

C.-G. Ambrozie

On a variational approach to truncated problems of moments

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 138 (2013), No. 1, 105–112

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143233>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2013

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON A VARIATIONAL APPROACH TO TRUNCATED PROBLEMS
OF MOMENTS

C.-G. AMBROZIE, Praha

(Received December 15, 2011, revised May 22, 2012)

Abstract. We characterize the existence of the L^1 solutions of the truncated moments problem in several real variables on unbounded supports by the existence of the maximum of certain concave Lagrangian functions. A natural regularity assumption on the support is required.

Keywords: problem of moments, representing measure

MSC 2010: 44A60, 49J99

1. INTRODUCTION

The present paper is concerned with the truncated problem of moments in several real variables, in the following context. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and fix a closed subset $T \neq \emptyset$ of \mathbb{R}^n , a finite subset $I \subset (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n$ with $0 \in I$ and a set $g = (g_i)_{i \in I}$ of real numbers with $g_0 = 1$, where $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Typically a problem of moments [1] requires to establish whether there exist Borel measures $\nu \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n , supported on T , such that $\int_T |t^i| d\nu(t) < \infty$ and $\int_T t^i d\nu(t) = g_i$ for all $i \in I$. As usual $t^i = t_1^{i_1} \dots t_n^{i_n}$ where $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is the variable in \mathbb{R}^n and $i = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is a multiindex. In this case we call ν a representing measure of g , and g_i the moments of ν . We are interested in those measures $\nu = f dt$ that are absolutely continuous with respect to the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure $dt = dt_1 \dots dt_n$, in which case we call f a representing density of g . Namely, the (class of equivalence of the) Lebesgue integrable function f is ≥ 0 almost everywhere (a.e.) on T , has finite moments of orders $i \in I$ and

$$(1) \quad \int_T t^i f(t) dt = g_i \quad (i \in I).$$

Supported by grants IAA100190903 GAAV and RVO: 67985840, Praha.

Our main result is Theorem 3, the statement of which relies on the following rather known idea. Given partial information in the integral form $\int_T t^i f \varrho dt = g_i$ about representing densities f on a space $(T, \varrho dt)$ endowed with a reference density ϱ does not determine them uniquely. An approach favorite to physicists and statisticians is, when ϱ is a probability density, to choose that particular density f_* minimizing the entropy functional $h(f) = \int_T (f \ln f) \varrho dt$ amongst all solutions of the moments constraints. This uniquely selects the unbiased probability distribution f_* (that proves to have the form $f_*(t) = e^{\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i^* t^i}$) on the knowledge of the prescribed average values g_i of t^i , where t is considered as a T -valued random variable with repartition ϱ [6], [9], [18], [20]. Under suitable hypotheses, f_* turns to exist whenever problem (1) is feasible, even for more general reference measures. A main tool to this aim is Fenchel duality [8], [24], [26], [27], that deals with minimizing such convex functionals $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ on convex subsets of locally convex spaces X , in connection with the dual problem of maximizing $-h^*$, where $h^*: X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is the convex conjugate of h , called also its Legendre-Fenchel transform [26], [27], defined on the dual X^* of X by $h^*(y) = \sup\{\langle x, y \rangle - h(x) : h(x) < \infty\}$. Typically $\inf h = \max(-h^*)$ and, briefly speaking, minimizing $h(f) = \int_T f \ln f \varrho dt$ as above (that is, maximizing the corresponding $-h^*$) is to find $\lambda^* = (\lambda_i^*)_{i \in I}$ maximizing $L(\lambda) = \sum_{i \in I} g_i \lambda_i - \int_T e^{\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i t^i} \varrho dt$. Many results exist in this direction [3], [5]–[9], [16], [17], [21], [22], [23]. Additional hypotheses are always necessary when the conclusion $\inf h = \min h$ is sought for, since there are data g for which the primal attainment (that is, the existence of f_* such that $\inf h = h(f_*)$) fails [16], [17] although problem (1) has solutions.

By Theorem 3 we prove that the feasibility of problem (1) is equivalent to the boundedness from above $\sup L < \infty$ with attainment $\sup L = \max L$ for the concave function L (the Lagrangian). This holds no matter whether $\inf h$ is attained or not (the general theory still provides us with $\inf h = \max L$).

Initiated by Stieltjes, Hausdorff, Hamburger and Riesz, the area of the truncated problems of moments knows various other approaches, based for instance on operator methods, or sums-of-squares representations of positive polynomials [10]–[14], [19], [25]. Although important, these topics remain beyond the aim of this work.

The author got the idea to consider L instead of h from the works [5] where a similar characterization exists, and [16], [17], drawn to his attention by professor Mihai Putinar. Our statement and proof are rather general, independent of these cited works.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We recall that a linear Riesz functional φ_γ [12] associated with a set $\gamma = (\gamma_i)_{i \in J}$ of real numbers γ_i for $J \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ is defined on the polynomials p from the linear span of $X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n}$ where $i = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in J$ by $\varphi_\gamma X^i = \gamma_i$. One calls φ_γ T -positive [12] if $\varphi_\gamma p \geq 0$ whenever $p(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in T$. If γ has representing measures $\nu \geq 0$ on T , φ_γ is T -positive since $\varphi_\gamma p = \int_T p d\nu$ for any such polynomial p . In the full case $J = \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ the T -positivity condition is sufficient for the existence of the representing measures, by the Riesz-Haviland theorem [15]. An analogue of this theorem [12] for the truncated case $I = \{i: |i| \leq 2k\}$ characterizes the existence of the representing measures by the existence of T -positive extensions of φ_γ to the space of polynomials of degree $\leq 2k+2$. For later use, we state below a version of these results (Theorem 1) and a Fenchel theoretic result of dual attainment (Theorem 2).

Definitions. We call T *regular* [4] if for any $t \in T$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ the Lebesgue measure of the set $\{x \in T: \|x - t\| < \varepsilon\}$ is positive. As usual $\|t\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2\right)^{1/2}$. For any $i \in I$ set $\sigma_i = \{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: j_k = \text{either } 0 \text{ or } i_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$. We call I *regular* [4] if $\sigma_i \subset I$ for all $i \in I$. Define $\Gamma, G \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N = \text{card } I$) by $\Gamma = \{\gamma = (\gamma_i)_{i \in I}: \exists \text{ measures } \nu \geq 0 \text{ on } T \text{ with } \int_T t^i d\nu(t) = \gamma_i, i \in I\}$ and $G = \{\gamma = (\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \neq 0: \exists f \in L_+^1(T, dt) \text{ such that } \int_T t^i f(t) dt = \gamma_i, i \in I\}$. The notation $L^p(T, \mu), L^p(\mu)$ for a measure μ on $T, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ has the usual meaning. In particular, $L_+^1(T, \mu)$ is the set of all $f \in L^1(T, \mu), f \geq 0$ μ -a.e. For $\gamma = (\gamma_i)_{i \in I}, \varphi_\gamma$ is the linear functional defined on the span $P_I \subset \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ of all X^i with $i \in I$ by $\varphi_\gamma X^i = \gamma_i$. Set $e_\iota = (0, \dots, \overset{\iota}{1}, \dots, 0)$ for $1 \leq \iota \leq n$.

By [4, Theorem 6] the convex cone G is the dense interior of the cone Γ .

Theorem 1 [4, Theorem 7]. *Let $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed regular set, $I \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ a finite regular set and $g = (g_i)_{i \in I}$ a set of numbers with $g_0 = 1$. Then $g \in G \Leftrightarrow \varphi_g p > 0$ for every $p \in P_I \setminus \{0\}$ such that $p(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in T$.*

Theorem 2 [8, Corollary 2.6]. *Let \mathcal{T} be a space with finite measure $\mu \geq 0, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $a_i \in L^q(\mu), g_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i \in I = \text{finite}$ where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be proper, convex, lower semicontinuous with $\varphi|_{(0, \infty)} < \infty$. If there are $x \in L^p(\mu), x > 0$ a.e. such that $\varphi \circ x \in L^1(\mu)$ and $\int_{\mathcal{T}} a_i x d\mu = g_i, \forall i$, then the quantities*

$$P = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{T}} \varphi(x(t)) d\mu(t): x \in L^p(\mu), x \geq 0 \text{ a.e.}, \varphi \circ x \in L^1(\mu), \int_{\mathcal{T}} a_i x d\mu = g_i \forall i \right\},$$

$$D = \max \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} g_i \lambda_i - \int_T \varphi^* \left(\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i a_i(t) \right) d\mu(t) : \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \varphi^* \circ \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i a_i \in L^1(\mu) \right\}$$

are equal, $-\infty \leq P = D < \infty$ and the maximum D is attained.

Theorem 3 is reminiscent to [3, Theorem 4], where $\int_T f \ln f \varrho dt$ is minimized subject to $\int_T t^i f \varrho dt = g_i$ under stronger hypotheses on ϱ , like $\varrho(t) \sim e^{-\varepsilon \|t\|^p}$ with $p > 2k$ (to fit the notation in [3], let $a = 1$ and our $f := \varrho f$, whence $L_{\varrho, a, g}(\lambda) = L(\lambda - \lambda_0) + 1$, where $\lambda_0 = (\lambda_{0i})_{i \in I}$ with $\lambda_{0i} = \delta_{i,0}$ and $\delta_{i,j}$ is Kronecker's symbol, $\delta_{i,j} = 1$ if $i = j$ and 0 if $i \neq j$). Although we do not obtain here the existence of a maximum entropy solution f_* , our present hypotheses on ϱ are weaker and condition $g \in G$ is characterized in Lagrangian terms.

Theorem 3. *Let $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed regular set. Let $I \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ be a finite regular set such that $\max_{i \in I} |i| = 2k$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $2ke_\iota \in I$ ($1 \leq \iota \leq n$). Let $g = (g_i)_{i \in I}$ be a set of numbers with $g_0 = 1$. Fix $\varrho \in L^1(T, dt)$, $\varrho > 0$ a.e. The following statements (a) and (b) are equivalent:*

(a) *There exist functions $f \in L^1_+(T, dt)$ such that $\int_T |t^i| f(t) dt < \infty$ and*

$$\int_T t^i f(t) dt = g_i \quad (i \in I).$$

(b) *The functional $L: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ defined by*

$$L(\lambda) = \sum_{i \in I} g_i \lambda_i - \int_T e^{\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i t^i} \varrho(t) dt, \quad \lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$$

is bounded from above and $\sup L$ is attained at a (unique) point λ^ .*

Proof. Since $L(0) > -\infty$, $L \not\equiv -\infty$. Since $g_0 = 1$, each of the conditions (a) and (b) implies that T has positive Lebesgue measure, finite or not. Hence by means of Jensen's inequality one can show that L is strictly concave. Then whenever $\sup L$ is finite and attained at some point λ^* , this λ^* is unique.

(a) \Rightarrow (b) The regularity condition on T is not necessary for this implication. Let $\mu = \tilde{\varrho} dt$ be the measure on T with density $\tilde{\varrho} := \varrho e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^{2k}}$. Then $0 < \mu(T) < \infty$. Since (1) has a solution f , hence $\tilde{f} := f/\tilde{\varrho}$ satisfies

$$(2) \quad \int_T t^i \tilde{f}(t) d\mu(t) = g_i \quad (i \in I).$$

By [8, Theorem 2.9], see also [4, Lemma 4] for $\beta = 0$, problem (2) has also a solution $f_0 \in L^\infty(T)$ with $f_0 > 0$ a.e. The conclusion $\sup L < \infty$ may hold either directly

by Theorem 2, or by an elementary argument as shown below. Let $x = f_0(t)$ a.e. and $y = \|f_0\|_\infty + 1$ in the inequalities $-e^{-1} \leq x \ln x \leq y \ln y$ for $0 \leq x \leq y$, $y \geq 1$, then integrate with respect to μ . Hence $f_0 \ln f_0 \in L^1(T, \mu)$. Fix $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$. Let $x = f_0(t)$ and $y = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i t^i$ in the simple version $x \ln x - x \geq xy - e^y$ of Fenchel's inequality [27], then integrate. It follows, using (2) for f_0 , that

$$\int_T f_0 \ln f_0 \, d\mu - \int_T f_0 \, d\mu \geq \sum_{i \in I} g_i \lambda_i - \int_T e^{\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i t^i} \, d\mu(t) = L(\lambda - \lambda_0) + \sum_{i \in I} g_i \lambda_{0i}$$

where $\lambda_0 = (\lambda_{0i})_{i \in I}$ with $\lambda_{0i} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \delta_{i, 2k\ell}$ and $\delta_{i,j}$ is Kronecker's symbol. Since λ was arbitrary, we get $\sup_\lambda L(\lambda) < \infty$. Now for the attainment $\sup_\lambda L = \max L$, we

need Theorem 2 as follows. Use $|t_j| \leq \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n t_\ell^{2k} \right)^{1/2k}$,

$$|t^i| = |t_1|^{i_1} \dots |t_n|^{i_n} \leq \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n t_\ell^{2k} + 1 \right)^{|i|/2k} \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^n t_\ell^{2k} + 1 \quad (|i| \leq 2k)$$

and $\nu + 1 \leq e^\nu$ for $\nu = \sum_{\ell=1}^n t_\ell^{2k}$ to get $\int_T |t^i| \, d\mu(t) \leq \int_T \varrho \, dt < \infty$ for $i \in I$. Then let $\mathcal{T} = T$, the measure $\mu = \tilde{\varrho} \, dt$, $p = \infty$, the moment functions $a_i(t) = t^i$ and the integrand φ be defined by $\varphi(x) = x \ln x$ for $x > 0$, $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(x) = +\infty$ for $x < 0$. The feasibility hypotheses is fulfilled by $x = f_0$. The convex conjugate $\varphi^*(y) = \sup_{x \geq 0} (xy - x \ln x)$ of φ is given by $\varphi^*(y) = e^{y-1}$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}$. We get the attainment $D = \sup \mathcal{L}$ for $\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = L(\lambda - \lambda'_0) + \sum_{i \in I} g_i \lambda'_{0i}$ where $\lambda'_0 = (\lambda'_{0i})_{i \in I}$ with $\lambda'_{0i} = \lambda_{0i} + \delta_{i,0}$. Thus we obtain a λ^* such that $\sup L = L(\lambda^*)$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) Let $\lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be such that $\sup L = L(\lambda^*)$. We prove that φ_g satisfies the positivity condition in Theorem 1. Let $p = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i X^i$, $p \neq 0$ be arbitrary such that $p(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in T$. We show that $\varphi_g p < 0$. The vector $\lambda := (\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$ is $\neq 0$. For any $r > 0$, set $e_r(t) = e^{r \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i t^i}$. Thus $e_r(t) \leq 1$ for $t \in T$. Then the integral term $\int_T e_r \varrho \, dt$ of $L(r\lambda) = r \sum_{i \in I} g_i \lambda_i - \int_T e_r \varrho \, dt$ remains bounded as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\varphi_g p = \sum_{i \in I} g_i \lambda_i \leq 0$, for otherwise the linear term $r \varphi_g p$ of $L(r\lambda)$ would give $\sup L = \infty$ which is false. Assume that $\varphi_g p = 0$. Then the restriction of the function L to the half-line $l := \{r\lambda : r > 0\}$ is given by the function $r \mapsto -\int_T e_r \varrho \, dt$. This function is finite, bounded and strictly monotonically increasing on $(0, \infty)$. Use to this aim that $0 < e_r \leq 1$, $\int_T \varrho \, dt < \infty$, $e_r = e^{rp}$ with $p \leq 0$ and $L|_l$ is strictly concave. Then

a finite limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} L(r\lambda) = \sup_l L$ exists, in particular $\sup_{r \geq 1} |L(r\lambda)| < \infty$. For $a > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \infty > L(\lambda^* + a\lambda) &= \sum_{i \in I} g_i \lambda_i^* + a \sum_{i \in I} g_i \lambda_i - \int_T e^{\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i^* t^i} e^{a \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i t^i} \varrho(t) dt \\ &\geq \sum_{i \in I} g_i \lambda_i^* + r \cdot 0 - \int_T e^{\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i^* t^i} \varrho(t) dt = L(\lambda^*) = \max L \geq L(0) > -\infty \end{aligned}$$

because $\sum_{i \in I} g_i \lambda_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i t^i \leq 0$ for all $t \in T$. Hence L is finite at every point of the half-line $\{\lambda^* + a\lambda\}_{a > 0}$. Note that λ^* cannot be colinear with λ due to the behaviour of L on l : namely, $\lambda^* \notin l$ because L reaches its global maximum only in λ^* while $L|_l$ increases strictly along l as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Also $\lambda^* \notin \{0\} \cup (-l)$, for otherwise the concavity of the restriction $L|_{\mathbb{R}\lambda}: \mathbb{R}\lambda \rightarrow \{-\infty\} \cup \mathbb{R}$ of L to the line $\mathbb{R}\lambda$ would imply, for some $r \geq 0$ with $\lambda^* = -r\lambda$, that $L(r\lambda) \geq L(0) = L(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda^* + r\lambda)) \geq \frac{1}{2}(L(\lambda^*) + L(r\lambda))$, whence $L(\lambda^*) \leq L(r\lambda) < \sup_l L \leq \sup L = L(\lambda^*)$, which is impossible. Thus $\lambda^* \notin \mathbb{R}\lambda$. Then a 2-dimensional drawing shows that for every $r > 1$ there is a unique point x_r of intersection of the segments $(\lambda^*, r\lambda)$ and $(\lambda, \lambda^* + \lambda)$. Write to this aim $x_r = s\lambda^* + (1-s)r\lambda = s'\lambda + (1-s')(\lambda^* + \lambda)$ with coefficients $s = s_r, s' = s'_r$, use the linear independence of λ^*, λ and get $s = (r-1)/r, s' = 1-s$ whence $s, s' \in (0, 1)$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} s'_r = 0$. Then $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} x_r = \lambda^* + \lambda$. The concavity (and hence, continuity [27]) of L on the segment $(\lambda, \lambda^* + \lambda]$ gives $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} L(x_r) = L(\lambda^* + \lambda) < L(\lambda^*)$ with strict inequality, because the point λ^* of maximum of L is unique. But $L(x_r) = L(s\lambda^* + (1-s)r\lambda) \geq sL(\lambda^*) + (1-s)L(r\lambda)$ and letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ we derive, using $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} s_r = 1$ and $\sup_{r \geq 1} |L(r\lambda)| < \infty$, that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} L(x_r) \geq L(\lambda^*)$. We got a contradiction. Hence $\varphi_g p < 0$. The feasibility of problem (1) follows then by Theorem 1. \square

Remarks. Since λ^* may be on the boundary of $\text{dom } L := \{\lambda: L(\lambda) > -\infty\}$, one cannot prove (b) \Rightarrow (a) by differentiating under the integral in λ^* , and the h -minimization may fail [17]. Additional hypotheses may compel λ^* to be interior to $\text{dom } L$ [16] in which case the entropy minimization can be obtained [24], providing the particular solution $f_*(t) = e^{\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i^* t^i}$, see for instance [3]. For example let $T = \mathbb{R}^n$, $I = \{i: |i| \leq 2k\}$ and $\varrho(t) = e^{-\|t\|^{2k}}$. By Theorem 3, problem (1) is feasible if and only if L is bounded from above and attains its maximum at a point λ^* , even when a minimum entropy solution does not exist. By Fatou's lemma and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, $f_0 := e^{\sum_{|i| \leq 2k} \lambda_i^* t^i}$ has finite moments of order $\leq 2k$, we can get $\int t^i f_0 dt = g_i$ for $|i| < 2k$ and $\int t_\nu^{2k} f_0 dt \leq g_{2ke_\nu}$ ($1 \leq \nu \leq n$), but the equalities (1) may fail for $|i| = 2k$ [17]. By integration in polar coordinates, the homogeneous polynomial $p := \sum_{|i|=2k} \lambda_i^* X^i$ is shown to always satisfy $p(t) \leq 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n ;

if moreover $p(t) < 0$ for all $t \neq 0$, then λ^* is interior to $\text{dom } L$ and f_0 is indeed a solution of problem (1), $f_0 = f_*$. We omit the details and refer the reader to [16], [17].

Note also that whenever ϱ is at our disposal, various choices may be tried [3] to facilitate the numerical maximization of $L = L_\varrho$.

References

- [1] *N. I. Akhiezer*: The Classical Moment Problem and Some Related Questions in Analysis. Univ. Math. Monographs. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh, 1965 (English translation).
- [2] *C.-G. Ambrozie*: Maximum entropy and moment problems. Real Anal. Exchange 29 (2003/04), 607–627.
- [3] *C.-G. Ambrozie*: Multivariate truncated moments problems and maximum entropy, arXiv:1111.7123.
- [4] *C.-G. Ambrozie*: A Riesz-Haviland type result for truncated moment problems with solutions in L^1 , arXiv:1111.6555. To appear in J. Operator Theory.
- [5] *G. Blekherman, J. B. Lasserre*: The truncated K -moment problem for closure of open sets. J. Funct. Anal. 263, 3604–3616.
- [6] *J. M. Borwein*: Maximum entropy and feasibility methods for convex and nonconvex inverse problems. Optimization 61 (2012), 1–33.
- [7] *J. M. Borwein, A. S. Lewis*: Convergence of best entropy estimates. SIAM J. Optim. 1 (1991), 191–205.
- [8] *J. M. Borwein, A. S. Lewis*: Duality relationships for entropy-like minimization problems. SIAM J. Control Optimization 29 (1991), 325–338.
- [9] *J. M. Van Campenhout, T. M. Cover*: Maximum entropy and conditional probability. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory IT-27 (1981), 483–489.
- [10] *G. Cassier*: Problème des moments sur un compact de \mathbb{R}^n et décomposition de polynômes à plusieurs variables. J. Funct. Analysis 58 (1984), 254–266. (In French.)
- [11] *D. Cichoń, J. Stochel, F. H. Szafraniec*: Riesz-Haviland criterion for incomplete data. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 380 (2011), 94–104.
- [12] *R. E. Curto, L. A. Fialkow*: An analogue of the Riesz-Haviland theorem for the truncated moment problem. J. Funct. Anal. 255 (2008), 2709–2731.
- [13] *R. E. Curto, L. A. Fialkow, H. M. Moeller*: The extremal truncated moment problem. Integral Equations Oper. Theory 60 (2008), 177–200.
- [14] *B. Fuglede*: The multidimensional moment problem. Exp. Math. 1 (1983), 47–65.
- [15] *E. K. Haviland*: On the momentum problem for distributions in more than one dimension. Amer. J. Math. 57 (1935), 562–568.
- [16] *C. D. Hauck, C. D. Levermore, A. L. Tits*: Convex duality and entropy-based moment closures; characterizing degenerate densities. SIAM J. Control Optim. 47 (2008), 1977–2015.
- [17] *M. Junk*: Maximum entropy for reduced moment problems. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 10 (2000), 1001–1025.
- [18] *A. M. Kagan, Y. V. Linnik, C. R. Rao*: Characterization Problems in Mathematical Statistics. Wiley, New York, 1983.
- [19] *S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall, N. Schwartz*: Positivity, sums of squares and the multi-dimensional moment problem. II. Adv. Geom. 5 (2005), 583–606.
- [20] *S. Kullback*: Information Theory and Statistics. John Wiley, New York, 1959.
- [21] *A. S. Lewis*: Consistency of moment systems. Can. J. Math. 47 (1995), 995–1006.

- [22] *C. Léonard*: Minimization of entropy functionals. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *346* (2008), 183–204.
- [23] *L. R. Mead, N. Papanicolaou*: Maximum entropy and the problem of moments. *J. Math. Phys.* *25* (1984), 2404–2417.
- [24] *J. J. Moreau*: Sur la fonction polaire d’une fonction semicontinue supérieurement. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris* *258* (1964), 1128–1130. (In French.)
- [25] *M. Putinar, F.-H. Vasilescu*: Solving moment problems by dimensional extension. *Ann. Math., II. Ser.* *149* (1999), 1087–1107.
- [26] *R. T. Rockafellar*: Extension of Fenchel’s duality for convex functions. *Duke Math. J.* *33* (1966), 81–89.
- [27] *R. T. Rockafellar*: *Convex Analysis*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.

Author’s address: *C.-G. Ambrozie*, Institute of Mathematics, AS CR, Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic, e-mail: ambrozie@math.cas.cz and Institute of Mathematics “Simion Stoilow”, Romanian Academy, PO Box 1-764, 014700 Bucharest, Romania.