

Krzysztof Pupka

Fixed points of periodic and firmly lipschitzian mappings in Banach spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 53 (2012), No. 4, 573--579

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143191>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2012

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Fixed points of periodic and firmly lipschitzian mappings in Banach spaces

KRZYSZTOF PUPKA

Abstract. W.A. Kirk in 1971 showed that if $T: C \rightarrow C$, where C is a closed and convex subset of a Banach space, is n -periodic and uniformly k -lipschitzian mapping with $k < k_0(n)$, then T has a fixed point. This result implies estimates of $k_0(n)$ for natural $n \geq 2$ for the general class of k -lipschitzian mappings. In these cases, $k_0(n)$ are less than or equal to 2. Using very simple method we extend this and later results for a certain subclass of the family of k -lipschitzian mappings. In the paper we show that $k_0(3) > 2$ in any Banach space. We also show that $\text{Fix}(T)$ is a Hölder continuous retract of C .

Keywords: lipschitzian mapping, firmly lipschitzian mapping, n -periodic mapping, fixed point, retractions

Classification: 47H09, 47H10

1. Introduction

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space E . A mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is called *k -lipschitzian* if for all x, y in C , $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq k\|x - y\|$. It is called *nonexpansive* if the same condition with $k = 1$ holds. In general, to assure the fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings some assumptions concerning the geometry of the spaces are added (see [9]). Another way is to put some additional restrictions on the mapping itself.

Recall that a mapping T is said to be n -periodic if $T^n = I$ (for $n = 2$, T is called *involution*). The first fixed point theorem for involutions are due to K. Goebel and E. Złotkiewicz [2], [5]. They investigated conditions under which k -lipschitzian involutions have a fixed point. K. Goebel [2] showed in 1970 that involutions have a fixed point if they are k -lipschitzian for $k < 2$ in a Banach space and for $k < \sqrt{5} \approx 2.2361$ in a Hilbert space. Moreover, in the same paper, he showed that if the space E satisfies $\varepsilon_0(E) < 1$, the same is true for k -lipschitzian involutions where k satisfies

$$\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \left(1 - \delta_E \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)\right) < 1.$$

In 1971, W.A. Kirk [8] extend this result for all Banach spaces by proving that the same is true if T is n -periodic and such that $\|T^i x - T^i y\| \leq k\|x - y\|$ for

$x, y \in C$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, where

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{n^2} [(n-1)(n-2)k^2 + 2(n-1)k] < 1.$$

It follows from (1) that for $n = 3$, $k < 1.3452$; for $n = 4$, $k < 1.2078$; for $n = 5$, $k < 1.1280$; for $n = 6$, $k < 1.1147$.

If T is k -lipschitzian with $k > 1$, then $\|T^i x - T^i y\| \leq k^{n-1} \|x - y\|$ for $x, y \in C$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. Thus a k -lipschitzian mapping satisfying $T^n = I$ has fixed points if

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{n^2} [(n-1)(n-2)k^{2(n-1)} + 2(n-1)k^{n-1}] < 1.$$

It follows from (2) that for $n = 3$, $k < 1.1598$; for $n = 4$, $k < 1.0649$; for $n = 5$, $k < 1.0351$; for $n = 6$, $k < 1.0219$.

In 1973, J. Linhart [11] slightly improved these results, namely he showed that a k -lipschitzian mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ for which $T^n = I$ ($n > 1$) has a fixed point if

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=n-1}^{2n-3} k^j < 1.$$

It follows from (3) that for $n = 3$, $k < 1.1745$; for $n = 4$, $k < 1.0741$; for $n = 5$, $k < 1.0412$; for $n = 6$, $k < 1.0262$.

In 2005, J. Górnicki and K. Pupka [7] obtained new improved evaluations of k for n -periodic ($n > 2$) and k -lipschitzian mappings in a Banach space, namely for $n = 3$, $k < 1.3821$; for $n = 4$, $k < 1.2524$; for $n = 5$, $k < 1.1777$; for $n = 6$, $k < 1.1329$.

Recently in 2010, Victor Perez Garcia and Helga Fetter Nathansky [12] obtained better evaluation of k for n -periodic ($n > 2$) and k -lipschitzian mappings in special case of a Hilbert space, namely for $n = 3$, $k < 1.5549$; for $n = 4$, $k < 1.3267$; for $n = 5$, $k < 1.2152$; for $n = 6$, $k < 1.1562$.

In the present paper, studying a simple iteration process, we extend Kirk's and Linhart's and later results for n -periodic mappings in a certain subclass of k -lipschitzian mappings, i.e., firmly k -lipschitzian mappings in general case of Banach space.

The notion of *firmly nonexpansive mapping* was introduced in 1973 by R.E. Bruck in [1]. The same class of mappings has been studied independently by K. Goebel and M. Koter in [4], where a different name is used, i.e., *regularly nonexpansive mappings*.

A mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is said to be *firmly k -lipschitzian* if for each $t \in [0, 1]$ and for any $x, y \in C$,

$$(4) \quad \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|k(1-t)(x-y) + t(Tx - Ty)\|.$$

Of course, each firmly k -lipschitzian mappings is k -lipschitzian.

In 1986, M. Koter [10] obtained theorems on the existence of a fixed point for the firmly k -lipschitzian and rotative mapping in a Banach space.

2. Firmly lipschitzian mappings

We will start with the following lemmas:

Lemma 1 ([6]). *Let C be a nonempty closed subset of a Banach space E and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be k -lipschitzian. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq A < 1$ and $0 < B$. If for arbitrary $x \in C$ there exists $u \in C$ such that*

$$\|Tu - u\| \leq A\|Tx - x\|$$

and

$$\|u - x\| \leq B\|Tx - x\|,$$

then T has a fixed point in C .

Lemma 2. *Let C be a nonempty subset of a Banach space E and a mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ be firmly k -lipschitzian ($k > 1$) and n -periodic ($n > 2$), then for $x \in C$ we have*

$$\|T^{n-1}x - T^n x\| \leq \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^j k^{n-j} \frac{1 - k^{j-1}}{1 - k} \right) \|x - Tx\|.$$

PROOF: Let $n > 2$. Note at the beginning that for a firmly k -lipschitzian mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$, putting $t = \frac{k}{k+1}$ in (4), we obtain

$$(5) \quad \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \frac{k}{k+1} \|x - y + Tx - Ty\|.$$

Using the condition (5) two times, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{n-1}x - T^n x\| &\leq \frac{k}{k+1} \|T^{n-2}x - T^{n-1}x + T^{n-1}x - T^n x\| \\ &= \frac{k}{k+1} \|T^{n-2}x - T^n x\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^2 \|T^{n-3}x - T^{n-1}x + T^{n-2}x - T^n x\| \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^2 \|T^{n-3}x - T^n x + T^{n-2}x - T^{n-1}x\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^2 \left(\|T^{n-3}x - T^n x\| + \|T^{n-2}x - T^{n-1}x\| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this estimate, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{n-1}x - T^n x\| &\leq \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\|T^{n-4}x - T^{n-1}x + T^{n-3}x - T^n x\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|T^{n-2}x - T^{n-1}x\|\right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\|T^{n-4}x - T^n x\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{k}{k+1}\|T^{n-3}x - T^{n-1}x\| + \|T^{n-2}x - T^{n-1}x\|\right) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^2 \left(\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{n-3} \|x - T^n x\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{n-3} \|Tx - T^{n-1}x\| + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{k}{k+1}\|T^{n-3}x - T^{n-1}x\| + \|T^{n-2}x - T^{n-1}x\|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that mapping T is n -periodic, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{n-1}x - T^n x\| &\leq \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^2 \left(\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{n-3} \|Tx - T^{n-1}x\| + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{k}{k+1}\|T^{n-3}x - T^{n-1}x\| + \|T^{n-2}x - T^{n-1}x\|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the fact that mapping T is also k -lipschitzian, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{n-1}x - T^n x\| &\leq \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^2 \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{j-2} k^{n-j} \frac{1 - k^{j-1}}{1 - k}\right) \|x - Tx\| \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^j k^{n-j} \frac{1 - k^{j-1}}{1 - k}\right) \|x - Tx\|, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

The following theorem can be proved using Lemma 2.

Theorem 1. *Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a Banach space E and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a firmly k -lipschitzian mapping ($k > 1$) such that $T^n = I$*

($n > 2$). If

$$k < k_0(n) = \sup \left\{ s > 1 : \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \left(\frac{s}{s+1} \right)^j s^{n-j} \frac{1-s^{j-1}}{1-s} = 1 \right\},$$

then T has a fixed point in C .

PROOF: Let x be an arbitrary point in C and let $z = T^{n-1}x$. Then from Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - Tz\| &= \|T^{n-1}x - T^n x\| \\ (6) \qquad &\leq \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^j k^{n-j} \frac{1-k^{j-1}}{1-k} \right) \|x - Tx\|. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - x\| &= \|T^{n-1}x - x\| \\ (7) \qquad &\leq \|T^{n-1}x - T^{n-2}x\| + \|T^{n-2}x - T^{n-3}x\| + \dots + \|Tx - x\| \\ &\leq (k^{n-2} + k^{n-3} + \dots + k + 1)\|Tx - x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^j k^{n-j} \frac{1-k^{j-1}}{1-k} < 1$$

for $k < k_0(n)$, by inequality (6) and (7), Lemma 1 implies the existence of fixed points of T in C . □

Remark 1. Note that Theorem 1 implies

$$k_0(3) \geq \sqrt[3]{\frac{47}{54} - \frac{\sqrt{93}}{18}} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{47}{54} + \frac{\sqrt{93}}{18}} + \frac{1}{3} \approx 2.1479,$$

which is better than all estimates of $k_0(3)$ obtained in [8], [11], [7] for an arbitrary Banach space and better even than that obtained in [12] for a Hilbert space. It is worth noting that so far the estimates of $k_0(n)$ which are greater than 2 have been obtained only for $n = 2$ and in Hilbert space.

Remark 2. It follows from Theorem 1 that

$$k_0(4) \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{8} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \approx 1.2657.$$

It is better estimate of $k_0(4)$ than obtained in [8], [11], [7] for a Banach space. For $n \geq 5$ Theorem 1 does not give better estimates than obtained in [7].

3. Hölder continuous retractions

In this section, we will show that, for a mapping T of a bounded, closed and convex set C , the limit of the iteration process discussed above, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= x \in C \\ x_{m+1} &= T^{n-1}x_m, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

is a Hölder continuous retraction from C to $\text{Fix}(T)$.

Let C be a nonempty, closed, convex and bounded subset of a Banach space E . Recall that a set $D \subset C$ is a *retract* of C if there is a continuous mapping $R : C \rightarrow D$ (*retraction*) with $\text{Fix}(R) = D$. We say that a mapping $R : C \rightarrow C$ is *Hölder continuous* if there are constants $L \geq 0$ and $0 < \beta < 1$ such that for any $x, y \in C$ it holds:

$$(8) \quad \|Rx - Ry\| \leq L\|x - y\|^\beta.$$

An example of a real function (with $x \geq 0$) satisfying the Hölder condition but not satisfying the Lipschitz condition is a function $f(x) = x^\beta$.

The following lemma gives a condition for existence of a Hölder continuous retraction on the fixed point set.

Lemma 3 ([12]). *Let X be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping. Suppose there are $u : X \rightarrow X$, $0 < A < 1$ and $B > 0$, such that for every $x \in X$:*

- (i) $d(Tu(x), u(x)) \leq A d(Tx, x)$,
- (ii) $d(u(x), x) \leq B d(Tx, x)$.

Then we have that $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$.

If we define $R(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^n(x)$ and u is a continuous mapping, then R is a retraction from X to $\text{Fix}(T)$. If additionally u satisfies the Lipschitz condition with constant $k > 1$ and $\text{diam}(X) < \infty$, then R is a Hölder continuous retraction from X to $\text{Fix}(T)$.

Now, using Lemma 3, Theorem 1 and inequalities (6) and (7) we get the following conclusion.

Corollary 1. *Let $n > 2$ be natural and let C be a nonempty, closed, convex and bounded subset of a Banach space E . Let a mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ be n -periodic and firmly k -lipschitzian with $1 < k < k_0(n)$. If we define mapping $F : C \rightarrow C$ such that $Fx = T^{n-1}x$, then the mapping $R : C \rightarrow C$ defined by*

$$R(x) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} F^p(x)$$

is a Hölder continuous retraction from C to $\text{Fix}(T)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bruck R.E., *Nonexpansive projections on subsets of Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **48** (1973), 341–357.
- [2] Goebel K., *Convexity of balls and fixed point theorems for mappings with nonexpansive square*, Compositio Math. **22** (1970), 269–274.
- [3] Goebel K., Kirk W.A., *A fixed point theorem for transformations whose iterates have uniform Lipschitz constant*, Studia Math. **47** (1973), 135–140.
- [4] Goebel K., Koter M., *Regularly nonexpansive mappings*, Ann. Stiint. Univ. “Al.I. Cuza” Iași **24** (1978), 265–269.
- [5] Goebel K., Zlotkiewicz E., *Some fixed point theorems in Banach spaces*, Colloquium Math. **23** (1971), 103–106.
- [6] Górnicki J., *Fixed points of involution*, Math. Japonica **43** (1996), no. 1, 151–155.
- [7] Górnicki J., Pupka K., *Fixed point theorems for n -periodic mappings in Banach spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **46** (2005), no. 1, 33–42.
- [8] Kirk W.A., *A fixed point theorem for mappings with a nonexpansive iterate*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1971), 294–298.
- [9] Kirk W.A., Sims B. (eds.), *Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Kluwer Acad. Pub., Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2001.
- [10] Koter M., *Fixed points of lipschitzian 2-rotative mappings*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. C (6) **5** (1986), 321–339.
- [11] Linhart J., *Fixpunkte von Involutionen n -ter Ordnung*, Österreich. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur., Kl. II **180** (1972), 89–93.
- [12] Perez Garcia V., Fetter Nathansky H., *Fixed points of periodic mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **64** (2010), no. 2, 37–48.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RZESZÓW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
P.O. Box 85, 35-959 RZESZÓW, POLAND

E-mail: kpupka@prz.rzeszow.pl

(Received October 6, 2012, revised November 27, 2012)