Jozef Džurina Property (A) of third order differential equations with deviating argument

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 4, 395--402

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136656

# Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz



Math. Slovaca, 45 (1995), No. 4, 395-402

# PROPERTY (A) OF THE THIRD ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH DEVIATING ARGUMENT

#### JOZEF DŽURINA

(Communicated by Milan Medved')

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to derive sufficient conditions for property (A) of delay and advanced differential equations of the form

$$\left(\frac{1}{r_2(t)}\left(\frac{1}{r_1(t)}\left(\frac{u(t)}{r_0(t)}\right)'\right)'+p(t)u(\tau(t))=0.$$

We consider the differential equations of the form

$$\left(\frac{1}{r_2(t)} \left(\frac{1}{r_1(t)} \left(\frac{u(t)}{r_0(t)}\right)'\right)' + p(t)u(\tau(t)) = 0.$$
 (1)

We always assume that

(i)  $r_i(t), \ 0 \le i \le 2, \ \tau(t) \text{ and } p(t) \text{ are continuous on } [t_0, \infty), \ r_i(t) > 0, \ p(t) > 0, \text{ and } \tau(t) \to \infty \text{ as } t \to \infty.$ 

(ii) 
$$R_i(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{r_i(s)} \to \infty \text{ as } t \to \infty, \ i = 1, 2.$$

We say that the operator

$$L_{3}u(t) = \left(\frac{1}{r_{2}(t)} \left(\frac{1}{r_{1}(t)} \left(\frac{u(t)}{r_{0}(t)}\right)'\right)'\right)$$
(2)

is in the *canonical form* if (ii) holds. It is well known that any differential operator of the form (2) can always be represented in a canonical form in an essentially unique way (see T r e n c h [9]).

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 34C10.

Key words: functional differential equation, property (A), third-order.

For convenience, we introduce the following functions:

$$\begin{split} L_0 u(t) &= \frac{u(t)}{r_0(t)} , \\ L_i u(t) &= \frac{1}{r_i(t)} \frac{d}{dt} L_{i-1} u(t) , \qquad i = 1, 2 , \\ L_3 u(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} L_2 u(t) . \end{split}$$

The domain  $\mathcal{D}(L_3)$  of  $L_3$  is defined to be the set of all functions  $u: [T_u, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that  $L_i u(t), \ 0 \leq i \leq 3$ , exist and are continuous on  $[T_u, \infty)$ . A nontrivial solution of (1) is called *oscillatory* if it has arbitrarily large zeros; otherwise it is called *nonoscillatory*.

The asymptotic behavior of the nonoscillatory solutions of (1) is described in the following lemma, which is a generalization of a lemma of K i g u r a d z e [4; Lemma 3].

**LEMMA 1.** Let u(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). Then there exist an integer  $\ell$ ,  $\ell \in \{0, 2\}$ , and  $t_1 \ge t_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t)L_iu(t) > 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq \ell, \\ (-1)^{i-\ell}u(t)L_iu(t) > 0, \quad \ell \leq i \leq 3, \end{aligned} \qquad \text{for all} \quad t \geq t_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

A function u(t) satisfying (3) is said to be a function of degree  $\ell$ . The set of all nonoscillatory solutions of degree  $\ell$  of (1) is denoted by  $\mathcal{N}_{\ell}$ . If we denote by  $\mathcal{N}$  the set of all nonoscillatory solutions of (1), then, by Lemma 1,

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_0 \cup \mathcal{N}_2$$
.

Following Kusano and Naito [5], we say that equation (1) has property (A) if  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_0$ .

In the recent papers (see, e.g., [1], [2], [5], [6] and [8]), various kinds of sufficient conditions for equation (1) to have property (A) appear. In this paper, we investigate delay and advanced equations of the form (1), and we present some criteria for property (A) of (1) which generalize and extend those in [1], [5] and [6].

Define the function

$$I_2(t, t_0; r_1, r_2) = \int_{t_0}^t r_1(s) \int_{t_0}^s r_2(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}s \, .$$

**THEOREM 1.** Assume that  $\tau(t) < t$  and  $\tau(t)$  is increasing. Let g(t) be a continuous function satisfying

$$g(t) > t, \quad \tau(g(t)) \leqslant t.$$
 (4)

Let

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{t}^{g(t)} p(s) r_0(\tau(s)) I_2(\tau(s), t_0; r_1, r_2) \, \mathrm{d}s > 1 \,. \tag{5}$$

Then equation (1) has property (A).

Proof. For the sake of contradiction, we assume that (1) has a positive nonoscillatory solution u(t) such that  $u \in \mathcal{N}_2$ . Then (3) reduces to

$$L_0 u(t) > 0$$
,  $L_1 u(t) > 0$ ,  $L_2 u(t) > 0$  and  $L_3 u(t) < 0$ , for  $t \ge t_1$ .  
(6)

An integration of (1) from  $t \ (\geq t_1)$  to  $\infty$  yields

$$L_2 u(t) \ge \int_t^\infty p(s) u(\tau(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s, \qquad t \ge t_1.$$
(7)

On the other hand, integrating twice the identity  $L_2u(t) = L_2u(t)$  from  $t_1$  to t, we have in view of (6)

$$L_0 u(t) \ge \int_{t_1}^t r_1(s) \int_{t_1}^s r_2(x) L_2 u(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}s \ge L_2 u(t) I_2(t, t_1; r_1, r_2) \,. \tag{8}$$

Combining (7) with (8) and taking (i) and (6) into account we get

$$L_{2}u(t) \ge \int_{t}^{\infty} p(s)r_{0}(\tau(s))L_{2}u(\tau(s))I_{2}(\tau(s), t_{1}; r_{1}, r_{2}) ds$$
  
$$\ge \int_{t}^{g(t)} p(s)r_{0}(\tau(s))L_{2}u(\tau(s))I_{2}(\tau(s), t_{1}; r_{1}, r_{2}) ds$$
  
$$\ge L_{2}u(\tau(g(t))) \int_{t}^{g(t)} p(s)r_{0}(\tau(s))I_{2}(\tau(s), t_{1}; r_{1}, r_{2}) ds, \qquad t \ge t_{2},$$

where  $t_2 \ge t_1$  is large enough. Since  $\tau(g(t)) \le t$  and  $L_2u(t)$  is decreasing, the above inequalities yield

$$1 \ge \int_{t}^{g(t)} p(s) r_0(\tau(s)) I_2(\tau(s), t_1; r_1, r_2) \, \mathrm{d}s, \qquad t \ge t_2,$$

which contradicts (5). The proof is complete.

For a special choice of the function g(t) we have the following corollary:

**COROLLARY 1.** Assume that  $\tau(t) < t$  and  $\tau(t)$  is increasing. Let  $\tau^{-1}(t)$  be the inverse function to  $\tau(t)$ . Let

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{t}^{\tau^{-1}(t)} p(s) r_0(\tau(s)) I_2(\tau(s), t_0; r_1, r_2) \, \mathrm{d}s > 1 \, \mathrm{d}s$$

Then equation (1) has property (A).

Proof. Since the function  $g(t) = \tau^{-1}(t)$  satisfies (4), the assertion of this corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1.

For a special case of (1) we have the following criteria for property (A) (see [5] and [1]):

**THEOREM A.** Let p(t) > 0,  $\tau(t) \leq t$  and  $\tau'(t) > 0$ . Let  $\liminf_{t \to \infty} \tau(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} (\tau(s) - \tau(t)) p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s > \frac{1}{4}.$ 

Then the delay equation

$$y'''(t) + p(t)y(\tau(t)) = 0$$
(9)

has property (A).

**THEOREM B.** Let p(t) > 0,  $\tau(t) \leq t$  and  $\tau'(t) > 0$ . Let  $\liminf_{t \to \infty} \tau^2(t) \int_t^{\infty} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s > \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} \, .$ 

Then equation (9) has property (A).

In the following illustrative examples, we compare criteria from Theorems A and B with that in Corollary 1.

E x a m p l e 1. Let us consider the third order delay equation

$$y'''(t) + \frac{a}{t^2}y(\sqrt{t}) = 0, \qquad a > 0 \text{ and } t \ge 1.$$
 (10)

By Corollary 1, equation (10) has property (A) for all a > 0. On the other hand, by Theorem A (or Theorem B), equation (10) has property (A) if  $a > \frac{1}{4}$  (or  $a > \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}$ ).

E x a m p l e 2. Let us consider the third order delay equation

$$y'''(t) + \frac{2.1}{(0.01)^2 \ln 100} \frac{1}{t^3} y(0.01t) = 0, \qquad t \ge 1.$$
(11)

By Corollary 1, equation (11) has property (A). On the other hand, by the above-mentioned result of K u s a n o and N a it o, Theorem A fails for (11).

Now we turn to advanced equations of the form (1).

**THEOREM 2.** Assume that  $\tau(t) \ge t$ . Let

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} R_2(t) \int_t^{\infty} p(s) r_0(\tau(s)) \left( R_1(\tau(s)) - R_1(t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}s > 1 \,. \tag{12}$$

Then equation (1) has property (A).

Proof. For the sake of contradiction, we assume that u(t) is a positive nonoscillatory solution of (1) such that  $u \in \mathcal{N}_2$ . Then (6) and (7) hold for  $t \ge t_1$ . By integrating the identity  $L_1u(t) = L_1u(t)$  from  $t_1$  to t, we get, in view of (6),

$$L_0 u(t) \ge \int_{t_1}^t r_1(s) L_1 u(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \,.$$
 (13)

Collecting (7) and (13) and using that  $L_1u(t)$  is increasing we obtain

$$L_{2}u(t) \ge \int_{t}^{\infty} p(s)r_{0}(\tau(s)) \int_{t_{1}}^{\tau(s)} r_{1}(x)L_{1}u(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}s$$
  
$$\ge \int_{t}^{\infty} p(s)r_{0}(\tau(s)) \int_{t}^{\tau(s)} r_{1}(x)L_{1}u(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}s \qquad (14)$$
  
$$\ge L_{1}u(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} p(s)r_{0}(\tau(s)) \left(R_{1}(\tau(s)) - R_{1}(t)\right) \, \mathrm{d}s, \qquad t \ge t_{2},$$
  
$$\cdot$$

where  $t_2 \ge t_1$  is large enough. Elias in [3] has generalized the third lemma of K i g u r a d z e. From his result (see also [7]), we have the following relation between  $L_1u(t)$  and  $L_2u(t)$ :

$$L_1 u(t) \ge L_2 u(t) R_2(t) , \qquad t \ge t_1 . \tag{15}$$

Then (14) and (15) yield

$$1 \ge R_2(t) \int_t^\infty p(s) r_0(\tau(s)) \left( R_1(\tau(s)) - R_1(t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}s \,, \qquad t \ge t_2 \,,$$

which contradicts (12). The proof is complete.

The following result for advanced equation (9) is due to Oláh [6].

399

**THEOREM C.** Let p(t) and  $\tau(t)$  satisfy (i). Assume that  $\tau(t) \ge t$ . Let

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_t^{\tau(t)} (s-t)^2 p(s) \, \mathrm{d} s > 2 \, .$$

Then equation (9) has property (A).

E x a m p l e 3. Let us consider the third order advanced equation

$$y^{\prime\prime\prime}(t) + \frac{a}{t^3} y(\lambda t) = 0, \qquad a > 0, \quad \lambda \ge 1 \text{ and } t \ge 1, \tag{16}$$

By Theorem 2, equation (16) has property (A) if  $a(\lambda - 0.5) > 1$ . On the other hand, Theorem C guarantees property (A) of (16) if a stronger condition holds, namely

$$a\left(\ln\lambda + \frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} - \frac{3}{2}\right) > 2.$$

R e m a r k 1. In the above examples, we have compared our results concerning (9) with those in [1], [5] and [6]. Note that Theorems 1 and 2 can also be applied to more general equation (1).

Let us consider the mixed differential equation

$$L_3 u(t) + p_1(t)u(\tau_1(t)) + p_2(t)u(\tau_2(t)) = 0, \qquad (17)$$

where  $L_3$  is defined as for (1) and

(iii)  $p_i(t)$  and  $\tau_i(t)$  are continuous on  $[t_0, \infty)$ ,  $p_i(t) > 0$ ,  $1 \le i \le 2$ ,  $\tau_1(t)$  is increasing,  $\tau_1(t) < t$ ,  $\tau_2(t) \ge t$ , and  $\tau_1(t) \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

As Lemma 1 holds also for (17), we can seek criteria for property (A) of (17).

**THEOREM 3.** Assume that (iii) holds. Let g(t) be a continuous function satisfying

$$g(t) > t$$
,  $\tau_1(g(t)) \leq t$ .

Let

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \left\{ \int_{t}^{g(t)} p_1(s) r_0(\tau(s)) I_2(\tau_1(s), t_0; r_1, r_2) \, \mathrm{d}s + R_2(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} p_2(s) r_0(\tau(s)) \left( R_1(\tau_2(s)) - R_1(t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}s \right\} > 1. \quad (18)$$

Then equation (17) has property (A).

Proof. Assume that (17) has a positive solution  $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}_2$ . Proceeding exactly as in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 we can verify that

$$1 \ge \left\{ \int_{t}^{g(t)} p_{1}(s)r_{0}(\tau(s))I_{2}(\tau_{1}(s), t_{0}; r_{1}, r_{2}) ds + R_{2}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} p_{2}(s)r_{0}(\tau(s))(R_{1}(\tau_{2}(s)) - R_{1}(t)) ds \right\},$$

which contradicts (18).

E x a m p l e 4. Let us consider the third order mixed equation

$$y^{\prime\prime\prime}(t) + \frac{a}{t^3} \left[ y(\lambda t) + y\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right) \right] = 0, \qquad a > 0, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1 \text{ and } t \ge 1.$$
(19)

We put  $g(t) = \frac{t}{\lambda}$ . Then, by Theorem 3, equation (19) has property (A) if

$$a\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\ln\lambda\right)>1.$$

#### REFERENCES

- DŽURINA, J.: Comparison theorems for nonlinear ODE's, Math. Slovaca 42 (1992), 299-315.
- [2] DZURINA, J.: Asymptotic properties of third-order differential equations with deviating argument, Czechoslovak Math. J. (To appear).
- [3] ELIAS, U.: Generalizations of an inequality of Kiguradze, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 97 (1983), 277-290.
- [4] KIGURADZE, I. T.: On the oscillation of solutions of the equation  $d^m u/dt^m + a(t)|u|^n \operatorname{sign} u = 0$ . (Russian), Mat. Sb. 65 (1964), 172–187.
- [5] KUSANO, T.-NAITO, M.: Comparison theorems for functional differential equations with deviating arguments, J. Math. Soc. Japan 3 (1981), 509-532.
- [6] OLAH, R.: Note on the oscillation of differential equation with advanced argument, Math. Slovaca 33 (1981), 241–248.
- [7] ŠEDA, V.: Nonoscillatory solutions of differential equations with deviating argument, Czechoslovak Math. J. 36 (1986), 93-107.
- [8] ŠKERLÍK, A.: Oscillation theorems for third order nonlinear differential equations, Math. Slovaca 42 (1992), 471–484.

 [9] TRENCH, W. F.: Canonical forms and principal systems for general disconjugate equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc 189 (1974), 319-327.

Received June 24, 1993 Revised November 15, 1993 Department of Mathematical Analysis Faculty of Science Šafárik University Jesenná 5 SK-041 54 Košice SLOVAKIA

E-mail: dzurina@turing.upjs.sk