

Applications of Mathematics

Manoel J. Santos; Carlos A. Raposo; Leonardo R. S. Rodrigues
Boundary exact controllability for a porous elastic Timoshenko system

Applications of Mathematics, Vol. 65 (2020), No. 4, 343–354

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/148336>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2020

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

BOUNDARY EXACT CONTROLLABILITY FOR A POROUS
ELASTIC TIMOSHENKO SYSTEM

MANOEL J. SANTOS, Abaetetuba, CARLOS A. RAPOSO, São João del-Rei,
LEONARDO R. S. RODRIGUES, Codó

Received June 1, 2019. Published online July 3, 2020.

Cordially dedicated to Professor Jaime E. Muñoz Rivera on his 60th birthday.

Abstract. In this paper, we consider a one-dimensional system governed by two partial differential equations. Such a system models phenomena in engineering, such as vibrations in beams or deformation of elastic bodies with porosity. By using the HUM method, we prove that the system is boundary exactly controllable in the usual energy space. We will also determine the minimum time allowed by the method for the controllability to occur.

Keywords: boundary exact controllability; Timoshenko beam; porous elasticity

MSC 2020: 93C20, 93B05

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, we study the boundary exact controllability problem for the following evolution system in one dimension:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varrho u_{tt} - \kappa(\mu u_x + b\phi)_x &= 0 \\ J\phi_{tt} - \delta\phi_{xx} + \kappa(bu_x + \xi\phi) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \text{in }]0, L[\times]0, T[,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} u(0, t) = \omega_1(t), \quad u(L, t) &= 0 \\ \phi(0, t) = \omega_2(t), \quad \phi(L, t) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \text{in }]0, T[,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x, 0) = u^0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u^1(x) \\ \phi(x, 0) = \phi^0(x), \quad \phi_t(x, 0) = \phi^1(x) \end{aligned} \quad \text{in }]0, L[,$$

where ϱ , μ , J , δ , ξ , and κ are positive constants and b is a constant satisfying $b^2 = \mu\xi$, the functions ω_1 and ω_2 are the controls and u^0 , u^1 , ϕ^0 , and ϕ^1 are the initial data. This type of system models various kinds of phenomena in engineering.

When $\mu = \xi = 1$, system (1.1) models the transverse vibrations in a beam, taking into account the effect of rotatory inertia and shearing deformations, in this case, u represents the transverse displacement of the beam and ϕ is the rotation angle of a filament of the beam, cf. Timoshenko [29]. There are many works on stabilization for Timoshenko systems subject to various types of damping and thermal effects [3], [4], [6], [8], [24], [25]. One of the first studies concerning stabilization for the Timoshenko system was carried out by Soufyane in [28]. In this work the author obtained stabilization for the following system:

$$(1.4) \quad \varrho_1 \varphi_{tt} - \kappa(\varphi_x + \psi)_x = 0,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \varrho_2 \psi_{tt} - b\psi_{xx} + \kappa(\varphi_x + \psi) + a(x)\psi_t = 0,$$

subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions, where $a = a(x)$ is a positive bounded function. Physically, $a(x)\psi_t$ represents a frictional term.

Recently, Mercier and Régnier in [19] studied the issue of stabilization for the following Timoshenko system:

$$(1.6) \quad \varphi_{tt} - (\varphi_x + \psi)_x = 0,$$

$$(1.7) \quad \psi_{tt} - a\psi_{xx} + b(\varphi_x + \psi) = 0$$

in $(0, 1) \times (0, \infty)$, where a and b are positive constants, with boundary conditions

$$(1.8) \quad \varphi_x(0, t) = \psi(0, t) = \psi(1, t) = 0$$

and the boundary dissipation law given by

$$(1.9) \quad b^{-1}a\psi_x(1, t) = -\beta\psi_t(1, t).$$

Regarding controllability of Timoshenko systems, there are few studies, among which we can mention [5], [11], [12], [17], [27], [30] and more recently [1].

When $\kappa = 1$, system (1.1) is a model for the study of elastic solids with voids, which is one of simple extensions of the classical theory of elasticity. In this case, the variable u represents the displacement of a solid elastic material and ϕ represents the volume fraction, cf. [7]. Although there is a good amount of literature dealing with stabilization of solutions for these systems (see for example [9], [16], [20], [22], [23], [26]), we did not find any works considering controllability for porous elastic systems.

Despite the similarity, there are serious difficulties when we move from the Timoshenko system to the porous elastic system, this can be verified when studying the stability exponents for the two systems (see for example [26] and its references).

The goal of this paper is to prove, using the HUM method, the boundary exact controllability for system (1.1) when controls are located only on one end of the domain of each of component-functions of the solution, in particular, as in the case (1.2).

The main contribution of this work is the proof of the following inequality of observability:

$$(1.10) \quad E(0) \leq C_{\alpha,T} \left[\kappa\mu \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t) dt + \delta \int_0^T \phi_x^2(0,t) dt \right],$$

where $C_{\alpha,T}$ is a positive constant depending on α and on T . This inequality results in the exact controllability of the system (1.1)–(1.3).

The exact controllability for the system (1.1)–(1.3) is formulated as follows: given $T > 0$, large enough, and initial data $(u^0, u^1, \phi^0, \phi^1)$ in an appropriate space, find a pair of controls (ω_1, ω_2) such that the solution (u, ϕ) of the system (1.1)–(1.3) satisfies

$$(1.11) \quad u(\cdot, T) = u_t(\cdot, T) = \varphi(\cdot, T) = \varphi_t(\cdot, T) = 0.$$

The paper is structured as follows: in Section 2, we study by the semigroup method the existence and uniqueness of the solution for the system (1.1)–(1.3), as well as for its nonhomogeneous counterpart, we also define the solutions by transposition. In Section 3, we establish the direct inequality and the inequality known as observability inequality or inverse inequality. In (1.10), $E(0)$ is the energy of system (1.1)–(1.3) at time $t = 0$ and $C_{T,\alpha}$ is a positive constant that depends on T . Inequalities of this nature have great relevance in mathematics, because they allow the total energy in a system to be estimated from the partial measure in a sub-region of the domain or boundary, moreover, this type of inequality plays an important role in questions of controllability (see [2], [10], [32]). Finally, in Section 4 we use the HUM method (cf. [14], [13]) to prove the boundary exact controllability for the system (1.1)–(1.3).

Throughout the paper, we will use $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ to represent the inner product and the norm in space $L^2(0, L)$, respectively.

2. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS

In this section, we will study, but without proof, some results of existence and uniqueness of solutions for the system

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho u_{tt} - \kappa(\mu u_x + b\phi)_x &= 0 \\ J\phi_{tt} - \delta\phi_{xx} + \kappa(bu_x + \xi\phi) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \text{in }]0, L[\times]0, T[,$$

where ϱ , μ , J , δ , ξ , and κ are positive constants and $b^2 = \mu\xi$. The boundary conditions are

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} u(0, t) = 0, \quad u(L, t) = 0 \\ \phi(0, t) = 0, \quad \phi(L, t) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad \text{in }]0, T[$$

and initial conditions

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \bar{u}_1(x) \\ \phi(x, 0) = \phi_0(x), \quad \phi_t(x, 0) = \phi_1(x) \end{aligned} \quad \text{in }]0, L[.$$

In this case, the energy of the solution (u, ϕ) at time t is given by

$$(2.4) \quad 2E(t) := \varrho \|u_t(t)\|^2 + J \|\phi_t(t)\|^2 + \delta \|\phi_x(t)\|^2 + \kappa \|(\sqrt{\mu}u_x \pm \sqrt{\xi}\phi)(t)\|^2,$$

where \pm depends on the sign of b . By multiplicative techniques, it is possible to establish

$$(2.5) \quad E(t) = E(0), \quad t > 0.$$

2.1. Homogeneous system. The initial and boundary value problem (2.1)–(2.3), can be written as a Cauchy problem for $\Psi = (u, u_t, \phi, \phi_t)'$ as follows:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} \Psi_t = \mathcal{A}\Psi, & t > 0, \\ \Psi(0) = \Psi_0, \end{cases}$$

where $\Psi_0 = (u_0, u_1, \phi_0, \phi_1)'$ and \mathcal{A} is the differential operator

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\kappa\mu}{\varrho}\partial_x^2 & 0 & \frac{\kappa b}{\varrho}\partial_x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \\ -\frac{\kappa b}{J}\partial_x & 0 & \frac{\delta}{J}\partial_x^2 - \frac{\kappa\xi}{J}I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with values on the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = H_0^1(0, L) \times L^2(0, L) \times H_0^1(0, L) \times L^2(0, L)$$

and domain

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \{(u, \varphi, \phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{H}; u \in H^2(0, L), \varphi \in H_0^1(0, L), \phi \in H^2(0, L), \psi \in H_0^1(0, L)\}.$$

The space \mathcal{H} shall be provided with the inner product

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle (u^0, \varphi^0, \phi^0, \psi^0), (u^1, \varphi^1, \phi^1, \psi^1) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ & = \varrho(\varphi^0, \varphi^1)_{L^2} + J(\psi^0, \psi^1)_{L^2} + \delta(\phi_x^0, \phi_x^1)_{L^2} \\ & \quad + \kappa(\sqrt{\mu}u_x^0 \pm \sqrt{\xi}\phi^0, \sqrt{\mu}u_x^1 \pm \sqrt{\xi}\phi^1)_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which induces the norm

$$(2.8) \quad \|(u, \varphi, \phi, \psi)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \varrho\|\varphi\|^2 + J\|\psi\|^2 + \delta\|\phi_x\|^2 + \kappa\|\sqrt{\mu}u_x \pm \sqrt{\xi}\phi\|^2.$$

If $U = (u, \varphi, \phi, \psi)'$, the above settings allow us to establish

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle \mathcal{A}U, U \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0,$$

implying that \mathcal{A} is a dissipative operator. By using the standard method of the semigroup theory, it is possible to establish that \mathcal{A} is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup of contractions $S(t) = e^{\mathcal{A}t}$.

2.2. Nonhomogeneous system. Once established that the previous homogeneous system has a solution, if f and g are functions in space $L^1(0, T; L^2(0, L))$, then the nonhomogeneous system

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \varrho u_{tt} - \kappa(\mu u_x + b\phi)_x &= f & \text{in }]0, L[\times]0, T[, \\ J\phi_{tt} - \delta\phi_{xx} + \kappa(bu_x + \xi\phi) &= g \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} u(0, t) = 0, \quad u(L, t) = 0 & & \text{in }]0, T[, \\ \phi(0, t) = 0, \quad \phi(L, t) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x) & & \text{in }]0, L[\\ \phi(x, 0) = \phi_0(x), \quad \phi_t(x, 0) = \phi_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

admits a single mild solution (see [21]) given by

$$\Psi(t) = S(t)x + \int_0^t S(t-s)F(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

where $F(t) = (0, f(t), 0, g(t))'$, $x \in \mathcal{H}$, with regularity $\Psi \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{H})$.

By using reversibility in time, the problem (2.9)–(2.11) is equivalent to

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \varrho u_{tt} - \kappa(\mu u_x + b\phi)_x &= f & \text{in }]0, L[\times]0, T[, \\ J\phi_{tt} - \delta\phi_{xx} + \kappa(bu_x + \xi\phi) &= g \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} u(0, t) = 0, \quad u(L, t) = 0 & & \text{in }]0, T[, \\ \phi(0, t) = 0, \quad \phi(L, t) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x, T) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, T) = u_1(x) & & \text{in }]0, L[\\ \phi(x, T) = \phi_0(x), \quad \phi_t(x, T) = \phi_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

2.3. Solution by transposition. Given

$$(v^0, v^1, \psi^0, \psi^1) \in (L^2(0, L) \times H^{-1}(0, L))^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_1, \omega_2 \in L^2(0, T),$$

a solution by transposition to the system

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \varrho v_{tt} - \kappa(\mu v_x + b\psi)_x &= 0 \\ J\psi_{tt} - \delta\psi_{xx} + \kappa(bv_x + \xi\psi) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \text{in }]0, L[\times]0, T[,$$

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} v(0, t) = \omega_1(t), \quad v(L, t) &= 0 \\ \psi(0, t) = \omega_2(t), \quad \psi(L, t) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \text{in }]0, T[,$$

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} v(x, 0) = v^0(x), \quad v_t(x, 0) &= v^1(x) \\ \psi(x, 0) = \psi^0(x), \quad \psi_t(x, 0) &= \psi^1(x) \end{aligned} \quad \text{in }]0, L[$$

is a pair $(v, \psi) \in (L^\infty(0, T; L^2(0, L)))^2$ such that

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^L \int_0^T (fv + g\psi) \, dt \, dx &= J\langle \psi^1, \phi(0) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H_0^1} - J\langle \psi^0, \phi_t(0) \rangle_{L^2} \\ &+ \varrho \langle v^1, u(0) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H_0^1} - \varrho \langle v^0, u_t(0) \rangle_{L^2} \\ &+ \kappa\mu \int_0^T \omega_1(t) u_x(0, t) \, dt + \delta \int_0^T \omega_2(t) \phi_x(0, t) \, dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $(f, g) \in (L^1(0, T; L^2(0, L)))^2$, where (u, ϕ) is a solution of (2.12)–(2.14). It is possible to show, by using the Riesz representation theorem, that the system (2.15)–(2.17) has a single solution by transposition, cf. [15].

Remark 2.1. By using a method found in [18] it is possible to show that the solution by transposition (v, ψ) above satisfies

$$(2.19) \quad (v, \psi) \in C^0([0, T], L^2(0, L)) \cap C^1([0, T], H^{-1}(0, L)).$$

3. OBSERVABILITY INEQUALITY AND BOUNDARY EXACT CONTROLLABILITY

In this section, we will prove the observability inequality for the system (2.1)–(2.3), which will result in the exact controllability for this system, since it is conservative (see [13]).

Next, we will prove the inverse inequality, also known as observability inequality. In this result we will use the following notation: if $g(t)$ is a function such that t_1 and t_2 belong to the domain, then

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{t=t_1}^{t_2} g(t) := g(t_1) + g(t_2).$$

Theorem 3.1. Let (u, ϕ) be a solution of (2.1)–(2.3). Then for $T > 2\alpha L$, where

$$\alpha = \max \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\varrho}{\mu\kappa}}, \sqrt{\frac{J}{\delta}} \right\},$$

there exists a positive constant $C_{\alpha,T} = C(\alpha, T) > 0$ such that

$$E(0) \leq C_{\alpha,T} \left[\kappa\mu \int_0^T u_x^2(0, t) dt + \delta \int_0^T \phi_x^2(0, t) dt \right].$$

In this case

$$C_{\alpha,T} = \frac{c}{2(T - 2\alpha L)} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \max \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\varrho\xi}{\mu J}}, \sqrt{\frac{\kappa\xi}{\delta}} \right\}.$$

Proof. The proof is based on an argument found in [17], which has been motivated by an idea of Zuazua in [31].

We consider, without loss of generality, $b > 0$. Let \mathcal{J} be the function given by

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{J}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} [\varrho u_t^2(x, t) + J\phi_t^2(x, t) + \delta\phi_x^2(x, t) + \kappa(\sqrt{\mu}u_x(x, t) + \sqrt{\xi}\phi(0, t))^2] dt.$$

We note that

$$\mathcal{J}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T [\delta\phi_x^2(0, t) + \kappa\mu u_x^2(0, t)] dt$$

and

$$\int_0^L \mathcal{J}(x) dx \geq (T - 2\alpha L)E(0).$$

We have to show that

$$\int_0^L \mathcal{J}(x) dx \leq c\mathcal{J}(0)$$

for some constant $c > 0$. To do this, it is sufficient to establish that

$$\mathcal{J}'(x) \leq c\mathcal{J}(x).$$

Indeed, we write

$$\mathcal{J}(x) \equiv \mathcal{J}_1(x) + \mathcal{J}_2(x)$$

with

$$\mathcal{J}_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} [\varrho u_t^2(x, t) + \kappa(\sqrt{\mu}u_x(x, t) + \sqrt{\xi}\phi(0, t))^2] dt$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_2(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} [J\phi_t^2(x, t) + \delta\phi_x^2(x, t)] dt.$$

Thus for \mathcal{J}_1 we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{J}_1}{dx}(x) &= \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} [\varrho u_t u_{tx} + \kappa(\sqrt{\mu}u_x + \sqrt{\xi}\phi)(\sqrt{\mu}u_x + \sqrt{\xi}\phi)_x] dt \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{t=\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} (\varrho u_t^2(x, t) + \kappa(\sqrt{\mu}u_x(x, t) + \sqrt{\xi}\phi(0, t))^2) \end{aligned}$$

and the fact that $\varrho u_{tt} = \kappa\sqrt{\mu}(\sqrt{\mu}u_x + \sqrt{\xi}\phi)_x$ gives

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{J}_1}{dx}(x) &= \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} \left[\varrho u_t u_{tx} + \frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{\mu}} u_{tt} (\sqrt{\mu}u_x + \sqrt{\xi}\phi) \right] dt \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{t=\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} (\varrho u_t^2(x, t) + \kappa(\sqrt{\mu}u_x(x, t) + \sqrt{\xi}\phi(0, t))^2). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} \varrho u_t u_{tx} dt = \varrho u_t u_x \Big|_{t=\alpha x}^{t=T-\alpha x} - \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} \varrho u_{tt} u_x dt,$$

replacing (3.5) in (3.4) we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{J}_1}{dx}(x) &= \varrho u_t u_x \Big|_{t=\alpha x}^{t=T-\alpha x} + \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} \frac{\varrho\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{\mu}} u_{tt}\phi dt \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{t=\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} (\varrho u_t^2(x, t) + \kappa(\sqrt{\mu}u_x(x, t) + \sqrt{\xi}\phi(0, t))^2). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} \frac{\varrho\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{\mu}} u_{tt}\phi dt = \frac{\varrho\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{\mu}} u_t\phi \Big|_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} - \frac{\varrho\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} u_t\phi_t dt$$

and replacing (3.7) in (3.6), we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{J}_1}{dx}(x) &= \frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{\mu}} u_t (\sqrt{\mu}u_x + \sqrt{\xi}\phi) \Big|_{t=\alpha x}^{t=T-\alpha x} - \frac{\varrho\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} u_t\phi_t dt \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{t=\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} (\varrho u_t^2(x, t) + \kappa(\sqrt{\mu}u_x(x, t) + \sqrt{\xi}\phi(0, t))^2). \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (3.8) can be increased using Young's inequality as follows:

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{\mu}} u_t(\sqrt{\mu} u_x + \sqrt{\xi} \phi) &\leq \frac{\varrho\beta}{2\sqrt{\mu}} u_t^2 + \frac{\varrho}{2\sqrt{\mu}\beta} (\sqrt{\mu} u_x + \sqrt{\xi} \phi)^2 \\ &= \frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \varrho u_t^2 + \frac{\varrho}{2\sqrt{\mu}\beta\kappa} \kappa (\sqrt{\mu} u_x + \sqrt{\xi} \phi)^2 \end{aligned}$$

If we choose $\beta = \sqrt{\varrho/\kappa}$, we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{\mu}} u_t(\sqrt{\mu} u_x + \sqrt{\xi} \phi) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\varrho}{\mu\kappa}} (\varrho u_t^2 + \kappa (\sqrt{\mu} u_x + \sqrt{\xi} \phi)^2).$$

Putting $\alpha = \max\{\sqrt{\varrho/(\mu\kappa)}, \sqrt{J/\delta}\}$, we have

$$\frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{\mu}} u_t(\sqrt{\mu} u_x + \sqrt{\xi} \phi) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} (\varrho u_t^2 + \kappa (\sqrt{\mu} u_x + \sqrt{\xi} \phi)^2).$$

Then

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{\mu}} u_t(\sqrt{\mu} u_x + \sqrt{\xi} \phi) \Big|_{t=\alpha x}^{t=T-\alpha x} \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{t=\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} [\varrho u_t^2(x, t) + \kappa (\sqrt{\mu} u_x(x, t) + \sqrt{\xi} \phi(x, t))^2],$$

from the inequality above and from (3.8), we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{d\mathcal{J}_1}{dx}(x) \leq -\frac{\varrho\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} u_t \phi_t dt.$$

On the other hand,

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{d\mathcal{J}_2}{dx}(x) = \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} [J\phi_t \phi_{tx} + \delta\phi_x \phi_{xx}] dt - \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{t=\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} [J\phi_t^2(x, t) + \delta\phi_x^2(x, t)] dt.$$

We note that

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} J\phi_t \phi_{tx} dt = J\phi_t \phi_x \Big|_{t=\alpha x}^{t=T-\alpha x} - \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} J\phi_{tt} \phi_x dt.$$

By multiplying the second equation in (2.1) by ϕ_x and integrating on $[\alpha x, T - \alpha x]$, we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} J\phi_{tt} \phi_x dt = \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} [\delta\phi_{xx} \phi_x - \kappa\sqrt{\xi}(\sqrt{\mu} u_x + \sqrt{\xi} \phi)\phi_x] dt$$

and combining (3.13), (3.14), and (3.15), we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{J}_2}{dx}(x) &= J\phi_t\phi_x|_{t=\alpha x}^{t=T-\alpha x} + \kappa\sqrt{\xi} \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} (\sqrt{\mu}u_x + \sqrt{\xi}\phi)\phi_x dt \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{t=\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} [J\phi_t^2(x, t) + \delta\phi_x^2(x, t)] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Making a calculation similar to the one made for \mathcal{J}_1 , we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad J\phi_t\phi_x|_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{t=\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} (J\phi_t^2(x, t) + \delta\phi_x^2(x, T)),$$

where $\alpha = \max\{\sqrt{\varrho/(\mu\kappa)}, \sqrt{J/\delta}\}$. By replacing (3.17) in (3.16), we arrive at

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{d\mathcal{J}_2}{dx}(x) \leq \kappa\sqrt{\xi} \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} (\sqrt{\mu}u_x + \sqrt{\xi}\phi)\phi_x dt.$$

From (3.12) and (3.18), we get

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{d\mathcal{J}}{dx}(x) \leq -\frac{\varrho\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} u_t\phi_t dt + \kappa\sqrt{\xi} \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} (\sqrt{\mu}u_x + \sqrt{\xi}\phi)\phi_x dt.$$

By Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varrho\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{\mu}}u_t\phi_t &\leq \sqrt{\frac{\varrho\xi}{\mu J}} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(\varrho u_t^2 + J\phi_t^2), \\ \kappa\sqrt{\xi}(\sqrt{\mu}u_x + \sqrt{\xi}\phi)\phi_x &\leq \sqrt{\frac{\kappa\xi}{\delta}} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(\delta\phi_x^2 + \kappa(\sqrt{\mu}u_x + \sqrt{\xi}\phi)^2). \end{aligned}$$

By setting

$$c = \max\left\{\sqrt{\frac{\varrho\xi}{\mu J}}, \sqrt{\frac{\kappa\xi}{\delta}}\right\},$$

we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathcal{J}}{dx}(x) \leq \frac{c}{2} \int_{\alpha x}^{T-\alpha x} [\varrho|u_t|^2 + J|\phi_t|^2 + \delta|\phi_x|^2 + \kappa|\sqrt{\mu}u_x + \sqrt{\xi}\phi|^2] dt = c\mathcal{J}(x).$$

Then, we conclude that

$$(T - 2\alpha L)E(0) \leq \frac{c}{2} \int_0^T [\kappa\mu u_x^2(0, t) + \delta\phi_x^2(0, t)] dt,$$

and the result follows for $T > 2\alpha L$. □

Acknowledgment. The authors express sincere thanks to the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions that helped to improve this article.

References

- [1] *M. Akil, Y. Chitour, M. Ghader, A. Wehbe*: Stability and exact controllability of a Timoshenko system with only one fractional damping on the boundary. To appear in *Asymptotic Anal.* [doi](#)
- [2] *D. S. Almeida Júnior, A. J. A. Ramos, M. L. Santos*: Observability inequality for the finite-difference semi-discretization of the 1-d coupled wave equations. *Adv. Comput. Math.* *41* (2015), 105–130. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] *D. S. Almeida Júnior, M. L. Santos, J. E. Muñoz Rivera*: Stability to weakly dissipative Timoshenko systems. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* *36* (2013), 1965–1976. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *M. Aouadi, M. Campo, M. I. M. Copetti, J. R. Fernández*: Existence, stability and numerical results for a Timoshenko beam with thermodiffusion effects. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* *70* (2019), Article ID 117, 26 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] *F. D. Araruna, E. Zuazua*: Controllability of the Kirchhoff system for beams as a limit of the Mindlin-Timoshenko system. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* *47* (2008), 1909–1938. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Domingos Cavalcanti, F. A. Falcão Nascimento, I. Lasiecka, J. H. Rodrigues*: Uniform decay rates for the energy of Timoshenko system with the arbitrary speeds of propagation and localized nonlinear damping. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* *65* (2014), 1189–1206. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *S. C. Cowin, J. W. Nunziato*: Linear elastic materials with voids. *J. Elasticity* *13* (1983), 125–147. [zbl](#) [doi](#)
- [8] *F. Dell’Oro, V. Pata*: On the stability of Timoshenko systems with Gurtin-Pipkin thermal law. *J. Differ. Equations* *257* (2014), 523–548. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [9] *H. Dridi, A. Djebabla*: On the stabilization of linear porous elastic materials by microtemperature effect and porous damping. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara, Sez. VII, Sci. Mat.* *66* (2020), 13–25. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [10] *J. A. Infante, E. Zuazua*: Boundary observability for the space semi-discretizations of the 1-d wave equation. *M2AN, Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* *33* (1999), 407–438. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [11] *J. E. Lagnese, G. Leugering, E. J. P. G. Schmidt*: Control of planar networks of Timoshenko beams. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* *31* (1993), 780–811. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [12] *J. E. Lagnese, J.-L. Lions*: *Modelling Analysis and Control of Thin Plates*. *Recherches en Mathématiques Appliquées 6*. Masson, Paris, 1988. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [13] *J.-L. Lions*: *Contrôlabilité Exacte, Perturbations et Stabilisation de Systèmes Distribués. Tome 2. Perturbations*. *Recherches en Mathématiques Appliquées 9*. Masson, Paris, 1988. (In French.) [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [14] *J.-L. Lions*: Exact controllability, stabilization and perturbations for distributed systems. *SIAM Rev.* *30* (1988), 1–68. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [15] *J.-L. Lions, E. Magenes*: *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications. Volume III. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 183*. Springer, Berlin, 1973. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [16] *A. Magaña, R. Quintanilla*: On the time decay of solutions in one-dimensional theories of porous materials. *Int. J. Solids Struct.* *43* (2006), 3414–3427. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [17] *L. A. Medeiros*: Exact controllability for a Timoshenko model of vibrations of beams. *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.* *2* (1993), 47–61. [zbl](#) [MR](#)

- [18] *L. A. Medeiros, M. M. Miranda, A. T. Lourêdo*: Introduction Exact Control Theory: Method HUM. EDUEPB, Campina Grande, 2013.
- [19] *D. Mercier, V. Régnier*: Decay rate of the Timoshenko system with one boundary damping. *Evol. Equ. Control Theory* 8 (2019), 423. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [20] *J. E. Muñoz Rivera, R. Quintanilla*: On the polynomial decay in elastic solids with voids. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 338 (2008), 1296–1309. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [21] *A. Pazy*: Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations. Applied Mathematical Sciences 44. Springer, New York, 1983. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [22] *A. J. A. Ramos, D. S. Almeida Júnior, M. M. Freitas, M. J. dos Santos, A. R. Santos*: Exponential stabilization for porous elastic system with one boundary dissipation. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara, Sez. VII, Sci. Mat.* 66 (2020), 113–134. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [23] *C. A. Raposo, T. A. Apalara, J. O. Ribeiro*: Analyticity to transmission problem with delay in porous-elasticity. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 466 (2018), 819–834. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [24] *C. A. Raposo, W. D. Bastos, M. L. Santos*: A transmission problem for the Timoshenko system. *Comput. Appl. Math.* 26 (2007), 215–234. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [25] *B. Said-Houari, Y. Laskri*: A stability result of a Timoshenko system with a delay term in the internal feedback. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217 (2010), 2857–2869. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [26] *M. L. Santos, D. S. Almeida Júnior*: On porous-elastic system with localized damping. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 67 (2016), Article ID 63, 18 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [27] *M. A. Shubov*: Exact controllability of damped Timoshenko beam. *IMA J. Math. Control Inf.* 17 (2000), 375–395. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [28] *A. Soufyane*: Stabilisation de la poutre de Timoshenko. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. I, Math.* 328 (1999), 731–734. (In French.) [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [29] *S. P. Timoshenko*: On the correction for shear of the differential equation for transverse vibrations of prismatic bars. *Lond. Edinb. Dubl. Phil. Mag., Ser. VI.* 41 (1921), 744–746. [doi](#)
- [30] *C. Zhang, X. Hu*: Exact controllability of a Timoshenko beam with dynamical boundary. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 47 (2007), 643–655. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [31] *E. Zuazua*: Exact controllability for the semilinear wave equation. *J. Math. Pures Appl., IX. Sér.* 69 (1990), 1–31. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [32] *E. Zuazua*: Propagation, observation, and control of waves approximated by finite difference methods. *SIAM Rev.* 47 (2005), 197–243. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Authors' addresses: Manoel J. Santos, Faculty of Exact Sciences and Technology, Federal University of Pará, Abaetetuba - PA, 68440-000, Brazil, e-mail: jeremias@ufpa.br; Carlos A. Raposo, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Federal University of São João del-Rei, São João del-Rei - MG, 36307-352, Brazil, e-mail: raposo@ufsj.edu.br; Leonardo R. S. Rodrigues, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Federal University of Maranhão, Codó - MA, 65400-000, Brazil, e-mail: leonardo.rodrigues@ufma.br.