

Chunyue Wang; Qingcheng Zhang
The construction of 3-Lie 2-algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 68 (2018), No. 3, 711–721

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/147363>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2018

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

THE CONSTRUCTION OF 3-LIE 2-ALGEBRAS

CHUNYUE WANG, QINGCHENG ZHANG, Changchun

Received December 8, 2016. Published online February 1, 2018.

Abstract. We construct a 3-Lie 2-algebra from a 3-Leibniz algebra and a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra. Moreover, we give some examples of 3-Leibniz algebras.

Keywords: 3-Leibniz algebras; Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras; 3-Lie 2-algebras

MSC 2010: 17B99, 55U15

1. INTRODUCTION

Higher categorical structures play an important role in both string theory and physics. Some higher categorical structures are obtained by categorifying existing mathematical concepts. One of the simplest higher structures is a categorical vector space, that is, a 2-vector space. A categorical Lie algebra introduced by Baez and Crans in [1], which is called a Lie 2-algebra, is a 2-vector space equipped with a skew-symmetric bilinear functor whose Jacobi identity is replaced by the Jacobiator satisfying some coherence laws of its own. Lie 2-algebra theories are widely developed in [2], [6]–[8], [12]–[17]. Recently, the notion of 3-Lie 2-algebras has been introduced in [18], which is a categorical 3-Lie algebra. It is shown that the category of 3-Lie 2-algebras is equivalent to the category of 2-term 3-Lie $_{\infty}$ -algebras, see [18], so a 3-Lie 2-algebra is defined by a 3-Lie $_{\infty}$ -algebra.

In this paper, we construct a 3-Lie 2-algebra from a 3-Leibniz algebra and a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we construct a 3-Lie 2-algebra from a 3-Leibniz algebra, and give some examples of 3-Lie 2-algebras from associative

The research has been supported by Science and Technology Research Fund of Jilin Province (2016111).

trialgebras, dendriform algebras, tridendriform algebras and dialgebras. In Section 3, we construct a 3-Lie 2-algebra from a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra.

2. FROM 3-LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS TO 3-LIE 2-ALGEBRAS

The class of n -Leibniz algebras introduced by Casas, Loday and Pirashvili in [5] can be regarded as a natural generalization of n -Lie algebras, which are of a considerable importance in Nambu mechanics. In particular, for $n = 3$ one recovers a 3-Leibniz algebra, see [4]. In this section, we construct a 3-Lie 2-algebra from a 3-Leibniz algebra.

Definition 2.1 ([4]). A 3-Leibniz algebra is a vector space \mathcal{L} with a trilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]: \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that for any $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in \mathcal{L}$ the following equality is satisfied:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]] &= [[x_1, x_2, x_3], x_4, x_5] + [x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4], x_5] \\ &\quad + [x_3, x_4, [x_1, x_2, x_5]]. \end{aligned}$$

The left center of 3-Leibniz algebras is given by

$$(2.2) \quad Z(\mathcal{L}) = \{x \in \mathcal{L}; [x, y, z] = 0, \forall y, z \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

The left ideal I of 3-Leibniz algebras is given by $[I, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}] \subseteq I$. It is obvious that $Z(\mathcal{L})$ is a left ideal of the 3-Leibniz algebra \mathcal{L} .

A 3-Lie 2-algebra can be regarded as a categorification of a 3-Lie algebra, which is equivalent to a 2-term 3-Lie $_{\infty}$ -algebra. An explicit description of 3-Lie 2-algebra is given in [18].

Definition 2.2 ([18]). A 2-term 3-Lie $_{\infty}$ -algebra consists of the following data:

- ▷ a complex of vector spaces $L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$;
- ▷ completely skew-symmetric trilinear maps $l_3: L_i \times L_j \times L_k \rightarrow L_{i+j+k}$, where $0 \leq i + j + k \leq 1$;
- ▷ a multilinear map $l_5: (L_0 \wedge L_0) \otimes (L_0 \wedge L_0 \wedge L_0) \rightarrow L_1$, such that for any $x, y, x_i \in L_0$ and $a, b, c \in L_1$, the following equalities are satisfied:
 - (a) $dl_3(x, y, a) = l_3(x, y, da)$,
 - (b) $l_3(a, b, c) = 0, l_3(a, b, x) = 0$,
 - (c) $l_3(da, b, x) = l_3(a, db, x)$,
 - (d) $dl_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = -l_3(x_1, x_2, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5)) + l_3(x_3, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5) + l_3(l_3(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5) + l_3(x_3, x_4, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_5))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(e)} \quad & l_5(da, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = -l_3(a, x_2, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5)) + l_3(x_3, l_3(a, x_2, x_4), x_5) \\
& \quad \quad \quad + l_3(l_3(a, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5) + l_3(x_3, x_4, l_3(a, x_2, x_5)), \\
\text{(f)} \quad & l_5(x_1, x_2, da, x_4, x_5) = -l_3(x_1, x_2, l_3(a, x_4, x_5)) + l_3(a, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5) \\
& \quad \quad \quad + l_3(l_3(x_1, x_2, a), x_4, x_5) + l_3(a, x_4, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_5)), \\
\text{(g)} \quad & l_3(l_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5), x_6, x_7) + l_3(x_5, l_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_6), x_7) \\
& \quad \quad \quad + l_3(x_1, x_2, l_5(x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7)) + l_3(x_5, x_6, l_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_7)) \\
& \quad \quad \quad + l_5(x_1, x_2, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5), x_6, x_7) + l_5(x_1, x_2, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_6), x_7) \\
& \quad \quad \quad + l_5(x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_7)) \\
& \quad \quad \quad = l_3(x_3, x_4, l_5(x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6, x_7)) + l_5(l_3(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) \\
& \quad \quad \quad + l_5(x_3, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5, x_6, x_7) + l_5(x_3, x_4, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_5), x_6, x_7) \\
& \quad \quad \quad + l_5(x_3, x_4, x_5, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_6), x_7) + l_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, l_3(x_5, x_6, x_7)) \\
& \quad \quad \quad + l_5(x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_7)).
\end{aligned}$$

A 2-term 3-Lie $_{\infty}$ -algebra is denoted by $\mathbb{L} = (L_1, L_0, d, l_3, l_5)$, or simply \mathbb{L} .

If $d = 0$ ($l_5 = 0$), then the 2-term 3-Lie $_{\infty}$ -algebra is called skeletal (strict).

Let $(\mathcal{L}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$ be a 3-Leibniz algebra. Define a completely skew-symmetric bracket $[[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]]$ on \mathcal{L} by

$$(2.3) \quad [[x_1, x_2, x_3]] = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\tau(\sigma)} [x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}],$$

where σ runs over the symmetric group S_3 and the number $\tau(\sigma)$ is equal to 0 or 1 depending on the parity of the permutation σ . The corresponding operator J with respect to $[[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]]$ is given by

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} J_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5} &= [[x_1, x_2, x_3], x_4, x_5] + [x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4], x_5] \\ &\quad + [x_3, x_4, [x_1, x_2, x_5]] - [x_1, x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(\mathcal{L}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$ be a 3-Leibniz algebra. For any $x_i \in \mathcal{L}$, we have*

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & [[J_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5}, x_6, x_7] + [x_5, J_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_6}, x_7] + [x_1, x_2, J_{x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7}]] \\ & \quad + [[x_5, x_6, J_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_7}] - [x_3, x_4, J_{x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6, x_7}]] \\ & \quad + J_{x_1, x_2, [[x_3, x_4, x_5], x_6, x_7} + J_{x_1, x_2, x_5, [[x_3, x_4, x_6], x_7} + J_{x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6, [[x_3, x_4, x_7}]] \\ & \quad - J_{[[x_1, x_2, x_3], x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7} - J_{x_3, [[x_1, x_2, x_4], x_5, x_6, x_7} - J_{x_3, x_4, [[x_1, x_2, x_5], x_6, x_7}]] \\ & \quad - J_{x_3, x_4, x_5, [[x_1, x_2, x_6], x_7} - J_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, [[x_5, x_6, x_7}]] - J_{x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, [[x_1, x_2, x_7}]] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ defined by equation (2.3) is completely skew-symmetric, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket J_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5}, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket + \llbracket x_5, J_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_6}, x_7 \rrbracket + \llbracket x_1, x_2, J_{x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7} \rrbracket \\
& + \llbracket x_5, x_6, J_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_7} \rrbracket - \llbracket x_3, x_4, J_{x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6, x_7} \rrbracket \\
& + J_{x_1, x_2, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_5 \rrbracket, x_6, x_7} + J_{x_1, x_2, x_5, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_6 \rrbracket, x_7} + J_{x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_7 \rrbracket} \\
& - J_{\llbracket x_1, x_2, x_3 \rrbracket, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7} - J_{x_3, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_4 \rrbracket, x_5, x_6, x_7} - J_{x_3, x_4, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_5 \rrbracket, x_6, x_7} \\
& - J_{x_3, x_4, x_5, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_6 \rrbracket, x_7} - J_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \llbracket x_5, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket} - J_{x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_7 \rrbracket} \\
= & \llbracket J_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5}, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket + \llbracket x_5, J_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_6}, x_7 \rrbracket + \llbracket x_1, x_2, J_{x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7} \rrbracket \\
& + \llbracket x_5, x_6, J_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_7} \rrbracket - \llbracket x_3, x_4, J_{x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6, x_7} \rrbracket \\
& + \llbracket \llbracket x_1, x_2, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_5 \rrbracket \rrbracket, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket + \llbracket \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_5 \rrbracket, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_6 \rrbracket \rrbracket, x_7 \rrbracket \\
& + \llbracket \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_5 \rrbracket, x_6, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket + \llbracket x_1, \llbracket \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_5 \rrbracket, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket, x_2 \rrbracket \\
& + \llbracket \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_5 \rrbracket, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_6 \rrbracket, x_7 \rrbracket + \llbracket x_5, \llbracket x_1, x_2, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_6 \rrbracket \rrbracket, x_7 \rrbracket \\
& + \llbracket x_5, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_6 \rrbracket, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket + \llbracket x_1, \llbracket x_5, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_6 \rrbracket, x_7 \rrbracket, x_2 \rrbracket \\
& + \llbracket \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_5 \rrbracket, x_6, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket + \llbracket x_5, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_6 \rrbracket, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket \\
& + \llbracket x_5, x_6, \llbracket x_1, x_2, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket + \llbracket x_1, \llbracket x_5, x_6, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket, x_2 \rrbracket \\
& - \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_3 \rrbracket, x_4, x_5 \rrbracket, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket - \llbracket x_5, \llbracket \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_3 \rrbracket, x_4, x_6 \rrbracket, x_7 \rrbracket \\
& - \llbracket x_5, x_6, \llbracket \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_3 \rrbracket, x_4, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket - \llbracket \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_3 \rrbracket, \llbracket x_5, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket, x_4 \rrbracket \\
& - \llbracket \llbracket x_3, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_4 \rrbracket, x_5 \rrbracket, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket - \llbracket x_5, \llbracket x_3, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_4 \rrbracket, x_6 \rrbracket, x_7 \rrbracket \\
& - \llbracket x_5, x_6, \llbracket x_3, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_4 \rrbracket, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket - \llbracket x_3, \llbracket x_5, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_4 \rrbracket \rrbracket \\
& - \llbracket \llbracket x_3, x_4, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_5 \rrbracket \rrbracket, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket - \llbracket \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_5 \rrbracket, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_6 \rrbracket, x_7 \rrbracket \\
& - \llbracket \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_5 \rrbracket, x_6, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket - \llbracket x_3, \llbracket \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_5 \rrbracket, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket, x_4 \rrbracket \\
& - \llbracket \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_5 \rrbracket, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_6 \rrbracket, x_7 \rrbracket - \llbracket x_5, \llbracket x_3, x_4, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_6 \rrbracket \rrbracket, x_7 \rrbracket \\
& - \llbracket x_5, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_6 \rrbracket, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket - \llbracket x_3, \llbracket x_5, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_6 \rrbracket, x_7 \rrbracket, x_4 \rrbracket \\
& - \llbracket \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_3 \rrbracket, x_4, \llbracket x_5, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket - \llbracket x_3, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_4 \rrbracket, \llbracket x_5, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket \\
& - \llbracket x_3, x_4, \llbracket x_1, x_2, \llbracket x_5, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket - \llbracket x_1, \llbracket x_3, x_4, \llbracket x_5, x_6, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket, x_2 \rrbracket \\
& - \llbracket \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_5 \rrbracket, x_6, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket - \llbracket x_5, \llbracket x_3, x_4, x_6 \rrbracket, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket \\
& - \llbracket x_5, x_6, \llbracket x_3, x_4, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket - \llbracket x_3, \llbracket x_5, x_6, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_7 \rrbracket \rrbracket, x_4 \rrbracket \\
= & 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished. \square

Now, we construct a 3-Lie 2-algebra from a 3-Leibniz algebra $(\mathcal{L}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$ and its center $Z(\mathcal{L})$. We can consider the graded vector space $\mathbb{L} = Z(\mathcal{L}) \oplus \mathcal{L}$, where $Z(\mathcal{L})$ is of degree 1, \mathcal{L} is of degree 0. Define a degree -1 differential $d = i: Z(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$,

Example 2.5. An associative trialgebra is a 3-Leibniz algebra with respect to the bracket

$$[x, y, z] = z \dashv (x \perp y - y \perp x) - (x \perp y - y \perp x) \vdash z.$$

Then a 3-Lie 2-algebra can be obtained by Theorem 2.4.

A dendriform algebra, see [11], is a vector space D equipped with two bilinear operations

$$\succ: D \otimes D \rightarrow D,$$

$$\prec: D \otimes D \rightarrow D$$

such that the following equalities hold:

- (a) $(x \prec y) \prec z = x \prec (y \prec z + y \succ z),$
- (b) $x \succ (y \succ z) = (x \prec y + x \succ y) \succ z,$
- (c) $(x \succ y) \prec z = x \succ (y \prec z).$

Example 2.6. A dendriform algebra (D, \prec, \succ) is a 3-Leibniz algebra associated to the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \{x, y, z\} &= z \prec (x \prec y + x \succ y) + z \succ (x \prec y + x \succ y) \\ &\quad - (x \prec y + x \succ y) \prec z - (x \prec y + x \succ y) \succ z. \end{aligned}$$

Then a 3-Lie 2-algebra can be obtained by Theorem 2.4.

A tridendriform algebra, see [10], is a quadruple (T, \prec, \succ, \cdot) consisting of a vector space T and three bilinear maps

$$\prec: T \otimes T \rightarrow T,$$

$$\succ: T \otimes T \rightarrow T,$$

$$\cdot: T \otimes T \rightarrow T$$

such that the following equalities hold:

- (a) $(x \prec y) \prec z = x \prec (y \prec z + y \succ z + y \cdot z),$
- (b) $(x \succ y) \prec z = x \succ (y \prec z),$
- (c) $x \succ (y \succ z) = (x \prec y + x \succ y + x \cdot y) \succ z,$
- (d) $(x \succ y) \cdot z = x \succ (y \cdot z),$
- (e) $(x \prec y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \succ z),$
- (f) $(x \cdot y) \prec z = x \cdot (y \prec z),$
- (g) $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z).$

Example 2.7. A tridendriform algebra (T, \prec, \succ, \cdot) is a 3-Leibniz algebra associated to the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \{x, y, z\} = & z \prec (x \prec y + x \succ y + x \cdot y) + z \succ (x \prec y + x \succ y + x \cdot y) \\ & + z \cdot (x \prec y + x \succ y + x \cdot y) - (x \prec y + x \succ y + x \cdot y) \prec z \\ & - (x \prec y + x \succ y + x \cdot y) \succ z - (x \prec y + x \succ y + x \cdot y) \cdot z. \end{aligned}$$

Then a 3-Lie 2-algebra can be obtained by Theorem 2.4.

A dialgebra, see [9], is a vector space V equipped with two bilinear operations

$$\begin{aligned} \dashv: V \otimes V &\rightarrow V, \\ \vdash: V \otimes V &\rightarrow V \end{aligned}$$

such that the following equalities hold:

- (a) $x \vdash (y \dashv z) = (x \vdash y) \dashv z$,
- (b) $x \dashv (y \vdash z) = (x \dashv y) \vdash z = x \dashv (y \vdash z)$,
- (c) $x \vdash (y \vdash z) = (x \vdash y) \vdash z = (x \dashv y) \vdash z$.

Example 2.8. A dialgebra algebra (V, \dashv, \vdash) is a 3-Leibniz algebra associated to the operator

$$\{x, y, z\} = z \dashv (x \vdash y) - (x \vdash y) \vdash z.$$

Then a 3-Lie 2-algebra can be obtained by Theorem 2.4.

3. FROM ROTA-BAXTER 3-LIE ALGEBRAS TO 3-LIE 2-ALGEBRAS

In this section, we construct a 3-Lie 2-algebra from a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra.

Definition 3.1 ([3]). A Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra is a 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{R}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$ with a linear map $R: L \rightarrow L$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad [R(x_1), R(x_2), R(x_3)] = & R([R(x_1), R(x_2), x_3] + [R(x_1), x_2, R(x_3)]) \\ & + [x_1, R(x_2), R(x_3)] + \lambda[R(x_1), x_2, x_3] \\ & + \lambda[x_1, R(x_2), x_3] + \lambda[x_1, x_2, R(x_3)] + \lambda^2[x_1, x_2, x_3]), \end{aligned}$$

where R is called a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ . A Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra is denoted by $(\mathfrak{R}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], R)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $(\mathfrak{A}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], R)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra with a Rota-Baxter operator of weight 0. Let $V_1 = \mathfrak{A}$, $V_0 = \mathfrak{A}$. On the complex of vector spaces $V_1 = \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{R} \mathfrak{A} = V_0$, define a trilinear map l_3 by

$$\begin{cases} l_3(x, y, z) = [R(x), R(y), R(z)] & \forall x, y, z \in V_0, \\ l_3(x, y, a) = [R(x), R(y), R(a)] & \forall x, y \in V_0, a \in V_1, \\ l_3(a, b, c) = 0 & \forall a, b, c \in V_1, \\ l_3(a, b, x) = 0 & \forall x \in V_0, a, b \in V_1. \end{cases}$$

If the Rota-Baxter operator R satisfies $R^2 = R$, then $(V_1 = \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{R} \mathfrak{A} = V_0, l_3)$ is a strict 3-Lie 2-algebra.

Proof. Since $R^2 = R$, we have

$$R([R(x_1), R(x_2), R(x_3)]) = [R(x_1), R(x_2), R(x_3)].$$

For any $x, y \in V_0$, $a, b \in V_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Rl_3(x, y, a) &= R([R(x), R(y), R(a)]) = [R(x), R(y), R(a)] \\ &= [R(x), R(y), R^2(a)] = l_3(x, y, R(a)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} l_3(R(a), b, x) &= [R^2(a), R(b), R(x)] = [R(a), R(b), R(x)] \\ &= [R(a), R^2(b), R(x)] = l_3(a, R(b), x), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that equalities (a) and (c) hold in Definition 2.2.

For any $x_i \in V_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &l_3(x_3, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5) + l_3(l_3(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5) \\ &\quad + l_3(x_3, x_4, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_5)) - l_3(x_1, x_2, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5)) \\ &= [R(x_3), [R(x_1), R(x_2), R(x_4)], R(x_5)] + [[R(x_1), R(x_2), R(x_3)], R(x_4), R(x_5)] \\ &\quad + [R(x_3), R(x_4), [R(x_1), R(x_2), R(x_5)]] - [R(x_1), R(x_2), [R(x_3), R(x_4), R(x_5)]] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain the other equalities in Definition 2.2. □

Proposition 3.3. Let $(\mathfrak{A}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], R)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra with a Rota-Baxter operator of weight 0. Then $(\mathfrak{A}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]')$ is a 3-Lie algebra, where the trilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]': \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is defined by

$$[x, y, z]' = [R(x), R(y), z] + [R(x), y, R(z)] + [x, R(y), R(z)].$$

Proof. It is straightforward to calculate by equation (3.1) and Definition 2.1. \square

Definition 3.4 ([18]). A representation of a 3-Lie algebra L on the vector space V is a bilinear map $\varrho: \wedge^2 L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} [\varrho(\mathfrak{X}, \varrho(\mathfrak{Y}))] &= \varrho([\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_F) \quad \forall \mathfrak{X} = x_1 \wedge x_2, \mathfrak{Y} = y_1 \wedge y_2, \\ \varrho(x, [y_1, y_2, y_3]) &= \varrho(y_2, y_3)\varrho(x, y_1) - \varrho(y_1, y_3)\varrho(x, y_2) + \varrho(y_1, y_2)\varrho(x, y_3) \quad \forall x, y_i \in L, \end{aligned}$$

where $[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_F = [x_1, x_2, y_1] \wedge y_2 + y_1 \wedge [x_1, x_2, y_2]$. We denote a representation by $(V; \varrho)$.

On a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{A}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], R)$ with a Rota-Baxter operator of weight 0, we define a left multiplication $l: \wedge^2 \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{A})$ by

$$l_{x,y}(z) = [R(x), R(y), z] \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

It is clear that l is a representation of the 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{A}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]')$ on \mathfrak{A} .

Definition 3.5. Let $(\mathfrak{A}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], R)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra with a Rota-Baxter operator of weight 0. A symplectic structure on $(\mathfrak{A}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], R)$ is a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form $\omega: \wedge^2 \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that for all $x, y, z, t \in \mathfrak{A}$ the following equality holds:

$$(3.2) \quad \omega([x, y, z], t) - \omega([x, y, t], z) + \omega([x, z, t], y) - \omega([y, z, t], x) = 0.$$

The algebra $(\mathfrak{A}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], R, \omega)$ is called a symplectic Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra.

Theorem 3.6. Let $(\mathfrak{A}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], R)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra with a Rota-Baxter operator of weight 0 and ω a symplectic structure on \mathfrak{A} satisfying

$$(3.3) \quad \omega([R(x), R(y), z], t) = \omega([x, y, t], z).$$

On the complex of vector spaces $\mathfrak{A}^* \xrightarrow{d=(\omega^*)^{-1}} \mathfrak{A}$, define a completely skew-symmetric trilinear map l_3 by

$$\begin{cases} l_3(x, y, z) = [x, y, z] \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{A}, \\ l_3(x, y, f) = l_{x,y}^* f \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{A}, f \in \mathfrak{A}^*, \\ l_3(x, f, g) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{A}, f, g \in \mathfrak{A}^*, \\ l_3(f, g, h) = 0 \quad \forall f, g, h \in \mathfrak{A}^*, \end{cases}$$

where l^* is the dual representation of l and $\omega^*: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^*$ is given by $\omega^*(x)(y) = \omega(x, y)$. Then $(\mathfrak{A}^* \xrightarrow{d=(\omega^*)^{-1}} \mathfrak{A}, l_3)$ is a strict 3-Lie 2-algebra.

Proof. We only need to prove equalities (a) and (e) in Definition 2.2, and the other equalities hold similarly. For any $x, y, z, t \in \mathfrak{R}$, set $f = \omega^*(z)$ and $g = \omega^*(t)$, by equation (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle dl_3(x, y, f), g \rangle &= -\langle l_3(x, y, f), (\omega^*)^{-1}g \rangle \\
&= -\langle l_{x,y}^* \omega^*(z), t \rangle \\
&= \langle \omega^*(z), [R(x), R(y), t] \rangle \\
&= \omega(z, [R(x), R(y), t]) \\
&= \omega(t, [x, y, z])
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle l_3(x, y, df), g \rangle &= \langle l_3(x, y, z), \omega^*(t) \rangle \\
&= \langle [x, y, z], \omega^*(t) \rangle \\
&= \omega(t, [x, y, z]).
\end{aligned}$$

Since ω is non-degenerate, we obtain $dl_3(x, y, f) = l_3(x, y, df)$.

Furthermore, for any $x_i \in \mathfrak{R}$, set $f = \omega^*(x_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle -l_3(f, x_2, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5)), x_6 \rangle + \langle l_3(x_3, l_3(f, x_2, x_4), x_5), x_6 \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle l_3(l_3(f, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5), x_6 \rangle + \langle l_3(x_3, x_4, l_3(f, x_2, x_5)), x_6 \rangle \\
&= \langle -l_{x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]}^* \omega^*(x_1), x_6 \rangle - \langle l_{x_3, x_5}^* l_{x_2, x_4}^* \omega^*(x_1), x_6 \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle l_{x_4, x_5}^* l_{x_2, x_3}^* \omega^*(x_1), x_6 \rangle + \langle l_{x_3, x_4}^* l_{x_2, x_5}^* \omega^*(x_1), x_6 \rangle \\
&= \omega(x_1, [R(x_2), R[x_3, x_4, x_5], x_6]) \\
&\quad - \omega(x_1, [R(x_2), R(x_4), [R(x_3), R(x_5), x_6]]) \\
&\quad + \omega(x_1, [R(x_2), R(x_3), [R(x_4), R(x_5), x_6]]) \\
&\quad + \omega(x_1, [R(x_2), R(x_5), [R(x_3), R(x_4), x_6]]) \\
&= \omega(x_6, [x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5], x_1]) + \omega(x_6, [x_3, x_5, [x_2, x_4, x_1]]) \\
&\quad - \omega(x_6, [x_4, x_5, [x_2, x_3, x_1]]) - \omega(x_6, [x_3, x_4, [x_2, x_5, x_1]]) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that equality (e) holds in Definition 2.2. The proof is finished. \square

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank Professor Yunhe Sheng for his helpful comments.

References

- [1] *J. C. Baez, A. S. Crans*: Higher-dimensional algebra. VI. Lie 2-algebras. *Theory Appl. Categ.* *12* (2004), 492–538. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [2] *J. C. Baez, C. L. Rogers*: Categorified symplectic geometry and the string Lie 2-algebra. *Homology Homotopy Appl.* *12* (2010), 221–236. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] *R. Bai, L. Guo, J. Li, Y. Wu*: Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras. *J. Math. Phys.* *54* (2013), 063504, 14 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *J. M. Casas*: Trialgebras and Leibniz 3-algebras. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex., III. Ser.* *12* (2006), 165–178. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [5] *J. M. Casas, J.-L. Loday, T. Pirashvili*: Leibniz n -algebras. *Forum Math.* *14* (2002), 189–207. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *S. Chen, Y. Sheng, Z. Zheng*: Non-abelian extensions of Lie 2-algebras. *Sci. China, Math.* *55* (2012), 1655–1668. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *H. Lang, Z. Liu*: Crossed modules for Lie 2-algebras. *Appl. Categ. Struct.* *24* (2016), 53–78. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [8] *Z. Liu, Y. Sheng, T. Zhang*: Deformations of Lie 2-algebras. *J. Geom. Phys.* *86* (2014), 66–80. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [9] *J.-L. Loday, A. Frabetti, F. Chapoton, F. Goichot*, eds.: Dialgebras and Related Operads. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1763*, Springer, Berlin, 2001. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [10] *J.-L. Loday, M. Ronco*: Trialgebras and families of polytopes. *Homotopy Theory: Relations with Algebraic Geometry, Group Cohomology, and Algebraic K-Theory*. Conf. on algebraic topology, Northwestern University, Evanston, Contemporary Mathematics 346, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2004, pp. 369–398. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [11] *J. Ni, Y. Wang, D. Hou*: Super \mathcal{O} -operators of Jordan superalgebras and super Jordan Yang-Baxter equations. *Front. Math. China* *9* (2014), 585–599. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [12] *B. Noohi*: Integrating morphisms of Lie 2-algebras. *Compos. Math.* *149* (2013), 264–294. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [13] *Y. Sheng, D. Chen*: Hom-Lie 2-algebras. *J. Algebra* *376* (2013), 174–195. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [14] *Y. Sheng, Z. Liu*: From Leibniz algebras to Lie 2-algebras. *Algebr. Represent. Theory* *19* (2016), 1–5. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [15] *Y. Sheng, Z. Liu, C. Zhu*: Omni-Lie 2-algebras and their Dirac structures. *J. Geom. Phys.* *61* (2011), 560–575. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [16] *Y. Sheng, C. Zhu*: Integration of Lie 2-algebras and their morphisms. *Lett. Math. Phys.* *102* (2012), 223–244. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [17] *Y. Sheng, C. Zhu*: Integration of semidirect product Lie 2-algebras. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* *9* (2012), 1250043, 31 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [18] *Y. Zhou, Y. Li, Y. Sheng*: 3-Lie $_{\infty}$ -algebras and 3-Lie 2-algebras. *J. Algebra Appl.* *16* (2017), Article ID 1750171, 20 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Authors' addresses: Chunyue Wang (corresponding author), Department of Mathematics, Jilin University, No. 2699 Qianjin Street, Changchun 130012, Jilin, China, and Department of Mathematics, Jilin Engineering Normal University, 3050 Kaixuan Rd., Changchun 130052, Jilin, China, e-mail: wang1chun2yue3@163.com; Qingcheng Zhang, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University, 5268 Renmin Street, Changchun 130024, Jilin, China, e-mail: zhangqc569@nenu.edu.cn.