

Gejza Wimmer

Linear model with variances depending on the mean value

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 42 (1992), No. 2, 223--238

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136550>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## LINEAR MODEL WITH VARIANCES DEPENDING ON THE MEAN VALUE

GEJZA WIMMER

**ABSTRACT.** The paper shows locally best linear unbiased estimators and uniformly best linear unbiased estimators in a linear model, where the dispersions depend quadratically on the mean value.

### Introduction

The process of observing the linear combinations of unknown parameters is characterized by the well-known regression model  $(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . The result of the observations is a realization of a random vector  $\mathbf{Y}_{n,1}$ , whose mean value is  $E_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$  ( $\mathbf{X}_{n,k}$  is a known design matrix and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k,1} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  the vector of unknown parameters). The covariance matrix of the vector  $\mathbf{Y}$  in that model does not depend on  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ .

The last assumption cannot be satisfied in many situations. In the case when the measuring device has its dispersion characteristic of the form  $\sigma^2(a + b|E_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{e}'_i \mathbf{Y})|)^2$ , where  $\sigma^2$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  are known positive constants,  $\mathbf{e}'_i$  is the transpose of the  $i$ th unity vector, and observations are independent, we get the linear model

$$(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}),$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2(a + b|\mathbf{e}'_1 \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}|)^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2(a + b|\mathbf{e}'_2 \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}|)^2 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sigma^2(a + b|\mathbf{e}'_n \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}|)^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

AMS Subject Classification (1991): 62J05.

Key words: Linear model, Locally best unbiased estimators, Uniformly best linear estimators.

The aim of the paper is to find the  $\beta_0$ -locally best linear unbiased estimator ( $\beta_0$ -LBLUE) of a linear function of the parameter  $\beta$  (in Section 2) and also the uniformly best linear unbiased estimator (UBLUE) (in Section 3).

The necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the  $\beta_0$ -LBLUE is in Lemma 2.4, where also the expression of it can be found.

In Section 3 there are three main results: Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the UBLUE of a linear function of the parameter  $\beta$  in the case when only one additional linearly dependent measurement is made (Corollary 3.9 and Corollary 3.10) and also a solution to that problem in the case of two additional measurements (Corollary 3.11).

These results enable us to find a solution in the case when none or several additional measurements are made.

### 1. Preliminaries

Let us denote

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{lin}} = \{ \mathbf{b}'\mathbf{Y} : E_{\beta}(\mathbf{b}'\mathbf{Y}) = 0 \quad \forall \{ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^k \} \}$$

the class of all linear unbiased estimators of the function  $g(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \{0\}$ .

**DEFINITION 1.1.** *The linear statistic  $\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}$  is said to be*

1. *the  $\beta_0$ -locally best linear unbiased estimator ( $\beta_0$ -LBLUE) of its mean value  $E_{\beta_0}(\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y})$  if for any other linear statistic  $\mathbf{q}'\mathbf{Y}$  having the property*

$$\forall \{ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^k \} \quad E_{\beta}(\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}) = E_{\beta}(\mathbf{q}'\mathbf{Y}) \tag{*}$$

*the relation*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\beta_0}(\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}) = E_{\beta_0} \left( (\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y} - E_{\beta_0}(\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}))^2 \right) \leq \mathcal{D}_{\beta_0}(\mathbf{q}'\mathbf{Y})$$

*holds;*

2. *the uniformly best linear unbiased estimator (UBLUE) of its mean value  $E_{\beta}(\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y})$  if for any other linear statistic  $\mathbf{q}'\mathbf{Y}$  having the property (\*) there holds*

$$\forall \{ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^k \} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\beta}(\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}) \leq \mathcal{D}_{\beta}(\mathbf{q}'\mathbf{Y}).$$

**THEOREM 1.2.** *In model (1),  $\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}$  is the  $\beta_0$ -LBLUE of its mean value if and only if*

$$\forall \{ \mathbf{b}'\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{lin}} \} \quad E_{\beta_0}(\mathbf{b}'\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{p}) = 0.$$

*The statistic  $\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if*

$$\forall \{ \mathbf{b}'\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{lin}} \} \quad \forall \{ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^k \} \quad E_{\beta}(\mathbf{b}'\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{p}) = 0.$$

*Proof.* See [1], Theorem 3.1 and the following Corollary.

**DEFINITION 1.3.**  $\mathbf{X}^-$  is a matrix satisfying the equation  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^-\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}$ . It is a  $g$ -inversion of  $\mathbf{X}$ .

For any fixed positive definite matrix  $\mathbf{W}$  the matrix  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfying the equations

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}, \quad (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X})'\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}$$

is said to be the minimum  $\mathbf{W}$ -norm  $g$ -inverse of  $\mathbf{X}$ . For  $\mathbf{G}$  we use the notation  $\mathbf{X}^-_{m(\mathbf{W})}$ .

## 2. $\beta_0$ -LBLUE

**LEMMA 2.1.** The statistic  $\mathbf{b}'\mathbf{Y}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{lin}}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{b} \in \text{Ker } \mathbf{X}' = \{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n: \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{O}\} = \{(\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{X}')^- \mathbf{X}')\mathbf{u}: \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n, (\mathbf{X}')^- \text{ is an arbitrary but fixed } g\text{-inverse of } \mathbf{X}'\}$ .

*Proof.*

$$\mathbf{b}'\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{lin}} \iff E_{\beta}(\mathbf{b}'\mathbf{Y}) = 0 \quad \forall \{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \iff \mathbf{b}'\mathbf{X}\beta = 0 \quad \forall \{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \iff \mathbf{b}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{O} \iff \mathbf{b} \in \text{Ker } \mathbf{X}'.$$

The proof of the last equation in Lemma 2.1 is in [2], Theorem 2.3.1.

**LEMMA 2.2.**  $\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}$  is the  $\beta_0$ -LBLUE of its mean value if and only if  $\mathbf{p} \in \{(\mathbf{X}')^-_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{z}: \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n, (\mathbf{X}')^-_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))} \text{ is an arbitrary but fixed minimum } \Sigma(\beta_0)\text{-norm } g\text{-inverse of } \mathbf{X}'\}$ .

*Proof.* According to Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 2.1  $\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}$  is the  $\beta_0$ -LBLUE of its mean value if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \{\mathbf{b} \in \text{Ker } \mathbf{X}'\} \quad \sigma^2 \mathbf{b}'\Sigma(\beta_0)\mathbf{p} = 0 \\ \iff & \forall \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \quad \mathbf{u}'\left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}')^-_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))}\right)\Sigma(\beta_0)\mathbf{p} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary but fixed  $(\mathbf{X}')^-_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))}$ . The last assertion is valid if and only if

$\left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}')^-_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))}\right)\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{O}$  ( $\Sigma(\beta_0)$  is a p.d. matrix). According to [2], Theorem 2.3.1,  $\left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}')^-_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))}\right)\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{O}$  if and only if

$\mathbf{p} \in \left\{ \left[ \mathbf{I} - \left(\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{X}')^-_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))} \mathbf{X}')\right)^- \left(\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{X}')^-_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))} \mathbf{X}'\right) \right] \mathbf{z}: \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \left(\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{X}')^-_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))} \mathbf{X}'\right)^-$  is an arbitrary but fixed  $g$ -inverse of matrix  $\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{X}')^-_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))} \mathbf{X}' \}$ .

One choice of  $\left(\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{X}')^-_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))} \mathbf{X}'\right)^-$  is  $\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{X}')^-_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))} \mathbf{X}'$ , that is why

$$\mathbf{p} \in \{(\mathbf{X}')^-_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{z}: \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

The lemma is proved.

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *One choice of  $(\mathbf{X}')^{-1}_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))}$  is  $\Sigma^{-1}(\beta_0)\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}(\beta_0)\mathbf{X})^{-1}$  and that is why the class of  $\beta_0$ -LBLUEs of its mean value in model (1) is*

$$\left\{ \mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y} : \mathbf{p} \in \left\{ \Sigma^{-1}(\beta_0)\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}(\beta_0)\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{z} : \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\} \right\}.$$

**LEMMA 2.4.** *For the linear function  $\mathbf{f}'\beta$  of parameter  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)' \in \mathbb{R}^k$  there exists a  $\beta_0$ -LBLUE if and only if  $\mathbf{f} \in \mu(\mathbf{X}') = \{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{u} : \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ .*

**P r o o f.** If  $\mathbf{f} \in \mu(\mathbf{X}')$ , then there exists a vector  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{u}_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}_0'\mathbf{X} \left[ (\mathbf{X}')^{-1}_{m(\Sigma(\beta_0))} \right]'\mathbf{Y}$  is the  $\beta_0$ -LBLUE of  $\mathbf{f}'\beta$ .

Conversely, if  $\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}$  is the  $\beta_0$ -LBLUE of  $\mathbf{f}'\beta$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \quad E_{\beta}(\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}) &= \mathbf{f}'\beta, \quad \text{i.e.} \\ \forall \{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \quad \mathbf{p}'\mathbf{X}\beta &= \mathbf{f}'\beta. \end{aligned}$$

The last assertion yields  $\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{f}' \iff \mathbf{f} \in \mu(\mathbf{X}')$ . The lemma is proved.

**R e m a r k 2.5.** One version of the  $\beta_0$ -LBLUE of  $\mathbf{f}'\beta$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$  (for  $\mathbf{f} \in \mu(\mathbf{X}')$ ) is  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}(\beta_0)\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}(\beta_0)\mathbf{Y}$ .

### 3. UBLUE

**LEMMA 3.1.** *The statistic  $\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if*

$$\forall \{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \quad (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^-)\Sigma(\beta)\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{O} \tag{2}$$

for an arbitrary but fixed  $g$ -inverse  $\mathbf{X}^-$ .

**P r o o f.** The assertion is a consequence of Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 2.1 and is omitted.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *If the statistic  $\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value, then  $\mathbf{p} \in \mu(\mathbf{X})$ .*

**P r o o f.** If in (2) we take  $\beta = \mathbf{O}$ , then the fact that  $\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value implies

$$a^2(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^-)\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{O} \iff (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^-)\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{O} \iff \mathbf{p} \in \mu(\mathbf{X}).$$

The proof is complete.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *The statistic  $\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if there exists a vector  $\mathbf{w}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$  so that for every  $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  there exists an  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  that the relations*

$$\Sigma(\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}^0 = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}^0 \quad (3)$$

hold.

**Proof.** If  $\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value, then according to Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 there exists a vector  $\mathbf{w}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$  that  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}^0$  and

$$\forall \{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \quad (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^-)\Sigma(\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}^0 = \mathbf{O}.$$

The last assertion is equivalent to

$$\forall \{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \quad \Sigma(\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}^0 = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^-\Sigma(\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}^0,$$

which is satisfied, according to Lemma 2.2.4 in [2], if and only if

$$\forall \{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \quad \Sigma(\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}^0 \in \mu(\mathbf{X}),$$

that is if and only if

$$\forall \{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \exists \{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \quad \text{that} \quad \Sigma(\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}^0 = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\beta}).$$

Conversely, from the equivalence of the assertions

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \quad (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^-)\Sigma(\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{O} \\ \iff & \forall \{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \exists \{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \quad \text{that} \quad \Sigma(\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 3.1 we easily complete the proof.

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *If  $\mathbf{p}$  is such a vector from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$   $\mathbf{e}_i'\mathbf{p} = 0$  or  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^-\mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_i$  or simultaneously  $\mathbf{e}_i'\mathbf{p} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^-\mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_i$  (i.e. if for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  the  $i$ th component of the vector  $\mathbf{p}$  is not zero but  $\mathbf{e}_i \in \mu(\mathbf{X})$  ( $\iff \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^-\mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_i$  and it does not depend on the choice of  $\mathbf{X}^-$ )), then  $\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value.*

The condition in Corollary 3.4 is only a sufficient one for  $\mathbf{w}^0'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}$  to be the UBLUE of its mean value. The next example shows it.

Example 3.5.

Let  $\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{-} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  i.e.  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{-}\mathbf{e}_i \neq \mathbf{e}_i$   $i = 2, 3$ .

The statistic

$$\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{Y} = (1 \ 1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \end{pmatrix} = (2 \ 3 \ 3) \begin{pmatrix} \dot{Y}_1 \\ \dot{Y}_2 \\ \dot{Y}_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the UBLUE of its mean value in spite of  $\mathbf{e}'_2\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{e}'_3\mathbf{p}$  being different from zero. This fact can be seen from the assertion

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^2\} \quad (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{-})\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathbf{p} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (a + b|\beta_1 + \beta_2|)^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (a + b|\beta_1 + 2\beta_2|)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (a + b|\beta_1 + 2\beta_2|)^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 3.1.

Let us rearrange the rows in the matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  to obtain the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\mathbf{X}_1$  is a matrix of order  $R(\mathbf{X}) \times k$  ( $R(\mathbf{X})$  is the rank of  $\mathbf{X}$ ) and  $\mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}_1$ , where  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{X}'_1(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}'_1)^{-1}$  is of order  $(n - R(\mathbf{X})) \times R(\mathbf{X})$ .

In the same way we rearrange the coordinates of  $\mathbf{Y}$  and the rows of the matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ . We obtain the vector  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  and the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1(\boldsymbol{\beta}) & 0 \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} (a + b|\mathbf{e}'_1\mathbf{X}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}|)^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & (a + b|\mathbf{e}'_2\mathbf{X}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}|)^2 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & (a + b|\mathbf{e}'_{R(\mathbf{X})}\mathbf{X}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}|)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} (a + b|\mathbf{e}'_1\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}|)^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & (a + b|\mathbf{e}'_2\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}|)^2 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & (a + b|\mathbf{e}'_{n-R(\mathbf{X})}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}|)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

From Lemma 3.3 we immediately obtain the next

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *The statistic  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if there exists such a vector  $\mathbf{w}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$  that for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$  there exists an  $\alpha(\beta) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  so that the relations*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1(\beta) & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_2(\beta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \end{pmatrix} \alpha(\beta)$$

and

$$\mathbf{p} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}^0$$

hold.

Finally we have

**THEOREM 3.7.** *The statistic  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if  $\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{1} : \mathbf{E}')' \mathbf{a}$ , where*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} &\in \bigcap_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k} \text{Ker} [\Sigma_2(\beta)\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}\Sigma_1(\beta)] \\ &= \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-R(\mathbf{X})} \left( \bigcap_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k} \text{Ker} \left\{ \mathbf{e}'_j [\Sigma_2(\beta)\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}\Sigma_1(\beta)] \right\} \right) \\ &= \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-R(\mathbf{X})} \left( \bigcap_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{R(\mathbf{X})}} \text{Ker} \left\{ (a + b|\mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} \mathbf{u}|)^2 \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} \begin{pmatrix} (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_1 \mathbf{u}|)^2 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \dots & (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_{R(\mathbf{X})} \mathbf{u}|)^2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \right) \\ &= \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-R(\mathbf{X})} \mathcal{M}_j = \mathcal{M}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** From the equality

$$\mathbf{e}'_j (\Sigma_2(\beta)\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}\Sigma_1(\beta)) = (a + b|\mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} \mathbf{X}_1 \beta|)^2 \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} \Sigma_1(\beta)$$

and the fact, that  $\mu(\mathbf{X}_1) = \mathbb{R}^{R(\mathbf{X})}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_j &= \bigcap_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k} \text{Ker} \left\{ \mathbf{e}'_j [\Sigma_2(\beta)\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}\Sigma_1(\beta)] \right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{R(\mathbf{X})}} \text{Ker} \left\{ (a + b|\mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} \mathbf{u}|)^2 \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} \begin{pmatrix} (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_1 \mathbf{u}|)^2 & & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_2 \mathbf{u}|)^2 & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & & & (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_{R(\mathbf{X})} \mathbf{u}|)^2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$



Let now  $\mathbf{p} = \left( \mathbf{I} : \mathbf{E}' \right)' \mathbf{a}$ , where  $\mathbf{a} \in \bigcap_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k} \text{Ker} [\Sigma_2(\beta)\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}\Sigma_1(\beta)]$ . The ranks

of the matrices  $\mathbf{X}_1$  and  $\left( \mathbf{X}_1 : \Sigma_1(\beta)\mathbf{X}_1 [\mathbf{X}'_1(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}'_1)^{-1}\mathbf{a}] \right)$  are the same for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . According to the well-known Cronecker's theorem, for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$  there exists an  $\alpha(\beta) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  that

$$\Sigma_1(\beta)\mathbf{X}_1 [\mathbf{X}'_1(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}'_1)^{-1}\mathbf{a}] = \mathbf{X}_1\alpha(\beta). \quad (5)$$

The equality (5) together with the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2(\beta)\mathbf{X}_2 [\mathbf{X}'_1(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}'_1)^{-1}\mathbf{a}] &= \Sigma_2(\beta)\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}_1 [\mathbf{X}'_1(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}'_1)^{-1}\mathbf{a}] = \Sigma_2(\beta)\mathbf{E}\mathbf{a} \\ &= \mathbf{E}\Sigma_1(\beta)\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{E}\Sigma_1(\beta)\mathbf{X}_1 [\mathbf{X}'_1(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}'_1)^{-1}\mathbf{a}] = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}_1\alpha(\beta) = \mathbf{X}_2\alpha(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

imply that for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$  there exists an  $\alpha(\beta) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1(\beta) & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_2(\beta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}'_1(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}'_1)^{-1}\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \end{pmatrix} \alpha(\beta)$$

and  $\mathbf{p} = \left( \mathbf{I} : \mathbf{E}' \right)' \mathbf{a} = \left( \mathbf{I} : \mathbf{E}' \right)' \mathbf{X}_1 [\mathbf{X}'_1(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}'_1)^{-1}\mathbf{a}] = \left( \mathbf{X}'_1 : \mathbf{X}'_2 \right)' \mathbf{X}'_1(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}'_1)^{-1}\mathbf{a}$ .

According to Lemma 3.6,  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value.

Conversely, if  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value, then, according to Corollary 3.6, there exists a vector  $\mathbf{w}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$  that for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$  there exists an  $\alpha(\beta) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  so that the relations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1(\beta) & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_2(\beta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \end{pmatrix} \alpha(\beta)$$

and

$$\mathbf{p} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}^0$$

hold. That is why for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$  there exists an  $\alpha(\beta) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  that the equations

$$\Sigma_1(\beta)\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{X}_1\alpha(\beta)$$

$$\Sigma_2(\beta)\mathbf{E}\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}_1\alpha(\beta)$$

and  $\mathbf{p} = \left( \mathbf{I} : \mathbf{E}' \right)' \mathbf{a}$  are valid, where  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{w}^0$ . That is why  $\mathbf{p} = \left( \mathbf{I} : \mathbf{E}' \right)' \mathbf{a}$ , where  $\mathbf{a} \in \bigcap_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k} \text{Ker} [\Sigma_2(\beta)\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}\Sigma_1(\beta)]$ . The theorem is proved.

**LEMMA 3.8.** Let  $\mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} = t \mathbf{e}'_i$  (i.e. the  $(R(\mathbf{X}) + j)$ th row of the matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  is its  $i$ th row multiplied by  $t$ ), where  $t \neq 0$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - R(\mathbf{X})\}$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, R(\mathbf{X})\}$ .

1.  $\mathcal{M}_j = \mathbb{R}^{R(\mathbf{X})}$  if and only if  $|t| = 1$   
(c.f. the notation from Theorem 3.7).
2. If  $|t| \neq 1$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_j = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{R(\mathbf{X})} : \mathbf{e}'_i \mathbf{a} = 0\}$ .

**Proof.** If we denote  $\mathbf{X}_1 \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{u}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & (a + b|\mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} \mathbf{u}|)^2 \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} \begin{pmatrix} (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_1 \mathbf{u}|)^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_2 \mathbf{u}|)^2 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_{R(\mathbf{X})} \mathbf{u}|)^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= t(a + b|tu_i|)^2 \mathbf{e}'_i - t(a + b|u_i|)^2 \mathbf{e}'_i \\ &= \mathbf{e}'_i \left[ t(a^2 + 2ab|tu_i| + b^2(tu_i)^2) - t(a^2 + 2ab|u_i| + b^2u_i^2) \right] \\ &= \mathbf{e}'_i \left[ 2ab|u_i|t(|t| - 1) + b^2u_i^2t(t^2 - 1) \right] \\ &= \mathbf{e}'_i (|t| - 1)tb \left[ 2a|u_i| + b^2u_i^2(|t| + 1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & (a + b|\mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} \mathbf{u}|)^2 \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{E} \begin{pmatrix} (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_1 \mathbf{u}|)^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_2 \mathbf{u}|)^2 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_{R(\mathbf{X})} \mathbf{u}|)^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6) \\ &= \mathbf{e}'_i (|t| - 1)tb \left[ 2a|u_i| + b^2u_i^2(|t| + 1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From (4) and (6) we have

$$\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_j \iff \forall \{u_i \in \mathbb{R}\} \left[ (|t| - 1)tb(2a|u_i| + b^2u_i^2(|t| + 1)) \right] \mathbf{e}'_i \mathbf{a} = 0. \quad (7)$$

Both assertions of the lemma are a simple consequence of (7).

**COROLLARY 3.9.** Let  $n = R(\mathbf{X}) + 1$ ,  $\mathbf{E} = t \mathbf{e}'_i$  ( $t \neq 0$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, R(\mathbf{X})\}$ ).

1. If  $|t| = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{p}' \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if

$$\mathbf{p} = \left( \mathbf{1}_{R(\mathbf{X}), R(\mathbf{X})} \vdots \pm \mathbf{e}_i \right)' \mathbf{a},$$

where  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{R(\mathbf{X})}$ . It means in this case that  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{a}'(\mathbf{1} : t\mathbf{e}_i)\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if it belongs to the class

$$\{a_1\tilde{Y}_1 + \dots + a_i(\tilde{Y}_i \pm \tilde{Y}_n) + \dots + a_{R(\mathbf{X})}\tilde{Y}_{R(\mathbf{X})} : a_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \dots, R(\mathbf{X})\}.$$

2. If  $|t| \neq 1$ , the UBLUEs of its mean value are all the linear functions of  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  which do not contain  $\tilde{Y}_i$  and  $\tilde{Y}_n$ .

We only remark that in this case the mean value of the  $n$ th measurement is equal to  $t$  times the mean value of the  $i$ th measurement.

The proof is a consequence of Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 3.8.

**THEOREM 3.9.** Let  $\mathbf{e}_l'\mathbf{E} = \gamma'$ , where  $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i \mathbf{e}_{s_i}$ ,  $t \geq 2$ ,  $\gamma_i \neq 0$   $i = 1, 2, \dots, t \leq R(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $s_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, R(\mathbf{X})\}$ ,  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - R(\mathbf{X})\}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{M}_l = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{R(\mathbf{X})} : \mathbf{e}'_{s_i} \mathbf{a} = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, t\}.$$

**P r o o f.** From (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_l &= \\ &\bigcap_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{R(\mathbf{X})}} \text{Ker} \left\{ (a + b|\mathbf{e}'_l \mathbf{E} \mathbf{u}|)^2 \mathbf{e}'_l \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{e}'_l \mathbf{E} \begin{pmatrix} (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_1 \mathbf{u}|)^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_2 \mathbf{u}|)^2 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_{R(\mathbf{X})} \mathbf{u}|)^2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \\ &\bigcap_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{R(\mathbf{X})}} \text{Ker} \left\{ \left( a + b \left| \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i \mathbf{e}'_{s_i} \mathbf{u} \right| \right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i \mathbf{e}'_{s_i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i \mathbf{e}'_{s_i} \begin{pmatrix} (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_1 \mathbf{u}|)^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_2 \mathbf{u}|)^2 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & (a+b|\mathbf{e}'_{R(\mathbf{X})} \mathbf{u}|)^2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \\ &= \bigcap_{u_q \in \mathbb{R} : q \in \{1, 2, \dots, R(\mathbf{X})\}} \text{Ker} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^t \mathbf{e}'_{s_i} \gamma_i \left[ \left( a + b \left| \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i u_{s_i} \right| \right)^2 - (a + b|u_{s_i}|)^2 \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

LINEAR MODEL WITH VARIANCES DEPENDING ON THE MEAN VALUE

That is why

$$\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_t \iff \sum_{i=1}^t \mathbf{e}'_{s_i} \mathbf{a} \gamma_i \left[ \left( a + b \left| \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i u_{s_i} \right| \right)^2 - (a + b |u_{s_i}|)^2 \right] = 0 \quad (8)$$

for all  $u_{s_i} \in \mathbb{R} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ .

1. Let  $t = 2$ .

From (8) it is easy to see that in this case if  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_t$ , then for an arbitrary choice of  $u_{s_1}^{(1)}, u_{s_2}^{(1)}, u_{s_1}^{(2)}$  and  $u_{s_2}^{(2)}$

$$\mathbf{R} \begin{pmatrix} a_{s_1} \\ a_{s_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 \left[ (a + b |\gamma_1 u_{s_1}^{(1)} + \gamma_2 u_{s_2}^{(1)}|)^2 - (a + b |u_{s_1}^{(1)}|)^2 \right], \gamma_2 \left[ (a + b |\gamma_1 u_{s_1}^{(1)} + \gamma_2 u_{s_2}^{(1)}|)^2 - (a + b |u_{s_2}^{(1)}|)^2 \right] \\ \gamma_1 \left[ (a + b |\gamma_1 u_{s_1}^{(2)} + \gamma_2 u_{s_2}^{(2)}|)^2 - (a + b |u_{s_1}^{(2)}|)^2 \right], \gamma_2 \left[ (a + b |\gamma_1 u_{s_1}^{(2)} + \gamma_2 u_{s_2}^{(2)}|)^2 - (a + b |u_{s_2}^{(2)}|)^2 \right] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{s_1} \\ a_{s_2} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{O}_{2,1}. \quad (9)$$

1a. Case  $|\gamma_1| \neq |\gamma_2|$ .

For  $u_{s_1}^{(1)} \neq 0, u_{s_2}^{(1)} = -\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} u_{s_1}^{(1)}, u_{s_2}^{(2)} \neq 0$  and  $u_{s_1}^{(2)} = -\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} u_{s_2}^{(2)}$  the determinant of the matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  in (9) is

$$\begin{aligned} \det \mathbf{R} &= \\ & \gamma_1 \gamma_2 b^2 |u_{s_1}^{(1)}| |u_{s_2}^{(2)}| \left\{ (2a + b |u_{s_1}^{(1)}|) (2a + b |u_{s_2}^{(2)}|) - (2a + b \left| \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} |u_{s_1}^{(1)}| \right|) (2a + b \left| \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} |u_{s_2}^{(2)}| \right|) \right\} \\ &= \gamma_1 \gamma_2 b^2 |u_{s_1}^{(1)}| |u_{s_2}^{(2)}| 2ab \left[ |u_{s_1}^{(1)}| \left( 1 - \left| \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \right| \right) + |u_{s_2}^{(2)}| \left( 1 - \left| \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} \right| \right) \right] \neq 0 \\ & \quad \text{if } |u_{s_1}^{(1)}| |\gamma_1| \neq |u_{s_2}^{(2)}| |\gamma_2| \end{aligned}$$

and that is why, according to (9),

$$\text{if } \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_t \implies a_{s_1} = \mathbf{e}'_{s_1} \mathbf{a} = a_{s_2} = \mathbf{e}'_{s_2} \mathbf{a} = 0.$$

The converse implication is trivial and the theorem is in the case  $|\gamma_1| \neq |\gamma_2|$  proved.

1b. Case  $|\gamma_1| = |\gamma_2|$ .

For  $u_{s_1}^{(1)} \neq 0$  and  $u_{s_2}^{(1)} \neq 0$  satisfying the inequality  $|u_{s_1}^{(1)}| > |u_{s_2}^{(1)}|$  and for

$u_{s_1}^{(2)} \neq 0$  and  $u_{s_2}^{(2)} \neq 0$  satisfying the equation  $\gamma_1 u_{s_1}^{(2)} + \gamma_2 u_{s_2}^{(2)} = 0$  the determinant of the matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  in (9) is

$$\begin{aligned} \det \mathbf{R} = & \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \left\{ [2ab|\gamma_1 u_{s_1}^{(1)} + \gamma_2 u_{s_2}^{(1)}| + b^2(\gamma_1 u_{s_1}^{(1)} + \gamma_2 u_{s_2}^{(1)})^2 - 2ab|u_{s_1}^{(1)}| \right. \\ & - b^2(u_{s_1}^{(1)})^2] [-2ab|u_{s_2}^{(2)}| - b^2(u_{s_2}^{(2)})^2] - [2ab|\gamma_1 u_{s_1}^{(1)} + \gamma_2 u_{s_2}^{(1)}| \\ & \left. + b^2(\gamma_1 u_{s_1}^{(1)} + \gamma_2 u_{s_2}^{(1)})^2 - 2ab|u_{s_2}^{(1)}| - b^2(u_{s_2}^{(1)})^2] [-2ab|u_{s_1}^{(2)}| - b^2(u_{s_1}^{(2)})^2] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of  $|u_{s_1}^{(2)}| = |u_{s_2}^{(2)}|$  we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \det \mathbf{R} = & \\ = & -\gamma_1 \gamma_2 b^2 |u_{s_1}^{(2)}| \left\{ (2a + b|u_{s_1}^{(2)}|) [-2a|u_{s_1}^{(1)}| - b(u_{s_1}^{(1)})^2 + 2a|u_{s_2}^{(1)}| + b(u_{s_2}^{(1)})^2] \right\} \\ = & -\gamma_1 \gamma_2 b^2 |u_{s_1}^{(2)}| (2a + b|u_{s_1}^{(2)}|) (|u_{s_2}^{(1)}| - |u_{s_1}^{(1)}|) [2a + b(|u_{s_1}^{(1)}| + |u_{s_2}^{(1)}|)] \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is why, according to (9),

$$\text{if } \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_l \implies a_{s_1} = \mathbf{e}'_{s_1} \mathbf{a} = a_{s_2} = \mathbf{e}'_{s_2} \mathbf{a} = 0.$$

The converse implication is trivial again and the theorem for  $t = 2$  is proved.

2. Let  $t \geq 3$ .

From (8) it is easy to see that if  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_l$ , then for an arbitrary choice of

$$\begin{array}{cccc} u_{s_1}^{(1)}, & u_{s_2}^{(1)}, & \dots, & u_{s_t}^{(1)} \\ u_{s_1}^{(2)}, & u_{s_2}^{(2)}, & \dots, & u_{s_t}^{(2)} \\ u_{s_1}^{(3)}, & u_{s_2}^{(3)}, & \dots, & u_{s_t}^{(3)} \end{array}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^t \mathbf{e}'_{s_i} \mathbf{a} \gamma_i \left[ \left( a + b \left| \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i u_{s_i}^{(c)} \right| \right)^2 - \left( a + b |u_{s_i}^{(c)}| \right)^2 \right] = 0, \quad c = 1, 2, 3. \quad (10)$$

2a. Case  $t = 3c$  ( $c \geq 1$ ).

For

$$u_{s_{(3v+2)}}^{(1)} \neq 0, \quad u_{s_{(3v+3)}}^{(1)} = -\frac{\gamma_{(3v+2)}}{\gamma_{(3v+3)}} u_{s_{(3v+2)}}^{(1)}, \quad u_{s_q}^{(1)} = 0 \quad q \notin \{3v+2, 3v+3\}$$

$$u_{s_{(3v+3)}}^{(2)} \neq 0, \quad u_{s_{(3v+1)}}^{(2)} = -\frac{\gamma_{(3v+3)}}{\gamma_{(3v+1)}} u_{s_{(3v+3)}}^{(2)}, \quad u_{s_q}^{(2)} = 0 \quad q \notin \{3v+1, 3v+3\}$$

$$u_{s_{(3v+1)}}^{(3)} \neq 0, \quad u_{s_{(3v+2)}}^{(3)} = -\frac{\gamma_{(3v+1)}}{\gamma_{(3v+2)}} u_{s_{(3v+1)}}^{(3)}, \quad u_{s_q}^{(3)} = 0 \quad q \notin \{3v+1, 3v+2\}$$

LINEAR MODEL WITH VARIANCES DEPENDING ON THE MEAN VALUE

we obtain from (10) that

$$\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_l \implies \text{for an arbitrary choice of } u_{s(3v+1)}^{(3)} \neq 0, u_{s(3v+2)}^{(1)} \neq 0 \text{ and } u_{s(3v+3)}^{(2)} \neq 0$$

$$\mathbf{S} \begin{pmatrix} a_{s(3v+1)} \\ a_{s(3v+2)} \\ a_{s(3v+3)} \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{S}_1 \vdots \mathbf{S}_2 \vdots \mathbf{S}_3) \begin{pmatrix} a_{s(3v+1)} \\ a_{s(3v+2)} \\ a_{s(3v+3)} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{O}_{3,1},$$

where

$$\mathbf{S}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\gamma(3v+1)b \left| \frac{\gamma(3v+3)}{\gamma(3v+1)} \right| |u_{s(3v+3)}^{(2)}| (2a + b \left| \frac{\gamma(3v+3)}{\gamma(3v+1)} \right| |u_{s(3v+3)}^{(2)}|) \\ -\gamma(3v+1)b |u_{s(3v+1)}^{(3)}| (2a + b |u_{s(3v+1)}^{(3)}|) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{S}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma(3v+2)b |u_{s(3v+2)}^{(1)}| (2a + b |u_{s(3v+2)}^{(1)}|) \\ 0 \\ -\gamma(3v+2)b \left| \frac{\gamma(3v+1)}{\gamma(3v+2)} \right| |u_{s(3v+1)}^{(3)}| (2a + b \left| \frac{\gamma(3v+1)}{\gamma(3v+2)} \right| |u_{s(3v+1)}^{(3)}|) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma(3v+3)b \left| \frac{\gamma(3v+2)}{\gamma(3v+3)} \right| |u_{s(3v+2)}^{(1)}| (2a + b \left| \frac{\gamma(3v+2)}{\gamma(3v+3)} \right| |u_{s(3v+2)}^{(1)}|) \\ -\gamma(3v+3)b |u_{s(3v+3)}^{(2)}| (2a + b |u_{s(3v+3)}^{(2)}|) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because of

$$\begin{aligned} \det \mathbf{S} &= -\gamma(3v+1)\gamma(3v+2)\gamma(3v+3)b^3 |u_{s(3v+1)}^{(3)}| |u_{s(3v+2)}^{(1)}| |u_{s(3v+3)}^{(2)}| \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \left\{ (2a + b |u_{s(3v+2)}^{(1)}|) (2a + b |u_{s(3v+3)}^{(2)}|) (2a + b |u_{s(3v+1)}^{(3)}|) \right. \\ &\quad + (2a + b \left| \frac{\gamma(3v+2)}{\gamma(3v+3)} \right| |u_{s(3v+2)}^{(1)}|) (2a + b \left| \frac{\gamma(3v+3)}{\gamma(3v+1)} \right| |u_{s(3v+3)}^{(2)}|) \cdot \\ &\quad \left. \cdot (2a + b \left| \frac{\gamma(3v+1)}{\gamma(3v+2)} \right| |u_{s(3v+1)}^{(3)}|) \right\} \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain for  $v = 1, 2, \dots, c-1$  the implication

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_l &\implies \mathbf{e}'_{s(3v+1)} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{e}'_{s(3v+2)} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{e}'_{s(3v+3)} \mathbf{a} = 0. \quad i.e. \\ \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_l &\implies \mathbf{e}'_{s_i} \mathbf{a} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, t. \end{aligned}$$

The converse implication is trivial and we have proved the theorem in the case  $t = 3c$  ( $c \geq 1$ ).

2b. Case  $t = 3c + 1$  ( $c \geq 1$ ).

If we proceed as in 2a., we get the implication

$$\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_l \implies \mathbf{e}'_{s_i} \mathbf{a} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 3c = t - 1.$$

If we choose  $u_{s_1}, u_{s_2}, \dots, u_{s_t}$  in such a way that  $u_{s_t} \neq 0$  and  $\left| \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i u_{s_i} \right| \neq |u_{s_t}|$ , we have from (8) that if  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_l$   $\mathbf{e}'_{s_t} \mathbf{a} = 0$  is also valid.

The converse implication (i.e.  $\mathbf{e}'_{s_i} \mathbf{a} = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, t \implies \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_l$ ) is trivial and we have proved the theorem in the case  $t = 3c + 1$  ( $c \geq 1$ ).

2c. Case  $t = 3c + 2$  ( $c = 1$ ).

If we proceed as in 2a., we get the implication

$$\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_l \implies \mathbf{e}'_{s_i} \mathbf{a} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 3c = t - 2.$$

Let us choose  $u_{s_1}^{(1)} = \dots = u_{s_{t-2}}^{(1)} = 0, u_{s_1}^{(2)} = \dots = u_{s_{t-2}}^{(2)} = 0$ , and we get from (8) that if  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_l$ , then for an arbitrary choice of  $u_{s_{(t-1)}}^{(1)}, u_{s_{(t-1)}}^{(2)}, u_{s_t}^{(1)}$  and  $u_{s_t}^{(2)}$

$$\mathbf{T} \begin{pmatrix} a_{s_{t-1}} \\ a_{s_t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}_1 \\ \mathbf{T}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{s_{t-1}} \\ a_{s_t} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{O}_{2,1},$$

where

$$\mathbf{T}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{(t-1)} \left[ (a + b|\gamma_{(t-1)} u_{s_{(t-1)}}^{(1)} + \gamma_t u_{s_t}^{(1)}|)^2 - (a + b|u_{s_{(t-1)}}^{(1)}|)^2 \right] \\ \gamma_{(t-1)} \left[ (a + b|\gamma_{(t-1)} u_{s_{(t-1)}}^{(2)} + \gamma_t u_{s_t}^{(2)}|)^2 - (a + b|u_{s_{(t-1)}}^{(2)}|)^2 \right] \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{T}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \left[ (a + b|\gamma_{(t-1)} u_{s_{(t-1)}}^{(1)} + \gamma_t u_{s_t}^{(1)}|)^2 - (a + b|u_{s_t}^{(1)}|)^2 \right] \\ \gamma_t \left[ (a + b|\gamma_{(t-1)} u_{s_{(t-1)}}^{(2)} + \gamma_t u_{s_t}^{(2)}|)^2 - (a + b|u_{s_t}^{(2)}|)^2 \right] \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we proceed as in 1., we obtain that if  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_l$ , also  $\mathbf{e}'_{s_{(t-1)}} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{e}'_{s_t} \mathbf{a} = 0$  is valid.

The converse implication (i.e.  $\mathbf{e}'_{s_i} \mathbf{a} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, t \implies \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_l$ ) is trivial again and we have proved the theorem for this last case as well.

**COROLLARY 3.10.** Let  $n = R(\mathbf{X}) + 1$ ,  $\mathbf{E} = \boldsymbol{\gamma}' = \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i \mathbf{e}'_{s_i}$ ,  $t \geq 2$ , where  $\gamma_i \neq 0$ ,  $s_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, R(\mathbf{X})\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, t \leq R(\mathbf{X})$ ). The random variable  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if  $\mathbf{p} = \left( \mathbf{1}_{R(\mathbf{X}), R(\mathbf{X})} : \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right)' \mathbf{a}$ , where  $\mathbf{a}'\mathbf{e}_{s_i} = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ . It means in this case that  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  does not contain  $\tilde{Y}_{s_1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_{s_t}, \tilde{Y}_n$ .

The proof is an easy consequence of Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.9.

**COROLLARY 3.11.** Let

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma'_1 \\ \gamma'_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} \gamma_i \mathbf{e}'_{s_i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{k_2} \gamma_i \mathbf{e}'_{l_i} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $1 \leq k_1 \leq R(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $1 \leq k_2 \leq R(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $\gamma_{ij} \neq 0$  for all  $i, j$ ;  $s_i$  and  $l_i$  belong to  $\{1, 2, \dots, R(\mathbf{X})\}$  for all  $i$ .

1. If  $k_1 = k_2 = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_{s_1} = \mathbf{e}_{l_1} = \mathbf{e}_s$ ,  $|\gamma_{11}| = |\gamma_{21}| = 1$ , then  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if

$$\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = a_1 \tilde{Y}_1 + \dots + a_{s-1} \tilde{Y}_{s-1} + a_s (\tilde{Y}_s \pm \tilde{Y}_{n-1} \pm \tilde{Y}_n) + a_{s+1} \tilde{Y}_{s+1} + \dots + a_{R(\mathbf{X})} \tilde{Y}_{R(\mathbf{X})},$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$   $i = 1, 2, \dots, R(\mathbf{X})$  (the sign  $+$  or  $-$  corresponds to one of  $\gamma_{11}$  and  $\gamma_{21}$ ).

2. If  $k_1 = k_2 = 1$ ,  $s_1 < l_1$ ,  $|\gamma_{11}| = |\gamma_{21}| = 1$ , then  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = & a_1 \tilde{Y}_1 + \dots + a_{s_1-1} \tilde{Y}_{s_1-1} + a_{s_1} (\tilde{Y}_{s_1} \pm \tilde{Y}_{n-1}) + a_{s_1+1} \tilde{Y}_{s_1+1} + \dots \\ & + a_{l_1-1} \tilde{Y}_{l_1-1} + a_{l_1} (\tilde{Y}_{l_1} \pm \tilde{Y}_n) + a_{l_1+1} \tilde{Y}_{l_1+1} + \dots + a_{R(\mathbf{X})} \tilde{Y}_{R(\mathbf{X})}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$   $i = 1, 2, \dots, R(\mathbf{X})$ .

(The case  $l_1 < s_1$  is a trivial modification.)

3. If  $k_1 = 1$ ,  $k_2 > 1$ ,  $|\gamma_{11}| = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_{s_1} \neq \mathbf{e}_{l_i}$   $i = 1, 2, \dots, k_2$ , then  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = a_1 \tilde{Y}_1 + \dots + a_{s_1} (\tilde{Y}_{s_1} \pm \tilde{Y}_{n-1}) + \dots + a_{R(\mathbf{X})} \tilde{Y}_{R(\mathbf{X})}$  does not contain  $\tilde{Y}_{l_1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_{l_{k_2}}, \tilde{Y}_n$  and the coefficients are arbitrary real numbers. (The case  $k_1 > 1$ ,  $k_2 = 1$ ,  $|\gamma_{21}| = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_{l_i} \neq \mathbf{e}_{s_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k_1$  is a trivial modification.)



4. If  $k_1 = 1$ , but  $|\gamma_{11}| \neq 1$ ,  $k_2 > 1$ , then  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  does not contain  $\tilde{Y}_{s_1}, \tilde{Y}_{l_1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_{l_{k_2}}, \tilde{Y}_{n-1}$  and  $\tilde{Y}_n$ .

(The case  $k_1 > 1$ ,  $k_2 = 1$  and  $|\gamma_{21}| \neq 1$  is similar.)

5. If  $k_1 > 1$  and  $k_2 > 1$ , then  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if  $\mathbf{p}'\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  does not contain  $\tilde{Y}_{s_1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_{s_{k_1}}, \tilde{Y}_{l_1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_{l_{k_2}}, \tilde{Y}_{n-1}$  and  $\tilde{Y}_n$ .

The proof is an easy consequence of Theorem 3.7, Lemma 3.8 and Theorem 3.9.

An easy generalization is for the case with  $\mathbf{E}$  containing  $n - R(\mathbf{X}) \geq 2$  rows.

REFERENCES

- [1] KUBÁČEK, L.: *Foundations of Estimation Theory*, Elsevier, Amsterdam-Oxford-New York-Tokyo, 1988.
- [2] RAO, C. R.—MITRA, S. K.: *Generalized Inverse of Matrices and its Applications*, J. Wiley, New York, 1971.

Received March 23, 1990

*Mathematical Institute  
Slovak Academy of Sciences  
Štefánikova 49  
814 73 Bratislava  
Czecho-Slovakia*