

Diego Averna

Separation properties in X and 2^X measurable multifunctions and graphs

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 41 (1991), No. 1, 51--60

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136521>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

SEPARATION PROPERTIES IN X AND 2^X MEASURABLE MULTIFUNCTIONS AND GRAPHS

DIEGO AVERNA

ABSTRACT. This paper investigates, in a general framework, relations among some separation properties of a space X , the countable separation of the hyperspace 2^X , endowed with a suitable σ -algebra, and the measurability of graphs of measurable multifunctions. Several results can be deduced which apply to properties of separation and measurability of different kinds.

Introduction

It is known that a countably R -separated topological space (X, τ_X) satisfies the following property (see [6], theorem 3.1):

(i) *for each measurable space (T, σ_T) and each closed-valued measurable multifunction $F: T \rightarrow X$, the graph of F lies in $\sigma_T \times \sigma(\tau_X)$.*

On the other hand, if X is a second countable space, then the following property holds (see [9], theorem 4.2 (b)):

(ii) *for each measurable space (T, σ_T) and each closed-valued weakly measurable multifunction $F: T \rightarrow X$, the graph of F lies in $\sigma_T \times \sigma(\tau_X)$.*

In a previous paper ([2]), relationships have been studied among the countable R -separation of a topological space (X, τ_X) , the measurability of some sets constructed by means of measurable multifunctions and the countable separation of 2^X , endowed with the σ -algebra $\sigma(\mathfrak{B}^+)$ generated by the family $\{\langle U \rangle : U \in \tau_X\}$ ($\langle U \rangle$ denotes the class of all non-empty closed subsets of U). In particular, the property (i) implies the countable separation of 2^X ; moreover, each of this two conditions is equivalent to saying that X is countably R -separated provided that X is R_0 .

In this paper we study, in a general framework, the relations among some separation properties of a space X , equipped with a topology and a σ -algebra,

AMS Subject Classification (1985): Primary 28A20 (28A99). Secondary 54E99

Key words: Countably R -separated Topological space, Measurable space, Multifunction.

the countable separation of 2^X , endowed with a suitable σ -algebra, and the measurability of graphs of measurable multifunctions, replacing the R_0 -axiom with a weaker requirement on the multifunction $x \mapsto \overline{\{x\}}$.

Several results can be deduced which apply to the properties of separation and measurability of different kinds. For example, the property (ii), the second countability of X and the countable separation of 2^X , endowed with the σ -algebra $\sigma(\mathfrak{B}^-)$ generated by the family $\{\langle X, U \rangle : U \in \tau_X\}$ ($\langle X, U \rangle$ denotes the class of all non-empty closed sets which intercept U), are equivalent.

Also, a certain connection with the problem of the measurability of functions of two variables is stated, since any property of (strong) countable \bar{R} -separation introduced here ensures the existence of a regular \mathcal{P} -system.

1. Preliminaries

Let T, X, Y be sets, $F: T \rightarrow X$ a multifunction, i.e. a function from T to the family $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of all subsets of X , and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ a function.

We denote by $Gr(F)$ the graph of F , i.e. the set $\{(t, x) \in T \times X : x \in F(t)\}$ and by $Gr(F, g)$ the set $\{(t, y) \in T \times Y : g(y) \in F(t)\}$.

If $U \subset X$, we put $F^+(U) = \{t \in T : F(t) \subset U\}$ and $F^-(U) = \{t \in T : F(t) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$. We have the fundamental relation $F^+(U) = T - F^-(X - U)$.

If (T, σ_T) and (X, σ_X) are measurable spaces (we mean that σ_T and σ_X are σ -algebras) and $\mathcal{U} \subset \sigma_X$, F is said to be \mathcal{U} -measurable if for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ we have $F^+(U) \in \sigma_T$. Moreover, if (Y, σ_Y) is a measurable space, g is said to be measurable if $g^{-1}(M) \in \sigma_Y$ for each $M \in \sigma_X$.

If Z is a set and \mathcal{B} a family of subsets of Z , $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$ denotes the σ -algebra on Z generated by \mathcal{B} . $(Z, \sigma(\mathcal{B}))$ is said to be countably separated if there exists a countable family $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$ which T_0 -separates Z .

If (X, τ_X) is a topological space, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(X)$ the family of all closed subsets of X , by 2^X the family of all non-empty closed subsets of X and by Ω the set $\{(C, x) : C \in 2^X, x \in C\}$.

Moreover, if $U_1, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, we denote by $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$ the family $\left\{ C \in 2^X : C \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i \text{ and } C \cap U_i \neq \emptyset \forall i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$. If $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$, we put $\mathfrak{U} = \{\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle : U_1, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{U}, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$, $\mathfrak{U}^+ = \{\langle U \rangle : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ and $\mathfrak{U}^- = \{\langle X, U \rangle : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$.

In the particular case in which $\mathcal{U} = \tau_X$, we obtain, respectively, a base for the finite topology on 2^X , a base for the upper semifinite topology on 2^X and a subbase for the lower semifinite topology on 2^X (see [7]). To emphasize this case, we use the notation \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{B}^+ and \mathfrak{B}^- .

2. Separation. Measurability. Graphs

Let (X, τ_X) be a topological space and (X, σ_X) a measurable space.

Definition 2.1. We say that a family $\mathcal{U} \subset \sigma_X$ separates (resp. weakly separates) closed sets and points of X if for each closed set C and each point $x \notin C$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $C \subset U$ and $x \notin U$ (resp. $\overline{\{x\}} \not\subset U$).

Definition 2.2. X is said to be countably R -separated (resp. weakly countably R -separated) by a family $\mathcal{U} \subset \sigma_X$, with $\emptyset \in \mathcal{U}$, if there exists a countable subfamily \mathcal{W} of \mathcal{U} which separates (resp. weakly separates) closed sets and points of X .

Remark 2.1. If $\tau_X \subset \sigma_X$ ⁽¹⁾, several properties on X can be obtained, specifying the family \mathcal{U} in definition 2.2. We point out the following ones:

The cR -separation (resp. wcR -separation), obtained if $\mathcal{U} = \tau_X$ (see [2], definitions 3.2 and 3.1).

The second axiom of countability, obtained if $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{F}(X)$ (given a countable family $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$, separates (weakly separates) closed sets and points of X iff $\mathcal{B} = \{X - U : U \in \mathcal{W}\}$ is a base for τ_X). In this case, the two properties of countable R -separation coincide.

— The cT_0R -separation (resp. wcT_0R -separation), obtained if $\mathcal{U} = \tau_X \cup \mathcal{F}(X)$. Indeed, if $\mathcal{W} \subset \tau_X \cup \mathcal{F}(X)$ is a countable family which separates (resp. weakly separates) closed sets and points of X , then the countable family $\mathcal{A} = \{U : U \in \mathcal{W} \cap \tau_X\} \cup \{X - U : U \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(X)\}$ T_0R -separates (resp. wT_0R -separates) X , namely for each closed set C and any $x \notin C$ there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $(x \in A \text{ and } A \cap C = \emptyset)$ or $(C \subset A \text{ and } x \notin A)$ (resp. $\overline{\{x\}} \not\subset A$); conversely, if $\mathcal{A} \subset \tau_X$ is a countable family by which T_0R -separates (resp. wT_0R -separates) X , then the countable family $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{A} \cup \{X - A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ separates (resp. weakly separates) closed sets and points of X .

The properties of separation which we can obtain by specifying the family \mathcal{U} in definition 2.2 are as “strong” as \mathcal{U} is “small”. This leads us to consider the “minimal” property of countable R -separation by σ_X . This property is interesting because, as we can see in the following theorem, it gives a characterization of spaces in which a regular \mathcal{P} -system exists. The concept of \mathcal{P} -system has been introduced in [4]; it is very useful in issues concerning the measurability of functions of two variables (see [1], [4]) and the measurability of certain multifunctions defined by means of functions of two variables (see [8]).

⁽¹⁾ We notice that if X is countably R -separated by \mathcal{U} , then $\tau_X \subset \sigma_X$. In fact, for each $A \in \tau_X$ we

have $A = \bigcup_{\substack{U \in \mathcal{W} \\ U \subset A}} (X - U)$, \mathcal{W} being a countable subfamily of \mathcal{U} which separates closed sets and points of X .

First, we recall the definition of the \mathcal{P} -system and that of the regularity of a \mathcal{P} -system with respect to a topology.

Definition 2.3. ([4], definition 4.1) *Let (X, σ_X) be a measurable space and $\mathcal{P} = \{P_n^k: \emptyset \neq P_n^k \in \sigma_X, k = 1, 2, \dots, n \in N_k\}$, where N_k is the set of all positive integers or a set $\{1, \dots, n_k\}$. \mathcal{P} is called a \mathcal{P} -system on (X, σ_X) if $X = \bigcup_{n \in N_k} P_n^k$ for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$.*

Definition 2.4. ([4] definition 4.3) *Let (X, τ_X) be a topological space and (X, σ_X) a measurable space. A \mathcal{P} -system \mathcal{P} on (X, σ_X) is τ_X -regular if for each $A \in \tau_X$ and each $x \in A$ there is k_0 such that, for $k > k_0$, $x \in P_n^k \Rightarrow P_n^k \subset A$.*

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, τ_X) be a topological space and (X, σ_X) a measurable space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1) X is countably R -separated by σ_X ;
- 2) a τ_X -regular \mathcal{P} -system exists on (X, σ_X) ;
- 3) a second countable topology τ'_X exists on X , such that $\tau_X \subset \tau'_X \subset \sigma_X$.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2): let $\mathcal{E} = \{E_k: k = 1, 2, \dots\} \subset \sigma_X$ be a countable family such that $\mathcal{W} = \{X - E_k: k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ separates closed sets and points of X . We shall construct, by induction, a τ_X -regular \mathcal{P} -system on (X, σ_X) .

$\mathcal{A}_0 = \left\{ E_k - \bigcup_{n < k} E_n \right\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint measurable sets such

that $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}_0} A = X$. Let $\{P_n^1: n \in N_1\} = \{A \in \mathcal{A}_0: A \neq \emptyset\}$.

Now, suppose that $\{P_n^k: n \in N_k\}$ has been constructed for $k = 2, \dots, p$, such that $P_n^k \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \in N_k$, $\bigcup_{n \in N_k} P_n^k = X$, $P_n^k \cap P_m^k = \emptyset$ if $n \neq m$, $x \in P_n^k \cap P_m^{k-1} \Rightarrow P_n^k \subset P_m^{k-1}$ and $x \in P_n^k \cap E_k \Rightarrow P_n^k \subset E_k$.

Define $\mathcal{A}_p = \{E_{p+1} \cap P_n^p: n \in N_p\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_p = \{P_n^p - E_{p+1}: n \in N_p\}$; by enumerating all non-empty sets in $\mathcal{A}_p \cup \mathcal{B}_p$ we obtain a countable family

$$\begin{aligned} \{P_n^{p+1}: n \in N_{p+1}\} &\subset \sigma_X \text{ of pairwise disjoint sets such that } \bigcup_{n \in N_{p+1}} P_n^{p+1} = \\ &= \bigcup_{n \in N_p} P_n^p = X, x \in P_n^{p+1} \cap P_m^p \Rightarrow P_n^{p+1} \subset P_m^p \text{ and } x \in P_n^{p+1} \cap E_{p+1} \Rightarrow P_n^{p+1} \subset \\ &\subset E_{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{P} = \{P_n^k: k = 1, 2, \dots, n \in N_k\}$ is a (disjoint) \mathcal{P} -system on (X, σ_X) .

Now we show that \mathcal{P} is τ_X -regular. Let $A \in \tau_X$ and $x \in A$. Since \mathcal{W} separates closed sets and points of X , there exists h such that $x \in E_h \subset A$. Moreover, there exists $m \in N_h$ such that $x \in P_m^h \subset E_h$. As for $k > h$, $x \in P_n^k \Rightarrow P_n^k \subset P_m^h$, the desired regularity is proved.

2) \Rightarrow 3): ⁽²⁾ let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_n^k : k = 1, 2, \dots, n \in N_k\}$ be a τ_X -regular \mathcal{P} -system on (X, σ_X) . The (unique) topology on X which has \mathcal{P} as a subbase is the required topology τ'_X .

In fact, τ'_X is, obviously, second countable and $\tau'_X \subset \sigma_X$; moreover, by the τ_X -regularity of \mathcal{P} it follows, in particular, that $A = \bigcup_{P_n^k \subset A} P_n^k$ for every $A \in \tau_X$;

hence, taking into account that $\mathcal{P} \subset \tau'_X$, $\tau_X \subset \tau'_X$.

3) \Rightarrow 1): if \mathcal{B} is a countable base for τ'_X , the family $\mathcal{W} = \{X - B : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ separates closed sets and points of X . \square

In general, the countable R -separation by \mathcal{U} implies the weak countable R -separation by \mathcal{U} . The inverse implication holds if $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{F}(X)$ or, more generally, if \mathcal{U} satisfies the following

Property 2.1. *The multifunction $\bar{I} : X \rightarrow X$, defined by $\bar{I}(x) = \overline{\{x\}}$ for each $x \in X$, is such that for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ we have $\bar{I}^+(U) \in \mathcal{U}$.*

Indeed, the following lemma holds:

Lemma 2.1. *If X is weakly countably R -separated by \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U} satisfies the property 2.1 then X is countably R -separated by \mathcal{U} .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{U}$ be a countable family which weakly separates closed sets and points of X . Put $\mathcal{W}' = \{\bar{I}^+(W) : W \in \mathcal{W}\}$. By property 2.1, we have $\mathcal{W}' \subset \mathcal{U}$. Moreover, for each closed set C and each $x \notin C$ let $W \in \mathcal{W}$ be such that $C \subset W$ and $\{x\} \not\subset W$; for each $y \in C$ we have $\overline{\{y\}} \subset C \subset W$, whence $C \subset \bar{I}^+(W)$, while $\{x\} \not\subset W$ implies that $x \notin \bar{I}^+(W)$.

Thus the family \mathcal{W}' separates closed sets and points of X . \square

Remark 2.2. If $\tau_X \subset \mathcal{U} \subset \tau_X \cup \mathcal{F}(X)$, the property 2.1 is weaker than the R_0 -axiom (see [3]). Indeed, the R_0 -axiom on (X, τ_X) is equivalent to saying that $\bar{I}^+(U) = U$ for each $U \in \tau_X$, while $\mathcal{F}(X)$ always satisfies the property 2.1. Simple examples show that this relation is strict.

We do not know whether the countable R -separation by \mathcal{U} implies that \mathcal{U} satisfies the property 2.1. However, this is true if $\mathcal{U} = \tau_X$, i.e. if X is cR -separated; in this case, in fact, even the R_0 -axiom holds.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (X, τ_X) be a topological space, (X, σ_X) a measurable space and $\mathcal{U} \subset \sigma_X$, with $\emptyset \in \mathcal{U}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- 1) X is weakly countably R -separated by the family \mathcal{U} .
- 2) $(2^X, \sigma(\mathbb{U}^+))$ is countably separated.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2): if $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{U}$ is a countable family which weakly separates closed sets and points of X , then $\mathbb{W}^+ = \{\langle W \rangle : W \in \mathcal{W}\}$ is a countable family, with $\mathbb{W}^+ \subset \mathbb{U}^+$, which T_0 -separates elements of 2^X .

⁽²⁾ This is proved also in lemma 3.1 of [1]. We give here a shorter proof.

2) \Rightarrow 1): if X is not weakly countably R -separated by \mathcal{U} , for each countable family $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{U}^+$ the family $\mathcal{W} = \{W: \langle W \rangle \in \mathfrak{B}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$ does not weakly separate closed sets and points of X , i.e. there exist a non-empty closed set C^* and $x^* \notin C^*$ such that $C^* \subset W \Rightarrow \overline{\{x^*\}} \subset W$ or, equivalently, $C^* \in \langle W \rangle \Rightarrow C^* \cup \overline{\{x^*\}} \in \langle W \rangle$. Since, on the other hand, $C^* \cup \overline{\{x^*\}} \in \langle W \rangle \Rightarrow C^* \in \langle W \rangle$, it follows that \mathfrak{B} does not T_0 -separate elements of 2^X . \square

Remark 2.3. If $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{F}(X)$, then $\sigma(\mathfrak{U}^+) = \sigma(\mathfrak{B}^-)$; while if $\mathcal{U} = \tau_X \cup \mathcal{F}(X)$, then $\sigma(\mathfrak{U}^+) = \sigma(\mathfrak{B})$. These two equalities are easily shown, taking into account that for all subsets U, U_1, \dots, U_n of X we have $\langle X, U \rangle = 2^X - \langle X - U \rangle$ and $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle = \left[\bigcap_{i=1}^n (2^X - \langle X - U_i \rangle) \right] \cap \left\langle \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i \right\rangle$.

We point out the following special formulations of theorem 2.2:

Corollary 2.1. ([2], theorem 3.1) *The following conditions are equivalent for a topological space (X, τ_X) :*

- 1) X is wcR -separated;
- 2) $(2^X, \sigma(\mathfrak{B}^+))$ is countably separated.

Corollary 2.2. *The following conditions are equivalent for a topological space (X, τ_X) :*

- 1) X is second countable;
- 2) $(2^X, \sigma(\mathfrak{B}^-))$ is countably separated.

Proof. It follows by theorem 2.2 for $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{F}(X)$, taking into account remark 2.1 and remark 2.3. \square

Corollary 2.3. *The following conditions are equivalent for a topological space (X, τ_X) :*

- 1) X is wcT_0R -separated;
- 2) $(2^X, \sigma(\mathfrak{B}))$ is countably separated.

Proof. It follows by theorem 2.2 for $\mathcal{U} = \tau_X \cup \mathcal{F}(X)$, taking into account remark 2.1 and remark 2.3. \square

Remark 2.4. If in the following theorem 2.3, corollary 2.4 and theorem 2.4, \mathcal{U} is $\tau_X, \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_X \cup \mathcal{F}(X)$ or $\sigma(\tau_X)$, then the \mathcal{U} -measurability of F is measurability, weak measurability, simultaneous measurability and weak measurability, or \mathcal{B} -measurability, respectively.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be countably R -separated by \mathcal{U} , $F: T \rightarrow X$ closed-valued and \mathcal{U} -measurable, and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ measurable. Then $Gr(F, g) \in \sigma_T \times \sigma_Y$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{U}$ be countable family which separates closed sets and points of X . Then:

$$(t, y) \in (T \times Y) - Gr(F, g) \Leftrightarrow \exists W \in \mathcal{W} : g(y) \notin W \text{ and } F(t) \subset W, \\ \text{i.e. } y \notin g^{-1}(W) \text{ and } t \in F^+(W).$$

Therefore $(T \times Y) - Gr(F, g) = \bigcup_{W \in \mathfrak{W}} [F^+(W) \times g^{-1}(X - W)] \in \sigma_T \times \sigma_Y$. \square

Corollary 2.4. *If X and F are as in theorem 2.3, then $Gr(F) \in \sigma_T \times \sigma_X$.*

Theorem 2.4. *Let (X, τ_X) be a topological space, (X, σ_X) a measurable space and $\mathcal{U} \subset \sigma_X$, with $\emptyset \in \mathcal{U}$. Then the following properties are equivalent:*

1) *given two measurable spaces (T, σ_T) and (Y, σ_Y) , a \mathcal{U} -measurable multifunction $F: T \rightarrow X$, with (non-empty and) closed values, and a measurable function $g: Y \rightarrow X$, then $Gr(F, g) \in \sigma_T \times \sigma_Y$;*

2) *for each measurable space (T, σ_T) and each \mathcal{U} -measurable multifunction $F: T \rightarrow X$, with (non-empty and) closed values, we have $Gr(F) \in \sigma_T \times \sigma_X$;*

3) $\Omega \in \sigma(\mathfrak{U}^+) \times \sigma_X$.

Proof. Obviously, 1) \Rightarrow 2). We shall prove that 2) \Rightarrow 3) and 3) \Rightarrow 1)

2) \Rightarrow 3): put $(T, \sigma_T) = (2^X, \sigma(\mathfrak{U}^+))$. Then the multifunction $I: 2^X \rightarrow X$, defined by $I(C) = C$ for each $C \in 2^X$, is \mathcal{U} -measurable. Hence $\Omega = Gr(I) \in \sigma(\mathfrak{U}^+) \times$

$\times \sigma_X$.

3) \Rightarrow 1): if $F: T \rightarrow X$ is \mathcal{U} -measurable, the function $f: T \rightarrow 2^X$, defined for each $t \in T$ by:

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} F(t) & \text{if } F(t) \neq \emptyset \\ X & \text{if } F(t) = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

is measurable (2^X equipped with the σ -algebra $\sigma(\mathfrak{U}^+)$).

In fact:

$$f^{-1}(\langle U \rangle) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } U = X \\ F^+(U) - F^+(\emptyset) & \text{if } U \in \mathcal{U}, U \neq X. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the function $(f, g): T \times Y \rightarrow 2^X \times X$, defined by $(f, g)(t, y) = (f(t), g(y))$ for all $(t, y) \in T \times Y$, is measurable and hence $Gr(F, g) = (f, g)^{-1}(\Omega) - (F^+(\emptyset) \times Y) \in \sigma_T \times \sigma_Y$. \square

3. Further relations between measurability of graphs and separation

Definition 3.1. *If (X, τ_X) is a topological space, we say that the family $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathcal{P}(2^X)$ separates closed sets and points of X if for each closed set C and each $x \notin C$ there exists $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that:*

$$(C \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } C \cup \overline{\{x\}} \notin \mathcal{A}) \text{ or } (C \cup \overline{\{x\}} \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } C \notin \mathcal{A}).$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, τ_X) be a topological space and $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathcal{P}(2^X)$. If $\Omega \in \sigma(\mathfrak{B}) \times \sigma_X$, then there exists a countable family $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ which separates closed sets and points of X .*

Proof. Define:

$\mathfrak{R} = \{K \subset 2^X \times X : \exists \text{ a countable family } \mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{B} \text{ such that } K \in \sigma(\mathfrak{R}) \times \sigma_X\}$.

We claim:

1) \mathfrak{R} is a σ -algebra.

In fact: 1) \emptyset and $2^X \times X$, obviously, lie in \mathfrak{R} .

2) $K_n \in \mathfrak{R} \forall n \in \mathbf{N} \Rightarrow \forall n \exists$ a countable family $\mathfrak{R}_n \subset \mathfrak{B}$ such that

$K_n \in \sigma(\mathfrak{R}_n) \times \sigma_X$. Hence $\bigcup_n K_n \in \sigma\left(\bigcup_n \mathfrak{R}_n\right) \times \sigma_X$ and, $\bigcup_n \mathfrak{R}_n$ being

a countable family contained in \mathfrak{B} , $\bigcup_n K_n \in \mathfrak{R}$.

3) $K \in \mathfrak{R} \Leftrightarrow (2^X \times X) - K \in \mathfrak{R}$ follows from $K \in \sigma(\mathfrak{R}) \times \sigma_X \Leftrightarrow (2^X \times X) - K \in \sigma(\mathfrak{R}) \times \sigma_X$.

2) $\sigma(\mathfrak{B}) \times \sigma_X \subset \mathfrak{R}$.

In fact, for each measurable rectangle, $\mathcal{A} \times B$ ($\mathcal{A} \in \sigma(\mathfrak{B})$, $B \in \sigma_X$), there is a countable family $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \in \sigma(\mathfrak{R})$ (see [5], theorem D pg. 24). Hence $\mathcal{A} \times B \in \mathfrak{R}$. It follows that $\sigma(\mathfrak{B}) \times \sigma_X \subset \mathfrak{R}$, by definition of the product of σ -algebra and the preceding step.

3) $\Omega \in \mathfrak{R}$.

It follows, obviously, by hypothesis and step 2).

Now, let $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ be a countable family such that $\Omega \in \sigma(\mathfrak{R}) \times \sigma_X$.

4) $\sigma(\mathfrak{R})$ separates closed sets and points of X :

Assume the contrary. Then there exist $C^* \in 2^X$ and $x^* \notin C^*$ such that:

$$(*) \forall \mathcal{A} \in \sigma(\mathfrak{R}), C^* \in \mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow C^* \cup \{x^*\} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Consider the σ -algebra:

$$\mathcal{L} = \{L \subset 2^X \times X : (C^*, x^*) \in L \Leftrightarrow (C^* \cup \overline{\{x^*\}}, x^*) \in L\}.$$

(*) implies that $\sigma(\mathfrak{R}) \times \sigma_X \subset \mathcal{L}$ whence, in particular, $\Omega \in \mathcal{L}$, a contradiction.

Finally:

5) \mathfrak{R} separates closed sets and points of X .

Suppose, on the contrary, that there exist $C^* \in 2^X$ and $x^* \notin C^*$ such that, for each $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{R}$, $C^* \in \mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow C^* \cup \overline{\{x^*\}} \in \mathcal{A}$; $\mathfrak{M} = \{\mathcal{M} \subset 2^X : C^* \in \mathcal{M} \Leftrightarrow C^* \cup \overline{\{x^*\}} \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is a σ -algebra containing \mathfrak{R} and, hence, $\sigma(\mathfrak{R})$; but this is in contrast with step 4). \square

The following theorem shows that the conditions of theorem 2.4 imply those of theorem 2.2.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, τ_X) be a topological space, (X, σ_X) a measurable space and $\mathcal{U} \subset \sigma_X$. If $\Omega \in \sigma(\mathfrak{U}^+) \times \sigma_X$, then X is weakly countably \mathfrak{R} -separated by $\mathcal{U} \cup \{\emptyset\}$.*

Proof. Put $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{U}^+$. Theorem 3.1 implies that there is $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ (\mathfrak{R} countable) which separates closed sets and points of X . This means that for each $C \in 2^X$ and each $x \notin C$ there is $\langle U \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that:

$$\alpha) \quad C \in \langle U \rangle \text{ and } C \cup \overline{\{x\}} \notin \langle U \rangle$$

or

$$\beta) \quad C \cup \overline{\{x\}} \in \langle U \rangle \text{ and } C \notin \langle U \rangle.$$

But $\alpha)$ is the same as saying that $C \subset U$ and $\overline{\{x\}} \not\subset U$, while $\beta)$ is not possible. It follows that the countable family $\{U: \langle U \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$ weakly separates closed sets and points of X . \square

The following theorem summarizes the results obtained so far.

Some interesting special formulations of it can be obtained, according to remarks 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4.

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, τ_X) be a topological space, (X, σ_X) a measurable space and $\mathcal{U} \subset \sigma_X$, with $\emptyset \in \mathcal{U}$. If we consider the following:*

- 1) X is countably R -separated by \mathcal{U} ,
- 2) conditions of theorem 2.2,
- 3) conditions of theorem 2.4,

then we have: 1) \Rightarrow 3) \Rightarrow 2).

If, also, \mathcal{U} satisfies the property 2.1, then 2) \Rightarrow 1) and hence 1) \Leftrightarrow 2) \Leftrightarrow 3).

As an example of how theorem 3.3 can be used, we conclude with the following two theorems which state some relations among cR -separation, cT_0R -separation and the second axiom of countability.

Theorem 3.4. *The following conditions are equivalent for a topological space (X, τ_X) :*

- 1) X is cR -separated;
- 2) X is cT_0R -separated and every open set is a F_σ .

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2): it follows from the definitions.

2) \Rightarrow 1): for each measurable space (T, σ_T) and each closed-valued and measurable multifunction $F: T \rightarrow X$, F is weakly measurable (because every open set is a F_σ , see theorem 1 of [8]); then, X being cT_0R -separated, it follows, by 1) \Rightarrow 3) of theorem 3.3 (in which $\mathcal{U} = \tau_X \cup \mathcal{F}(X)$) that $Gr(F) \in \sigma_T \times \sigma(\tau_X)$. Moreover, X is R_0 (still because every open set is a F_σ) and hence τ_X satisfies the property 2.1. Thus 1) follows by 3) \Rightarrow 1) of theorem 3.3 (in which $\mathcal{U} = \tau_X$). \square

Theorem 3.5. *A second countable topological space in which every open set is a F_σ is cR -separated.*

Proof. It follows by 2) \Rightarrow 1) of theorem 3.4, taking into account that a second countable topological space is, obviously, cT_0R -separated. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] ARDIZZONE, L.—AVERNA, D.: Misurabilità delle funzioni astratte di più variabili. Atti Accad. Sci. Lett. Arti Palermo, Ser. V Parte I, VI, 1985/86, 25—37.
- [2] AVERNA, D.: Separation properties in X and 2^X . Upper semicontinuous and measurable multifunction. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, to appear.
- [3] DAVIS, A. S.: Indexed systems of neighborhoods for general topological spaces. Amer. Mat Monthly, 68, 1961, 886—893.
- [4] DRAVECKÝ, J.—NEUBRUN, T.: Measurability of functions of two variables. Matematický Časopis, 23, 1973, 147—157.
- [5] HALMOS P. R.: Measure theory. Van Nostrand, N. Y., 1950.
- [6] HOU S. H.: Implicit function theorem in topological spaces. Appl. Analysis, 13, 1982, 209—217.
- [7] MICHAEL E.: Topologies on spaces of subsets. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 71, 1951, 152—182.
- [8] TOMA V.: On measurability of binary relations. Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, 38, 1981, 53—61.
- [9] WAGNER D. H.: Survey of measurable selection theorems. SIAM J. Control and Optimization, 15 n. 5, 1977, 859—903.

Received April 26, 1989

*Dipartimento di Matematica
ed Applicazioni
Facoltà di Ingegneria
Viale delle Scienze 901 28 Palermo
ITALY*