

Jiří Rachůnek

$Z$ -subgroups of ordered groups

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 29 (1979), No. 1, 39--41

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136197>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Z-SUBGROUPS OF ORDERED GROUPS

JIŘÍ RACHŮNEK

In this paper the concept of a  $z$ -subgroup of a lattice-ordered group is generalized for an ordered group (henceforth a  $po$ -group). Properties of  $z$ -subgroups are investigated for the case of a 2-isolated regular  $po$ -group with the property (II).

A  $po$ -group  $G = (G, +, \leq)$  will be called 2-isolated if it holds: If  $a \in G$  satisfies  $a \geq -a$ , then  $a \geq 0$ . A  $po$ -group  $G$  is said to be regular if the existence of  $\inf(a, b)$  in  $G^+$  implies the existence of  $\inf(a, b)$  in  $G$  for  $a, b \in G^+$ . We say that a  $po$ -group  $G$  has the property (II) if for each  $a \in G$  there exists  $a \vee -a = \sup_G(a, -a)$ . (See also [3].)

We shall denote the set of all directed convex subgroups of a  $po$ -group  $G$  by  $\Gamma(G)$ , the set of all convex subsemigroups with 0 of  $G^+$  by  $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ . In [2, Theorems 2.1, 2.2, 2.3] it is proved that  $\Gamma(G)$ ,  $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$  ordered by the inclusion form isomorphic complete lattices and that the infimum in  $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$  is determined by the intersection.

Let  $G$  be a  $po$ -group,  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in G$ . We denote  $U(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \{x \in G; a_i \leq x \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $L(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \{y \in G; y \leq a_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . For any element  $x \in G$  we write  $|x| = U(x, -x)$ .  $x, y \in G$  will be called disjoint (notation  $x\delta y$ ) if there exist  $x_1 \in |x|, y_1 \in |y|$  such that  $x_1 \wedge y_1 = 0$ . ( $x_1 \wedge y_1$  means  $\inf_G(x_1, y_1)$ .) For  $\Phi \neq A \subseteq G$  we denote  $A^\delta = \{x \in G; a\delta x \text{ for all } a \in A\}$ . For  $x \in G$  we write  $x^\delta = \{x\}^\delta$ . If  $A^\delta \neq \Phi$ , then  $A^\delta$  will be called a  $\delta$ -polar of the set  $A$ . (See [3].)  $A^{\delta\delta}$  means  $(A^\delta)^\delta$ . If  $A^\delta \neq \Phi$ , then  $A \subseteq A^{\delta\delta}$ ,  $A^\delta = A^{\delta\delta\delta}$ . For  $A^\delta \neq \Phi, B^\delta \neq \Phi, A \subseteq B$  implies  $B^\delta \subseteq A^\delta$ . By [3, Proposition 2.5], any  $\delta$ -polar of a 2-isolated  $po$ -group with the property (II) is an element of  $\Gamma(G)$ . Moreover, the set of all  $\delta$ -polars of a 2-isolated regular  $po$ -group with the property (II) ordered by the inclusion is a complete Boolean algebra and the infimum is formed by the intersection. ([3, Theorem 2.6].)

Finally, if  $G$  is a  $po$ -group, then  $M \in \Gamma(G)$  will be called a  $r$ -subgroup of  $G$  if for any  $a \in M, b \in G, a^\delta = b^\delta$  implies  $b \in M$ . (For an  $l$ -group see e.g. [1].)

**Theorem 1.** Let  $G$  be a 2-isolated regular  $po$ -group with the property (II),  $M \in \Gamma(G)$ . Then the  $z$ -subgroup generated by  $M$  is  $\bar{M} = \bigvee_{a \in M} a^{\delta\delta}$ .

Proof. Let  $M \in \Gamma(G)$ ,  $x, y \in \bar{M}$ . Then by [3, Lemma of Proposition 2.8] there exist elements  $a, b \in M^+$  such that  $x \in a^{\delta\delta}$ ,  $y \in b^{\delta\delta}$ , hence  $x - y \in a^{\delta\delta} \vee b^{\delta\delta} = (a + b)^{\delta\delta}$ , therefore  $x - y \in \bar{M}$ , and so  $\bar{M}$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

Let  $a \in \bar{M}$  (i.e.  $a \in b^{\delta\delta}$ , where  $b \in M$ ),  $|x| \supseteq |a|$ . Then  $x \vee -x \leq a \vee -a$ , and thus  $x^\delta \supseteq a^\delta$ , hence  $x^{\delta\delta} \subseteq a^{\delta\delta}$ . Therefore  $x \in x^{\delta\delta} \subseteq a^{\delta\delta} \subseteq b^{\delta\delta}$  holds, and this means  $x \in \bar{M}$ . Hence, by [3, Lemma 2 of Proposition 2.5], we obtain  $\bar{M} \in \Gamma(G)$ .

Now, let  $x \in \bar{M}$ ,  $y \in G$ ,  $x^\delta = y^\delta$ . Then there exists  $a \in M$  such that  $x \in a^{\delta\delta}$ , thus  $y \in y^{\delta\delta} = x^{\delta\delta} \subseteq a^{\delta\delta}$  holds, and consequently  $y \in \bar{M}$ . Therefore  $\bar{M}$  is a  $z$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

Let us show that  $\bar{M}$  is the smallest  $z$ -subgroup of  $G$  containing  $M$ . Let us suppose that for a  $z$ -subgroup  $Z$  of  $G$  there holds  $M \subseteq Z$ . If  $0 \leq a \in M$ ,  $0 \leq x \in a^{\delta\delta}$  (i.e.  $x \in \bar{M}^+$ ), then by [3, Proposition 2.8]  $(x + a)^\delta = x^\delta \wedge a^\delta = a^\delta$ , hence  $x + a \in Z$ . Consequently  $0 \leq x \leq x + a$ ,  $x + a \in Z$ , therefore by the convexity of  $Z$  we obtain  $x \in Z$ . This implies  $\bar{M}^+ \subseteq Z^+$ , thus also  $\bar{M} \subseteq Z$ .

Let  $G$  be a  $po$ -group. Then  $S \in \bar{\Gamma}(G)$  will be called a  $z$ -subsemigroup of  $G^+$  if  $x^\delta = y^\delta$  implies  $y \in S$  for each  $x \in S$ ,  $y \in G^+$ . We denote the set of all  $z$ -subsemigroups of  $G^+$  by  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ , the set of all  $z$ -subgroups of  $G$  by  $\mathcal{L}(G)$ .

In [2, Theorem 2.1] it is proved that the mapping  $\varphi: \Gamma(G) \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}(G)$  given by  $A\varphi = A^+$  for each  $A \in \Gamma(G)$  is an isomorphism between the sets  $\Gamma(G)$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$  ordered by the inclusion and that  $S\varphi^{-1} = \langle S \rangle$  for each  $S \in \bar{\Gamma}(G)$ , where  $\langle S \rangle$  is the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $S$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $G$  be a 2-isolated regular  $po$ -group with the property (II). If  $M \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ , then  $M\varphi \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}(G)$  and if  $S \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ , then  $S\varphi^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ .

Proof. a) Let  $M \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ ,  $x \in M^+$ ,  $y \in G^+$ ,  $x^\delta = y^\delta$ . Then  $y \in M \cap G^+ = M^+$ , therefore  $M\varphi \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ .

b) Let  $S \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ ,  $u \in \langle S \rangle$ ,  $v \in G$ ,  $u^\delta = v^\delta$ . Then  $(u \vee -u)^\delta = (v \vee -v)^\delta$ . Hereby  $u \vee -u \in \langle S \rangle^+ = S$ ,  $v \vee -v \in G^+$ , thus  $v \vee -v \in S$ . And since  $-(v \vee -v) \leq v \leq v \vee -v$ , there holds (by the convexity of  $\langle S \rangle$ )  $v \in \langle S \rangle$ . Therefore  $S\varphi^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ .

**Theorem 3.** If  $G$  is a 2-isolated regular  $po$ -group with the property (II), then  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}(G)$  form isomorphic complete lattices that are closed  $\wedge$ -subsemilattices of  $\Gamma(G)$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ , respectively.

Proof. Let  $S_i \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}(G)$  ( $i \in I$ ),  $S = \bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$ . If  $x \in \langle S \rangle$ , then  $x^{\delta\delta} \subseteq \langle S_i \rangle$  for each  $i \in I$ , and since  $x^{\delta\delta}$  and  $\langle S_i \rangle$  belong to  $\Gamma(G)$ ,  $(x^{\delta\delta})^+ \subseteq S_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . Hence  $(x^{\delta\delta})^+ \subseteq S$ . But this means that  $x^{\delta\delta} \subseteq \langle S \rangle$ , thus  $\langle S \rangle \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ . Therefore  $S \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ . And since  $G^+ \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ , then  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}(G)$  is a complete lattice. The rest is evident.

In [3, Corollary 1 of Proposition 1.2] it is proved that for each positive element  $a$  of a 2-isolated  $po$ -group  $G$ , the smallest directed convex subgroup of  $G$  containing  $a$  is  $C(a) = \{x \in G; |x| \supseteq |na| \text{ for a positive integer } n\}$ . If  $G$  has also the property (II) and if  $b$  is an arbitrary element of  $G$ , then each directed convex subgroup  $B$  of  $G$  containing  $b$  contains  $b \vee -b$ , too, therefore  $B \supseteq C(b \vee -b)$ . It follows that the smallest directed convex subgroup  $C(b)$  containing  $b$  is equal to  $C(b \vee -b)$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $G$  be a 2-isolated regular  $po$ -group with the property (II). Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\Gamma(G) = \mathcal{X}(G)$ .
- (2)  $C(a) = a^{\delta\delta}$  for each  $a \in G$ .
- (3)  $C(a) = C(b)$  if and only if  $a^{\delta\delta} = b^{\delta\delta}$  for each  $a, b \in G$ .

Proof. 1  $\Rightarrow$  2: Let  $a \in G$ . By the assumption  $C(a) \in \mathcal{X}(G)$ , hence  $a^{\delta\delta} \subseteq C(a)$ . And since  $a^{\delta\delta} \in \Gamma(G)$ ,  $C(a) \subseteq a^{\delta\delta}$  always holds.

2  $\Rightarrow$  3: Trivial.

3  $\Rightarrow$  1: Let  $M \in \Gamma(G)$ ,  $a \in M$ ,  $b \in G$ ,  $a^\delta = b^\delta$ . Then  $a^{\delta\delta} = b^{\delta\delta}$ , thus  $b \in C(b) = C(a) \subseteq M$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BIGARD, A.: Contribution à la théorie des groupes réticulés, Thèse Fac. Sci. Paris, 1969.
- [2] RACHŮNEK, J.: Directed convex subgroups of ordered groups, Acta Univ. Palack. Olomucensis, Fac. Rer. Nat., 41, 1973, 39—46.
- [3] RACHŮNEK, J.: Prime subgroups of ordered groups, Czechoslov. Math. J., 24 (99), 1974, 541—551.

Received March 10, 1977

*Katedra algebr a geometrie  
Přirodovědecké fakulty Univerzity Palackého  
Leninova 26  
771 46 Olomouc*

#### Z-ПОДГРУППЫ УПОРЯДОЧЕННЫХ ГРУПП

Йиржи Рахунек

Резюме

В статье обобщено понятие  $z$ -подгруппы из теории решёточно упорядоченных групп для любых упорядоченных групп. В частности, здесь показаны некоторые основные свойства  $z$ -подгрупп в случае 2-изолированных регулярных упорядоченных групп, в которых существует  $\sup(a, -a)$  для любого элемента  $a$ .