

Xiaoyan Guo; Wenpeng Zhang

A hybrid mean value related to certain Hardy sums and Kloosterman sums

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 61 (2011), No. 3, 759–769

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/141636>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2011

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A HYBRID MEAN VALUE RELATED TO CERTAIN HARDY SUMS
AND KLOOSTERMAN SUMS

XIAOYAN GUO, WENPENG ZHANG, Xi'an

(Received May 10, 2010)

Abstract. The main purpose of this paper is using the mean value formula of Dirichlet L-functions and the analytic methods to study a hybrid mean value problem related to certain Hardy sums and Kloosterman sums, and give some interesting mean value formulae and identities for it.

Keywords: Hardy sums, the Kloosterman sums, hybrid mean value, asymptotic formula, identity

MSC 2010: 11M20

1. INTRODUCTION

Let c be a natural number and d an integer prime to c . The classical Dedekind sums

$$(1) \quad S(d, c) = \sum_{a=1}^c \left(\left(\frac{a}{c} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{ad}{c} \right) \right),$$

with

$$((x)) = \begin{cases} x - [x] - \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x \text{ is not an integer;} \\ 0, & \text{if } x \text{ is an integer} \end{cases}$$

describes the behavior of the logarithm of the eta-function (cf. [5]) under modular transformations. B. C. Berndt [1] gave an analogous transformation formula for the logarithm of the classical theta-function

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(\pi i n^2 z), \quad \text{Im}(z) > 0.$$

This work is supported by the N.S.F. (11071194) of P. R. China.

Put $Vz = (az + b)(cz + d)$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c > 0$ and $ad - bc = 1$. Then

$$(2) \quad \log \theta(Vz) = \log \theta(z) + \frac{1}{2} \log(cz + d) - \frac{1}{4} \pi i + \frac{1}{4} \pi i S_1(d, c),$$

where

$$S_1(d, c) = \sum_{j=1}^{c-1} (-1)^{j+1+[dj/c]}.$$

The sums $S_1(d, c)$ and certain related ones are sometimes called the Hardy sums. They are closely connected with Dedekind sums. Many authors studied various properties of $S(d, c)$, and obtained a series of interesting results. For example, L. Carlitz [2] obtained a reciprocity theorem of $S(d, c)$. J. B. Conrey et al. [3] studied the mean value distribution of $S(d, c)$, and proved the following important and interesting asymptotic formula:

$$(3) \quad \sum'_{d=1}^c |S(d, c)|^{2m} = f_m(c) \left(\frac{c}{12}\right)^{2m} + O((c^{9/5} + c^{2m-1+1/(m+1)}) \log^3 c),$$

where \sum'_d denotes the summation over all d such that $(d, c) = 1$, and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_m(n)}{n^s} = 2 \frac{\zeta^2(2m)}{\zeta(4m)} \cdot \frac{\zeta(s+4m-1)}{\zeta^2(s+2m)} \zeta(s),$$

$\zeta(s)$ being the Riemann zeta-function.

Jia Chaohua [4] improved the error term in (3) to $O(c^{2m-1})$ provided $m \geq 2$. Zhang Wenpeng [7] improved the error term of (3) for $m = 1$, and proved the asymptotic formula

$$\sum'_{d=1}^c |S(d, c)|^2 = \frac{5}{144} c \varphi(c) \cdot \frac{\prod_{p^\alpha \parallel c} ((1+1/p)^2 - 1/p^{3\alpha+1})}{\prod_{p|c} (1+1/p+1/p^2)} + O\left(c \exp\left(\frac{4 \ln c}{\ln \ln c}\right)\right),$$

where $p^\alpha \parallel c$ denotes that $p^\alpha | c$ and $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid c$.

In this paper we find that there are some close relationships between $S_1(d, c)$ and the Kloosterman sums $S(m, n; c)$, which are defined as follows:

$$S(m, n; c) = \sum'_{b=1}^c e\left(\frac{mb + n\bar{b}}{c}\right),$$

where $e(y) = e^{2\pi i y}$ and \bar{b} denotes the solution of the congruent equation $x \cdot b \equiv 1 \pmod{c}$. In fact, for any positive integer k and some special integers c , we can use the

estimates for character sums and the mean value theorem of Dirichlet L-functions to get some interesting hybrid mean value formulae and identities for

$$(4) \quad \sum_{a=1}^c \sum_{b=1}^c S^2(m, a; c) S^2(m, b; c) S_1^k(2a\bar{b}, c).$$

We will prove the following several assertions:

Theorem 1. *Let p be a prime with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then for any fixed positive integer k we have the identities*

$$\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} S^2(a, 1; p) S^2(b, 1; p) S_1^{2k-1}(2a\bar{b}, p) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} S^2(a, 1; p) S^2(b, 1; p) S_1^{2k}(2a\bar{b}, p) \\ &= (p^3 - 2p^2 - 3p - 1) \cdot \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} S_1^{2k}(2a, p) - p^2 \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) S_1^{2k}(2a, p), \end{aligned}$$

where $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.

Theorem 2. *Let p be a prime with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then for any fixed positive integer k we have the identities*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} S^2(a, 1; p) S^2(b, 1; p) S_1^{2k}(2a\bar{b}, p) \\ &= 4^k \cdot p^3 + (p^3 - 2p^2 - 3p - 1) \cdot \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} S_1^{2k}(2a, p) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} S^2(a, 1; p) S^2(b, 1; p) S_1^{2k-1}(2a\bar{b}, p) \\ &= 2^{2k-1} \cdot p^3 - p^2 \cdot \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) S_1^{2k-1}(2a, p). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that from our theorems we can establish an asymptotic formula for (2). Especially for $k = 1$, we have:

Corollary 1. *Let p be a prime, then we have the asymptotic formula*

$$\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} S^2(a, 1; p) S^2(b, 1; p) S_1^2(2a\bar{b}, p) = 3 p^5 + O\left(p^4 \cdot \exp\left(\frac{3 \ln p}{\ln \ln p}\right)\right),$$

where $\exp(y) = e^y$.

Corollary 2. *Let p be a prime with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then we have the identity*

$$\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} S^2(a, 1; p) S^2(b, 1; p) S_1(2a\bar{b}, p) = 2 p^3 + \frac{16p^3}{\pi^2} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) \cdot L^2(1, \chi_{2p}^2),$$

where χ_{2p}^2 denotes the product of the Legendre symbol and the principal character $\lambda_2 \pmod{2}$.

2. SOME LEMMAS

In this section, we give some lemmas which are necessary in the proof of our theorems.

Lemma 1. *Let $q > 2$ be an integer, then for any integer a with $(a, q) = 1$ we have the identity*

$$S(a, q) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 q} \sum_{d|q} \frac{d^2}{\varphi(d)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{d} \\ \chi(-1) = -1}} \chi(a) |L(1, \chi)|^2,$$

where $\varphi(q)$ is the Euler function, $\sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{d} \\ \chi(-1) = -1}}$ denotes the summation over all odd characters modulo d , $L(s, \chi)$ denotes the Dirichlet L -function corresponding to $\chi \pmod{d}$.

Proof. For the proof of Lemma 1 see [8]. □

Lemma 2. *Let p be an odd prime, then we have the identity*

$$S_1(2, p) = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{j+1+[2j/p]} = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}; \\ 0, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For all integers $1 \leq j \leq p-1$, it is clear that $[2j/p] = 0$ if $1 \leq j \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$, and $[2j/p] = 1$ if $\frac{1}{2}(p+1) \leq j \leq p-1$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(2, p) &= \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{j+1+[2j/p]} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^{j+1} + \sum_{j=(p+1)/2}^{p-1} (-1)^{j+1+1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^{j+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^{p-j} \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ is an even number, then $\sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^{j+1} = 0$. If $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ is an odd number, then $\sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^{j+1} = 1$. This proves Lemma 2. \square

Lemma 3. Let $k > 0$ and $(h, k) = 1$. Then we have the identity

$$S_1(h, k) = -8S(h+k, 2k) + 4S(h, k).$$

Proof. This formula is an immediate consequence of (5.9) and (5.10) in [6]. \square

Lemma 4. Let p be an odd prime, then for any integer h with $(h, p) = 1$ we have the identity

$$S_1(h, p) = \begin{cases} -\frac{16p}{\pi^2(p-1)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{p} \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \chi(h) |L(1, \chi\lambda_2)|^2, & \text{if } 2 \mid h, \\ 0, & \text{if } 2 \nmid h, \end{cases}$$

where λ_2 denotes the principal character mod 2.

Proof. It is clear that the divisors of $2p$ are $1, 2, p$ and $2p$. So from Lemma 1,

Lemma 3 and the fact that for any character $\chi \pmod p$, $\chi(h+p) = \chi(h)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad S_1(h, p) &= -8S(h+p, 2p) + 4S(h, p) \\
 &= -\frac{4}{\pi^2 p} \sum_{d|2p} \frac{d^2}{\varphi(d)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod d \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \chi(h+p) |L(1, \chi)|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{4}{\pi^2 p} \sum_{d|p} \frac{d^2}{\varphi(d)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod d \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \chi(h) |L(1, \chi)|^2 \\
 &= -\frac{4}{\pi^2 p} \frac{(2p)^2}{\varphi(2p)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{2p} \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \chi(h+p) |L(1, \chi)|^2 \\
 &= -\frac{16p}{\pi^2(p-1)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod p \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \chi(h+p) \lambda_2(h+p) |L(1, \chi \lambda_2)|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\chi(h+p) \lambda_2(h+p) = \chi(h)$ if $2 \mid h$; and $\chi(h+p) \lambda_2(h+p) = 0$ if $2 \nmid h$. From (5) we immediately deduce Lemma 4. \square

Lemma 5. *Let p be an odd prime, χ any non-real character modulo p , then we have the identities*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a) \quad &\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} S^2(a, 1; p) = p^2 - p - 1, \\
 (b) \quad &\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) S^2(a, 1; p) = p, \\
 (c) \quad &\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) S^2(a, 1; p) = \frac{\tau^4(\chi)}{\tau(\chi^2)},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol mod p , $\tau(\chi) = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) e\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ is the Gauss sum, and $e(y) = e^{2\pi iy}$.

Proof. We only prove formula (c). Similarly, we can deduce (a) and (b). From the definition of Kloosterman sums and the properties of Gauss sums we have

$$(6) \quad \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) S^2(a, 1; p) = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{a(m+n) + \bar{m} + \bar{n}}{p}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) e\left(\frac{a(m+n) + \bar{m} + \bar{n}}{p}\right) \\
&= \tau(\chi) \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \bar{\chi}(m+n) e\left(\frac{\bar{m} + \bar{n}}{p}\right) \\
&= \tau(\chi) \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \bar{\chi}(mn+n) e\left(\frac{\bar{m}\bar{n} + \bar{n}}{p}\right) \\
&= \tau(\chi) \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi(n) \bar{\chi}(m+1) e\left(\frac{n(\bar{m}+1)}{p}\right) \\
&= \tau^2(\chi) \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \bar{\chi}((m+1)(\bar{m}+1)).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since χ is a non-real character modulo p , so $\chi^2 \neq \chi_p^0$, the principal character modulo p . Therefore, from the properties of the Gauss sums we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) \quad \tau^2(\chi) &= \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} \chi(a)\chi(b) \left(\frac{a+b}{p}\right) \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} \chi(ab)\chi(b) \left(\frac{ab+b}{p}\right) \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} \chi^2(b) e\left(\frac{b(a+1)}{p}\right) \\
&= \tau(\chi^2) \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}^2(a+1) \\
&= \tau(\chi^2) \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \bar{\chi}((a+1)(\bar{a}+1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (6) and (7) we immediately deduce the identity

$$\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) S^2(a, 1; p) = \frac{\tau^4(\chi)}{\tau(\chi^2)}.$$

This proves Lemma 5. □

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

In this section we shall complete the proof of our theorems. First we prove Theorem 1. Note that if $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_{2k-1}$ are any $2k - 1$ odd characters mod p , then $\chi_1\chi_2 \dots \chi_{2k-1}$ is an odd character mod p . And if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\chi_1\chi_2 \dots \chi_{2k-1}$ is not a real character mod p . So from Lemma 2, Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} S^2(a, 1; p) S^2(b, 1; p) S_1^{2k-1}(2a\bar{b}, p) \\
 &= \left(-\frac{16p}{\pi^2(p-1)} \right)^{2k-1} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \pmod{p} \\ \chi_1(-1)=-1}} \dots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{2k-1} \pmod{p} \\ \chi_{2k-1}(-1)=-1}} \left| \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi_1\chi_2 \dots \chi_{2k-1}(a) S^2(a, 1; p) \right|^2 \\
 & \quad \times \chi_1\chi_2 \dots \chi_{2k-1}(2) |L(1, \chi_1\lambda_2)|^2 \cdot |L(1, \chi_2\lambda_2)|^2 \dots |L(1, \chi_{2k-1}\lambda_2)|^2 \\
 &= \left(-\frac{16p}{\pi^2(p-1)} \right)^{2k-1} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \pmod{p} \\ \chi_1(-1)=-1}} \dots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{2k-1} \pmod{p} \\ \chi_{2k-1}(-1)=-1}} \left| \frac{\tau^4(\chi_1\chi_2 \dots \chi_{2k-1})}{\tau(\chi_1^2\chi_2^2 \dots \chi_{2k-1}^2)} \right|^2 \\
 & \quad \times \chi_1\chi_2 \dots \chi_{2k-1}(2) |L(1, \chi_1\lambda_2)|^2 \cdot |L(1, \chi_2\lambda_2)|^2 \dots |L(1, \chi_{2k-1}\lambda_2)|^2 \\
 &= p^3 \left(-\frac{16p}{\pi^2(p-1)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{p} \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \chi(2) |L(1, \chi\lambda_2)|^2 \right)^{2k-1} \\
 &= p^3 S_1^{2k-1}(2, p) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves the first formula of Theorem 1.

If $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_{2k}$ are any $2k$ odd characters mod p , then $\chi_1\chi_2 \dots \chi_{2k}$ is an even character mod p . So if $\chi_1\chi_2 \dots \chi_{2k}$ is a real character mod p , then it must be the principal character or the Legendre symbol mod p . Let χ_p^2 denote the Legendre symbol, then from Lemma 2, Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} S^2(a, 1; p) S^2(b, 1; p) S_1^{2k}(2a\bar{b}, p) \\
 &= \left(-\frac{16p}{\pi^2(p-1)} \right)^{2k} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \pmod{p} \\ \chi_1(-1)=-1}} \dots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{2k} \pmod{p} \\ \chi_{2k}(-1)=-1}} \left| \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi_1\chi_2 \dots \chi_{2k}(a) S^2(a, 1; p) \right|^2 \\
 & \quad \times \chi_1\chi_2 \dots \chi_{2k}(2) |L(1, \chi_1\lambda_2)|^2 \cdot |L(1, \chi_2\lambda_2)|^2 \dots |L(1, \chi_{2k}\lambda_2)|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{16p}{\pi^2(p-1)}\right)^{2k} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p \\ \chi_1(-1)=-1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{2k} \bmod p \\ \chi_{2k}(-1)=-1 \\ \chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_{2k}\neq\chi_0, \chi_p^2}} \left| \frac{\tau^4(\chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_{2k})}{\tau(\chi_1^2\chi_2^2\cdots\chi_{2k}^2)} \right|^2 \\
&\quad \times \chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_{2k}(2) |L(1, \chi_1\lambda_2)|^2 \cdot |L(1, \chi_2\lambda_2)|^2 \cdots |L(1, \chi_{2k}\lambda_2)|^2 \\
&+ \left(\frac{16p}{\pi^2(p-1)}\right)^{2k} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p \\ \chi_1(-1)=-1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{2k} \bmod p \\ \chi_{2k}(-1)=-1 \\ \chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_{2k}=\chi_0}} (p^2-p-1)^2 \\
&\quad \times |L(1, \chi_1\lambda_2)|^2 \cdot |L(1, \chi_2\lambda_2)|^2 \cdots |L(1, \chi_{2k}\lambda_2)|^2 \\
&+ \left(\frac{16p}{\pi^2(p-1)}\right)^{2k} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p \\ \chi_1(-1)=-1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{2k} \bmod p \\ \chi_{2k}(-1)=-1 \\ \chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_{2k}=\chi_p^2}} (p^2-p-1)^2 \\
&\quad \times \chi_p^2(2) |L(1, \chi_1\lambda_2)|^2 \cdot |L(1, \chi_2\lambda_2)|^2 \cdots |L(1, \chi_{2k}\lambda_2)|^2 \\
&= p^3 \left(\frac{16p}{\pi^2(p-1)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod p \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \chi(2) |L(1, \chi\lambda_2)|^2 \right)^{2k} \\
&+ \left(\frac{16p}{\pi^2(p-1)}\right)^{2k} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p \\ \chi_1(-1)=-1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{2k} \bmod p \\ \chi_{2k}(-1)=-1 \\ \chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_{2k}=\chi_0}} [(p^2-p-1)^2 - p^3] \\
&\quad \times |L(1, \chi_1\lambda_2)|^2 \cdot |L(1, \chi_2\lambda_2)|^2 \cdots |L(1, \chi_{2k}\lambda_2)|^2 \\
&+ \left(\frac{p}{\pi^2(p-1)}\right)^{2k} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p \\ \chi_1(-1)=-1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{2k} \bmod p \\ \chi_{2k}(-1)=-1 \\ \chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_{2k}=\chi_p^2}} [p^2 - p^3] \\
&\quad \times \chi_p^2(2) |L(1, \chi_1\lambda_2)|^2 \cdot |L(1, \chi_2\lambda_2)|^2 \cdots |L(1, \chi_{2k}\lambda_2)|^2 \\
&= p^3 S_1^{2k}(2, p) + \frac{(p^2-p-1)^2 - p^3}{p-1} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} S_1^{2k}(2a, p) - \frac{p^3-p^2}{p-1} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) S_1^{2k}(2a, p) \\
&= (p^3 - 2p^2 - 3p - 1) \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} S_1^{2k}(2a, p) - p^2 \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) S_1^{2k}(2a, p).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the second formula of Theorem 1.

Now we prove Theorem 2. If $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_{2k}$ are any $2k$ odd characters mod p , then $\chi_1\chi_2 \cdots \chi_{2k}$ is an even character mod p . And if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $\chi_1\chi_2 \cdots \chi_{2k}$

is not a real non-principal character mod p . So by the method of proving the second formula of Theorem 1 we can easily deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} S^2(a, 1; p) S^2(b, 1; p) S_1^{2k}(2a\bar{b}, p) \\
&= \left(\frac{16p}{\pi^2(p-1)} \right)^{2k} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \pmod p \\ \chi_1(-1)=-1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{2k} \pmod p \\ \chi_{2k}(-1)=-1}} \left| \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi_1 \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{2k}(a) S^2(a, 1; p) \right|^2 \\
&\quad \times \chi_1 \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{2k}(2) |L(1, \chi_1 \lambda_2)|^2 \cdot |L(1, \chi_2 \lambda_2)|^2 \cdots |L(1, \chi_{2k} \lambda_2)|^2 \\
&= \left(\frac{16p}{\pi^2(p-1)} \right)^{2k} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \pmod p \\ \chi_1(-1)=-1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{2k} \pmod p \\ \chi_{2k}(-1)=-1 \\ \chi_1 \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{2k} \neq \chi_0}} \left| \frac{\tau^4(\chi_1 \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{2k})}{\tau(\chi_1^2 \chi_2^2 \cdots \chi_{2k}^2)} \right|^2 \\
&\quad \times \chi_1 \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{2k}(2) |L(1, \chi_1 \lambda_2)|^2 \cdot |L(1, \chi_2 \lambda_2)|^2 \cdots |L(1, \chi_{2k} \lambda_2)|^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{16p}{\pi^2(p-1)} \right)^{2k} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \pmod p \\ \chi_1(-1)=-1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{2k} \pmod p \\ \chi_{2k}(-1)=-1 \\ \chi_1 \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{2k} = \chi_0}} (p^2 - p - 1)^2 \\
&\quad \times |L(1, \chi_1 \lambda_2)|^2 \cdot |L(1, \chi_2 \lambda_2)|^2 \cdots |L(1, \chi_{2k} \lambda_2)|^2 \\
&= p^3 S_1^{2k}(2, p) + (p^3 - 2p^2 - 3p - 1) \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} S_1^{2k}(2a, p) \\
&= 4^k \cdot p^3 + (p^3 - 2p^2 - 3p - 1) \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} S_1^{2k}(2a, p).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the first formula of Theorem 2.

Similarly, we can deduce the second formula of Theorem 2.

Note that by virtue of the asymptotic formula (see [9])

$$\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} S_1^{2m}(a, p) = p^{2m} \frac{\zeta^2(2m)(1 - \frac{1}{4^m})}{\zeta(4m)(1 + \frac{1}{4^m})} + O\left(p^{2m-1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{6 \ln p}{\ln \ln p}\right)\right),$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function and $\exp(y) = e^y$, from the second formula of Theorem 1 we may immediately deduce Corollary 1.

Corollary 2 follows from Lemma 4 and the second formula of Theorem 2.

This completes the proof of our theorems. □

References

- [1] *B. C. Berndt*: Analytic Eisenstein series, theta-function, and series relations in the spirit of Ramanujan. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* *303/304* (1978), 332–365.
- [2] *L. Carlitz*: The reciprocity theorem for Dedekind sums. *Pac. J. Math.* *3* (1953), 523–527.
- [3] *J. B. Conrey, E. Fransen, R. Klein, C. Scott*: Mean values of Dedekind sums. *J. Number Theory.* *56* (1996), 214–226.
- [4] *Ch. Jia*: On the mean value of Dedekind sums. *J. Number Theory.* *87* (2001), 173–188.
- [5] *H. Rademacher, E. Grosswald*: “Dedekind sums” 16, The Carus Mathematical Monographs. The Mathematical Association of America, Washington D.C., 1972.
- [6] *R. Sitaramachandrarao*: Dedekind and Hardy sums. *Acta Arith.* *48* (1987), 325–340.
- [7] *W. Zhang*: A note on the mean square value of the Dedekind sums. *Acta Math. Hung.* *86* (2000), 275–289.
- [8] *W. Zhang*: On the mean values of Dedekind sums. *J. Théor. Nombres.* *8* (1996), 429–442.
- [9] *W. Zhang, Y. Yi*: On the $2m$ -th power mean of certain Hardy sums. *Soochow J. Math.* *26* (2000), 73–84.

Authors' address: Xiaoyan Guo, Wenpeng Zhang, Department of Mathematics, Northwest University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, P.R. China, e-mail: Guoxiaoyan510@sohu.com.