

Mohammad Mursaleen
 λ -statistical convergence

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 50 (2000), No. 1, 111--115

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136769>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

λ -STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE

MURSALEEN

(Communicated by Lubica Holá)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we use the notion of (V, λ) -summability to generalize the concept of statistical convergence. We call this new method a λ -statistical convergence and denote by S_λ the set of sequences which are λ -statistically convergent. We find its relation to statistical convergence, $(C, 1)$ -summability and strong (V, λ) -summability.

1. Introduction

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to ∞ such that

$$\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1, \quad \lambda_1 = 1.$$

The generalized de la Valée-Pousin mean is defined by

$$t_n(x) := \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} x_k,$$

where $I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n]$.

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be (V, λ) -summable to a number L (see [8]) if

$$t_n(x) \rightarrow L \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If $\lambda_n = n$, then (V, λ) -summability reduces to $(C, 1)$ -summability.

We write

$$[C, 1] := \left\{ x = (x_n) : \exists L \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k - L| = 0 \right\}$$

and

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 40A05, 40C05.

Key words: Statistical convergence, λ -statistical convergence, (V, λ) -summability, strong (V, λ) -summability.

The present research was supported by UGC (New Delhi) under grant No. F. 8-14/94

$$[V, \lambda] := \left\{ x = (x_n) : \exists L \in \mathbb{R}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k - L| = 0 \right\}$$

for the sets of sequences $x = (x_k)$ which are *strongly Cesàro summable* and *strongly (V, λ) -summable* to L , i.e. $x_k \rightarrow L$ $[C, 1]$ and $x_k \rightarrow L$ $[V, \lambda]$ respectively.

The idea of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [3] and studied by various authors (see [1], [5] and [9]).

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be *statistically convergent* to the number L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0,$$

where the vertical bars indicate the number of elements in the enclosed set. In this case, we write $S\text{-}\lim x = L$ or $x_k \rightarrow L$ (S) and S denotes the set of all statistically convergent sequences.

In this paper, we introduce and study the concept of λ -statistical convergence and determine how it is related to $[V, \lambda]$ and S .

DEFINITION. A sequence $x = (x_n)$ is said to be *λ -statistically convergent* or *S_λ -convergent* to L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case we write $S_\lambda\text{-}\lim x = L$ or $x_k \rightarrow L$ (S_λ), and

$$S_\lambda := \{x : \exists L \in \mathbb{R}, S_\lambda\text{-}\lim x = L\}.$$

Remark.

- (i) If $\lambda_n = n$, then S_λ is the same as S .
- (ii) λ -statistical convergence is a special case of **A**-statistical convergence (see [2], [7]) if the matrix $\mathbf{A} = (a_{nk})$ is taken as

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} & \text{if } k \in I_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \notin I_n. \end{cases}$$

2.

In this section, we find the relationship of S_λ with $[V, \lambda]$ and $(C, 1)$ methods.

Let Λ denote the set of all non-decreasing sequences $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ of positive numbers tending to ∞ such that $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n$ and $\lambda_1 = 1$. The following theorem is the analogue of [6; Theorem 1].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then*

- (i) $x_k \rightarrow L [V, \lambda] \implies x_k \rightarrow L (S_\lambda)$
and the inclusion $[V, \lambda] \subseteq S_\lambda$ is proper,
- (ii) if $x \in \ell_\infty$ and $x_k \rightarrow L (S_\lambda)$, then $x_k \rightarrow L [V, \lambda]$ and hence $x_k \rightarrow L (C, 1)$
provided $x = (x_k)$ is not eventually constant,
- (iii) $S_\lambda \cap \ell_\infty = [V, \lambda] \cap \ell_\infty$,

where ℓ_∞ denotes the set of bounded sequences.

Proof.

(i) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_k \rightarrow L [V, L]$. We have

$$\sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k - L| \geq \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon}} |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon |\{k \in I_n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|.$$

Therefore $x_k \rightarrow L [V, \lambda] \implies x_k \rightarrow L (S_\lambda)$.

The following example shows that $S_\lambda \subsetneq [V, \lambda]$.

Define $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} k & \text{for } n - [\sqrt{\lambda_n}] + 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $x \notin \ell_\infty$ and for every ε ($0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$)

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |x_k - 0| \geq \varepsilon\}| = \frac{[\sqrt{\lambda_n}]}{\lambda_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

i.e. $x_k \rightarrow 0 (S_\lambda)$. On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k - 0| \rightarrow \infty \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

i.e. $x_k \not\rightarrow 0 [V, \lambda]$.

(ii) Suppose that $x_k \rightarrow L (S_\lambda)$ and $x \in \ell_\infty$, say $|x_k - L| \leq M$ for all k . Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k - L| &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon}} |x_k - L| + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ |x_k - L| < \varepsilon}} |x_k - L| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $x_k \rightarrow L [V, \lambda]$.

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - L) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-\lambda_n} (x_k - L) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \in I_n} (x_k - L) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-\lambda_n} |x_k - L| + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k - L| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k - L|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x_k \rightarrow L (C, 1)$, since $x_k \rightarrow L [V, \lambda]$.

(iii) This immediately follows from (i) and (ii). □

3.

It is easily seen that $S_\lambda \subseteq S$ for all λ , since λ_n/n is bounded by 1. In this section, we prove the following relation.

THEOREM 3.1. $S \subseteq S_\lambda$ if and only if

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n} > 0. \tag{3.1.1}$$

Proof. For given $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \supset \{k \in I_n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| &\geq \frac{1}{n} |\{k \in I_n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (3.1.1), we get

$$x_k \rightarrow L (S) \implies x_k \rightarrow L (S_\lambda).$$

Conversely, suppose that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n} = 0$. As in [4; p. 510], we can choose a subsequence $(n(j))_{j=1}^\infty$ such that $\frac{\lambda_{n(j)}}{n(j)} < \frac{1}{j}$. Define a sequence $x = (x_i)$ by

$$x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in I_{n(j)}, j = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $x \in [C, 1]$, and hence, by [1; Theorem 2.1], $x \in S$. But on the other hand, $x \notin [V, \lambda]$ and Theorem 2.1(ii) implies that $x \notin S_\lambda$. Hence (3.1.1) is necessary. □

Acknowledgement

The author is sincerely grateful to Prof. J. Fridy for his kind help and encouragement during the preparation of this paper. The author also thanks the referee for his useful remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] CONNOR, J. S.: *The statistical and strong p -Cesàro convergence of sequences*, Analysis **8** (1988), 47–63.
- [2] CONNOR, J. S.: *On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence*, Canad. Math. Bull. **32** (1989), 194–198.
- [3] FAST, H.: *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951), 241–244.
- [4] FREEDMAN, A. R.—SEMBER, J. J.—RAPHAEL, M.: *Some Cesàro type summability spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **37** (1978), 508–520.
- [5] FRIDY, J. A.: *On statistical convergence*, Analysis **5** (1985), 301–313.
- [6] FRIDY, J. A.—ORHAN, C.: *Lacunary statistical convergence*, Pacific. J. Math. **160** (1993), 43–51.
- [7] KOLK, E.: *The statistical convergence in Banach spaces*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu **928** (1991), 41–52.
- [8] LEINDLER, L.: *Über die de la Vallée-Pousinsche Summierbarkeit allgemeiner Orthogonalreihen*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **16** (1965), 375–387.
- [9] ŠALÁT, T.: *On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca **30** (1980), 139–150.

Received June 19, 1996

Revised August 27, 1997

*Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Aligarh Muslim University
Aligarh-202002
INDIA*