

Hao Sun

Arithmetic genus of integral space curves

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 68 (2018), No. 4, 1079–1089

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/147523>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2018

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## ARITHMETIC GENUS OF INTEGRAL SPACE CURVES

HAO SUN, Shanghai

Received March 3, 2017. Published online December 8, 2017.

*Abstract.* We give an estimation for the arithmetic genus of an integral space curve which is not contained in a surface of degree  $k - 1$ . Our main technique is the Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequality for  $\mathbb{P}^3$  proved by Macrì.

*Keywords:* space curve; arithmetic genus; Bridgeland stability; Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality

*MSC 2010:* 14H50, 14F05

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A classical problem, which goes back to Halphen in [7], is to determine, for given integers  $d$  and  $k$ , the maximal genus  $G(d, k)$  of a smooth projective space curve of degree  $d$  not contained in a surface of degree less than  $k$ . This problem is actually very natural, and has been investigated by many people (see [5], [6], [9], [11], [8]).

In this paper, we consider the same problem for an integral space curve. Our main result is:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $C$  be an integral complex projective curve in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  of degree  $d$ . Let  $p_a(C)$  be its arithmetic genus. If  $C$  is not contained in a surface of degree less than  $k$ , then*

$$p_a(C) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} \frac{d^2}{k} + \frac{1}{3} d(k - 6) + 1 & \text{if } k^2 < d, \\ d(\sqrt{d} - 2) + 1 & \text{if } k^2 \geq d. \end{cases}$$

---

The research has been supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11301201).

For the case of  $k \leq 2$ , this inequality has also been obtained by Macrì in [13], Corollary 4.1. When  $k^2 < d$ , our bound is weaker than that of Castelnuovo, Harris and Gruson-Peskine for smooth space curves, but still nontrivial. Our bound can be reached in some cases, when  $k^2 \geq d$ . For example, the arithmetic genus of a complete intersection of two surfaces of degree  $k$  is

$$k^2(k - 2) + 1 = d(\sqrt{d} - 2) + 1.$$

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to establish the tilt-stability of  $\mathcal{I}_C$  via computing its walls; then the Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequality for  $\mathbb{P}^3$  proved by Macrì in [13] implies Theorem 1.1. This Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequality naturally appears in the construction of Bridgeland stability conditions on threefolds (cf. [4], [3], [2]). There are also some other interesting applications of the Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequality in [1] and [14].

Our tilt-stability of  $\mathcal{I}_C$  also gives a version of the Halphen speciality theorem:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  be an integral complex projective degree  $d$  curve not contained in any surface of degree  $< k$ . Then  $h^2(\mathcal{I}_C(l)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_C(l)) = 0$  if  $l > 2d/k - 4$  when  $k^2 < d$ , or  $l > 2\sqrt{d} - 4$  when  $k^2 \geq d$ .*

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review basic properties of tilt-stability, the conjectural inequality proposed in [3], [2] and variants of the classical Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality satisfied by tilt-stable objects. Then in Section 3 the tilt-stability of  $\mathcal{I}_C$  is established via computing its walls. Finally, we show the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in Section 4.

**Notation.** In this paper, we will always denote by  $C$  an integral projective curve in the three dimensional complex projective space  $\mathbb{P}^3$  and by  $\mathcal{I}_C$  its ideal sheaf in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . We let  $p_a(C) := h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$  be the arithmetic genus of  $C$ . By  $X$  we denote a complex smooth projective threefold and by  $D^b(X)$  its bounded derived category of coherent sheaves.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we review the notion of tilt-stability for threefolds introduced in [3], [2]. Then we recall the Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequality for tilt-stable complexes proposed there.

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective threefold over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $H$  be an ample divisor on  $X$ . Let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta$  be two real numbers. We write  $\text{ch}^\beta(E) = e^{-\beta H} \text{ch}(E)$  to

denote the Chern character twisted by  $\beta H$ . More explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_0^\beta &= \text{ch}_0 = \text{rank}, & \text{ch}_2^\beta &= \text{ch}_2 - \beta H \text{ch}_1 + \frac{\beta^2}{2} H^2 \text{ch}_0, \\ \text{ch}_1^\beta &= \text{ch}_1 - \beta H \text{ch}_0, & \text{ch}_3^\beta &= \text{ch}_3 - \beta H \text{ch}_2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2} H^2 \text{ch}_1 - \frac{\beta^3}{6} H^3 \text{ch}_0. \end{aligned}$$

**Slope-stability.** We define the slope  $\mu_\beta$  of a coherent sheaf  $E \in \text{Coh}(X)$  by

$$\mu_\beta(E) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \text{ch}_0^\beta(E) = 0, \\ \frac{H^2 \text{ch}_1^\beta(E)}{H^3 \text{ch}_0^\beta(E)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.1.** A coherent sheaf  $E$  on  $X$  is slope-(semi)stable ( $\mu_\beta$ -(semi)stable) if, for all nonzero subsheaves  $F \hookrightarrow E$ , we have

$$\mu_\beta(F) < \mu_\beta(E/F) \quad (\mu_\beta(F) \leq \mu_\beta(E/F)).$$

Harder-Narasimhan filtrations (HN-filtrations, for short) with respect to slope-stability exist in  $\text{Coh}(X)$ : given a nonzero sheaf  $E \in \text{Coh}(X)$ , there is a filtration

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_n = E$$

such that  $G_i := E_i/E_{i-1}$  is slope-semistable, and  $\mu_\beta(G_1) > \dots > \mu_\beta(G_n)$ . We set  $\mu_\beta^+(E) := \mu_\beta(G_1)$  and  $\mu_\beta^-(E) := \mu_\beta(G_n)$ .

**Tilt-stability.** There exists a *torsion pair*  $(\mathcal{T}_\beta, \mathcal{F}_\beta)$  in  $\text{Coh}(X)$  defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_\beta &= \{E \in \text{Coh}(X) : \mu_\beta^-(E) > 0\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_\beta &= \{E \in \text{Coh}(X) : \mu_\beta^+(E) \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,  $\mathcal{T}_\beta$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$  are the extension-closed subcategories of  $\text{Coh}(X)$  generated by slope-stable sheaves of positive and nonpositive slope, respectively.

**Definition 2.2.** We let  $\text{Coh}^\beta(X) \subset \text{D}^b(X)$  be the extension-closure

$$\text{Coh}^\beta(X) = \langle \mathcal{T}_\beta, \mathcal{F}_\beta[1] \rangle.$$

By the general theory of torsion pairs and tilting [10],  $\text{Coh}^\beta(X)$  is the heart of a bounded t-structure on  $\text{D}^b(X)$ ; in particular, it is an abelian category.

Now we can define the following slope function on  $\text{Coh}^\beta(X)$ : for an object  $E \in \text{Coh}^\beta(X)$ , we set

$$\nu_{\alpha,\beta}(E) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } H^2 \text{ch}_1^\beta(E) = 0, \\ \frac{H \text{ch}_2^\beta(E) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 H^3 \text{ch}_0^\beta(E)}{H^2 \text{ch}_1^\beta(E)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.3.** An object  $E \in \text{Coh}^\beta(X)$  is *tilt-(semi)stable* ( $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -*(semi)stable*) if for all nontrivial subobjects  $F \hookrightarrow E$  we have

$$\nu_{\alpha,\beta}(F) < \nu_{\alpha,\beta}(E/F) \quad (\nu_{\alpha,\beta}(F) \leq \nu_{\alpha,\beta}(E/F)).$$

Lemma 3.2.4 in [3] shows that the Harder-Narasimhan property holds with respect to  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -stability, i.e., for any  $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Coh}^\beta(X)$  there is a filtration in  $\text{Coh}^\beta(X)$

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}$$

such that  $\mathcal{F}_i := \mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$  is  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -semistable with  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{F}_1) > \dots > \nu_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{F}_n)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** In the above filtration, we call  $\mathcal{E}_1$  the  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -maximal subobject of  $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Coh}^\beta(X)$ . If  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -semistable, we say  $\mathcal{E}$  itself is its  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -maximal subobject.

**Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequality.** We now recall the Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequality for tilt-stable complexes proposed in [3], [2].

**Definition 2.5.** We define the generalized discriminant

$$\overline{\Delta}_H^\beta := (H^2 \text{ch}_1^\beta)^2 - 2H^3 \text{ch}_0^\beta(H \text{ch}_2^\beta).$$

A short calculation shows  $\overline{\Delta}_H^\beta = (H^2 \text{ch}_1)^2 - 2H^3 \text{ch}_0(H \text{ch}_2)$ . Hence the generalized discriminant is independent of  $\beta$ .

**Theorem 2.6** ([3], Theorem 7.3.1). *Assume  $E \in \text{Coh}^\beta(X)$  is  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -semistable. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \overline{\Delta}_H^\beta(E) \geq 0.$$

**Conjecture 2.7** ([2], Conjecture 4.1). *Assume  $E \in \text{Coh}^\beta(X)$  is  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -semistable. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha^2 \overline{\Delta}_H^\beta(E) + 4(H \text{ch}_2^\beta(E))^2 - 6H^2 \text{ch}_1^\beta(E) \text{ch}_3^\beta(E) \geq 0.$$

Such an inequality was proved by Macrì in [13] in the case of the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^3$ :

**Theorem 2.8.** *The inequality (2.2) holds for  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -semistable objects in  $D^b(\mathbb{P}^3)$ .*

### 3. TILT-STABILITY OF IDEAL SHEAVES OF SPACE CURVES

In this section, we establish the tilt-stability of ideal sheaves of space curves via computing their walls. Then from Theorem 2.8 we can deduce a Castelnuovo type inequality for integral curves in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ .

Throughout this section, let  $C$  be an integral projective curve in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  of degree  $d$  not contained in a surface of degree  $< k$ , and let  $\mathcal{I}_C$  be the ideal sheaf of  $C$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . We keep the same notation as that in the previous section for  $X = \mathbb{P}^3$  and  $H$  a plane of  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . To simplify, we directly identify  $H^{3-i} \text{ch}_i^\beta(E) = \text{ch}_i^\beta(E)$  for  $E \in D^b(\mathbb{P}^3)$ . The tilted slope becomes:

$$\nu_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{\text{ch}_2^\beta - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \text{ch}_0^\beta}{\text{ch}_1^\beta} = \frac{\text{ch}_2 - \beta \text{ch}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta^2 - \alpha^2) \text{ch}_0}{\text{ch}_1 - \beta \text{ch}_0}.$$

The following lemma is a key observation for us to establish the tilt-stability of  $\mathcal{I}_C$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be the  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -maximal subobject of  $\mathcal{I}_C \in \text{Coh}^\beta(\mathbb{P}^3)$  for some  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}$ . If  $2\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \geq 4d$ , then  $\text{ch}_0(E) = 1$ .*

**Proof.** By the long exact sequence of cohomology sheaves induced by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\text{Coh}^\beta(\mathbb{P}^3)$ , one sees that  $E$  is a torsion free sheaf with  $\text{ch}_0(E) \geq 1$ . If  $\mathcal{I}_C$  is  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -semistable, then  $E = \mathcal{I}_C$  by our definition. Hence  $\text{ch}_0(E) = 1$ .

Now we assume that  $\mathcal{I}_C$  is not  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -semistable. One deduces

$$\nu_{\alpha,\beta}(E) = \frac{\text{ch}_2^\beta(E) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \text{ch}_0(E)}{\text{ch}_1^\beta(E)} > \nu_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{I}_C) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\beta^2 - \alpha^2) - d}{-\beta},$$

i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad \text{ch}_2^\beta(E) > \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\beta^2 - \alpha^2) - d}{-\beta} \text{ch}_1^\beta(E) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \text{ch}_0(E).$$

By Theorem 2.6, we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{(\mathrm{ch}_1^\beta(E))^2}{2 \mathrm{ch}_0(E)} \geq \mathrm{ch}_2^\beta(E).$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2), one sees that

$$\alpha^2(\mathrm{ch}_0(E))^2 + \frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2 - 2d}{-\beta} \mathrm{ch}_1^\beta(E) \mathrm{ch}_0(E) - (\mathrm{ch}_1^\beta(E))^2 < 0.$$

This implies

$$(3.3) \quad \mathrm{ch}_0(E) < \left( \frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2 - 2d}{\beta} + \sqrt{\left( \frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2 - 2d}{\beta} \right)^2 + 4\alpha^2} \right) \frac{\mathrm{ch}_1^\beta(E)}{2\alpha^2}.$$

Since  $E$  is a subobject of  $\mathcal{I}_C$  in  $\mathrm{Coh}^\beta(\mathbb{P}^3)$ , by the definition of  $\mathrm{Coh}^\beta(\mathbb{P}^3)$  we deduce that

$$0 < \mathrm{ch}_1^\beta(E) \leq \mathrm{ch}_1^\beta(\mathcal{I}_C) = -\beta.$$

From (3.3) it follows that

$$(3.4) \quad \mathrm{ch}_0(E) < \frac{(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + 2d) + \sqrt{(\beta^2 - \alpha^2 - 2d)^2 + 4\alpha^2\beta^2}}{2\alpha^2}.$$

On the other hand, since  $2\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \geq 4d$ , a direct computation shows

$$\frac{(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + 2d) + \sqrt{(\beta^2 - \alpha^2 - 2d)^2 + 4\alpha^2\beta^2}}{2\alpha^2} \leq 2.$$

Therefore, by (3.4) we conclude that  $\mathrm{ch}_0(E) < 2$ , i.e.,  $\mathrm{ch}_0(E) = 1$ . □

We now compute the walls of  $\mathcal{I}_C$ . See [12] for the surface case.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $E$  be a subobject of  $\mathcal{I}_C$  in  $\mathrm{Coh}^\beta(\mathbb{P}^3)$  with*

$$(\mathrm{ch}_0(E), \mathrm{ch}_1(E), \mathrm{ch}_2(E)) = (r, \theta, c).$$

Then  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}(E) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \leq \\ < \end{array} \right\} \nu_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{I}_C)$  if and only if

$$\frac{\theta}{2}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - (c + rd)\beta + \theta d \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \leq \\ < \end{array} \right\} 0.$$

**Proof.** Since  $E$  is a subobject of  $\mathcal{I}_C$  in  $\text{Coh}^\beta(\mathbb{P}^3)$ , one has

$$0 < \text{ch}_1^\beta(E) = \theta - r\beta \leq \text{ch}_1^\beta(\mathcal{I}_C) = -\beta,$$

i.e.,  $r\beta < \theta \leq (r-1)\beta \leq 0$ .

Hence

$$\nu_{\alpha,\beta}(E) = \frac{\frac{r}{2}(\beta^2 - \alpha^2) - \beta\theta + c}{\theta - r\beta} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \leq \\ < \end{array} \right\} \nu_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{I}_C) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\beta^2 - \alpha^2) - d}{-\beta}$$

is equivalent to

$$-\beta \left( \frac{r}{2}(\beta^2 - \alpha^2) - \beta\theta + c \right) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \leq \\ < \end{array} \right\} (\theta - r\beta) \left( \frac{1}{2}(\beta^2 - \alpha^2) - d \right),$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{\theta}{2}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - (c + rd)\beta + \theta d \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \leq \\ < \end{array} \right\} 0.$$

□

**Proposition 3.3.** *If  $k^2 < d$ , then  $\mathcal{I}_C$  is  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -semistable for any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta = -2d/k$ .*

**Proof.** We let  $\alpha_0$  be an arbitrary positive real number,  $\beta_0 = -2d/k$ , and let  $E$  be the  $\nu_{\alpha_0,\beta_0}$ -maximal subobject of  $\mathcal{I}_C \in \text{Coh}^{\beta_0}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ .

Since  $k^2 < d$ , one sees that  $2\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2 > \beta_0^2 > 4d$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.1, one has  $\text{ch}_0(E) = 1$ , and  $E$  is a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{I}_C$ . We can write  $E = \mathcal{I}_W(-l)$ , where  $W \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is a scheme of dimension  $\leq 1$  and  $l \geq 0$ . The Chern characters of  $\mathcal{I}_W(-l)$  are

$$(\text{ch}_0(\mathcal{I}_W(-l)), \text{ch}_1(\mathcal{I}_W(-l)), \text{ch}_2(\mathcal{I}_W(-l))) = \left( 1, -l, \frac{1}{2}l^2 + \text{ch}_2(\mathcal{I}_W) \right).$$

Since  $\mathcal{I}_W(-l)$  is a subobject of  $\mathcal{I}_C$  in  $\text{Coh}^{\beta_0}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ , one deduces

$$0 < \text{ch}_1^{\beta_0}(\mathcal{I}_W(-l)) = -l - \beta_0 \leq \text{ch}_1^{\beta_0}(\mathcal{I}_C) = -\beta_0,$$

i.e.,

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \leq l < -\beta_0.$$

If  $C \subseteq W$ , then  $\text{ch}_2(\mathcal{I}_W) \leq \text{ch}_2(\mathcal{I}_C) = -d$ . Thus one sees that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{l}{2}(\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2) - \left( \frac{1}{2}l^2 + \text{ch}_2(\mathcal{I}_W) + d \right) \beta_0 - ld &\leq -\frac{l}{2}\beta_0^2 - \left( \frac{1}{2}l^2 - d + d \right) \beta_0 \\ &= -\frac{\beta_0 l}{2}(l + \beta_0) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$



By Lemma 3.2, we conclude that  $\nu_{\alpha_0, \beta_0}(\mathcal{I}_W(-l)) \leq \nu_{\alpha_0, \beta_0}(\mathcal{I}_C)$ . Therefore the  $\nu_{\alpha_0, \beta_0}$ -maximal subobject of  $\mathcal{I}_C$  in  $\text{Coh}^{\beta_0}(\mathbb{P}^3)$  is  $\mathcal{I}_C$  itself. Namely,  $\mathcal{I}_C$  is  $\nu_{\alpha_0, \beta_0}$ -semistable.

If  $C \not\subseteq W$ , then  $\mathcal{I}_W(-l) \subset \mathcal{I}_C$  implies  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-l) \subset \mathcal{I}_C$ . Thus  $l \geq k$ . One deduces by (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad & -\frac{l}{2}(\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2) - \left(\frac{1}{2}l^2 + \text{ch}_2(I_W) + d\right)\beta_0 - ld \\
 & < -\frac{l}{2}\beta_0^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2}l^2 + d\right)\beta_0 - ld \\
 & = -\frac{l}{2}\left(\beta_0^2 + \left(l + \frac{2d}{l}\right)\beta_0 + 2d\right) \\
 & = -\frac{l}{2}(\beta_0 + l)\left(\beta_0 + \frac{2d}{l}\right) \\
 & = -\frac{l}{2}(\beta_0 + l)\left(\frac{2d}{l} - \frac{2d}{k}\right) \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2 yields that  $\mathcal{I}_C$  is also  $\nu_{\alpha_0, \beta_0}$ -semistable in this case.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *If  $k^2 \geq d$ , then  $\mathcal{I}_C$  is  $\nu_{\alpha, \beta}$ -semistable for any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta = -2\sqrt{d}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is almost the same as that of Proposition 3.3. We let  $\alpha_0$  be an arbitrary positive real number,  $\beta_0 = -2\sqrt{d}$ , and let  $E$  be the  $\nu_{\alpha_0, \beta_0}$ -maximal subobject of  $\mathcal{I}_C \in \text{Coh}^{\beta_0}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ .

By Lemma 3.1, the assumption  $\beta_0 = -2\sqrt{d}$  makes sure that  $\text{ch}_0(E) = 1$ . We can still write  $E = \mathcal{I}_W(-l)$  as in the proof of Proposition 3.3. When  $C \subseteq W$ , the same proof of Proposition 3.3 shows that  $\mathcal{I}_C$  is  $\nu_{\alpha_0, \beta_0}$ -semistable.

In the case of  $C \not\subseteq W$ , one sees that  $l \geq k$ . Thus it follows from (3.6) and (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\frac{l}{2}(\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2) - \left(\frac{1}{2}l^2 + \text{ch}_2(I_W) + d\right)\beta_0 - ld & < -\frac{l}{2}(\beta_0 + l)\left(\beta_0 + \frac{2d}{l}\right) \\
 & \leq -\frac{l}{2}(\beta_0 + l)\left(\frac{2d}{k} - 2\sqrt{d}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The assumption  $k^2 \geq d$  guarantees that the left hand side of the above inequality is negative. Therefore we are done by Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

4. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

Now we can prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 easily.

**Proof** of Theorem 1.1. Since  $C$  is an integral curve, one sees that

$$\text{ch}_3^\beta(\mathcal{I}_C) = -\frac{1}{6}\beta^3 + d\beta + 2d - \chi(\mathcal{O}_C).$$

If  $\mathcal{I}_C$  is  $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -semistable, then Theorem 2.8 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha^2 \overline{\Delta}_H^\beta(\mathcal{I}_C) + 4(H \text{ch}_2^\beta(\mathcal{I}_C))^2 - 6H^2 \text{ch}_1^\beta(\mathcal{I}_C) \text{ch}_3^\beta(\mathcal{I}_C) \\ &= 2\alpha^2 d + 4d^2 + \beta^4 - 4\beta^2 d - 6(-\beta) \left( -\frac{1}{6}\beta^3 + d\beta + 2d - \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) \right) \\ &= 2\alpha^2 d + 4d^2 + 2\beta^2 d + 6\beta(2d - \chi(\mathcal{O}_C)) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(4.1) \quad h^1(\mathcal{O}_C) - 1 = -\chi(\mathcal{O}_C) \leq \frac{2d^2 + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)d}{3(-\beta)} - 2d.$$

By Propositions 3.3 and 3.4, one can substitute  $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, -2d/k)$  and  $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, -2\sqrt{d})$  into (4.1) respectively to obtain our desired conclusion.  $\square$

**Proof** of Theorem 1.2. The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(m) \rightarrow 0$$

induces a long exact sequence

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(m)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(m)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{I}_C(m)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(m)).$$

Since  $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(m)) = H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(m)) = 0$ , we deduce  $h^2(\mathcal{I}_C(m)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_C(m))$ .

Now we assume

**Assumption 4.1.**  $m > 2d/k$ ,  $k^2 < d$  and  $\beta_0 = -2d/k$ .

One sees that

$$\text{ch}_1^{\beta_0}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-m)) = -m + \frac{2d}{k} < 0.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-m)[1] \in \text{Coh}^{\beta_0}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ . It turns out that

$$\nu_{\alpha_0, \beta_0}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-m)[1]) = \frac{-\frac{1}{2}(m + \beta_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0^2}{m + \beta_0} < \nu_{\alpha_0, \beta_0}(\mathcal{I}_C) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\beta_0^2 - \alpha_0^2) - d}{-\beta_0}$$

is equivalent to

$$-\beta_0 \left( -\frac{1}{2}(m + \beta_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0^2 \right) < (m + \beta_0) \left( \frac{1}{2}(\beta_0^2 - \alpha_0^2) - d \right),$$

i.e.,

$$\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2 + \left( m + \frac{2d}{m} \right) \beta_0 + 2d < 0.$$

Assumption 4.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0^2 + \left( m + \frac{2d}{m} \right) \beta_0 + 2d &= (\beta_0 + m) \left( \beta_0 + \frac{2d}{m} \right) \\ &= (\beta_0 + m) \left( \frac{2d}{m} - \frac{2d}{k} \right) \\ &< (\beta_0 + m) \left( k - \frac{2d}{k} \right) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can find an  $\alpha_0 > 0$  such that  $\nu_{\alpha_0, \beta_0}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-m)[1]) < \nu_{\alpha_0, \beta_0}(\mathcal{I}_C)$ . On the other hand, by [3], Proposition 7.4.1, and Proposition 3.3, one deduces that both  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-m)[1]$  and  $\mathcal{I}_C$  are  $\nu_{\alpha_0, \beta_0}$ -semistable. We conclude that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}^b(\mathbb{P}^3)}(\mathcal{I}_C, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-m)[1]) = 0.$$

By the Serre duality theorem, one obtains  $h^2(\mathcal{I}_C(m-4)) = 0$ . Therefore we conclude that  $h^2(\mathcal{I}_C(l)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_C(l)) = 0$  if  $l > 2d/k - 4$  and  $k^2 < d$ .

Similarly, one can show  $h^2(\mathcal{I}_C(l)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_C(l)) = 0$  if  $l > 2\sqrt{d} - 4$  and  $k^2 \geq d$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank Emanuele Macrì for useful discussions.

### References

- [1] *A. Bayer, A. Bertram, E. Macrì, Y. Toda*: Bridgeland stability conditions of threefolds II: An application to Fujita’s conjecture. *J. Algebr. Geom.* *23* (2014), 693–710. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *A. Bayer, E. Macrì, P. Stellari*: The space of stability conditions on abelian threefolds, and on some Calabi-Yau threefolds. *Invent. Math.* *206* (2016), 869–933. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] *A. Bayer, E. Macrì, Y. Toda*: Bridgeland stability conditions on threefolds I: Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequalities. *J. Algebr. Geom.* *23* (2014), 117–163. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *T. Bridgeland*: Stability conditions on triangulated categories. *Ann. of Math. (2)* *166* (2007), 317–345. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] *G. Castelnuovo*: Sui multipli di una serie lineare di gruppi di punti appartenente ad una curva algebrica. *Palermo Rend.* *7* (1893), 89–110. (In Italian.) [zbl](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *L. Gruson, C. Peskine*: Genre des courbes de l’espace projectif. *Algebraic Geometry. Proc., Tromsø Symp. 1977, Lect. Notes Math.* *687*, (1978), pp. 31–59. (In French.) [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *G. Halphen*: Mémoire sur la classification des courbes gauches algébriques. *J. Ec. Polyt.* *52* (1882), 1–200. (In French.)

- [8] *D. Happel, I. Reiten, S. O. Smalø*: Tilting in abelian categories and quasitilted algebras. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 575 120 (1996), 88 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [9] *J. Harris*: The genus of space curves. Math. Ann. 249 (1980), 191–204. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [10] *R. Hartshorne*: On the classification of algebraic space curves II. Algebraic Geometry. Proc. Summer Res. Inst., Brunswick/Maine 1985, part 1, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 46, 1987, pp. 145–164. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [11] *R. Hartshorne, A. Hirschowitz*: Nouvelles courbes de bon genre dans l’espace projectif. Math. Ann. 280 (1988), 353–367. (In French.) [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [12] *A. Maciocia*: Computing the walls associated to Bridgeland stability conditions on projective surfaces. Asian J. Math. 18 (2014), 263–280. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [13] *E. Macrì*: A generalized Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality for the three-dimensional projective space. Algebra Number Theory 8 (2014), 173–190. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [14] *Y. Toda*: Bogomolov-Gieseker-type inequality and counting invariants. J. Topol. 6 (2013), 217–250. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

*Author’s address*: Hao Sun, Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, No. 100 Guilin Rd, Shanghai 200234, China, e-mail: [hsun@shnu.edu.cn](mailto:hsun@shnu.edu.cn).