

Tibor Neubrunn

A note on mappings of Baire spaces

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 27 (1977), No. 2, 173--176

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136141>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A NOTE ON MAPPINGS OF BAIRE SPACES

TIBOR NEUBRUNN

There are some assertions concerning Baire spaces in the proof of which the following false statement is used:

(1) *If f is a one-to-one, feebly continuous mapping from X onto Y , then f is almost continuous.* (See, e.g. [4] p. 217).

We shall deal with the following three assertions of the mentioned type.

(2) *If f is a one-to-one feebly continuous and feebly open mapping of X onto Y , then X is a Baire space if and only if Y is a Baire space.*

(3) *If f is a one-to-one feebly continuous and feebly open mapping of X onto Y , then X is totally inexhaustible if and only if Y is totally inexhaustible.*

(4) *If f is a one-to-one feebly continuous and feebly open mapping of a regular space X onto a totally inexhaustible space Y , then X is a Baire space.*

Concerning (2), see [3], Corollary p. 383. As to (3) and (4), see [4], Corollaries 3.6 and 3.7.

We shall prove that (2) is true while (3) and (4) are false. Note that all the results of [3] are correct. The only place where (1) was used is the assertion (2), which is also true. Among the correct results of [4], the false corollaries (3) and (4) appear. In their proofs (1) was used.

A topological space X is said to be a Baire space if the intersection of any sequence $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of dense open sets in X is dense in X . It is said to be inexhaustible if it is not of the first category (or, in the notations of [1], if it is not a meagre set) relative to itself.

Hence a space X is inexhaustible if and only if it is not a countable union of closed nowhere dense sets in X or, which is the same, if the intersection of any countable sequence $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of open dense sets is nonempty.

A topological space X is said to be totally inexhaustible, (totally non-meagre in the notations of [1]) if any closed subspace of X is inexhaustible.

A mapping from X onto Y is said to be feebly continuous (feebly open) if for any nonempty open set $V \subset Y$ ($U \subset X$), the set $\text{int}(f^{-1}(V))$ ($\text{int}(f(U))$) is nonempty.

A mapping from X onto Y is said to be almost continuous if $f^{-1}(G) \subset \overline{\text{int} f^{-1}(G)}$ for any open set $G \subset Y$.

Note that the notion "almost continuous" as defined above is known in literature

also under different names. We omit various equivalent definitions and different names of this notion.

Proposition 1. *Statement (1) is not true.*

For the proof it is sufficient to take $X = Y = (-\infty, \infty)$ with the topology of the real line and to put $f(x) = x$, if $x \neq 0$, $x \neq 1$, $f(0) = 1$, $f(1) = 0$. Clearly f is one-to-one feebly continuous moreover it is also feebly open. If $G = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \subset Y$, then $f^{-1}(G) = (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup \{1\}$. Hence $f^{-1}(G) \not\subset \overline{\text{int } f^{-1}(G)}$. Thus f is not almost continuous.

Now we shall give the proof of (2).

Theorem. *If f is a one-to-one feebly continuous and feebly open mapping of X onto Y , then X is a Baire space if and only if Y is a Baire space.*

Proof. Let $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of open sets which are dense in Y . We shall prove that the sets $\text{int } f^{-1}(G_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are dense in X . Let $x_0 \in X$, n arbitrarily fixed, and U any neighbourhood containing x_0 . Since f is feebly open, we have $\text{int } f(U) \neq \emptyset$. Hence a nonempty open set V exists such that $V \subset f(U)$. The set $V \cap G_n$ is a nonempty open set. Since f is also feebly continuous, we have $\emptyset \neq W = \text{int } (f^{-1}(V \cap G_n))$.

But

$$W \subset f^{-1}(V \cap G_n) \subset f^{-1}(f(U)) = U.$$

Since U was an arbitrary neighbourhood of x_0 and W is a nonempty open subset of $f^{-1}(G_n)$ contained in U , the statement $x_0 \in \overline{\text{int } (f^{-1}(G_n))}$ is true. Thus $Z_n = \text{int } f^{-1}(G_n)$ are nonempty open and dense subsets of X . Since X is a Baire space, the set $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n$ is dense in X . This and the feeble continuity of f imply that $f(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n)$ is dense in $f(X) = Y$.

Since $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n \supset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f(Z_n) \supset f(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n)$, the set $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ is dense in Y .

The “only if” part follows from the fact that the inverse mapping f^{-1} is also feebly continuous and feebly open.

Note that the above theorem remains to be true if the words “ X is a Baire space, Y is a Baire space” are substituted by words “ X is of the second category, Y is of the second category”. For this case the proof is quite analogical. This case is also included in [2], see Theorem 17, Corollary 17.1, where statement (1) was not used.

In Theorem 18 of [2], (1) was used only indirectly. In fact in 18 only the fact was used that (2) is true. As we have seen (2) is true, hence Theorem 18 of [2] and its proof are correct.

Proposition 2. *Statements (3) and (4) are not true.*

Proof. Denote successively by Q, R, Z, N the following subsets of E^2 : the set of all $(r, 0)$, where r is rational; the set of all $(x, 0)$ where x is real; the set of all $(0, y)$, where y is real; the set of all $(0, n)$, where n is a positive integer. Put $X = E^2 - R, Y = X \cup Q$. Both X and Y will be considered with the topology given by the Euclidean metric.

The space X is totally inexhaustible. In fact we may consider X as a subspace of E^2 . If F is a nonempty closed subset of X , then the closure \bar{F} (in E^2) is of the form $\bar{F} = (\bar{F} \cap R) \cup F$. The set \bar{F} is a nonempty and closed subset of E^2 , hence it is of the second category in itself. The set $\bar{F} \cap R$ is nowhere dense in \bar{F} as can be immediately verified. Hence F is of the second category in \bar{F} . The last implies that F is of the second category in itself. Thus we have that F is totally inexhaustible.

The space Y is not totally inexhaustible. It is sufficient to take the subspace $Q \subset Y$, which is closed in Y , but it is of the first category.

We shall define now a feebly continuous and feebly open mapping from X onto Y . First of all let φ be some one-to-one function from N onto Q . Let ψ be some one-to-one function from $Z - N$ onto Z .

Define $f: X \rightarrow Y$ as:

$$f(t) = \begin{array}{lll} t & \text{if} & t \notin Z \\ \varphi(t) & \text{if} & t \in N \\ \psi(t) & \text{if} & t \in Z - N. \end{array}$$

The function f is a one-to-one, feebly continuous and feebly open mapping of X onto Y . Thus (3) is not true. The fact that (4) is not true follows immediately if we consider instead of f the inverse mapping f^{-1} from the regular space Y onto the totally inexhaustible space X .

REFERENCES

- [1] BOURBAKI, N.: Topologie générale. Chapitre 9, Paris 1958.
- [2] GENTRY, K. R.—HOYLE, H. B.: Somewhat continuous functions. Czech. Math. J. 96, 1971, 5—12.
- [3] FROLÍK, Z.: Remarks concerning the invariance of Baire spaces under mappings. Czech. Math. J. 86, 1961, 381—385.
- [4] SRINIVASAN, J.: Some remarks of Baire spaces. Portugaliae Math. 26, 1967, 213—219.

Received March 2, 1976

*Katedra matematickej analýzy PFUK
Mlynská dolina
816 31 Bratislava*

ЗАМЕЧАНИЕ ОБ ОТОБРАЖЕНИЯХ БЭРОВСКИХ ПРОСТРАНСТВ

Тибор Нойбрун

Резюме

Образ бэровского пространства при значительно обобщенном гомеоморфизме является также бэровским пространством. Но при том же гомеоморфизме образ пространства, у которого каждое замкнутое подпространство второй категории, необязательно того же типа. Этих два утверждения появляются в работе, пополняя тем самым некоторые известные результаты в этом направлении.