

Applications of Mathematics

Wiktor Oktaba

Characterization of the multivariate Gauss-Markoff model with singular covariance matrix and missing values

Applications of Mathematics, Vol. 43 (1998), No. 2, 119–131

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/134380>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE MULTIVARIATE GAUSS-MARKOFF
MODEL WITH SINGULAR COVARIANCE MATRIX
AND MISSING VALUES

WIKTOR OKTABА, Lublin

(Received April 10, 1997)

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to characterize the Multivariate Gauss-Markoff model (*MGM*) as in (2.1) with singular covariance matrix and missing values. *MGMDP2* model and completed *MGMDP2Q* model are obtained by three transformations D , P and Q (cf. (3.21)) of *MGM*. The unified theory of estimation (Rao, 1973) which is of interest with respect to *MGM* has been used.

The characterization is reached by estimation of parameters: scalar σ^2 and linear combination $\lambda'\bar{B}$ ($\bar{B} = \text{vec}B$) as in (4.8), (4.6), (4.7) as well as by the model of the form (5.1) (cf. Th. 5.1). Moreover, testing linear hypothesis in the available model *MGMDP2* by test function F as in (6.3) and (6.4) is considered.

It is known (Oktaba 1992) that ten quantities in models *MGMDP2* and *MGMDP2Q* are identical (invariant). They permit to say that formulas for estimation and testing in both models are identical (Oktaba et al., 1988, Baksalary and Kala, 1981, Drygas, 1983).

An algorithm and the *UMGMBO* program for calculations concerning estimation and testing in *MGM* have been presented by Oktaba and Osypiuk (1993).

Keywords: multivariate Gauss-Markoff model, missing value, developed model, available model, completed model, elementary transformation, BLUE, estimation, testing, consistency, invariant

MSC 2000: 62H05

1. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The fundamental technique of estimation of a single missing value has been introduced by Allan and Wishart [1]. The following methods of missing data played general role: 1) Yates' [18] iteration method, 2) Wilkinson's one [17], 3) Biggers one [4] for experimental designs, 4) covariance analysis of Bartlett [3], 5) Hartley's and Hocking's [7] method of maximum likelihood and many others. Yates's technique

is connected with minimalizing the error sum of squares. In this way BLUE's of missing data are found.

R.A. Fisher [6] is the author of the rule that the residual sum of squares for the model with missing data is equal to the corresponding sum of squares if the missing values are replaced by the least square estimators.

The statistical literature concerning the topic of missing data is very large particularly after 1970. Special attention should be paid to the monograph by Little and Rubin [8]. Oktaba and al. [13], [14] present sufficient conditions for a linear transformation of a univariate Gauss-Markoff model $\varepsilon(y) = X\beta$, $D(y) = \sigma^2V$ preserving information needed for the estimation of the expected value, the scalar σ^2 , an estimable parametric function $\lambda'\beta$ and the test function F for verifying the linear hypothesis. Oktaba and al. [12], [13] discuss estimation and verification of hypotheses in some Zyskind-Martin [19] models with missing values as well as estimation of missing values in the general Gauss-Markoff model. Oktaba [10] presents the solution of prediction of missing values in the case of the multivariate Gauss-Markoff model.

The problem considered in the present paper is given by the title.

2. A MULTIVARIATE GAUSS-MARKOFF MODEL WITH MISSING DATA

Let us consider *MGM* model with missing values of the form

$$(2.1) \quad (U, XB, \sigma^2\Sigma \otimes V)$$

known matrices $\Sigma > 0$, $V \geq 0$, an unknown scalar $\sigma^2 > 0$, and

$$E(U) = XB, \quad Cov(U) = D(U) = \sigma^2\Sigma \otimes V.$$

U is an $n \times p$ known matrix of observations, X - an $n \times d$ known matrix, B —an $d \times p$ unknown matrix of parameters, \otimes —the Kronecker symbol of product of matrices.

Assume that m values are missing and $np - m$ are available in the matrix U . For each pair (j, j') , $j, j' = 1, 2, \dots, p$ of p columns of U there are at least four available observations which guarantee the calculation of covariance between characters j and j' , so $n - m_j \geq 2$, where m_j denotes the number of missing observations in the j th column of U ; $m = \sum_{j=1}^p m_j$.

Model (2.1) is consistent under the condition

$$(2.2) \quad R(U) \subset R(T),$$

where $T = V + XMX'$ and $M = M'$ is such a matrix that $R(X) \subset R(T)$ (Oktaba and al., [14]).

Let us note that $R(X) \subset R(T) \Leftrightarrow R(V) \subset R(T)$. Symbol $R(A)$ is reserved for the vector space spanned on the columns of the matrix A .

We shall use notation given in the following relation (C.R. Rao, [16]):

$$\begin{bmatrix} V & X \\ X' & 0 \end{bmatrix}^- = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ C_3 & -C_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} C_1 = T^- - T^-X(X'T^-X)^-X'T^-, \\ C_3 = C_2' = (X'T^-X)^-X'T^-, \\ C_4 = (X'T^-X)^- - M. \end{cases}$$

Symbol A^- denotes an arbitrary g -inverse of the matrix A , i.e., any solution to $AA^-A = A$.

3. TRANSFORMATIONS D , P AND Q OF THE MODEL MGM . COMPLETED MODEL $MGMDP2Q$

We wish to obtain models $MGMD$, $MGMDP$, $MGMDP1$, $MGMDP2$ and $MGMDP2Q$ by applying three transformations D , P and Q with respect to the multivariate model (2.1) with missing data.

3.1. Model $MGMD$

By developing the matrix U as in (2.1) we get the univariate model $MGMD$ of the form (Oktaba [10])

$$(3.1) \quad (\bar{U}, \bar{X}\bar{B}, \sigma^2\Sigma \otimes V) \Leftrightarrow (Y_D, X_D\beta_D, \sigma^2V_D)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Y_D &= \bar{U}, & X_D &= I \otimes X, & \beta_D &= \bar{B}, & V_D &= \Sigma \otimes V, \\ T_D &= V_D + X_D M_D X_D' = \Sigma \otimes T, & M_D &= M_D' = \Sigma \otimes M, \\ Y_D &\in R(T_D), & R(X_D) &\subset R(T_D) \Leftrightarrow R(X) \subset R(T). \end{aligned}$$

Here Y_D , X_D and V_D are known, β_D and σ^2 are unknown. Symbol \bar{A} denotes the development of the matrix A .

Lemma 3.1. We have (Oktaba [9], p. 161, corollary 3.1)

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_D & X_D \\ X_D' & 0 \end{bmatrix}^- = \begin{bmatrix} C_{1D} & C_{2D} \\ C_{3D} & -C_{4D} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad C_{1D} = \Sigma^{-1} \otimes C_1, \quad C'_{2D} = C_{3D} = I_p \otimes C_3, \quad C_{4D} = \Sigma \otimes C_4$$

The condition of consistency of the model in the form

$$(3.3) \quad Y_D \subset R(T_D)$$

is equivalent to formula (2.2).

[The proof is given in Oktaba ([11], th.2.1, pp. 128–129)].

3.2. Model *MGMGD*

By applying the elementary transformation P (Rao, [16], p. 17) we collect m missing values together to form subsequently a subvector Y_{DP1} with m missing observations and a vector of $(np - m)$ observed values Y_{DP2} , i.e.

$$(3.4) \quad Y_{DP} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{DP1} \\ Y_{DP2} \end{bmatrix} = P\bar{U} = PY_D.$$

Thus we get the model *MGM DP* of the form

$$(3.5) \quad (Y_{DP}, X_{DP}\beta_{DP}, \sigma^2 V_{DP})$$

under the notation and some with relations among models *MGM*, *MGMD* and *MGM DP*:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{DP} &= PY_D = P\bar{U} \subset R(T_{DP}), & X_{DP} &= PX_D = P(I_p \otimes X), \\ \beta_{DP} &= \beta_D = \bar{B}, & V_{DP} &= PV_D P' = P(\Sigma \otimes V)P', \\ M_{DP} &= M_D = \Sigma \otimes M, & T_{DP} &= PT_D P' = P(\Sigma \otimes T)P' \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} P = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \end{bmatrix}, & P'P = PP' = I_{np}, & |P| = \pm 1, & (PAP')^- = PA^-P', \\ P_1 P'_1 = I_m, & P_2 P'_2 = I_{np-m}, & P_1 P'_2 = 0, & P_2 P'_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

where P_1 and P_2 are $m \times np$ and $(np - m) \times np$ matrices, respectively.

Lemma 3.2. (Oktaba, [10], (3.2)). *Consistency in any one of the three models *MGM*, *MGMD* and *MGM DP* guarantees consistency in the other ones, i.e.*

$$R(U) \subset R(T) \Leftrightarrow \bar{U} \in R(T_D) \Leftrightarrow Y_{DP} \subset R(T_{DP}).$$

Lemma 3.3. We have (Oktaba, [10])

$$R(X_{DP}) \subset R(T_{DP}) \Leftrightarrow R(X_D) \subseteq R(T_D) \Leftrightarrow R(X) \subset R(T),$$

where

$$X_{DP} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{DP1} \\ X_{DP2} \end{bmatrix} = PX_D = P(I_p \otimes X) = \begin{bmatrix} P_1(I_p \otimes X) \\ P_2(I_p \otimes X) \end{bmatrix}$$

is an $np \times pd$ known matrix with

$$(3.7) \quad X_{DP1} = P_1(I_p \otimes X), \quad X_{DP2} = P_2(I_p \otimes X).$$

T_{DP2} is determined by the relation

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{DP2} &= PT_D P' = P(\Sigma \otimes T) P' \\ V_{DP} + X_{DP} M_{DP} X'_{DP} &= \begin{bmatrix} T_{DP1} & T_{DP12} \\ T_{DP21} & T_{DP2} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4. We have (Oktaba [10])

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1DP} &= P(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes C_1) P', \\ C'_{2DP} &= C_{3DP} = (I_p \otimes C_3) P' \\ C_{4DP} &= \Sigma \otimes C_4 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{DP} & X_{DP} \\ X'_{DP} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^- = \begin{bmatrix} C_{1DP} & C_{2DP} \\ C_{3DP} & -C_{4DP} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The available model $MGMDP2$ is of the form

$$(3.9) \quad (Y_{DP2}, X_{DP2} \beta_{DP2}, \sigma^2 V_{DP2}).$$

It is consistent under the condition $Y_{DP2} \in R(T_{DP2})$, where

$$T_{DP2} = V_{DP2} + X_{DP2} M_{DP2} X'_{DP2} = P_2 T_D P'_2 = P_2(\Sigma \otimes T) P'_2$$

is a known matrix, since X_{DP2} is as in (3.7) and $M_{DP} = M_D = \Sigma \otimes M = M_{DP2}$ is known.

We have

$$R(X) \subset R(T), \quad R(X_D) \subset R(T_D), \quad R(X_{DP2}) \subset R(T_{DP2})$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad V_{DP} = PV_D P' = P(\Sigma \otimes V)P' = \begin{bmatrix} V_{DP1} & V_{DP12} \\ V_{DP21} & V_{DP2} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $V_{DP2} = P_2(\Sigma \otimes V)P_2'$.

Moreover,

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{bmatrix} V_{DP2} & X_{DP2} \\ X'_{DP2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^- = \begin{bmatrix} C_{1DP2} & C_{2DP2} \\ C_{3DP2} & -C_{4DP2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence we get

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} C_{1DP2} = T_{DP2}^- - T_{DP2}^- X_{DP2} C_{3DP2}, \\ C_{3DP2} = C'_{2DP2} = (X'_{DP2} T_{DP2}^- X_{DP2})^- X'_{DP2} T_{DP2}^-, \\ C_{4DP2} = (X'_{DP2} T_{DP2}^- X_{DP2}) - M_{DP2}. \end{cases}$$

3.3. Model MGMDP2Q

The following known theorem (Oktaba, [10]) presents a predictor Y_{1DP} of missing values.

Theorem 3.5. *In the model MGMDP as in (3.5) a predictor of the vector Y_{DP1} of m missing values is*

$$(3.13) \quad \hat{Y}_{DP1} = -(K_1 + K'_1)^- (K_2 + K'_3) Y_{DP2} = Z Y_{DP2}$$

under the following two conditions:

$$(3.14) \quad \hat{Y}_{DP} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{Y}_{DP1} \\ Y_{DP2} \end{bmatrix} \in R(T_{DP}) = R[P(\Sigma \otimes T)P'],$$

$$(3.15) \quad (K_2 + K'_3) Y_{DP2} \in R(K_1 + K'_1)$$

where K_1 , K_2 , K_3 and K_4 are submatrices of the matrix

$$C_{1DP} = PC_{1D}P' = P(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes C_1)P' = \begin{bmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the $m \times (np - m)$ matrix Z is of the form

$$(3.16) \quad Z = -(K_1 + K'_1)^- (K_2 + K'_3)$$

where C_{1D} is as in (3.2).

Let us note that $K_1 = P_1 C_{1D} P_1'$, $K_2 = P_1 C_{1D} P_2'$, $K_3 = P_2 C_{1D} P_1'$, $K_4 = P_2 C_{1D} P_2'$. The predictor \hat{Y}_{DP1} as in (3.14) is unbiased iff

$$(3.17) \quad X_{DP1} = Z X_{DP2},$$

where $X_{DP} = [X'_{DP1} : X'_{DP2}]'$, $X_{DP2} = P_2(I_p \otimes X)$.

Premultiplying the vector Y_{DP2} as in (3.9) by

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ I_{np-m} \end{bmatrix}$$

we get the vector

$$Y_{DP2Q} = Q Y_{DP2} = \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ I \end{bmatrix} Y_{DP2} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{Y}_{DP1} \\ Y_{DP2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z Y_{DP2} \\ Y_{DP2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and the completed model $MGMDP2Q$ of the form

$$(3.18) \quad (Y_{DP2Q}, X_{DP2Q} \beta_{DP2Q}, \sigma^2 V_{DP2Q}).$$

We have

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} X_{DP2Q} &= \begin{bmatrix} Z X_{DP2} \\ X_{DP2} \end{bmatrix} = Q X_{DP2} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{DP2Q1} \\ X_{DP2Q2} \end{bmatrix} \\ \beta_{DP2Q} &= \beta_{DP2} = \beta_{DP1} = \beta_{DP} = \bar{B}, \\ V_{DP2Q} &= Q V_{DP2} Q' \\ X_{DP2Q1} &= Z X_{DP2}, \quad X_{DP2Q2} = X_{DP2} \end{aligned}$$

The interpretation of the transformation Q is as follows. By $MGMDP2Q$ we define the model in which the missing values are completed by their predictors.

The model $MGMDP1$ of missing observations is

$$(3.20) \quad (Y_{DP1}, X_{DP1} \beta_{DP1}, \sigma^2 V_{DP1}).$$

We have two conditions for the model $MGMDP2Q$:

1. consistency of the form $Y_{DP2Q} \in R(T_{DP2Q})$
2. solution of the equations with respect to the predictor of missing values as in (3.15) where T_{DP} is as in (3.8).

By applying three transformations D , P and Q we get six models given in the following scheme:

$$(3.21) \quad MGM \xrightarrow{D} MGMD \xrightarrow{P} MGMDP \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} MGMDP1 \\ MGMDP2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow MGMDP2Q$$

They are as in (2.1), (3.1), (3.5), (3.20), (3.9) and (3.18), respectively.

4. BLUE'S OF THE ESTIMABLE PARAMETRIC FUNCTION $\lambda'\beta$ IN THE MODELS
 MGMDP1 OF MISSING VALUES AND MGMDP2 OF AVAILABLE VALUES.
 ESTIMATOR OF THE SCALAR σ^2

Theorem 4.1. *In the models MGMDP1 and MGMDP2 as in (3.20) and (3.9), respectively, we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} C_{1DP_i} = P_i C_{1D} P_i', \\ C_{3DP_i} = C'_{2DP_i} = (I \otimes C_3) P_i' \\ C_{4DP_i} = \Sigma \otimes C_4, \quad i = 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} V_{DP_i} & X_{DP_i} \\ X'_{DP_i} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^- = \begin{bmatrix} C_{1DP_i} & C_{2DP_i} \\ C_{3DP_i} & -C_{4DP_i} \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$C_1, C_3 = C'_2, C_4$ are as in (2.3) and P_1 and P_2 are as in (3.6), $T_D = \Sigma \otimes T$, $C_{1D} = \Sigma^{-1} \otimes C_1$ (cf. Oktaba, [9], (3.2) and (3.4)).

Proof. From the definition we have

$$(4.3) \quad C_{1DP_i} = T_{DP_i}^- - T_{DP_i}^- X_{DP_i} (X'_{DP_i} T_{DP_i}^- X_{DP_i})^- X'_{DP_i} T_{DP_i}^-, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

In virtue of (3.6) and

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} X_{DP_i} = P_i (I_p \otimes X) \\ T_{DP_i} = P_i T_D P_i' = P_i (\Sigma \otimes T) P_i', \quad i = 1, 2 \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X'_{D_i P_i} T_{DP_i}^- &= (I_p \otimes X') P_i^- [P_i (\Sigma \otimes T) P_i']^- = (I_p \otimes X') P_i' P_i (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes T^-) P_i' \\ &= (I_p \otimes X') (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes T^-) P_i' = (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X' T^-) P_i', \\ T_{DP_i}^- X_{DP_i} &= P_i (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes T^- X) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (X'_{D_i P_i} T_{DP_i}^- X_{DP_i})^- &= [(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X' T^-) P_i' P_i (I_p \otimes X)]^- \\ &= [(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X' T^-) (I_p \otimes X)]^- = (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X' T^- X)^-. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in virtue of (4.3) and (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1DP_i} &= P_i (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes T^-) P_i' - P_i (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes T^- X) (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X' T^- X)^- (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X' T^-) P_i' \\ &= P_i \{ (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes T^-) - (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes T^- X) [\Sigma \otimes (X' T^- X)^-] (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X' T^-) \} P_i' \\ &= P_i \{ (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes T^-) - [\Sigma^{-1} \otimes T^- X (X' T^- X)^- X' T^-] \} P_i' = P_i [\Sigma^{-1} \otimes C_1] P_i' \\ &= P_i C_{1D} P_i' \end{aligned}$$

where $T_D = \Sigma \otimes T$, $C_{1D} = \Sigma^{-1} \otimes C_1$ $i = 1, 2$.

Now we calculate C_{4DP_i} when $M_{DP_i} = M_{DP} = M_D = \Sigma \otimes M$, $i = 1, 2$. Since (4.5) holds, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_{4DP} &= (X'_{DP_i} T_{DP_i}^- X_{DP_i})^- - M_{DP_i} = (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X' T^- X)^- - \Sigma \otimes M \\ &= \Sigma \otimes (X' T^- X)^- - \Sigma \otimes M = \Sigma \otimes [(X' T^- X)^- - M] = \Sigma \otimes C_4. \end{aligned}$$

We prove that $C_{3DP_i} = (I \otimes C_3) P'_i$, $i = 1, 2$. In fact, for $i = 1, 2$ we have

$$C_{3DP_i} = (X'_{DP_i} T_{DP_i}^- X_{DP_i})^- X'_{DP_i} T_{DP_i}^-.$$

Let us note that

$$\begin{aligned} X'_{DP_i} T_{DP_i}^- &= (I_p \otimes X') P'_i [P'_i (\Sigma \otimes T) P'_i]^- = (I_p \otimes X') P'_i P'_i (\Sigma \otimes T)^- P'_i \\ &= (I \otimes X') (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes T^-) P'_i = (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X' T^-) P'_i \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (X'_{DP_i} T_{DP_i}^- X_{DP_i})^- &= [(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X' T^-) P'_i P'_i (I_p \otimes X)] \\ &= [(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X' T^-) (I_p \otimes X)]^- = (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X' T^- X)^- \\ &= \Sigma \otimes (X' T^- X)^-. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_{3DP_i} &= [\Sigma \otimes (X' T^- X)^-] (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X' T^-) P'_i \\ &= (I \otimes (X' T^- X)^- X' T^-) P'_i = (I \otimes C_3) P'_i \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.2. *BLUE's of the estimable parametric function $\lambda'\beta$ in model $MGMDP_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) are of the form (cf. (3.19))*

$$(4.6) \quad \lambda' \hat{\beta}_{DP_i} = \lambda' \hat{B}$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad \hat{\beta}_{DP_i} = C_{3DP_i} Y_{DP_i} = (I \otimes C_3) P'_i Y_{DP_i}.$$

Proof. The formulae as in (4.6) and (4.7) are obtained directly from (4.1) for C_{3DP_1} and C_{3DP_2} if we use the result for $\hat{\beta}$ from the theory of unified estimation (Rao, [16], p. 298; (4i, 3.2)). □

Remark 4.1. Let us note that the dispersion matrices of $\lambda' \hat{\beta}_{DP1}$ and $\lambda' \hat{\beta}_{DP2}$ (Rao, loc.cit.) are the same:

$$V(\lambda' \hat{\beta}_{DP1}) = V(\lambda' \hat{\beta}_{DP2}) = \sigma^2 \lambda' C_{4DP1} \lambda = \sigma^2 \lambda' C_{4DP2} \lambda = \sigma^2 \lambda' (\Sigma \otimes C_4) \lambda.$$

Theorem 4.3. *The unbiased estimator of σ^2 in the available model $MGMDP2$ is of the form*

$$(4.8) \quad \hat{\sigma}_{eDP2}^2 = \frac{Y'_{DP2} C_{1DP2} Y_{DP2}}{\text{tr}(C_{1DP2} V_{DP2})},$$

where $\text{tr}(C_{1DP2} V_{DP2}) = r(V_{DP2}; X_{DP2}) - r(X_{DP2})$ denotes the number of degrees of freedom. C_{1DP2} is as in (4.1), $V_{DP2} = P_2(\Sigma \otimes V)P'_2$; Y_{DP2} is as in (3.4).

Proof. To prove it, it is sufficient to use (4.1) and apply in $MGMDP2$ the formula for the estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2$ given by Rao ([16], p. 298, 4i, 3.4). \square

5. THE COMPLETED MATRIX MODEL $MGMDP2QP'D^{-1}$ OF THE FORM $[\hat{U}, E(\hat{U}), \mathbf{D}(\hat{U})]$

Applying two transformations $P' = P^{-1}$ and D^{-1} with respect to the complete vector model $MGMDP2Q$ (Oktaba, [11], 140–156) we obtain the completed matrix model $MGMDP2QP'D^{-1}$ of the form

$$(5.1) \quad [\hat{U}, E(\hat{U}), \mathbf{D}(\hat{U})].$$

\hat{U} is the $n \times p$ matrix obtained from the matrix U with missing values by replacing the vector Y_{DP1} of missing values by the predictor \hat{Y}_{DP1} as in (3.13).

The transformations of the model $MGMDP2Q$ into $MGMDP2QP'D^{-1}$ are presented in the following scheme:

$$(5.2) \quad MGMDP2Q \xrightarrow{P'} MGMDP2QP' \xrightarrow{D^{-1}} MGMDP2QP'D^{-1} \Leftrightarrow (5.1).$$

The symbol D^{-1} denotes the transformation of a column vector into a matrix; this is the inverse transformation with respect to D (development of matrix).

Theorem 5.1. *In the multivariate Gauss-Markoff model (2.1) with missing values under the condition*

$$(5.3) \quad X_{DP1} = ZX_{DP2}$$

with Z as in (3.16), X_{DP1} and X_{DP2} as in (3.7), we have for (5.1):

$$(5.4) \quad E(\hat{U}) = XB,$$

$$(5.5) \quad \mathbf{D}(\hat{U}) = \sigma^2(P_1'Z + P_2')V_{DP2}(P_1'Z + P_2)'$$

where P_1 and P_2 are as in (3.6), and V_{DP2} is as in (3.10).

Proof. a) Let us note that the predictor

$$(5.6) \quad \hat{Y}_{DP1} = ZY_{DP2}$$

is unbiased under condition (5.3).

In fact, in virtue of (3.9), (5.6) and $\beta_{DP1} = \beta_{DP2}$ we have $EY_{DP1} = X_{DP1}\beta_{DP1}$ and $E(\hat{Y}_{DP1}) = ZEY_{DP2} = ZX_{DP2}\beta_{DP2} = ZX_{DP2}\beta_{DP1} = X_{DP1}\beta_{DP1}$.

b) We shall show that (5.3) is a consequence of $\hat{Y}_{DP1} = ZY_{DP2}$. In fact, we assume that $E\hat{Y}_{DP1} = E(Y_{DP1})$. It means that $ZE(Y_{DP2}) = X_{DP1}\beta_{DP1}$ and in virtue of (3.9) $ZX_{DP2}\beta_{DP2} = X_{DP1}\beta_{DP1} = X_{DP1}\beta_{DP2}$ for each vector β_{DP2} , so we get (5.3).

c) We prove that \hat{U} is unbiased under (5.4). Now as a result of unbiasedness of predictor \hat{Y}_{DP1} under condition (5.3) we obtain

$$E(\hat{Y}_{DP1}) = X_{DP1}\beta_{DP1}.$$

Since $E(Y_{DP2}) = X_{DP2}\beta_{DP2}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(\bar{U}) &= P_1'E(\hat{Y}_{DP1}) + P_2'E(Y_{DP2}) = P_1'X_{DP1}\beta_{DP1} + P_2'X_{DP2}\beta_{DP2} \\ &= (P_1';P_2') \begin{bmatrix} X_{DP1} \\ X_{DP2} \end{bmatrix} \beta_{DP1} = P'X_{DP}\bar{B} = P'P(I_p \otimes X)\bar{B} = \bar{X}\bar{B}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $E(\hat{Y}) = XB$.

d) Since $\mathbf{D}(\hat{Y}_{DP2Q}) = \sigma^2QV_{DP2}Q'$ we have $\mathbf{D}(\hat{U}) = \mathbf{D}(\bar{U}) = \mathbf{D}(P'\hat{Y}_{DP2Q}) = P'\mathbf{D}(\hat{Y}_{DP2Q})P' = \sigma^2P'QV_{DP2}Q'P = \sigma^2(P_1';P_2') \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ I \end{bmatrix} V_{DP2}(Z';I) \begin{bmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^2(P_1'Z + P_2')V_{DP2}(Z'P_1 + P_2)$. \square

6. ESTIMATION AND TESTING IN THE AVAILABLE MODEL *MGMDP2*

In the paper of Oktaba ([11], pp. 135–6, Th. 2.6) ten quantities in the available *MGMDP2* and completed *MGMDP2Q* models are presented. These quantities are invariants with respect to the predictor of the vector of missing values and g -inverses. So we get the following results:

1. BLUE for a parametric function $\lambda' \bar{B}$
2. sufficient and necessary conditions for estimability of this function
3. variance and covariance of BLUE's
4. unbiased estimators $\hat{\sigma}_{eDP2}^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{eDP2Q}^2$ of the scalar $\sigma^2 > 0$ (cf. (4.11)),
5. sufficient and necessary conditions of the consistency of the linear hypothesis

$$(6.1) \quad H_0: N\beta_{DP2} = \varphi^0$$

6. test functions F_{DP2} and F_{DP20} for H_0 .

Theorem 6.1. *In the *MGMDP2* model under the assumption of normality $Y_{DP2} \sim N(X\beta_{DP2}, \sigma^2 V_{DP2})$ we have*

1° *BLUE of $\lambda'\beta_{DP2}$ is equal to*(6.2)

$$(6.2) \quad \lambda' \hat{\beta}_{DP2} = \lambda' C_{3DP2} Y_{DP2},$$

where $\hat{\beta}_{DP2}$ and C_{3DP2} are as in (4.7) and (4.1), respectively, in *MGMDP2*.

2° *The formula for the unbiased estimator $\hat{\sigma}_{eDP2}^2$ is given as in (4.8).*

3° *The test function F for the hypothesis (6.1) is of the form*

$$(6.3) \quad F_{DP2} = \frac{u'[\mathbf{D}(u)]^- u}{r[\mathbf{D}(u)] \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{eDP2}^2}$$

with

$$(6.4) \quad \nu_{DP2} = r[D(u)] \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{eDP2} = \text{tr}(C_{1DP2} V_{DP2})$$

degrees of freedom where $\hat{\sigma}_{eDP2}^2$ is as in (4.8). *The covariance matrix of the vector*

$$u = N\hat{\beta}_{DP2} - \varphi$$

is

$$\text{Cov}(u) = D(u) = N C_{4DP2} N',$$

where C_{4DP2} is as in (4.1), $\hat{\beta}_{DP2}$ is as in (4.7).

Proof. To prove the theorem it is enough to apply the unified theory of estimation (Rao, [16]) to the available model *MGMDP2*. \square

References

- [1] *Allan, F.E., Wishart, J.*: A method of estimating the yield of a missing plot in field experimental work. *Jour. Agr. Sci.* 20 (1930), 399–406.
- [2] *Baksalary, J.K., Kala, R.*: Linear transformations preserving best linear unbiased estimators in a general Gauss-Markoff model. *Ann. St.* 9 (1981), no. 4, 913–916.
- [3] *Bartlett, M.S.*: Some examples of statistical methods of research in agriculture and applied biology. *J.R. Statist. Soc. Suppl.* 4 (1937), 137–170.
- [4] *Biggers, J.D.*: The estimation of missing and mixed-up observations in several experimental designs. *Biometrika* 16 (1959), 91–105.
- [5] *Drygas, H.*: Sufficiency and completeness in the general Gauss-Markoff model. *Sankhyā* 45A, (1983), 88–99.
- [6] *Fisher, R.A.*: *The Design of Experiments*. Edinburgh (1960).
- [7] *Hartley, H.O., Hocking, R.R.*: The analysis of incomplete data. *Biometrics* 4 (1971), 783–823.
- [8] *Little, R.J.A., Rubin, D.B.*: *Statistical Analysis with Missing Data*. J. Wiley, New York, 1987.
- [9] *Oktaba, W.*: Estimation in the general multivariate Gauss-Markoff model with the known covariance matrix. XIX Colloquium Metodol. Agrobiom. Warsaw, Polish Academy of Sciences, 1989, pp. 156–169. (In Polish.)
- [10] *Oktaba, W.*: Predictor of the vector of missing observations in the general multivariate Gauss-Markoff model. *Probastat'91, Proceedings of the 10th Conference on Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, Bratislava, Czechoslovakia. 1991, pp. 51–67.
- [11] *Oktaba, W.*: Invariants in estimation and testing in the available and completed multivariate generalized Gauss-Markoff models with missing values. XXII Colloquium Metodol. Agrobiom.. Warsaw, Polish Academy of Sciences, 1992, pp. 125–139. (In Polish.)
- [12] *Oktaba, W., Kornacki, A. and Wawrzosek, J.*: Estimation and verification of hypotheses in some Zyskind-Martin models with missing values. *Biom. J.* 27, 7 (1985), 733–740.
- [13] *Oktaba, W., Kornacki, A. and Wawrzosek, J.*: Estimation of missing values in the general Gauss-Markoff model. *Statistics* 17, 2 (1986), 167–177.
- [14] *Oktaba, W., Kornacki, A. and Wawrzosek, J.*: Invariants linearly sufficient transformations of the general Gauss-Markoff model. *Estimation and testing. Scand. J. Statist.* 15 (1988), 117–124.
- [15] *Oktaba, W. and Osypiuk, Z.*: Program for estimation and testing in the multivariate generalized Gauss-Markoff model with missing values and known covariance matrix. XXIII Colloquium Metodol. Agrobiom.. Warsaw, Polish Academy of Sciences, 1993, pp. 192–211. (In Polish.)
- [16] *Rao, C.R.*: *Linear Statistical Inference and its Applications*. sec. ed. New York, 1973.
- [17] *Wilkinson, G. N.*: A general recursive procedure for analysis of variance. *Biometrika* 57 (1970), 19–46.
- [18] *Yates, F.*: The analysis of replicated experiments when the fields results are incomplete. *Emp. Jour. Exp. Agr.* 1 (1933), 235–244.
- [19] *Zyskind, G., Martin, F.B.*: On best linear estimation and a general Gauss-Markoff theorem in linear models with arbitrary nonnegative covariance structure. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 17 (1969), 1190–1202.

Author's address: Wiktor Oktaba, Institute of Applied Mathematics, Department of Mathematical Statistics, Agricultural University, Akademicka 13, 20-934 Lublin, Poland, e-mail sek314@ursus.ar.lublin.pl.