, see [1], where

$$(\bullet) \quad I \rightsquigarrow J = \{x \in A : \forall i \in I (x \wedge i \in J)\},$$

for all $I, J \in \text{Id}(L)$. Let $a \in I \rightsquigarrow J$. If $x \in a^{\circ\circ}$ and $i \in I$, then $x^{\circ\circ} \subseteq a^{\circ\circ}$ and $a \wedge i \in J$. By Lemma 5 and since J is an α -order-ideal, we have

$$(x \wedge i)^{\circ\circ} = x^{\circ\circ} \cap i^{\circ\circ} \subseteq a^{\circ\circ} \cap i^{\circ\circ} = (a \wedge i)^{\circ\circ} \subseteq J,$$

i.e., $x \wedge i \in J$. So, $x \in I \rightsquigarrow J$ and $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq I \rightsquigarrow J$. Therefore, $I \rightsquigarrow J$ is an α -order-ideal and we have a characterization of the implication given by (\bullet) .

5. Filters-congruence-cokernels in QDS

In this section we study filters-congruence-cokernels of a distributive quasicomplemented semilattice \mathbf{A} and we shall also describe the smallest filter-congruence θ in \mathbf{A} such that $I = |0|_\theta$ for some α -order-ideal I .

Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{DS}_{01}$. If $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$, it is easy to see that the relation

$$\theta(F) = \{(a, b) \in A \times A : \exists f \in F(a \wedge f = b \wedge f)\}$$

is a congruence on \mathbf{A} . We say that a congruence θ on \mathbf{A} is a *filter-congruence* if there is $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$ such that $\theta = \theta(F)$. In particular, if $F \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$, we say that θ is an α -*filter-congruence*. There are congruences that are not filter-congruences, see [5]. If θ is a congruence on \mathbf{A} , then the equivalence class $|0|_\theta = \{a \in A : (a, 0) \in \theta\}$ is called the *cokernel* of θ . A subset $X \subseteq A$ is called *congruence-cokernel* if there exists a congruence θ on \mathbf{A} such that $X = |0|_\theta$.

Now, we prove that for each α -order-ideal I of A , there exists an α -filter F_I such that $I = |0|_{\theta(F_I)}$.

Theorem 35. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{QDS}$ and $I \in \text{Id}_\alpha(A)$. Then $\theta(F_I)$ is the smallest α -filter-congruence such that $I = |0|_{\theta(F_I)}$.*

PROOF: We consider the α -filter F_I of Lemma 9. First, we see that $a^\circ \cap F_I \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in I$. Suppose there is $a \in I$ such that $a^\circ \cap F_I = \emptyset$. Since a° is an order-ideal, by Theorem 1 there exists $P \in \text{X}(A)$ such that $F_I \subseteq P$ and $a^\circ \cap P = \emptyset$. By Lemma 5, there exists $Q \in \text{X}_m(A)$ such that $P \subseteq Q$ and $a \in Q$. It is easy to prove that $a^\circ \cap Q = \emptyset$. On the other hand, since \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there is $b \in A$ such that $a^\circ = b^\circ$. In particular, $b^\circ \subseteq a^\circ$ and $a \in I$, i.e., $b \in F_I$ and $b \in Q$. So, $b \in b^\circ = a^\circ$. Then $a, b \in Q$ and $a \wedge b = 0 \in Q$, which is a contradiction because Q is maximal. Therefore, $a^\circ \cap F_I \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in I$.

Now, we see that $I = |0|_{\theta(F_I)}$. If $a \in I$, then $a^\circ \cap F_I \neq \emptyset$. So, there is $f \in F_I$ such that $a \wedge f = 0$. It follows that $(a, 0) \in \theta(F_I)$ and $a \in |0|_{\theta(F_I)}$. Then $I \subseteq |0|_{\theta(F_I)}$. Reciprocally, if $a \in |0|_{\theta(F_I)}$, then there is $f \in F_I$ such that $a \wedge f = 0$. Thus, there exists $x \in I$ such that $f^\circ \subseteq x^\circ$ and $a \in f^\circ$. It follows that $a \in x^\circ$ and as I is an α -order-ideal, $x^\circ \subseteq I$. Then $a \in I$ and $|0|_{\theta(F_I)} \subseteq I$.

Let $F \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$ be such that $I = |0|_{\theta(F)}$. It is enough to show that $F_I \subseteq F$. If $a \in F_I$, then there is $x \in I$ such that $a^\circ \subseteq x^\circ$. Also, since $I = |0|_{\theta(F)}$ we have $x \in |0|_{\theta(F)}$ and there exists $f \in F$ such that $x \wedge f = 0$, i.e., $f \in x^\circ$. So, $x^\circ \subseteq f^\circ$. Then $a^\circ \subseteq f^\circ$ and $f \in a^\circ \cap F$. Thus, as $a^\circ \cap F \neq \emptyset$ and F is an α -filter, $a \in F$ and $F_I \subseteq F$. We conclude that $\theta(F_I) \subseteq \theta(F)$ and $\theta(F_I)$ is the smallest α -filter-congruence such that $I = |0|_{\theta(F_I)}$. \square

Theorem 36. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{QDS}$ and $I \subseteq A$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) I is an α -order-ideal.
- (2) I is an α -filter-congruence-cokernel.

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2) By Lemma 9, F_I is an α -filter and by Theorem 35 we have $I = |0|_{\theta(F_I)}$. So, I is an α -filter-congruence-cokernel.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) If I is an α -filter-congruence-cokernel, then there is $F \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$ such that $I = |0|_{\theta(F)}$. We prove that I is an α -order-ideal. It follows that I is decreasing. Let $a, b \in I$. Then $(a, 0), (b, 0) \in \theta(F)$, i.e., there exist $f_1, f_2 \in F$ such that $a \wedge f_1 = 0$ and $b \wedge f_2 = 0$. Let $f = f_1 \wedge f_2 \in F$. So, $a, b \in f^\circ$ and since f° is an order-ideal, there is $c \in f^\circ$ such that $a \leq c$ and $b \leq c$. Thus, $(c, 0) \in \theta(F)$ and $c \in |0|_{\theta(F)} = I$. Hence, I is an order-ideal. Let $a \in I$. We see that $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq I$. Since $a \in I = |0|_{\theta(F)}$, there is $f \in F$ such that $a \wedge f = 0$, i.e., $f \in a^\circ$. If $x \in a^{\circ\circ}$, then $a^\circ \subseteq x^\circ$ and $f \in x^\circ$. So, $x \wedge f = 0$ and $x \in |0|_{\theta(F)} = I$. Therefore, $a^{\circ\circ} \subseteq I$ and I is an α -order-ideal. \square

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank the referee for the comments and suggestions on the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Balbes R., Dwinger P., *Distributive Lattices*, University of Missouri Press, Columbia, 1974.
- [2] Celani S. A., *Topological representation of distributive semilattices*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **58** (2003), no. 1, 55–65.
- [3] Celani S. A., *Distributive pseudocomplemented semilattices*, Asian-Eur. J. Math. **3** (2010), no. 1, 21–30.
- [4] Celani S. A., *Remarks on annihilators preserving congruence relations*, Math. Slovaca **62** (2012), no. 3, 389–398.
- [5] Celani S. A., *Relative annihilator-preserving congruence relations and relative annihilator-preserving homomorphisms in bounded distributive semilattices*, Open Math. **13** (2015), no. 1, 165–177.
- [6] Celani S., Calomino I., *Some remarks on distributive semilattices*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **54** (2013), no. 3, 407–428.
- [7] Chajda I., Halaš R., Kühr J., *Semilattice Structures*, Research and Exposition in Mathematics, 30, Heldermann Verlag, Lemgo, 2007.
- [8] Cornish W. H., *Normal lattices*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **14** (1972), 200–215.
- [9] Cornish W. H., *Annulets and α -ideals in a distributive lattice*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **15** (1973), 70–77.
- [10] Cornish W., *Quasicomplemented lattices*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **15** (1974), 501–511.
- [11] Grätzer G., *General Lattice Theory*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998.
- [12] Jayaram C., *Quasicomplemented semilattices*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **39** (1982), no. 1–3, 39–47.
- [13] Mandelker M., *Relative annihilators in lattices*, Duke Math. J. **37** (1970), 377–386.
- [14] Mokbel K. A., *α -ideals in 0-distributive posets*, Math. Bohem. **140** (2015), no. 3, 319–328.
- [15] Murty P. V. R., Murty M. K., *Some remarks on certain classes of semilattices*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **5** (1982), no. 1, 21–30.
- [16] Pawar Y., Mane D., *α -ideals in 0-distributive semilattices and 0-distributive lattices*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **24** (1993), 435–443.
- [17] Pawar Y. S., Khopade S. S., *α -ideals and annihilator ideals in 0-distributive lattices*, Acta Univ. Palack. Olomuc. Fac. Rerum Natur. Math. **49** (2010), no. 1, 63–74.
- [18] Ramana Murty P. V., Ramam V., *On filters and filter congruences in semilattices*, Algebra Universalis **12** (1981), no. 3, 343–351.

- [19] Speed T. P., *Some remarks on a class of distributive lattices*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **9** (1969), 289–296.
- [20] Varlet J. C., *A generalization of the notion of pseudo-complementedness*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège **37** (1968), 149–158.
- [21] Varlet J. C., *Relative annihilators in semilattices*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **9** (1973), 169–185.

I. Calomino:

CIC AND DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS,
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DEL CENTRO, PINTO 399, CP 7000 TANDIL, ARGENTINA

E-mail: calomino@exa.unicen.edu.ar

S. Celani:

CONICET AND DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS,
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DEL CENTRO, PINTO 399, CP 7000 TANDIL, ARGENTINA

E-mail: scelani@exa.unicen.edu.ar

(Received April 11, 2019, revised March 13, 2020)