

Tadeusz Pezda

On some issues concerning polynomial cycles

Communications in Mathematics, Vol. 21 (2013), No. 2, 129--135

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143586>

Terms of use:

© University of Ostrava, 2013

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

On some issues concerning polynomial cycles

Tadeusz Pezda

Abstract. We consider two issues concerning polynomial cycles. Namely, for a discrete valuation domain R of positive characteristic (for $N \geq 1$) or for any Dedekind domain R of positive characteristic (but only for $N \geq 2$), we give a closed formula for a set $\mathcal{CYCL}(R, N)$ of all possible cycle-lengths for polynomial mappings in R^N . Then we give a new property of sets $\mathcal{CYCL}(R, 1)$, which refutes a kind of conjecture posed by W. Narkiewicz.

1 Introduction

For a commutative ring R with unity and $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N)$, where $\Phi_i \in R[X_1, \dots, X_N]$, we define a *cycle* for Φ as a k -tuple $\bar{x}_0, \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{k-1}$ of different elements of R^N such that

$$\Phi(\bar{x}_0) = \bar{x}_1, \Phi(\bar{x}_1) = \bar{x}_2, \dots, \Phi(\bar{x}_{k-1}) = \bar{x}_0.$$

The number k is called the *length* of this cycle.

Let $\mathcal{CYCL}(R, N)$ be the set of all possible cycle-lengths for polynomial mappings in N variables with coefficients from R (we clearly assume that the elements of the considered cycles lie in R^N). For a material on various aspects of polynomial mappings and arithmetic of dynamical systems, see [1] and [4].

In Section 2 we examine $\mathcal{CYCL}(R, N)$ for a discrete valuation domain R with maximal ideal P . We assume that the residue field R/P has p^f elements (if R/P is infinite, then $\mathcal{CYCL}(R, N) = \mathbf{N}$). It is known (see Fact 1 in Section 2) that any element $k \in \mathcal{CYCL}(R, N)$ is of the form $k = a \cdot p^\alpha$, where all possible a were completely determined by the author. Thus, in order to know $\mathcal{CYCL}(R, N)$ it suffices for a given ‘possible’ a (as explained before) to find all α such that $a \cdot p^\alpha \in \mathcal{CYCL}(R, N)$. It is known that for a finite ramification index e the numbers α are bounded from above by some explicit function depending on e, p, f, N . In Theorem 1 we give a bound from below (for a given ‘possible’ a) for the biggest α

2010 MSC: 11R09, 13F05, 37P35

Key words: polynomial cycles, discrete valuation domains, Dedekind rings

such that $a \cdot p^\alpha \in \mathcal{CYCL}(R, N)$. Namely, we receive

$$\alpha \geq \left\lfloor \log_p \left(\frac{\log_p e}{2fN} \right) \right\rfloor.$$

We see that for fixed f, p, N the right-hand side of the last inequality grows to ∞ (when $e \rightarrow \infty$). Note that for a discrete valuation domain R the set $\mathcal{CYCL}(R, N)$ does not depend solely on p, e, f, N . Sometimes some subtler properties of R should be taken into account.

As a consequence of Theorem 1, in Theorem 2 we determine the sets $\mathcal{CYCL}(S, N)$ for some Dedekind domains S of positive characteristic and some N .

In Section 3 we consider properties of $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{CYCL}(R, 1)$ for a domain R . Any such \mathcal{A} satisfies the following three ‘obvious’ properties:

- (i) $1, 2 \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (ii) \mathcal{A} is closed under taking divisors;
- (iii) for any prime p from $p \in \mathcal{A}$ it follows that $[1, p] \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Since there were no other obvious properties of \mathcal{A} , in mid-nineties W. Narkiewicz conjectured that for $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbf{N}$ satisfying (i), (ii), (iii) there exists a domain R such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{CYCL}(R, 1)$. In Section 3 we give a negative answer to this question.

I think that it would be interesting to give a sensible conjecture concerning sets $\mathcal{CYCL}(R, N)$ for $N \geq 2$. In particular it is not clear whether the above property (iii) holds in this case.

2 Finding $\mathcal{CYCL}(R, N)$ for some rings of positive characteristic

Let R be a discrete valuation domain of any characteristic, and P is the unique maximal ideal of R . We assume that the field R/P is finite and has p^f elements (for prime p). Let π be a generator of the principal ideal P , and let v be the norm of R , normalized so that $v(\pi) = 1/p$. We denote by w the corresponding exponent, defined by

$$w(x) = -\frac{\log v(x)}{\log p} \quad \text{for } x \neq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad w(0) = \infty.$$

We put $e := w(p)$. Thus e is the ramification index of R . We extend w to R^N by putting $w(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \min\{w(x_1), \dots, w(x_N)\}$.

A polynomial cycle $\bar{x}_0, \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{k-1}$ is called a (polynomial) \star -cycle if

$$w(\bar{x}_i - \bar{x}_j) \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$

Let $\mathcal{CYCL} \star(R, N)$ be the set of all possible lengths of \star -cycles for polynomial mappings in N variables with coefficients from R .

In the fact below we collect some properties of $\mathcal{CYCL}(R, N)$ already proved by the author (see [2], [3]).

Fact 1. *Let R, p, f, \dots be as above. Then*

- (i) *a number k lies in $\mathcal{CYCL}(R, N)$ if and only if $k = ab$, where $a \leq p^{fN}$ and b is the length of a suitable \star -cycle in R^N .*

(ii) If \widehat{R} is the completion of R with respect to the norm v , then

$$\mathcal{CYCL}(R, N) = \mathcal{CYCL}(\widehat{R}, N) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{CYCL} \star (R, N) = \mathcal{CYCL} \star (\widehat{R}, N)$$

(note that for \widehat{R} the numbers p, e, f are the same as for R).

(iii) Let m be a positive integer not divisible by p . Then there is a \star -cycle of length m in R^N if and only if there are $r > 0$ and positive integers a_1, \dots, a_r with $a_1 + \dots + a_r \leq N$ such that m divides $[p^{fa_1} - 1, \dots, p^{fa_r} - 1]$.

(iv) Let S be a Dedekind domain, and let $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denote the family of all nonzero prime ideals of S . If $N \geq 2$, then

$$\mathcal{CYCL}(S, N) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(S)} \mathcal{CYCL}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}, N) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(S)} \mathcal{CYCL}(\widehat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}, N),$$

where $\widehat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the completion of $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with respect to the obvious valuation.

In particular, to find $\mathcal{CYCL}(R, N)$ it suffices to know p^f and, for each m dividing $[p^{fa_1} - 1, \dots, p^{fa_r} - 1]$ (for some a_1, \dots, a_r satisfying $a_1 + \dots + a_r \leq N$), to know for which $n \geq 0$ the number $m \cdot p^n$ lies in $\mathcal{CYCL} \star (R, N)$.

In this section we will prove that for any ‘possible’ m , as explained in Fact 1(iii), and for any n if the ramification index is sufficiently large, then $m \cdot p^n \in \mathcal{CYCL} \star (R, N)$. This, in turn, gives a closed formula for $\mathcal{CYCL}(S, N)$ for a Dedekind domain S of positive characteristic and $N \geq 2$. The fact that for any prime p and any $n \geq 0$ in $F_p[[X]]$ there are cycles of length p^n was established in the thesis of Zieve [5], who quoted an example due to Poonen.

Theorem 1. *Let R be as in this section. Let m be a divisor of $[p^{fa_1} - 1, \dots, p^{fa_r} - 1]$ for some a_1, \dots, a_r satisfying $a_1 + \dots + a_r \leq N$. If $e \geq p^{2fNp^n}$, then $m \cdot p^n \in \mathcal{CYCL} \star (R, N)$.*

Proof. Owing to Fact 1, we may assume that $n \geq 1$ and R is complete. It suffices to take $m = [p^{fa_1} - 1, \dots, p^{fa_r} - 1]$. Suppose that for $e \geq p^{2fNp^n}$ we have a \star -cycle of length $(p^{fa_1} - 1) \cdot p^n$ for a map $\Phi_1: R^{a_1} \rightarrow R^{a_1}$. For $i \geq 2$, by Fact 1(iii), in R^{a_i} there is a \star -cycle of length $p^{fa_i} - 1$ for some mapping Φ_i of R^{a_i} . We see that $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_r): R^{a_1 + \dots + a_r} \rightarrow R^{a_1 + \dots + a_r}$ constructed in the natural way has a \star -cycle of length $[(p^{fa_1} - 1) \cdot p^n, p^{fa_2} - 1, \dots, p^{fa_r} - 1] = m \cdot p^n$.

So, it suffices to prove for any $M \leq N$ that $(p^{fM} - 1) \cdot p^n \in \mathcal{CYCL} \star (R, M)$.

Put $q = p^{fM}$, and let ξ be a primitive root of unity of order $q - 1$. By the usual Hensel’s lemma (here we use the completeness of R) we have that the minimal over R polynomial of ξ is of degree M . Thus $R^M \sim R[\xi]$ as modules over R . Using this canonical isomorphism, we obtain that to any polynomial $F(X) \in R[\xi][X]$ there corresponds a polynomial mapping $\Phi: R^M \rightarrow R^M$ with coefficients from R . One can see that $R[\xi]$ is a complete discrete valuation domain with maximal ideal $\pi R[\xi]$, and the corresponding residue field has p^{fM} elements. We thus have a notion of \star -cycles in $R[\xi]$, and to a \star -cycle in $R[\xi]$ there corresponds a \star -cycle in R^M .

Thus it suffices to find a \star -cycle in $R[\xi]$ of length $(q - 1)p^n$.

Take $F(X) = \pi + \xi X + \gamma X^q + X^d$, where $d = q^2$ and $q = p^{fM}$. We remember that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1$.

Lemma 1. For any $T \geq 0$ the T -th iteration of F satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} F^T(0) &\equiv \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{r=0}^{T-t} \xi^r \binom{T-t}{r} \pi^{d^{T-t-r}} + \gamma \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \sum_{r=0}^{T-t-1} \xi^r \binom{T-t}{r} \pi^{d^{T-t-r-1}q} \\ &\equiv \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \xi^{-t} \pi^{d^t} A_T(t) + \gamma \sum_{t=0}^{T-2} \xi^{-(t+1)} \pi^{d^t q} A_T(t+1) \pmod{(p\pi, \gamma^{q+1})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_T(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \binom{k}{t} \xi^k$. Moreover,

$$A_T(t) + A_T(t+1) = \xi^{-1} \left(A_T(t+1) + \xi^T \binom{T}{t+1} \right).$$

Proof. We use direct induction. One only has to remember that $\xi^q = \xi^d = \xi$ and $(x+y)^p \equiv x^p + y^p \pmod{(p)}$. \square

Lemma 2. (i) If $q > 2$ and $T = (q-1)p^r$, then for $j = 0, 1, \dots, p^r - 1$ we have $w(A_T(j)) \geq e$, and $A_T(p^r)$ is invertible.

(ii) If $q = 2$, then $A_T(t) = \binom{T}{t+1}$.

Proof. (i) Since $\xi \neq 1$, we have

$$A_T(0) = 1 + \xi + \dots + \xi^{T-1} = 0.$$

Using $w(\xi - 1) = 0$, simple properties of binomial coefficients and

$$A_T(t) + A_T(t+1) = \xi^{-1} \left(A_T(t+1) + \xi^T \binom{T}{t+1} \right)$$

we obtain the assertion.

(ii) In this case we have $\xi = 1$, and therefore the assertion follows from Lemma 1. \square

Assume that $q > 2$. Put $\gamma = \pi^{d^{p^n-1}(d-q)}z$. In view of $(q+1)d^{p^n-1}(d-q) > d^{p^n}$ and $e \geq p^{2fNp^n} \geq d^{p^n}$ we obtain by Lemma 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} F^T(0) &\equiv \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \xi^{-t} \pi^{d^t} A_T(t) + \pi^{d^{p^n-1}(d-q)}z \sum_{t=0}^{T-2} \xi^{-(t+1)} \pi^{d^t q} A_T(t+1) \\ &\pmod{(\pi^{d^{p^n}+1}R[\xi, z])}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, taking $T = (q-1)p^n$ we get, using Lemma 2,

$$F^{(q-1)p^n}(0) = \pi^{d^{p^n}} \xi^{-p^n} A_{(q-1)p^n}(p^n)(1 + z + \pi h(z)),$$

for some polynomial $h \in R[\xi][X]$. Thus $F^{(q-1)p^n}(0) = 0$ if and only if

$$1 + z + \pi h(z) = 0.$$

The existence of (a unique) $z \in R[\xi]$ satisfying $F^{(q-1)p^n}(0) = 0$ follows from the Hensel's lemma. Fix such z .

Now it is sufficient to show that the smallest $j > 0$ satisfying $F^j(0) = 0$ is $j = (q - 1)p^n$.

If $F^j(0) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2}$, then, by Lemma 1, $A_j(0) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ and $\xi^j \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi}$, $q - 1 \mid j$ follow. From the simple properties of cycles it follows that it suffices to show that $F^{(q-1)p^{n-1}}(0) \neq 0$. But, Lemma 1 gives

$$F^{(q-1)p^{n-1}}(0) \equiv \xi^{-p^{n-1}} A_{(q-1)p^{n-1}}(p^{n-1}) \pi^{d^{p^{n-1}}} \pmod{(\pi^{d^{p^{n-1}+1}})},$$

and, by Lemma 2, we are done.

Assume that $q = 2$. Put $\gamma = \pi^{d^{p^n-2}(d-q)}z$. In view of $(q + 1)d^{p^n-2}(d - q) > d^{p^n-1}$ and $e \geq p^{2fNp^n} \geq d^{p^n}$ we obtain by Lemma 1 that

$$F^T(0) = \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \pi^{d^t} A_T(t) + \pi^{d^{p^n-2}(d-q)}z \sum_{t=0}^{T-2} \pi^{d^t q} A_T(t+1) \pmod{(\pi^{d^{p^n-1}+1}R[z])}.$$

In particular, taking $T = p^n$ we get, using Lemma 2,

$$F^{p^n}(0) = \pi^{d^{p^n-1}} A_{p^n}(p^n - 1)(1 + z + \pi h(z)),$$

for some polynomial $h \in R[X]$. Thus $F^{p^n}(0) = 0$ if and only if $1 + z + \pi h(z) = 0$. The existence of $z \in R$ satisfying $F^{p^n}(0) = 0$ follows from the Hensel's lemma. Fix such z .

Now it suffices to show that the smallest $j > 0$ satisfying $F^j(0) = 0$ is $j = p^n$.

From the simple properties of cycles it follows that it suffices to show that $F^{p^{n-1}}(0) \neq 0$. But, Lemma 1 gives

$$F^{p^{n-1}}(0) \equiv A_{p^{n-1}}(p^{n-1} - 1) \pi^{d^{p^{n-1}-1}} \pmod{(\pi^{d^{p^{n-1}-1}+1})},$$

and, by Lemma 2, we are done.

This finishes the proof of the theorem. □

Theorem 2. (i) Let S be a Dedekind domain of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $\mathcal{F}(S)$ be the set of all natural f such that there is a nonzero prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of S of norm p^f . Let $\mathcal{A}(f, N)$ consists of all numbers of the form $a \cdot b \cdot p^n$, where $a \leq p^{fN}$, $n \geq 0$ and $b \mid [p^{fa_1} - 1, \dots, p^{fa_r} - 1]$ for some a_1, \dots, a_r satisfying $a_1 + \dots + a_r \leq N$.

If $N \geq 2$, then

$$\mathcal{CYCL}(S, N) = \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{F}(S)} \mathcal{A}(f, N).$$

(ii) Let S be a discrete valuation domain of characteristic $p > 0$ such that the residue field has p^f elements. Then

$$\mathcal{CYCL}(S, 1) = \{a \cdot b \cdot p^n : a \leq p^f, b \mid p^f - 1, n \geq 0\}.$$

Proof. Since $e = \infty$, the assertion follows from Theorem 1 and Fact 1. □

Remark 1. (i) If in Theorem 2(i) $\mathcal{F}(S)$ is empty, then $\mathcal{CYCL}(S, N) = \mathbf{N}$. The similar happens to $\mathcal{CYCL}(S, 1)$ in Theorem 2(ii) if $f = \infty$.

(ii) Note that $\mathcal{A}(f, N) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(fk, N)$ for any natural k . Hence, if all elements from $\mathcal{F}(S)$ are multiplicities of one element from $\mathcal{F}(S)$, then the formula in Theorem 2(i) may be significantly simplified.

Corollary 1. *We have*

$$\mathcal{CYCL}(F_p[X], 2) = \{abp^n : a \leq p^2, b \mid p^2 - 1, n \geq 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{CYCL}(F_p[X], 3) = \{abp^n : a \leq p^3, n \geq 0 \text{ and } b \mid p^2 - 1 \text{ or } p^3 - 1\}.$$

On the other hand

$$\mathcal{CYCL}(F_p[X], 1) = \mathcal{CYCL}(F_p[X, Y], 1) = \mathcal{CYCL}(F_p, 1) = \{1, 2, \dots, p\}.$$

Proof. Taking into account Remark 1(ii) by Theorem 2 we obtain the first part. The second part follows from $\mathcal{CYCL}(A[X], 1) = \mathcal{CYCL}(A, 1)$ for any domain A . \square

3 A property of $\mathcal{CYCL}(R, 1)$

For a domain R with unity, the set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{CYCL}(R) := \mathcal{CYCL}(R, 1)$ satisfies

- (i) $1, 2 \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (ii) \mathcal{A} is closed under taking divisors;
- (iii) for a prime p , $p \in \mathcal{A}$ implies that $\{1, 2, \dots, p\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ (the last property follows from the Lagrange interpolation formula).

W. Narkiewicz asked in mid-nineties, whether for a subset \mathcal{A} of naturals, satisfying the above properties (i), (ii), (iii), there is a domain R with $\mathcal{CYCL}(R) = \mathcal{A}$.

In this section we emphasize another property of $\mathcal{CYCL}(R)$, and thus give a negative answer to the mentioned question.

Theorem 3. *For a domain R with unity, let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{CYCL}(R)$. Then for a prime number p we have that $p^2 \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $\{2r : r = 1, 2, \dots, p\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Let a tuple $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{p^2-1}$ be a cycle for $f(X) \in R[X]$. Then $0 = b_0$, $1 = b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{p^2-1}$, with $b_i = (a_i - a_0)/(a_1 - a_0) \in R$, is a cycle for

$$g(X) = (a_1 - a_0)^{-1} \left(f((a_1 - a_0)X + a_0) - a_0 \right) \in R[X].$$

So assume that $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = 1$.

One proves that if $(j - i, p) = 1$, then $a_j - a_i$ is invertible. Put $d = a_p$. If $(j - i, p^2) = p$, then $a_j - a_i \sim d$. Fix $2 \leq r \leq p$. We are going to show that $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, a_p, a_{p+1}, \dots, a_{p+r-1}$ is a cycle (of length $2r$) for a suitable

polynomial $f(X)$ from $R[X]$. Namely let us take as $f(X)$ the unique polynomial of degree $\leq 2r - 1$ with coefficients from the field of fractions of R satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_0) = a_1, \quad f(a_1) = a_2, \quad \dots, \quad f(a_{r-1}) = a_p, \\ f(a_p) = a_{p+1}, \quad \dots, \quad f(a_{p+r-1}) = a_0. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Put $f(X) = c_0 + c_1X + \dots + c_{2r-1}X^{2r-1}$. Then (1) is equivalent to a system of linear equations with c_0, \dots, c_{2r-1} to be found. From linear algebra we then get a formula for c_i .

Namely, putting $b_0 = a_0, \dots, b_{r-1} = a_{r-1}, b_r = a_p, b_{r+1} = a_{p+1}, \dots, b_{2r-1} = a_{p+r-1}$, we have $c_i = \Delta_i/\Delta$, where $\Delta = \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq 2r-1} (b_j - b_i)$ and Δ_i is the determinant of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_0 & \dots & b_0^{i-1} & b_1 & b_0^{i+1} & \dots & b_0^{2r-1} \\ 1 & b_1 & \dots & b_1^{i-1} & b_2 & b_1^{i+1} & \dots & b_1^{2r-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & b_{r-1} & \dots & b_{r-1}^{i-1} & b_r & b_{r-1}^{i+1} & \dots & b_{r-1}^{2r-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & b_{2r-1} & \dots & b_{2r-1}^{i-1} & b_0 & b_{2r-1}^{i+1} & \dots & b_{2r-1}^{2r-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We easily see that d divides all the terms in the differences of $r + 1$ -th and first rows, $r + 2$ -th and second rows, \dots , $2r$ -th and r -th rows. Thus $d^r \mid \Delta_i$. From the properties of the differences $a_j - a_i$ we get $\Delta \sim d^r$. Thus $c_i = \Delta_i/\Delta \in R$. \square

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the MNiSW grant N N201 366636.

References

- [1] W. Narkiewicz: *Polynomial Mappings, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1600*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1995).
- [2] T. Pezda: Cycles of polynomial mappings in several variables over rings of integers in finite extensions of the rationals. *Acta Arith.* 108 (2) (2003) 127–146.
- [3] T. Pezda: Cycles of polynomial mappings in several variables over rings of integers in finite extensions of the rationals, II. *Monatsh. Math.* 145 (2005) 321–331.
- [4] J.H. Silverman: *The Arithmetic of Dynamical Systems*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 241. Springer-Verlag (2007).
- [5] M. Zieve: *Cycles of Polynomial Mappings*, PhD thesis. University of California at Berkeley (1996).

Author’s address:

TADEUSZ PEZDA: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW,
PL. GRUNWALDZKI 2/4, 50-384 WROCLAW, POLAND

E-mail: pezda@math.uni.wroc.pl

Received: 12 September, 2012

Accepted for publication: 31 October, 2013

Communicated by: Štefan Porubský