

Shuliang Huang

Derivations with Engel conditions in prime and semiprime rings

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 61 (2011), No. 4, 1135–1140

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/141811>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2011

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

DERIVATIONS WITH ENGEL CONDITIONS
IN PRIME AND SEMIPRIME RINGS

SHULIANG HUANG, Chuzhou

(Received October 17, 2010)

Abstract. Let R be a prime ring, I a nonzero ideal of R , d a derivation of R and m, n fixed positive integers. (i) If $(d[x, y])^m = [x, y]^n$ for all $x, y \in I$, then R is commutative. (ii) If $\text{Char } R \neq 2$ and $[d(x), d(y)]_m = [x, y]^n$ for all $x, y \in I$, then R is commutative. Moreover, we also examine the case when R is a semiprime ring.

Keywords: prime and semiprime rings, ideal, derivation, GPIs

MSC 2010: 16N60, 16U80, 16W25

1. INTRODUCTION

In all that follows, unless stated otherwise, R will be an associative ring, $Z(R)$ the center of R , Q its Martindale quotient ring and U its Utumi quotient ring. The center of U , denoted by C , is called the extended centroid of R (we refer the reader to [1] for these objects).

For each $x, y \in R$ and each $n \geq 0$, define $[x, y]_n$ inductively by $[x, y]_0 = x$, $[x, y]_1 = xy - yx$ and $[x, y]_k = [[x, y]_{k-1}, y]$ for $k \geq 2$. The ring R is said to satisfy an Engel condition if there exists a positive integer n such that $[x, y]_n = 0$. Recall that a ring R is prime if for any $a, b \in R$, $aRb = \{0\}$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, and is semiprime if for any $a \in R$, $aRa = \{0\}$ implies $a = 0$. An additive mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$. For some fixed $a \in R$, the mapping $I_a: R \rightarrow R$ given by $I_a(x) = [a, x]$ for all $x \in R$ is a derivation which is called an inner derivation. If R is a ring and $S \subseteq R$, a mapping $f: R \rightarrow R$ is called strong commutativity-preserving (scp) on S if $[f(x), f(y)] = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in S$.

During the past few decades, there has been an ongoing interest concerning the relationship between the commutativity of a ring and the existence of certain specific

types of derivations (see [2], where further references can be found). The Engel type identity with derivation appeared in the well-known paper of Posner [17] who proved that a prime ring admitting a nonzero derivation d such that $[d(x), x] \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in R$, must be commutative. Since then several authors have studied this kind of Engel type identities with derivations acting on one-sided, two-sided and Lie ideals of prime and semiprime rings (see [8] for a partial bibliography).

In the year 1992, Daif and Bell [7, Theorem 3] showed that if in a semiprime ring R there exists a nonzero ideal I of R and a derivation d such that $d[x, y] = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $I \subseteq Z(R)$. If R is a prime ring, this implies that R is commutative. It is natural to ask what we can say about the commutativity of R in case $(d[x, y])^m = [x, y]_n$ for all $x, y \in I$. In this paper we investigate this identity and obtain the commutativity of R . In 1994, Bell and Daif [3] initiated the study of strong commutativity-preserving maps (for more information we refer to [13] and references therein) and proved that a nonzero right ideal U of a semiprime ring is central if R admits a derivation which is scp on U . Here we will examine what happens in case R is a prime ring and $[d(x), d(y)]_m = [x, y]_n$ for all $x, y \in I$, with I a nonzero ideal of R and m, n fixed positive integers. In fact, we can also prove that R is commutative under the assumption $\text{Char } R \neq 2$.

2. THE CASE: R A PRIME RING

Theorem 2.1. *Let R be a prime ring, I a nonzero ideal of R , and m, n fixed positive integers. If R admits a derivation d such that $(d[x, y])^m = [x, y]_n$ for all $x, y \in I$, then R is commutative.*

Proof. If $d = 0$, then $[x, y]_n = 0 = [I_x(y), y]_{n-1}$ for all $x, y \in I$. By Lanski [6, Theorem 1] either R is commutative or $I_x = 0$, i.e., $I \subseteq Z(R)$ in which case R is also commutative by Mayne [15, Lemma 3].

Now we assume that $d \neq 0$ and $(d[x, y])^m = [x, y]_n$ which can be rewritten as $[d(x), y] + [x, d(y)]^m = [x, y]_n$ for all $x, y \in I$. Following Kharchenko [12], we divide the proof into two cases:

Case 1. If d is Q -outer, then I satisfies the polynomial identity $([s, y] + [x, t])^m = [x, y]_n$ for all $x, y, s, t \in I$. In particular, for $x = 0$, I satisfies the blended component $[s, y]^m = 0$ for all $s, y \in I$, and R is commutative by Herstein [10, Theorem 2].

Case 2. Let now d be Q -inner induced by an element $q \in Q$, that is $d(x) = [q, x]$ for all $x \in R$. It follows that $([[q, x], y] + [x, [q, y]])^m = [x, y]_n$ for all $x, y \in I$. By Chuang [4, Theorem 2], I and Q satisfy the same generalized polynomial identities (GPIs), hence we have $([[q, x], y] + [x, [q, y]])^m = [x, y]_n$ for all $x, y \in Q$. In case the center C of Q is infinite, we have $([[q, x], y] + [x, [q, y]])^m = [x, y]_n$

for all $x, y \in Q \otimes_C \overline{C}$, where \overline{C} is the algebraic closure of C . Since both Q and $Q \otimes_C \overline{C}$ are prime and centrally closed [9, Theorems 2.5 & 3.5], we may replace R by Q or $Q \otimes_C \overline{C}$ according as C is finite or infinite. Thus we may assume that R is centrally closed over C (i.e., $RC = R$) which is either finite or algebraically closed and $([[q, x], y] + [x, [q, y]])^m = [x, y]_n$ for all $x, y \in R$. By Martindale [16, Theorem 3], RC (and so R) is a primitive ring having nonzero socle H with C as the associated division ring. Hence by Jacobson's theorem [11, p. 75], R is isomorphic to a dense ring of linear transformations of some vector space V over C and H consists of the finite rank linear transformations in R .

Assume that $\dim_C V \geq 3$.

First of all, we want to show that v and qv are linearly C -dependent for all $v \in V$. Since if $qv = 0$ then v, qv is C -dependent, suppose that $qv \neq 0$. If v and qv are C -independent, since $\dim_C V \geq 3$, there exists $w \in V$ such that v, qv, w are also C -independent. By the density of R , there exist $x, y \in R$ such that: $xv = 0, xqv = w, xw = v; yv = 0, yqv = 0, yw = v$. This implies that $v = ([[q, x], y] + [x, [q, y]])^m v = [x, y]_n v = 0$, which is a contradiction. So we conclude that v and qv are linearly C -dependent for all $v \in V$.

Our next goal is to show that there exists $b \in C$ such that $qv = bv$ for all $v \in V$. In fact, choose $v, w \in V$ linearly independent. Since $\dim_C V \geq 3$, there exists $u \in V$ such that u, v, w are linearly independent, and so $b_u, b_v, b_w \in C$ such that $qu = b_u u, qv = b_v v, qw = b_w w$, that is $q(u + v + w) = b_u u + b_v v + b_w w$. Moreover, $q(u + v + w) = b_{u+v+w}(u + v + w)$ for a suitable $b_{u+v+w} \in C$. Then $0 = (b_{u+v+w} - b_u)u + (b_{u+v+w} - b_v)v + (b_{u+v+w} - b_w)w$ and because u, v, w are linearly independent, $b_u = b_v = b_w = b_{u+v+w}$, that is, b does not depend on the choice of v . Hence now we have $qv = vb$ for all $v \in V$.

Now for $r \in R, v \in V$ we have $(rq)v = r(qv) = r(vb) = (rv)b = q(rv)$, that is $[q, R]V = 0$. Since V is a left faithful irreducible R -module, hence $[q, R] = 0$, i.e., $q \in Z(R)$ and so $d = 0$, a contradiction.

Suppose now that $\dim_C V \leq 2$.

In this case R is a simple GPI-ring with 1, and so it is a central simple algebra finite dimensional over its center. By Lanski [6, Lemma 2], it follows that there exists a suitable field F such that $R \subseteq M_k(F)$, the ring of all $k \times k$ matrices over F , and moreover, $M_k(F)$ satisfies the same GPI as R .

Assume $k \geq 3$, then by the same argument as above we get a contradiction.

Obviously if $k = 1$, then R is commutative.

Thus we may assume that $k = 2$, i.e., $R \subseteq M_2(F)$, where $M_2(F)$ satisfies $([[q, x], y] + [x, [q, y]])^m = [x, y]_n$.

Denote by e_{ij} the usual unit matrix with 1 in (i, j) -entry and zero elsewhere. Let $[x, y] = [e_{21}, e_{11}] = e_{21}$. In this case we have $(qe_{21} - e_{21}q)^m = e_{21}$. Right multiplying by e_{21} , we get $(-1)^m(e_{21}q)^m e_{21} = (qe_{21} - e_{21}q)^m e_{21} = e_{21}e_{21} = 0$.

Set $q = \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. By calculation we find that $(-1)^m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ q_{12}^m & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$, which implies that $q_{12} = 0$. Similarly we can see that $q_{21} = 0$. Therefore q is diagonal in $M_2(F)$. Let $f \in \text{Aut}(M_2(F))$. Since $([[f(q), f(x)], f(y)] + [f(x), [f(q), f(y)]])^m = [f(x), f(y)]_n$ so $f(q)$ must be a diagonal matrix in $M_2(F)$. In particular, let $f(x) = (1 - e_{ij})x(1 + e_{ij})$ for $i \neq j$, then $f(q) = q + (q_{ii} - q_{jj})e_{ij}$, that is $q_{ii} = q_{jj}$ for $i \neq j$. This implies that q is central in $M_2(F)$, which leads to $d = 0$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a prime ring with $\text{Char } R \neq 2$, I a nonzero ideal of R , and m, n fixed positive integers. If R admits a derivation d such that $[d(x), d(y)]_m = [x, y]^n$ for all $x, y \in I$, then R is commutative.*

Proof. If $d = 0$, then $[x, y]^n$ for all $x, y \in I$, and hence R is commutative by Herstein [10, Theorem 2]. Hence, onward we will assume that $d \neq 0$ and $[d(x), d(y)]_m = [x, y]^n$ for all $x, y \in I$. If d is not Q -inner then by Kharchenko [12] we have from the assumption that $[s, t]_m = [x, y]^n$ for all $x, y, s, t \in I$. In particular, for $s = 0$ we have $[x, y]^n = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, and R is commutative by Herstein [10, Theorem 2]. If d is a Q -inner derivation, say $d(x) = [q, x]$ for all $x \in R$ and $q \in Q$, then we have $[[q, x], [q, y]]_m = [x, y]^n$ for all $x, y \in I$. As in the proof Theorem 2.1, we see that $[[q, x], [q, y]]_m = [x, y]^n$ for all $x, y \in R$, where R is a primitive ring with C as the associated division ring. If V is finite-dimensional over C then the density of R implies that $R \cong M_k(C)$, where $k = \dim_C V$.

We assume that $\dim_C V \geq 2$, otherwise we are done. We claim that v and qv are linearly C -dependent for all $v \in V$. Suppose that v and qv are linearly C -independent for some $v \in V$. If $q^2v \notin \text{Span}_C\{v, qv\}$ then v, qv, q^2v are linearly C -independent. By the density of R there exist $x, y \in R$ such that $xv = v, xqv = 0, xq^2v = 0; yv = 0, yqv = v, yq^2v = 3qv$. Then $qv = [[q, x], [q, y]]_m v = [x, y]^n v = 0$, a contradiction. If $q^2v \in \text{Span}_C\{v, qv\}$, then $q^2v = \alpha v + \beta qv$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in C$. Since v and qv are linearly C -independent, by the density of R there exist $x, y \in R$ such that $xv = v, xqv = 0; yv = 0, yqv = v$. Then $(-1)^m(2^m qv - \gamma v) = [[q, x], [q, y]]_m v = [x, y]^n v = 0$ for some $\gamma \in C$, which implies that $2^m qv = \gamma v$. The assumption of $\text{Char } R \neq 2$ ensures that $\gamma \neq 0$ and hence v and qv are linearly C -dependent, a contradiction. So for each $v \in V$, $qv = v\alpha_v$ for some $\alpha_v \in C$. By a standard argument, it is easy to see that α_v is independent of the choice of $v \in V$. Thus we can write $qv = v\alpha$ for all $v \in V$ and a fixed $\alpha \in C$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we conclude that $d = 0$, again a contradiction. \square

The following example demonstrates that R to be prime is essential in the hypothesis.

Example 2.1. Let S be any ring, $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in S \right\}$ and let $I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a \in S \right\}$ be a nonzero ideal of R . We define a map $d: R \rightarrow R$ by $d(x) = e_{11}x - xe_{11}$. Then it is easy to see that d is a derivation. It is straightforward to check that d satisfies the properties

- (i) $(d[x, y])^m = [x, y]_n$
- (ii) $[d(x), d(y)]_m = [x, y]_n$ for all $x, y \in I$. However, R is not commutative.

3. THE CASE: R A SEMIPRIME RING

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be a semiprime ring and m, n fixed positive integers. If R admits a derivation d such that $(d[x, y])^m = [x, y]_n$ for all $x, y \in R$, then R is commutative.*

Proof. By Beidar [1] any derivation of a semiprime ring R can be defined on the whole U , the Utumi quotient ring of R . In view of Lee [14], R and U satisfy the same differential identities, hence $(d[x, y])^m = [x, y]_n$ for all $x, y \in U$.

Let B be the complete Boolean algebra of idempotents in C and let M be any maximal ideal of B . Due to Chuang [5, p. 42] U is an orthogonal complete B -algebra and MU is a prime ideal of U , which is d -invariant. Denote $\overline{U} = U/MU$ and let \overline{d} be the derivation induced by d on \overline{U} , i.e., $\overline{d}(\overline{u}) = \overline{d(u)}$ for all $u \in U$. Therefore \overline{d} has in \overline{U} the same property as d on U . In particular, \overline{U} is prime and so, by Theorem 2.1, \overline{U} is commutative. This implies that, for any maximal ideal M of B , $[U, U] \subseteq MU$ and hence $[U, U] \subseteq \bigcap_M MU = 0$, where MU runs over all prime ideals of U . In particular, $[R, R] = 0$ and so R is commutative. \square

Using arguments similar to those used in the proof of the above theorem, we can prove

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be a semiprime ring with $\text{Char } R \neq 2$ and m, n fixed positive integers. If R admits a derivation d such that $[d(x), d(y)]_m = [x, y]_n$ for all $x, y \in R$, then R is commutative.*

Acknowledgment. The author is greatly indebted to the referee for her/his useful suggestions. This paper has been supported by the Natural Science Research Foundation of Anhui Provincial Education Department (No. KJ2010B144) of P. R. China.

References

- [1] *K. I. Beidar, W. S. Martindale III, A. V. Mikhalev*: Rings with Generalized Identities. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker 196, New York, 1996.
- [2] *H. E. Bell, M. N. Daif*: On derivations and commutativity in prime rings. *Acta Math. Hung.* 66 (1995), 337–343.
- [3] *H. E. Bell, M. N. Daif*: On commutativity and strong commutativity-preserving maps. *Can. Math. Bull.* 37 (1994), 443–447.
- [4] *Ch.-L. Chuang*: GPIs having coefficients in Utumi quotient rings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 103 (1988), 723–728.
- [5] *Ch.-L. Chuang*: Hypercentral derivations. *J. Algebra* 166 (1994), 34–71.
- [6] *Ch. Lanski*: An Engel condition with derivation. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 118 (1993), 731–734.
- [7] *M. N. Daif, H. E. Bell*: Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 15 (1992), 205–206.
- [8] *B. Dhara, R. K. Sharma*: Vanishing power values of commutators with derivations. *Sib. Math. J.* 50 (2009), 60–65.
- [9] *T. S. Erickson, W. S. Martindale III, J. M. Osborn*: Prime nonassociative algebras. *Pac. J. Math.* 60 (1975), 49–63.
- [10] *I. N. Herstein*: Center-like elements in prime rings. *J. Algebra* 60 (1979), 567–574.
- [11] *N. Jacobson*: Structure of Rings. Colloquium Publications 37, Am. Math. Soc. VII, Providence, RI, 1956.
- [12] *V. K. Kharchenko*: Differential identities of prime rings. *Algebra Logic* 17 (1979), 155–168.
- [13] *J.-S. Lin, Ch.-K. Liu*: Strong commutativity preserving maps on Lie ideals. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 428 (2008), 1601–1609.
- [14] *T.-K. Lee*: Semiprime rings with differential identities. *Bull. Inst. Math., Acad. Sin.* 20 (1992), 27–38.
- [15] *J. H. Mayne*: Centralizing mappings of prime rings. *Can. Math. Bull.* 27 (1984), 122–126.
- [16] *W. S. Martindale III*: Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity. *J. Algebra* 12 (1969), 576–584.
- [17] *E. C. Posner*: Derivations in prime rings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 8 (1958), 1093–1100.

Author's address: Shuliang Huang, Department of Mathematics, Chuzhou University, Chuzhou 239012, P. R. China, e-mail: shulianghuang@sina.com.