

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum
Naturalium. Mathematica

Lukáš Rachůnek; Josef Mikeš

On tensor fields semiconjugated with torse-forming vector fields

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 44 (2005), No. 1, 151--160

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133376>

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>



On Tensor Fields Semiconjugated with Torse-forming Vector Fields ^{*}

LUKÁŠ RACHŮNEK ¹, JOSEF MIKEŠ ²

*Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Science, Palacký University
Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic
e-mail: ¹lukas.rachunek@upol.cz
²josef.mikes@upol.cz*

(Received February 25, 2005)

Abstract

The paper deals with tensor fields which are semiconjugated with torse-forming vector fields. The existence results for semitorse-forming vector fields and for convergent vector fields are proved.

Key words: Torse-forming vector fields, Riemannian space, semisymmetric space, T -semisymmetric space.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B20, 53B30

1 Introduction

Torse-forming vector fields were introduced by K. Yano [8] in 1944 and their properties in Riemannian spaces have been studied by various mathematicians. For example some properties in Ricci semisymmetric Riemannian spaces have been proved by J. Kowolik in [1]. In T -semisymmetric Riemannian spaces they are studied by the authors in [4] and [5].

This paper is devoted to the study of tensor fields which are semiconjugated with torse-forming vector fields. We are motivated by the work of J. Kowolik [1].

First we give some definitions and notations. V_n denotes an n -dimensional Riemannian space with a metric g and an affine connection ∇ . The metric g

^{*}Supported by grant No. 201/05/2707 of The Grant Agency of Czech Republic and by the Council of Czech Government MSM 6198959214.

need not be positive definite. TV_n is a space of all tangent vector fields on V_n . In the whole paper we will assume that $n > 2$ and that all functions, vectors and tensor fields are sufficiently smooth. Further ξ will be a non-zero vector field, i.e. $\xi(x) \neq \mathbf{o}$ for each $x \in V_n$.

We denote the Riemannian tensor in V_n by R . This tensor is called *harmonic*, if $R_{ij,k,\alpha}^\alpha = 0$, where “,” denotes the covariant derivative. This condition can be written in the form $R_{ij,k} = R_{ik,j}$ where $R_{ij} \equiv R_{ij\alpha}^\alpha$ is the Ricci tensor of V_n .

Definition 1 Vector field ξ is called *torse-forming*, if $\nabla_X \xi = \varrho \cdot X + a(X) \cdot \xi$ for all $X \in TV_n$, where ϱ is some function on V_n , a is a linear form on V_n . In the local transcription this formula has the form $\xi_i^h = \varrho \delta_i^h + a_i \xi^h$, where ξ^h are components of the tose-forming field ξ , δ_i^h is the Kronecker delta, a_i are components of the form a , which is a covector on V_n .

Definition 2 A tose-forming vector field ξ is called:

- *recurrent*, if $\varrho = 0$,
- *concircular*, if the form a is gradient (or locally gradient), i.e. there exists (locally) a function $\varphi(x)$ such that $a = \partial_i \varphi(x) dx^i$,
- *convergent*, if ξ is concircular and $\varrho = \text{const} \cdot \exp(\varphi(x))$,
- *semitorse-forming*, if $R(X, \xi)\xi = 0$ for each $X \in TV_n$.

Properties of tose-forming vector fields in the Einsteinian spaces are proved by the authors in [5]. In [2] and [3] J. Mikeš proved that in non-Einsteinian Ricci-symmetric and Ricci-two-symmetric ($R_{ij,kl} = 0$) spaces there are no concircular vector fields which are not recurrent.

In what follows we will need a definition of an operator $R(X, Y) \circ T$ for tensors of the type $(0, q)$ or $(1, q)$.

Let T be a tensor of the type $(0, q)$, which is defined as a q -linear form $T(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q)$, where $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q \in TV_n$.

In the space V_n we introduce an operator $R(X, Y) \circ T$ in the following way:

$$R(X, Y) \circ T(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{s=1}^q T(X_1, \dots, X_{s-1}, R(X, Y)X_s, X_{s+1}, \dots, X_q).$$

In the local transcription the tensor $R(X, Y) \circ T$ has a form

$$\sum_{s=1}^q T_{i_1 \dots i_{s-1} \alpha i_{s+1} \dots i_q} R_{i_s j k}^\alpha.$$

By the Ricci identity we have

$$T_{i_1 \dots i_q, [jk]} = \sum_{s=1}^q T_{i_1 \dots i_{s-1} \alpha i_{s+1} \dots i_q} R_{i_s j k}^\alpha,$$

where $[jk]$ denotes the alternation of the tensor with respect to j and k .

If T is a tensor of the type $(0, 0)$ (i.e. an invariant, which is a function or a scalar on V_n), then we put $R(X, Y) \circ T = 0$, or locally $T_{[jk]} = 0$.

Similarly we can define an operator $R(X, Y) \circ T$ for a tensor T of the type $(1, q)$:

$$R(X, Y) \circ T(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{s=1}^q T(X_1, \dots, X_{s-1}, R(X, Y)X_s, X_{s+1}, \dots, X_q) - R(X, Y)(T(X_1, \dots, X_q)).$$

The tensor $R(X, Y) \circ T$ has a local expression

$$\sum_{s=1}^q T_{i_1 \dots i_{s-1} \alpha i_{s+1} \dots i_q}^h R_{i_s j k}^\alpha - T_{i_1 \dots i_q}^\alpha \cdot R_{\alpha j k}^h.$$

By the Ricci identity we have

$$T_{i_1 \dots i_q, [jk]}^h = \sum_{s=1}^q T_{i_1 \dots i_{s-1} \alpha i_{s+1} \dots i_q}^h R_{i_s j k}^\alpha - T_{i_1 \dots i_q}^\alpha \cdot R_{\alpha j k}^h.$$

Now we present Kowolik's theorems of [1] in a modified form which is more convenient for us. These theorems will be generalized in the next parts of our paper. First, recall notions used in the theorems.

Definition 3 A Riemannian space V_n is called *semisymmetric*, if

$$R(X, Y) \circ R = 0 \quad \forall X, Y \in TV_n. \tag{1}$$

We write (1) locally in the form $R_{i j k, [lm]}^h = 0$ or

$$R_{\alpha j k}^h R_{i l m}^\alpha + R_{i \alpha k}^h R_{j l m}^\alpha + R_{i j \alpha}^h R_{k l m}^\alpha - R_{i j k}^\alpha R_{\alpha l m}^h = 0.$$

Definition 4 A Riemannian space V_n is called *Ricci semisymmetric*, if

$$R(X, Y) \circ Ric = 0 \quad \forall X, Y \in TV_n. \tag{2}$$

We write (2) locally

$$R_{\alpha j} R_{i k l}^\alpha + R_{i \alpha} R_{j k l}^\alpha = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad R_{i j, [kl]} = 0.$$

Simply conformally recurrent spaces (s.c.r. spaces) were defined by W. Roter [7]. These spaces are characterized by the following conditions:

The Riemannian space V_n is a *s.c.r.* space, if and only if:

1. $C_{hijk} \neq 0$, where C_{hijk} is a Weyl tensor of conformal curvature,
2. $C_{hijk, l} = \varphi_l C_{hijk}$,
3. a vector φ_k is locally gradient,
4. the Ricci tensor is a Codazzi tensor.

Remark 1 It holds that each *s.c.r.* space is semisymmetric.

Theorem 1 ([1]) *Let V_n ($n \geq 4$) be a Ricci semisymmetric space with a harmonic Riemannian tensor. If there is a torse-forming vector field ξ in V_n , then ξ is either concircular or recurrent.*

Theorem 2 ([1]) *If there is a torse-forming vector field ξ in a *s.c.r.* space V_n ($n \neq 4$), then ξ is recurrent.*

Let T be a tensor field of the type $(0, q)$ or $(1, q)$ and ξ be a vector field on V_n . By means of the operator $R(X, \xi) \circ T$ let us define the basic notion of our paper:

Definition 5 The tensor field T is *semiconjugated* with the vector field ξ , if

$$R(X, \xi) \circ T = 0 \quad \text{for each } X \in TV_n. \quad (3)$$

In the local transcription (3) has the form

$$T^{\dots, [lm]} \xi^m = 0, \quad (4)$$

where ξ^m are local components of ξ .

2 Vector fields semiconjugated with torse-forming vector fields

In this section we will consider 1-covariant vector fields semiconjugated with a torse-forming vector field ξ . Denote by $\xi(X)$ a linear form generated by ξ , i.e. $\xi(X) \equiv g(X, \xi)$.

Theorem 3 *Let T ($\neq 0$) be a 1-covariant vector field semiconjugated with a non-isotropic torse-forming vector field ξ , which is not convergent. Then ξ is semitorse-forming and T is colinear with a form $\xi(X)$.*

Proof Assume that there is a non-zero vector field T and a non-isotropic non-convergent torse-forming vector field ξ , which satisfy (4), i.e.

$$T_\alpha R_{i_j \beta}^\alpha \xi^\beta = 0, \quad (5)$$

where T_i are local components of T and $R_{i_j k}^h$ are components of the Riemannian tensor R . According to [5] we can assume that ξ is normalized, i.e. $g(\xi, \xi) = e = \pm 1$, and the condition

$$\xi_\alpha R_{i_j k}^\alpha = g_{ij} c_k - g_{ik} c_j + \xi_i a_{jk} \quad (6)$$

holds, where $a_{jk} \equiv -e \xi_{[j} \varrho_{k]}$ and

$$c_k \equiv \varrho_{,k} + e \varrho^2 \xi_k. \quad (7)$$

Since ξ is not convergent, we have $c_i \neq 0$.

Contracting (6) with $T^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_\alpha g^{\alpha k}$ and using (5) and properties of the Riemannian tensor we get

$$g_{ij}c_k T^k - T_i c_j + \xi_i a_{jk} T^k = 0. \tag{8}$$

If $c_k T^k \neq 0$, then (8) gives $\text{rank} \|g_{ij}\| \leq 2$. Since $n > 2$, we have $c_k T^k = 0$ and (8) leads to

$$-T_i c_j + \xi_i a_{jk} T^k = 0. \tag{9}$$

Since $c_j \neq 0$, the condition (9) implies

$$T_i = a \xi_i,$$

where a is a non-zero function.

Substituting $T_i = a \xi_i$ in (6) we see, that either ξ is semitorse-forming vector field or $T_i = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3. \square

3 Symmetric 2-covariant tensors semiconjugated with a torse-forming vector field

We will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4 *Let $n > 2$ and let $T (\neq \gamma g)$ be a 2-covariant symmetric tensor field semiconjugated with a non-isotropic torse-forming vector field ξ , which is not convergent. Then it holds that ξ is semitorse-forming in V_n and*

$$T(X, Y) = \gamma \cdot g(X, Y) + \psi \cdot \xi(X) \cdot \xi(Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in TV_n, \tag{10}$$

where γ, ψ are functions on V_n .

Proof Assume that there is a 2-covariant symmetric tensor field T on V_n , which is semiconjugated with a normalised torse-forming vector field ξ , which is not convergent. It means that ξ satisfies (6) and $c_i \neq 0$.

Further we have:

$$R(X, \xi) \circ T = 0 \quad \forall X \in TV_n,$$

i.e. locally

$$T_{\alpha j} R_{i l \beta}^{\alpha} \xi^{\beta} + T_{i \alpha} R_{j l \beta}^{\alpha} \xi^{\beta} = 0. \tag{11}$$

If we substitute (6) in (11) and use properties of the Riemannian tensor we get after computation

$$g_{li} T_{\alpha j} c^{\alpha} - T_{lj} c_i + g_{lj} T_{i \alpha} c^{\alpha} - T_{il} c_j + \xi_l \omega_{ij} = 0, \tag{12}$$

where ω is some tensor of the type $(0, 2)$ and $c^i \equiv c_\alpha g^{\alpha i}$.

We will prove that

$$T_{\alpha i} c^{\alpha} = \gamma c_i. \tag{13}$$

Assume, that (13) does not hold. Then there exists a vector ε^i such that

$$c_\alpha \varepsilon^\alpha = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T_{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^\alpha c^\beta = 1. \quad (14)$$

Contract (12) with $\varepsilon^i \varepsilon^j$. Since $T_{ij} = T_{ji}$ and (14) holds, we get

$$\varepsilon_l = h \xi_l, \quad (15)$$

where $h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^\alpha \varepsilon^\beta$.

If we contract (12) with ε^j , we obtain by means of (14) and (15)

$$g_{li} - T_{l\alpha} \varepsilon^\alpha c_i + \xi_l (h T_{i\alpha} c^\alpha + \omega_{i\beta} \varepsilon^\beta) = 0.$$

This implies that $\text{rank} \|g_{ij}\| \leq 2$, which contradicts the assumption that (13) does not hold.

By (13) we extract the member $T_{\alpha i} c^\alpha$ in (12). After computation we obtain

$$F_{lj} c_i + F_{il} c_j + \xi_l \omega_{ij} = 0, \quad (16)$$

where

$$F_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_{ij} - \gamma g_{ij}. \quad (17)$$

Since $c_i \neq 0$, then there exists φ^i such, that $c_\alpha \varphi^\alpha = 1$.

Contracting (16) with $\varphi^i \varphi^j$ we get $F_{l\alpha} \varphi^\alpha = f \cdot \xi_l$, where $f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^\alpha \varepsilon^\beta$.

Similarly, if we contract (16) with φ^j , we get

$$F_{il} = \xi_l \chi_i, \quad (18)$$

where $\chi_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -f c_i - \omega_{i\alpha} \varphi^\alpha$.

Since F_{ij} is a symmetric tensor, the equality (18) implies

$$F_{ij} = \psi \cdot \xi_i \xi_j. \quad (19)$$

By the assumption $F_{ij} \neq 0$, we have $\psi \neq 0$. Substituting (17) to (19) we see, that (10) is true. It remains to prove that the vector field ξ is semitorse-forming.

Therefore we covariantly derive the equality (19) by indices l and m , then we alternate it with respect to l and m and finally we contract it with ξ^m . Since

$$F_{ij,[lm]} \xi^m = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi \neq 0,$$

we reach the formula

$$\xi_{i,[lm]} \xi^m \cdot \xi_j + \xi_i \cdot \xi_{j,[lm]} \xi^m = 0,$$

wherefrom it follows

$$\xi_{i,[lm]} \xi^m = 0.$$

This means that the vector field ξ is semitorse-forming. \square

4 Antisymmetric 2-covariant tensors semiconjugated with a torse-forming vector field

The following theorem deals with antisymmetric tensor fields.

Theorem 5 *In a Riemannian space V_n ($n > 3$) there is no non-zero 2-covariant antisymmetric tensor field T semiconjugated with a non-isotropic torse-forming vector field ξ , which is not convergent.*

Proof Assume that there is a 2-covariant anti-symmetric tensor field T on V_n , which is semiconjugated with a non-isotropic torse-forming vector field ξ , which is not convergent. It means, that ξ satisfies (6) and $c_i \neq 0$. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 4 we get, that (11), (12) and (13) are true. Substituting (13) in (12) and using the antisymmetric property of T (i.e. $T_{ij} = -T_{ji}$), we get after computation

$$(T_{li} - \mu g_{li})c_j - (T_{lj} - \mu g_{lj})c_i - \xi_l \omega_{ij} = 0. \tag{20}$$

Since $c_j \neq 0$, then there exists φ^i , for which $\varphi^\alpha c_\alpha = 1$. Contracting (20) with φ^j we find

$$T_{li} - \mu g_{li} = \xi_l \eta_i + \chi_l c_i, \tag{21}$$

where η_i and χ_l are some covectors.

Symmetrising (21) we obtain

$$-2\mu g_{li} = \xi_l \eta_i + \xi_i \eta_l + \chi_l c_i + \chi_i c_l. \tag{22}$$

If $n > 4$, we deduce that $\mu = 0$.

Assume that $n = 4$ and $\mu \neq 0$. Then covectors $\xi_i, c_i, \eta_i, \chi_i$ must be linearly independent. Hence their coordinates in a given point x can be chosen in the following way:

$$\xi_i = \delta_i^1, \quad \eta_i = \delta_i^2, \quad c_i = \delta_i^3, \quad \chi_i = \delta_i^4.$$

Then

$$g_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2\mu} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The inverse matrix g^{ij} has the form

$$g^{ij} = -2\mu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can check that

$$g^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j = 0$$

holds, i.e. ξ is isotropic, a contradiction.

Thus for $n > 3$ the formula (22) implies, that $\mu = 0$. Therefore we can simplify (21) and (22) as follows:

$$T_{ij} = \xi_i \eta_j + \chi_i c_j$$

and

$$\xi_l \eta_i + \xi_i \eta_l + \chi_l c_i + \chi_i c_l = 0. \quad (23)$$

Vectors ξ_i and χ_i are not colinear. Otherwise it should be $T_{ij} = 0$. Therefore there is φ^i such that

$$\xi_\alpha \varphi^\alpha = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_\alpha \varphi^\alpha = 0.$$

Contracting (23) with $\varphi^i \varphi^l$ we find $\eta_\alpha \varphi^\alpha = 0$ and contracting (23) with φ^l we get $\eta_i = -c_\alpha \varphi^\alpha \cdot \chi_i$. Then (23) has a form

$$(c_i - c_\alpha \varphi^\alpha \xi_i) \chi_l + (c_l - c_\alpha \varphi^\alpha \xi_l) \chi_i = 0.$$

Since $\chi_l \neq 0$, we obtain

$$c_i = c_\alpha \varphi^\alpha \xi_i. \quad (24)$$

Using (7) and (24) we derive

$$\varrho_{,k} = (c_\alpha \varphi^\alpha - e \varrho^2) \xi_k.$$

Hence we have $\varrho = \varrho(\xi)$, where ξ is a scalar field satisfying $\xi_k = \partial_k \xi$. It means that ξ is concircular and, by [3], is convergent. \square

5 Main results

By means of Theorem 4 (for symmetric tensors) and Theorem 5 (for antisymmetric tensors) we will prove the following assertion for arbitrary 2-covariant tensors.

Theorem 6 *Let $n > 3$ and let T ($\neq \gamma g$) be a 2-covariant tensor field semi-conjugated with a non-isotropic torse-forming vector field ξ , which is not convergent. Then it holds that ξ is semitorse-forming in V_n and*

$$T(X, Y) = \gamma \cdot g(X, Y) + \psi \cdot \xi(X) \cdot \xi(Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in TV_n,$$

where γ, ψ are functions on V_n .

Proof Assume that there is a 2-covariant tensor field T on V_n , which is semiconjugated with a normalised torse-forming vector field ξ , which is not convergent.

Tensor T can be uniquely expressed in the form $T = U + V$, where U is a symmetric part and V is an antisymmetric part of T . It holds

$$U(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}(T(X, Y) + T(Y, X))$$

and

$$V(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}(T(X, Y) - T(Y, X))$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in TV_n$. Therefore U and V are also semiconjugated with ξ . Theorem 5 implies, that $V = 0$. Hence $T \equiv U$ and so T is symmetric and the assertion of Theorem 6 follows from Theorem 4. \square

Now we will prove theorems for Riemannian spaces having Riemannian and Ricci tensors semiconjugated with a torse-forming vector field. These theorems generalize Kowolik's results in [1].

Theorem 7 *Let $n > 2$ and let V_n be a non-Einsteinian Riemannian space, where the Ricci tensor is semiconjugated with a non-isotropic torse-forming vector field ξ . Then ξ is convergent.*

Proof Assume that the Ricci tensor Ric is semiconjugated with a torse-forming vector field ξ .

Since Ric is a symmetric tensor, we get by Theorem 4

$$Ric(X, Y) = \gamma g(X, Y) + \psi \cdot \xi(X) \cdot \xi(Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in TV_n, \quad (25)$$

where $\xi(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(X, \xi)$ and ψ is a function on V_n .

Semitorse-forming fields fulfil $R_{\alpha j \beta}^h \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta = 0$. Contracting it with respect to h and j we obtain $R_{\alpha \beta} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta = 0$, which can be written in the form

$$Ric(\xi, \xi) = 0.$$

Let us put $X = \xi$ and $Y = \xi$ in (25). Since we can assume that ξ is normalized, i.e. $g(\xi, \xi) \equiv \xi(\xi) = e = \pm 1$, we get $\psi = -e\gamma$ and so the formula (25) has the form

$$Ric(X, Y) = \gamma \cdot (g(X, Y) - e\xi(X) \cdot \xi(Y)) \quad \forall X, Y \in TV_n. \quad (26)$$

Substituting $Y = \xi$ in (26) we obtain

$$Ric(X, \xi) = 0 \quad \forall X \in TV_n.$$

It means that ξ is an eigenvector of the Ricci tensor corresponding to the zero eigenvalue. Therefore ξ is convergent. \square

Theorem 8 *Let $n > 2$ and let V_n be a Riemannian space with a non-constant curvature, where the Riemannian tensor is semiconjugated with a non-isotropic torse-forming vector field ξ . Then ξ is convergent.*

Proof Assume that a Riemannian space V_n with a non-constant curvature has the Riemannian tensor which is semiconjugated with a torse-forming vector field ξ which is not convergent. Then V_n has the Ricci tensor which is also semiconjugated with ξ . Therefore by Theorem 7 the space V_n has to be an Einsteinian space. We can easily see that ξ is concircular.

Then, according to the result of [4] the Riemannian tensor has the form

$$R_{hijk} = K(g_{hj}g_{ik} - g_{hk}g_{ij}),$$

which means that V_n has a constant curvature, a contradiction. We have proved that ξ has to be convergent. \square

References

- [1] Kowolik, J.: *On some Riemannian manifolds admitting torse-forming vector fields*. Dem. Math. **18**, 3 (1985), 885–891.
- [2] Mikeš, J.: *Geodesic mappings of affine-connected and Riemannian spaces* J. Math. Sci. New York **78**, 3 (1996), 311–333.
- [3] Mikeš, J.: *Geodesic Ricci mappings of two-symmetric Riemann spaces* Math. Notes **28** (1981), 622–624.
- [4] Mikeš, J., Rachůnek L.: *T-semisymmetric spaces and concircular vector fields*. Supplemento ai Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, II. Ser. 69 (2002), 187–193.
- [5] Rachůnek, L., Mikeš, J.: *Torse-forming vector fields in T-semisymmetric Riemannian spaces*. Steps in differential geometry. Proceedings of the colloquium on differential geometry, Debrecen, Hungary, July 25–30, 2000. Univ. Debrecen, Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, 2001, 219–229.
- [6] Rachůnek, L., Mikeš, J.: *On semitorse-forming vector fields*. 3rd International Conference APLIMAT 2004, Bratislava, 835–840.
- [7] Roter W.: *On a class of conformally recurrent manifolds*. Tensor N. S. **39** (1982), 207–217.
- [8] Yano, K.: *On torse-forming directions in Riemannian spaces*. Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo **20** (1944), 701–705.