

Marta Vrábelová

On the conditional expectation in a regular ordered space

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 38 (1988), No. 2, 159--166

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136469>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION IN A REGULAR ORDERED SPACE

MARTA VRÁBELOVÁ

The conditional expectation of functions defined on an arbitrary probability space with values in a regular ordered space is defined in the paper presented. We use the Bochner integral defined in [2]. The integration theory in ordered spaces is elaborated in papers [4], [8], too. The conditional expectation of functions with values in a Banach lattice and its applications can be found in [1], [5], [6], [7].

1. Notations and notions

We suppose that (Ω, \mathcal{S}, P) is a probability space.

We say that X is a regular ordered space if X is a σ -complete vector lattice (that is X is a vector lattice such that every non-empty at most countable subset of X which is bounded from above has a supremum) and X has the diagonal property (that is for any $x_{n,k} \in X$ ($n, k = 1, 2, \dots$), any $x_n \in X$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and any $x \in X$ such that $x_{n,k} \rightarrow x_n$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) for all n and $x_n \rightarrow x$, there exists for every n an appropriate $k = k(n)$ such that $x_{n,k(n)} \rightarrow x$). In the preceding we use the convergence with respect to the ordering ($x_n \rightarrow x$ iff there exist $a_n \in X$, $a_n \searrow 0$ and $|x_n - x| \leq a_n$ for all n).

If $f_n, f: \Omega \rightarrow X$, then

- (i) $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $A \in \mathcal{S}$ iff there exist $a_n \in X$, $a_n \searrow 0$ such that $|f_n(\omega) - f(\omega)| \leq a_n$ for every $\omega \in A$ and every n ; $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly iff $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on Ω ,
- (ii) $f_n \rightarrow f$ almost uniformly iff for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $A \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $P(\Omega - A) < \varepsilon$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on A ,
- (iii) $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly almost everywhere iff there exists $A \in \mathcal{S}$, $P(A) = 0$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $\Omega - A$.

A function $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is a simple function iff $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{A_i}$, where $a_i \in X$, $A_i \in \mathcal{S}$

($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = \Omega$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ ($i \neq j$).

The integral of the simple function f is defined by $\int f \, dP = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i P(A_i)$.

1.1. Definition. A function $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is called Bochner integrable iff there exist f_n simple such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ almost uniformly and

$$\bigvee_{i,j \geq n} \int |f_i - f_j| \, dP \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $\int f \, dP = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n \, dP$.

If f is Bochner integrable and $A \in \mathcal{S}$, then $f \chi_A$ is Bochner integrable and we define

$$\int_A f \, dP = \int f \chi_A \, dP.$$

If $f: \Omega \rightarrow R$ (R is the set of the real numbers), f is measurable and integrable, $a \in X$, then af is Bochner integrable and

$$\int af \, dP = a \int f \, dP.$$

2. A random variable

2.1. Definition. Denote $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}) = \{f: \Omega \rightarrow X; f_n \text{ simple, } f_n \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly}\}$ and $L(\mathcal{S}) = \{f: \Omega \rightarrow X; \exists f' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}), f = f' \text{ almost everywhere}\}$. A function $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is called an X -random variable iff $f \in L(\mathcal{S})$.

2.2. Theorem. If $f_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, then $f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.

Proof. Since $f_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, there exist $f_{n,k}$ simple ($n, k = 1, 2, \dots$) and $a_{n,k} \in X$ ($n, k = 1, 2, \dots$), $a_{n,k} \searrow 0$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) such that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and any k

$$|f_{n,k}(\omega) - f_n(\omega)| \leq a_{n,k} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

By the diagonal property for every n there exist $k = k(n)$ such that $a_{n,k(n)} \rightarrow 0$, which implies that there exist $b_n \in X$, $b_n \searrow 0$ such that $a_{n,k(n)} \leq b_n$. Now from the fact that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly there exist $c_n \in X$, $c_n \searrow 0$ such that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and any n

$$|f_{n,k(n)}(\omega) - f(\omega)| \leq |f_{n,k(n)}(\omega) - f_n(\omega)| + |f_n(\omega) - f(\omega)| \leq b_n + c_n.$$

We see that $f_{n,k(n)}$ are simple and $f_{n,k(n)} \rightarrow f$ uniformly. Hence $f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.

2.3. Remark. From Theorem 2.2 and the definition of $L(\mathcal{S})$ it follows that if $f_n \in L(\mathcal{S})$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly almost everywhere, then $f \in L(\mathcal{S})$ and it is easy to show that $f, g \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ ($L(\mathcal{S})$) implies $f + g, f \vee g, f \wedge g, cf, |f| \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ ($L(\mathcal{S})$).

3. A conditional expectation

Let $\mathcal{S}_0 \subset \mathcal{S}$ be a σ -algebra. The version of the conditional expectation $E(f/\mathcal{S}_0)$ of a random variable f in the real case is an \mathcal{S}_0 -measurable function for which

$$\int_A f \, dP = \int_A E(f/\mathcal{S}_0) \, dP$$

holds for any $A \in \mathcal{S}_0$. If g, h are two versions of the conditional expectation of f , then $g = h$ P/\mathcal{S}_0 almost everywhere. Further, the operator $E(\cdot/\mathcal{S}_0)$ has the following properties:

- (1) if f, g are random variables and c is real, then
 $E(f + g/\mathcal{S}_0) = E(f/\mathcal{S}_0) + E(g/\mathcal{S}_0) P/\mathcal{S}_0$ a.e. and
 $E(cf/\mathcal{S}_0) = cE(f/\mathcal{S}_0) P/\mathcal{S}_0$ a.e.,
- (2) if f, g are random variables and $f \geq g$, then
 $E(f/\mathcal{S}_0) \geq E(g/\mathcal{S}_0) P/\mathcal{S}_0$ a.e.,
- (3) if f_n are random variables and $f_n \rightarrow 0$, then
 $E(f_n/\mathcal{S}_0) \rightarrow 0 P/\mathcal{S}_0$ a.e.

We shall define the conditional expectation for the functions from $L(\mathcal{S})$ now.

3.1. Definition. (i) If $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is simple, $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{A_i}$, then we define the version of a conditional expectation of f with respect to \mathcal{S}_0 by the formula $J_0(f) = E(f/\mathcal{S}_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i P(A_i/\mathcal{S}_0)$, where $P(A_i/\mathcal{S}_0)$ is the conditional probability of the set A_i .

(ii) If $f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ and f_n are simple such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, then we define

$$J(f) = E(f/\mathcal{S}_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(f_n/\mathcal{S}_0).$$

(iii) If $f \in L(\mathcal{S})$ and $f' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, $f = f'$ a.e., then we put

$$J(f) = E(f/\mathcal{S}_0) = J(f').$$

3.2. Remark. It is evident that if f is simple, $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{A_i}$ and $f = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \chi_{B_j}$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i P(A_i/\mathcal{S}_0) = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j P(B_j/\mathcal{S}_0) P/\mathcal{S}_0 \text{ a.e.}$$

and that J_0 fulfils the properties (1) and (2). If f_n are simple and $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly,

then there exists $A \in \mathcal{S}_0$, $P(A) = 0$ and there exist $a_n \in X$, $a_n \searrow 0$ such that for any n and every $\omega \in \Omega - A$ we have (by (2))

$$|J_0(f_n)(\omega)| \leq J_0(|f_n|)(\omega) \leq J_0(a_n) = a_n.$$

Then $J_0(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly P/\mathcal{S}_0 almost everywhere.

3.3. Lemma. *If f is simple, then $J_0(f) \in L(\mathcal{S}_0)$ and for all $A \in \mathcal{S}_0$*

$$\int_A f \, dP = \int_A J_0(f) \, dP.$$

Proof. Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{A_i}$. Then $J_0(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i P(A_i/\mathcal{S}_0)$, where $P(A_i/\mathcal{S}_0)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is an \mathcal{S}_0 -measurable, almost everywhere bounded real-valued function. Then there exists a sequence $(g_{i,k})_k$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) of simple \mathcal{S}_0 -measurable real-valued functions such that $g_{i,k} \rightarrow P(A_i/\mathcal{S}_0)$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly a.e. ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Hence

$$h_k = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_{i,k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

is simple and $h_k \rightarrow J_0(f)$ uniformly a.e., which implies $J_0(f) \in L(\mathcal{S}_0)$.

If $A \in \mathcal{S}_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A J_0(f) \, dP &= \int_A \sum_{i=1}^n a_i P(A_i/\mathcal{S}_0) \, dP = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_A P(A_i/\mathcal{S}_0) \, dP = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i P(A_i \cap A) = \int_A f \, dP. \end{aligned}$$

3.4. Lemma. *Let f_n be simple functions and let $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly. Then the following conditions hold:*

$$(i) \quad \bigvee_{i,j \geq n} \int |f_i - f_j| \, dP \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

(that is f is Bochner integrable),

$$(ii) \quad \bigvee_{i,j \geq n} J_0(|f_i - f_j|) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \text{ uniformly a.e.}$$

Proof. There exist $a_n \in X$, $a_n \searrow 0$ such that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and any n we have $|f_n(\omega) - f(\omega)| \leq a_n$. Then for $i, j \geq n$ and for any $\omega \in \Omega$ we get

$$|f_i(\omega) - f_j(\omega)| \leq |f_i(\omega) - f(\omega)| + |f(\omega) - f_j(\omega)| \leq a_i + a_j \leq 2a_n \quad \text{and} \quad 2a_n \searrow 0.$$

The proofs of (i) and (ii) follow from the positivity of the integral and the property (2) of J_0 .

3.5. Remark. It is easy to show that if $f \in L(\mathcal{S})$, then f is Bochner integrable.

3.6. Lemma. *If $f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ and f_n, g_n are simple such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly and $g_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_0(f_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_0(g_n) P/\mathcal{S}_0 \text{ a.e..}$$

If f is simple, then $J(f) = J_0(f) P/\mathcal{S}_0$ a.e..

Proof. If f_n, g_n are simple and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, $g_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, then $f_n - g_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly, that is there exist $a_n \in X$, $a_n \searrow 0$ such that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and any n we have $|f_n(\omega) - g_n(\omega)| \leq a_n$. Then from the property (2) of J_0 there exist $A \in \mathcal{S}_0$, $P(A) = 0$ and

$$|J_0(f_n)(\omega) - J_0(g_n)(\omega)| = |J_0(f_n - g_n)(\omega)| \leq J_0(|f_n - g_n|)(\omega) \leq a_n$$

for any n and every $\omega \in \Omega - A$, which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_0(f_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_0(g_n) P/\mathcal{S}_0 \text{ a.e..}$$

From the preceding $J(f) = J_0(f) P/\mathcal{S}_0$ a.e. for f simple holds.

We shall show the existence of $J(f) P/\mathcal{S}_0$ a.e..

3.7. Lemma. *If f_n are simple and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_0(f_n)$ exists almost everywhere.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{i=n}^{\infty} J_0(f_i)(\omega) \leq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{j=n}^{\infty} J_0(f_j)(\omega)$$

for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$.

By Lemma 3.4 and Remark 3.2 we have

$$|J_0(f_i)(\omega) - J_0(f_j)(\omega)| \leq J_0(|f_i - f_j|)(\omega) \leq a_n$$

for $i, j \geq n$ and almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, where $a_n \in X$, $a_n \searrow 0$. Now $J_0(f_i)(\omega) \leq J_0(f_j)(\omega) + a_n$ for $i, j \geq n$ and almost every $\omega \in \Omega$. Then

$$\bigvee_{i \geq n} J_0(f_i)(\omega) \leq \bigwedge_{j \geq n} J_0(f_j)(\omega) + a_n$$

for any n and almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ and hence

$$\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{i \geq n} J_0(f_i)(\omega) \leq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{j \geq n} J_0(f_j)(\omega)$$

for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$.

3.8. Lemma. *The operator J fulfils the properties (1), (2) and if $f_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly, then $J(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly a.e..*

Proof. If f_n, g_n are simple, $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, $g_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly and c is real, then $f_n + g_n \rightarrow f + g$ uniformly and $cf_n \rightarrow cf$ uniformly. Then by the property (1) of J_0 there exists $A \in \mathcal{S}_0$, $P(A) = 0$ such that for every $\omega \in \Omega - A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(f + g)(\omega) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_0(f_n + g_n)(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_0(f_n)(\omega) + \\ &+ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_0(g_n)(\omega) = J(f)(\omega) + J(g)(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show it for cf and hence J fulfils (1).

If f_n, g_n are simple, $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, $g_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly and $f \leq g$, then $h_n = f_n \wedge g_n \rightarrow f \wedge g = f$ uniformly, $h_n \leq g_n$. Now (by the property (2) of J_0)

$$J(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_0(h_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_0(g_n) = J(g) \text{ a.e.}$$

and J fulfils (2).

If $f_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly, then there exist $a_n \in X$, $a_n \searrow 0$ such that $|f_n(\omega)| \leq a_n$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and any n . Then there exists $A \in \mathcal{S}_0$, $P(A) = 0$ such that for every $\omega \in \Omega - A$

$$|J(f_n)(\omega)| \leq J(|f_n|)(\omega) \leq a_n$$

for any n . Hence $J(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly a.e..

3.9. Lemma. If $f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, then $J(f) \in L(\mathcal{S}_0)$ and for all $A \in \mathcal{S}_0$ $\int_A f \, dP = \int_A J(f) \, dP$.

Proof. $J(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_0(f_n)$, where f_n are simple, $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, $J_0(f_n) \in L(\mathcal{S}_0)$ (Lemma 3.3). Hence there exist $a_n \in X$, $a_n \searrow 0$ and $|f(\omega) - f_n(\omega)| \leq a_n$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and any n . Then there exists $A \in \mathcal{S}_0$, $P(A) = 0$ such that for every $\omega \in \Omega - A$

$$|J(f)(\omega) - J_0(f_n)(\omega)| = |J(f - f_n)(\omega)| \leq J(|f - f_n|)(\omega) \leq a_n$$

for any n and hence we have $J_0(f_n) \rightarrow J(f)$ uniformly a.e.. By Remarks 2.3 and 3.5 we get $J_0(f_n), J(f)$ are Bochner integrable. Let $A \in \mathcal{S}_0$. Then by Lemma 3.3 and by the continuity of the integral we get

$$\int_A f \, dP = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n \, dP = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A J_0(f_n) \, dP = \int_A J(f) \, dP.$$

3.10. Lemma. Let $f \in L(\mathcal{S})$, $h, g \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ and $f = g$ a.e., $f = h$ a.e., then $J(f) = J(g) = J(h)$ P/\mathcal{S}_0 a.e..

Proof. There exists $A \in \mathcal{S}$, $P(A) = 0$ such that $g\chi_A = h\chi_A$ and there exist f_n simple such that $f_n \rightarrow g\chi_A = h\chi_A$ uniformly. Then

$$J(h) = J(h\chi_{A'} + h\chi_A) = J(h\chi_{A'}) + J(h\chi_A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_0(f_n) + J(h\chi_A) \quad P/\mathcal{S}_0 \quad \text{a.e.},$$

$$J(g) = J(g\chi_{A'} + g\chi_A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_0(f_n) + J(g\chi_A) \quad P/\mathcal{S}_0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

We shall show that if $A \in \mathcal{S}$ and $P(A) = 0$, $h \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, then $J(h\chi_A) = 0 \quad P/\mathcal{S}_0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Let h be simple, $h = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{A_i}$. Then

$$J(h\chi_A) = J_0(h\chi_A) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i P(A_i \cap A/\mathcal{S}_0) = 0 \quad P/\mathcal{S}_0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

If $h \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, then $J(h\chi_A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_0(h_n \chi_A)$, where h_n are simple, $h_n \rightarrow h$ uniformly and hence $J(h\chi_A) = 0 \quad P/\mathcal{S}_0 \quad \text{a.e.}$ From the preceding we get $J(h) = J(g) \quad P/\mathcal{S}_0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

3.11. Theorem. *The operator J' fulfils the property (1), (2) and (3) for the uniform convergence almost everywhere. If $f \in L(\mathcal{S})$, then $J'(f) \in L(\mathcal{S}_0)$ and $\int_A f \, dP = \int_A J'(f) \, dP$ for every $A \in \mathcal{S}_0$.*

Proof. The proof is wvident.

REFERENCES

- [1] CHATTERJI, S. D.: Martingale convergence and the Radon-Nikodym theorem in Banach spaces. *Math. Scand.* 22 (1968), 21—41.
- [2] CRISTESCU, R.: *Spatii liniare si operatori liniari.* Bucuresti 1971.
- [3] LUXEMBURG, W. A. J.—ZANNEN, A. C.: *Riesz Spaces I.* North-Holland, 1971.
- [4] POTOCKÝ, R.: On random variables having values in a vector lattice. *Math. Slov.* 27, 1977, No. 3, 267—276.
- [5] SZULGA, J.: Boundedness and convergence of Banach lattice valued submartingales. Preprint.
- [6] SZULGA, J.: Three series theorem for martingales in Banach spaces. *Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des sciences math., astr. et phys.*, vol. XXV, No. 2, 1977.
- [7] SZULGA, J.—WOYCZYNSKI, W. A.: Convergence of submartingales in Banach lattices. *The Annals of Probability* 1976, Vol. 4, No. 3, 464—469.
- [8] ŠIPOŠ, J.: Integration in partially ordered linear spaces. *Math. Slov.* 31, 1981, No. 1, 39—51.

Received December 16, 1985

Katedra matematiky
Pedagogickej fakulty
Saratovská 19
949 74 Nitra

УСЛОВНОЕ МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКОЕ ОЖИДАНИЕ В РЕГУЛЯРНОМ ПРОСТРАНСТВЕ

Marta Vráblová

Резюме

В статье определено условное математическое ожидание случайной величины со значениями в регулярном пространстве, которая является равномерным пределом последовательности простых случайных величин.