

Bohdan Zelinka

Induced-paired domatic numbers of graphs

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 127 (2002), No. 4, 591–596

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133954>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

INDUCED-PAIRED DOMATIC NUMBERS OF GRAPHS

BOHDAN ZELINKA, Liberec

(Received March 7, 2001)

Abstract. A subset D of the vertex set $V(G)$ of a graph G is called dominating in G , if each vertex of G either is in D , or is adjacent to a vertex of D . If moreover the subgraph $\langle D \rangle$ of G induced by D is regular of degree 1, then D is called an induced-paired dominating set in G . A partition of $V(G)$, each of whose classes is an induced-paired dominating set in G , is called an induced-paired domatic partition of G . The maximum number of classes of an induced-paired domatic partition of G is the induced-paired domatic number $d_{\text{ip}}(G)$ of G . This paper studies its properties.

Keywords: dominating set, induced-paired dominating set, induced-paired domatic number

MSC 2000: 05C69, 05C35

A subset D of the vertex set $V(G)$ of a graph G is called dominating in G , if each vertex of G either is in D , or is adjacent to a vertex of D . The minimum number of vertices of a dominating set in G is the domination number $\gamma(G)$ of G . The maximum number of classes of a partition of $V(G)$, all of whose classes are dominating sets in G , is the domatic number $d(G)$ of G . This concept was introduced by F. J. Cockayne and S. T. Hedetniemi in [1].

A variant of $\gamma(G)$ was introduced in [3] by D. J. Studer, T. W. Haynes and L. M. Lawson. If a dominating set D in G has the property that the subgraph $\langle D \rangle$ of G induced by D is regular of degree 1, then D is called an induced-paired dominating set in G . The minimum number of vertices of an induced-paired dominating set in G is the induced-paired domination number $\gamma_{\text{ip}}(G)$ of G .

Analogously as to $\gamma(G)$ the domatic number $d(G)$ was introduced, to $\gamma_{\text{ip}}(G)$ we introduce the induced-paired domatic number $d_{\text{ip}}(G)$. A partition of $V(G)$ is called induced-paired domatic, if all of its classes are induced-paired dominating sets (shortly IPDS) of G . The maximum number of classes of an induced-paired domatic

partition of G is the induced-paired domatic number $d_{ip}(G)$ of G . Let us recall yet another numerical invariant of a graph which will be useful for our considerations. A dominating set in G which is simultaneously independent (i.e. consisting of pairwise non-adjacent vertices) is an independent dominating set in G . The maximum number of classes of a partition of $V(G)$, all of whose classes are independent dominating sets in G , is called the independent domatic number (or shortly idomatic number) $d_i(G)$ of G [4].

The numbers $d_i(G)$ and $d_{ip}(G)$ have the property that they are not well-defined for all graphs. Namely, there are graphs whose vertex sets cannot be partitioned into independent dominating sets or into induced-paired dominating sets.

Proposition 1. *Let G be a graph in which there is at least one independent domatic partition. Then $G \times K_2$ has at least one induced-paired domatic partition and*

$$d_{ip}(G \times K_2) \geq d_i(G).$$

Proof. The graph $G \times K_2$ consists of two vertex-disjoint copies of G and of edges joining the corresponding vertices in both the copies. Let D be an independent dominating set in one copy of G .

Let D' be the set consisting of all vertices of D and of all vertices of the other copy of G which are adjacent to vertices of D in $G \times K_2$. Then evidently D' is an induced-paired dominating set of $G \times K_2$. If some sets D form an independent domatic partition of the chosen copy of G , then the sets D' form an induced-paired domatic partition of $G \times K_2$ with the same number of classes. \square

Corollary 1. *Let G be a connected bipartite graph. Then $G \times K_2$ has at least one induced-paired domatic partition and $d_{ip}(G) \geq 2$.*

A complete k -partite graph for an integer $k \geq 2$ is a graph whose vertex set is the disjoint union of k independent sets V_1, \dots, V_k and in which two-vertices are adjacent if and only if they belong to the sets V_i, V_j with $i \neq j$.

Corollary 2. *Let G be a complete bipartite graph. Then $d_{ip}(G \times K_2) = k$.*

Proof. Any IPDS in $G \times K_2$ is a set consisting of vertices of V_i for some i in one copy of G and of vertices which are adjacent to them in the other copy. A proper subset of such a set is not dominating. Any two edges of G have either a common end vertex, or an edge which has common end vertices with both of them. \square

Proposition 2. *Let n be an even positive integer. For the complete graph K_n with n vertices we have $d_{\text{ip}}(K_n) = \frac{1}{2}n$.*

Proof. We choose a linear factor in K_n . Each of its edges forms a one-element IPDS and this implies the result. \square

Proposition 3. *Let $K_{m,n}$ be a complete bipartite graph. An induced-paired domatic partition of $K_{m,n}$ exists if and only if $m = n$, and then $d_{\text{ip}}(K_{n,n}) = n$.*

Proof. The first part is evident, the second part may be proved in the same way as Proposition 2. \square

Proposition 1. *Let C_n be the circuit of length n . An induced paired domatic partition of C_n exists if and only if n is divisible by 4, and then $d_{\text{ip}}(C_n) = 2$.*

Proof. Let the edges going around C_n be e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n . Evidently, if n is not divisible by 4, an induced-paired domatic partition does not exist. If n is divisible by 4, then let $E_1 = \{e_i; i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}\}$, $E_2 = \{e_i; i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}\}$. Let D_1 (or D_2) be the set of all end vertices of edges of E_1 (or of E_2 respectively). Then $\{D_1, D_2\}$ is an induced-paired domatic partition of C and $d_{\text{ip}}(C_n) = 2$. \square

Now we state two general assertions.

Proposition 5. *If there exists an induced-paired domatic partition of G , then G has an even number of vertices.*

Proof is straightforward.

Proposition 6. *Let there exist $d_{\text{ip}}(G)$ for a graph G , let $\delta(G)$ be the minimum degree of a vertex of G . Then $d_{\text{ip}}(G) \leq \delta(G)$.*

Proof. Each vertex v of G must be adjacent to at least one vertex of each class of an induced-paired domatic partition to which v does not belong. Moreover, it is incident with an edge of the subgraph of G induced by the class to which v belongs. Hence the degree of v is at least $d_{\text{ip}}(G)$. \square

Now we will study graphs G with $d_{\text{ip}}(G) = 2$.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a graph with n vertices such that $d_{\text{ip}}(G) = 2$. Then*

$$n \leq |E(G)| \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2 - 1.$$

Both the bounds are attained.

Proof. Let $\{D_1, D_2\}$ be an induced-paired domatic partition of G . Let $|D_1| = a$, $|D_2| = b$, $a \leq b$. Therefore $a + b = n$, $b \geq \frac{1}{2}n$. The subgraph of G induced by D_1 (or D_2) has $\frac{1}{2}a$ (or $\frac{1}{2}b$ respectively) edges. As $a \leq b$, there exists at least b edges joining vertices of D_1 with vertices of D_2 . The number of edges of G is at least $b + \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}b = b + \frac{1}{2}n$. This expression has its minimum value for $b = \frac{1}{2}n$. Then G has n edges, in the other cases they are at least n .

Now suppose that G contains all edges which join vertices of D_1 with vertices of D_2 . We must exclude the possibility $a = b$; otherwise G would contain a factor isomorphic to $K_{a,a}$ and the inequality $d_{ip}(G) \geq a$ would hold. Again the subgraph of G induced by D_1 (or D_2) has $\frac{1}{2}a$ (or $\frac{1}{2}b$ respectively) edges. The number of other edges is ab . The number of edges of G is $ab + \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}b = ab + \frac{1}{2}n$. The maximum value of this expression is for $a = b$; but we have excluded this case. The maximum in the other cases occurs for $a = \frac{1}{2}n - 1$, $b = \frac{1}{2}n + 1$ and it is $\frac{1}{4}n^2 - 1$. \square

Return to Theorem 1. Evidently a graph G having the minimum number of edges at $d_{ip}(G) = 2$ is a regular graph of degree 2, i.e. a graph all of whose connected components are circuits.

According to Proposition 4 these circuits have lengths divisible by 4. In a graph G with $d_{ip}(G) > 2$ this holds for the subgraph of G induced by the union of two classes of the induced-paired domatic partition. This implies the following proposition.

Proposition 7. *Let G be a graph with the minimum number of edges at a given $d_{ip}(G) \geq 3$. Then G is the union of circuits of lengths divisible by 4. The edges of each circuit may be coloured alternately in red and blue in such a way that each red edge is contained in $d_{ip}(G) - 1$ circuits, while each blue edge is contained in only one of them. Each vertex is incident with one red edge and $d_{ip}(G) - 1$ blue edges.*

It is evident that red edges are exactly the edges of the subgraph of G induced by classes of the induced-paired domatic partition, while blue edges are the others.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a graph with n vertices such that $d_{ip}(G) = \frac{1}{2}n$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{4}n^2 \leq |E(G)| \leq \frac{1}{2}n(n - 1).$$

Both the bounds are attained.

Proof. Let \mathcal{D} be an induced-paired domatic partition of G . Each vertex of G must be adjacent to vertices of all classes of \mathcal{D} and have degree at least $\frac{1}{2}n$. Hence $|E(G)| \geq \frac{1}{4}n^2$. The equality $|E(G)| = \frac{1}{4}n^2$ is attained in the case when $G \cong K_{n/2} \times K_2$. The upper bound follows from the fact that $\frac{1}{2}n(n - 1)$ is the number of edges of K_n . And, as we have seen in Proposition 2, $d_{ip}(K_n) = \frac{1}{2}n$. \square

Obviously $d_{ip}(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}n$, whenever $d_{ip}(G)$ exists; this follows from the definition. Also the following proposition is evident.

Proposition 8. *Let G be a graph. The equality $d_{ip}(G) = 1$ holds if and only if G is regular of degree 1.*

Now we shall treat interconnections among graphs and interconnections among IPDS.

Note that in some cases there is non analogy between $d_{ip}(G)$ and $d(G)$. If $D \subseteq S \subseteq V(G)$, where D is an IPDS, then S need not be an IPDS.

Proposition 9. *Let G be the disjoint union of two graphs G_1, G_2 . A subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is an IPDS in G if and only if $S = S_1 \cup S_2$, where S_1 is an IPDS in G_1 and S_2 is an IPDS in G_2 .*

Proof is easy.

Corollary 3. *Let G be the disjoint union of two graphs G_1, G_2 . If $d_{ip}(G_1) = d_{ip}(G_2)$, then also $d_{ip}(G) = d_{ip}(G_1) = d_{ip}(G_2)$.*

If G_1, G_2 are two vertex-disjoint graphs, then the Zykov sum $G_1 \oplus G_2$ of G_1 and G_2 is the graph obtained from G_1 and G_2 by G adding all edges which join a vertex of G_1 with a vertex of G_2 .

Theorem 4. *Let G be the Zykov sum $G_1 \oplus G_2$. A subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is an IPDS of G if and only if it is an IPDS in G_1 or an IPDS in G_2 .*

Proof is again easy.

Corollary 4. *Let there exist numbers $d_{ip}(G_1)$ and $d_{ip}(G_2)$ for graphs G_1, G_2 . Then $d_{ip}(G_1 \oplus G_2) = d_{ip}(G_1) + d_{ip}(G_2)$.*

It is easy to compare $d_{ip}(G)$ with the domatic number $d(G)$ and with the total domatic number $d_t(G)$ (see e.g. [2]). Every IPDS of G is also a total dominating set in G and every total dominating set in G is a dominating set in G .

Proposition 10. *Let G be a graph for which $d_{ip}(G)$ is defined. Then $d(G) \leq d_t(G) \leq d_{ip}(G)$.*

Now we compare $d_{ip}(G)$ with the chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of G .

Proposition 11. *Let G be a graph for which $d_{ip}(G)$ is defined. Then $\chi(G) \leq 2d_{ip}(G)$.*

Proof. Let $s = d_{\text{ip}}(G)$ and let $\{D_1, \dots, D_s\}$ be an induced-paired domatic partition of G . Let us have $2s$ colours $c_1^1, c_1^2, \dots, c_s^1, c_s^2$. We colour vertices of G by these colours. The vertices of each D_i for $i = 1, \dots, s$ will be coloured by c_i^1 and c_i^2 ; obviously adjacent vertices are coloured by different colours. The colouring thus obtained is an admissible colouring of G and this yields the assertion. \square

References

- [1] *E. J. Cockayne, S. T. Hedetniemi*: Towards a theory of domination in graphs. *Networks* 7 (1977), 247–261.
- [2] *T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi, P. J. Slater*: *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [3] *D. S. Studer, T. W. Haynes, L. M. Lawson*: Induced-paired domination in graphs. *Ars Combinatoria* 57 (2000), 111–128.
- [4] *B. Zelinka*: Adomatic and idomatic numbers of graphs. *Math. Slovaca* 33 (1983), 99–103.

Author's address: Bohdan Zelinka, Department of Applied Mathematics, Technical University of Liberec, Voroněžská 13, 460 01 Liberec, Czech Republic, e-mail: bohdan.zelinka@vslib.cz.