

Vladimír Baláž; Tibor Šalát

Zeros of continuous functions and the structure of two function spaces

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 52 (2002), No. 4, 397--408

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133172>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ZEROS OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS AND THE STRUCTURE OF TWO FUNCTION SPACES

VLADIMÍR BALÁŽ* — TIBOR ŠALÁT**

(Communicated by *Lubica Holá*)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the structure of two spaces of continuous functions is studied from the point of view of metric and topological properties of the sets $Z(f) = f^{-1}(0) = \{x : f(x) = 0\}$ and porosity of some sets. Some results of the paper [BENAVIDES, T. D.: *How many zeros does a continuous function have?* Amer. Math. Monthly **93** (1986), 464–466] are here extended and deepened.

Introduction

The author of the paper [1] studies the structure of the space $C_0(a, b)$ of all continuous real-valued functions on the interval $[a, b]$ having at least one zero. Denote by $Z(f)$ the set of zeros of f . It is shown, that in $C_0(a, b)$, there are typical those functions having $\text{card } Z(f) = c$ (cardinality of continuum) and $\lambda(Z(f)) = 0$, where λ denotes Lebesgue measure. Note that if F is a space of functions and $F_1 \subseteq F$ is residual in F , then each function $f \in F_1$ is said to be typical in the space F .

In the first part of the paper we investigate the position of $C_0(a, b)$ as the subset of the space $C(a, b)$ of all continuous real valued functions on the interval $[a, b]$ with the metric ϱ , $\varrho(f, g) = \max\{|f(x) - g(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}$, the metric in $C_0(a, b)$ being $\varrho|_{C_0(a, b) \times C_0(a, b)}$.

In Section 2 we describe the structure of the spaces $C(a, b)$, $C_0(a, b)$ from the point of view of topological properties of the sets $Z(f)$.

In Section 3 we extend the result in [1] and show that in $C(a, b)$ and $C_0(a, b)$, there are typical functions having $\dim Z(f) = 0$. The symbol $\dim M$ denotes Hausdorff dimension of the set M (see [3; p. 50–78]).

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 26A15, 26A21.

Keywords: Lebesgue measure, Hausdorff dimension, porosity of set, set of the first Baire category, residual set.

Throughout the paper, for better distinguishing between $C(a, b)$ and $C_0(a, b)$, we will denote the ball in $C(a, b)$ by the symbol $B(g, \delta)$ ($g \in C(a, b)$, $\delta > 0$) and the ball in $C_0(a, b)$ by $B_0(g, \delta)$ ($g \in C_0(a, b)$, $\delta > 0$).

The notion of porosity in a metric space is introduced in agreement with the definition of porosity on line (see [5; p. 183–190]) as follows. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $Y \subseteq X$, $x \in X$, $\delta > 0$, then symbol $\gamma(x, \delta, Y)$ denotes the supremum of the set of all $t > 0$ for which there exists $y \in X$ such that $B(y, t) \subseteq B(x, \delta) \setminus Y$. If there exist no such $t > 0$, then $\gamma(x, \delta, Y) = 0$.

The numbers $\underline{p}(x, Y) = \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\gamma(x, \delta, Y)}{\delta}$ and $\bar{p}(x, Y) = \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\gamma(x, \delta, Y)}{\delta}$ are called the *lower* and *upper porosity of Y at x* respectively. We say that Y is *porous* or *very porous at x* if $\bar{p}(x, Y) > 0$ or $\underline{p}(x, Y) > 0$ respectively. If the number $p(x, Y) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\gamma(x, \delta, Y)}{\delta}$ exists, it is called the *porosity of Y at x* . If $\bar{p}(x, Y) \geq c$ or $\underline{p}(x, Y) \geq c$ and $c > 0$, then Y is called *c -porous* or *very- c -porous at x* respectively. The set Y is called *σ -porous*, *σ - c -porous*, *σ -very-porous* or *σ -very- c -porous at x* if $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$ and every set Y_n for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ is porous, c -porous, very porous or very- c -porous at x , respectively.

§1. The position of the subset $C_0(a, b)$ in the space $C(a, b)$

It is well known that $C(a, b)$ is a complete metric space, therefore it is a Baire space. It is easy to see that the set $C_0(a, b)$ is a closed subspace of the space $C(a, b)$. For this suffices to show that the set $C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)$ is an open set in the space $C(a, b)$. Let $g \in C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)$. Then g has no zero on $[a, b]$ therefore for each $x \in [a, b]$ we have $g(x) > 0$ or $g(x) < 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. In the first case we put $\delta = \min g(x)$ and for the second case $\delta = |\max g(x)|$. It can be easily verified that $B(g, \delta) \subseteq C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)$. So we see that $C_0(a, b)$ is also a complete metric space.

According to the previous consideration the set $C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)$ is of the second Baire category in $C(a, b)$.

We show that $\text{Int } C_0(a, b) \neq \emptyset$. It is enough to take a continuous real valued function g on $[a, b]$ such that $g(\frac{a+b}{2}) = 0$, $g(a) < 0$, $g(b) > 0$ and put $\delta < \min\{|g(a)|, g(b)\}$. Then, evidently, the ball $B(g, \delta)$ in $C(a, b)$ is a subset of $C_0(a, b)$. If $f \in B(g, \delta)$, then $f(a) < 0$, $f(b) > 0$ and therefore f has at least one zero on $[a, b]$.

Since each of the sets $C_0(a, b)$ and $C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)$ has a non-empty interior in the space $C(a, b)$ they are neither dense nor nowhere dense.

We shall investigate their porosity at points of $C(a, b)$. Since $C_0(a, b)$ is a closed subset of $C(a, b)$ its porosity at each point $g \notin C_0(a, b)$ equals 1. Hence it suffices to investigate its porosity only at the points of $C_0(a, b)$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $g \in C_0(a, b)$*

- (i) *If $g(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$ or $g(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, then $\underline{p}(g, C_0(a, b)) \geq 1/2$.*
- (ii) *Otherwise we have $\underline{p}(g, C_0(a, b)) = 0$.*

P r o o f .

(i) Let for example $g(x) \geq 0$ for each $x \in [a, b]$ and $B(g, \delta)$ be an arbitrary ball. Define function h , $h(x) = g(x) + \delta/2$. Evidently $B(h, \delta/2) \subseteq B(g, \delta)$ and $B(h, \delta/2) \cap C_0(a, b) = \emptyset$. Therefore $\gamma(g, \delta, C_0(a, b)) \geq \delta/2$ and from this $\underline{p}(g, C_0(a, b)) \geq 1/2$.

(ii) According to the assumption, there exist numbers $t_1, t_2 \in [a, b]$, $t_1 \neq t_2$ such that $g(t_1) < 0 < g(t_2)$. Put $\delta = \min\{|g(t_1)|, g(t_2)\}$ and take $B(g, \eta)$, $0 < \eta < \delta$.

If $f \in B(g, \eta)$, on the basis of the definition of number δ we have $f(t_1) < 0$, $0 < f(t_2)$ and by the continuity of f on $[a, b]$ there exists a zero between t_1 and t_2 , thus $f \in C_0(a, b)$. Therefore $B(g, \eta) \subseteq C_0(a, b)$. In this way we have proved that $\gamma(g, \eta, C_0(a, b)) = 0$ for each $\eta \in (0, \delta)$, hence $\underline{p}(g, C_0(a, b)) = 0$. □

Now we are going to investigate the porosity of the set $C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)$. Since $C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)$ is an open set, it is interesting to investigate its porosity only at points of the set $C_0(a, b)$.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $g \in C_0(a, b)$.*

- (i) *If $g(x) = 0$ for each $x \in [a, b]$, then $\underline{p}(g, C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)) \geq 1/2$.*
- (ii) *If for each $x \in [a, b]$ we have $g(x) \geq 0$ or $g(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, and g is not identically zero, then $\underline{p}(g, C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)) \geq 1/2$.*
- (iii) *If $\min_{x \in [a, b]} g(x) < 0 < \max_{x \in [a, b]} g(x)$, then $\underline{p}(g, C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)) = 1$.*

P r o o f .

(i) Let $B(g, \delta)$ be an arbitrary ball in $C(a, b)$. Put $h(x) = (x - \frac{a+b}{2}) \frac{\delta}{b-a}$ for $x \in [a, b]$. Evidently $B(h, \delta/2) \subseteq B(g, \delta)$ and $B(h, \delta/2) \subseteq C_0(a, b)$. Therefore, $B(h, \delta/2) \cap [C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)] = \emptyset$, so we have $\underline{p}(g, C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)) \geq 1/2$.

(ii) Assume, without loss of generality, that $g(x) \geq 0$ for each $x \in [a, b]$ and $\delta = \max_{x \in [a, b]} g(x) > 0$. Then according to the continuity of g , there exists such a point $t_1 \in [a, b]$ that $g(t_1) = \max g(x) = \delta > 0$. Construct $B(g, \delta)$ and put $h(x) = g(x) - \eta/2$ for η , $0 < \eta < \delta$. Then clearly $B(h, \eta/2) \subseteq B(g, \eta)$. Since $g \in C_0(a, b)$, there exists a point $t_0 \in [a, b]$ such that $g(t_0) = 0$. Now,

for $f \in B(h, \eta/2)$ we have $f(t_1) = h(t_1) + \varepsilon$, $0 \leq |\varepsilon| < \eta/2$. Hence $f(t_1) = g(t_1) - \eta/2 + \varepsilon > 0$ and

$$f(t_0) = h(t_0) + \varepsilon = g(t_0) - \eta/2 + \varepsilon < 0.$$

Since f is continuous, there exists a zero of f between t_0 and t_1 , thus $f \in C_0(a, b)$. This implies $B(h, \eta/2) \subseteq C_0(a, b)$ for each η , $0 < \eta < \delta$. Therefore $\gamma(g, \eta, C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)) \geq \eta/2$. Hence $p(g, C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)) \geq 1/2$.

(iii) Let t_1, t_2 be points in the interval $[a, b]$ such that $g(t_1) < 0$, $g(t_2) > 0$. Put $\delta = \min\{|g(t_1)|, g(t_2)\}$. Consider the ball $B(g, \eta)$, $0 < \eta < \delta$. Then for each $f \in B(g, \eta)$ we have $f(t_1) < 0$, $f(t_2) > 0$. Therefore $f \in C_0(a, b)$. Hence $B(g, \eta) \cap [C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)] = \emptyset$ so we have $\gamma(g, \eta, C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)) \geq \eta$. This implies $p(g, C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)) = 1$. \square

§2. The structure of spaces $C(a, b)$ and $C_0(a, b)$ from point of view of topological properties of sets $Z(f)$

As it was mentioned earlier, in the paper [1] it is shown that in $C_0(a, b)$, there are typical functions for which $\text{card}(Z(f)) = c$ and $\lambda(Z(f)) = 0$ simultaneously. We will investigate the structure of spaces $C(a, b)$ and $C_0(a, b)$ from the point of view of nowhere density and perfectness of sets $Z(f)$.

Denote by $H(a, b)$ or $H_0(a, b)$ the set of those functions f , $f \in C(a, b)$ or $f \in C_0(a, b)$, respectively, for which $Z(f)$ is a perfect and nowhere dense set in $[a, b]$. We will show that in $C(a, b)$ or $C_0(a, b)$ are typical functions for which $Z(f)$ is a perfect and nowhere dense set.

THEOREM 2.1.

- (i) *The set $H(a, b)$ is a residual set in $C(a, b)$.*
- (ii) *The set $H_0(a, b)$ is a residual set in $C_0(a, b)$.*

Proof.

(i) Let $A(a, b)$ be the set of all $f \in C(a, b)$ for which the set $Z(f)$ is not nowhere dense. We claim that $A(a, b)$ is a set of the first Baire category. Denote by $C^*(a, b)$ the set of those functions f , $f \in C(a, b)$, which are not monotone on any subinterval $J \subseteq [a, b]$. In the paper [4] it is shown that $C^*(a, b)$ is a residual set in $C(a, b)$. Let f be a function in $A(a, b)$. Then $Z(f)$ is not a nowhere dense set. Since $Z(f)$ is a closed set, there exists an interval $I \subseteq [a, b]$ such that $I \subset Z(f)$ and so f is monotone on I . Thus f belongs to the set $C(a, b) \setminus C^*(a, b)$ and therefore $A(a, b) \subseteq C(a, b) \setminus C^*(a, b)$. Since $C(a, b) \setminus C^*(a, b)$ is the set of the first Baire category, we have that the set $A(a, b)$ is also the set of the first Baire category. This implies that the set of those functions for which $Z(f)$ is a nowhere dense set is a residual set in $C(a, b)$.

ZEROS OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS AND TWO FUNCTION SPACES

Denote by $D(a, b)$ the set of all $f \in C(a, b)$ for which the set $Z(f)$ is not perfect. Because the empty set is perfect we have that $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$. It means that the set $Z(f)$ has an isolated point. Let $S = \{I_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the collection of all closed subintervals of $[a, b]$ whose endpoints are rational numbers from $[a, b]$ or belong to the set $\{a, b\}$. Define $D_n = \{f \in C(a, b) : f \text{ has a unique zero in } I_n\}$.

We claim, that D_n is nowhere dense in $C(a, b)$. Let $B(g, \delta)$ be an arbitrary ball in $C(a, b)$. We will prove that there exists a ball $B_1 \subseteq B(g, \delta)$ disjoint with the set D_n . We will distinguish the following two cases:

- a) g has no zero in I_n ,
- b) g has a zero in I_n .

a) Put $\eta = \min\{\delta, \min_{x \in I_n} |g(x)|\}$ and $B_1 = B(g, \eta)$. Evidently $B(g, \eta) \subseteq B(g, \delta)$. Further, if $f \in B(g, \eta)$, then f has no zero in I_n , hence $B(g, \eta) \cap D_n = \emptyset$.

b) Put $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$. Let $g(x_0) = 0, x_0 \in [a_n, b_n]$. At first, assume that $x_0 \neq a_n$ and $x_0 \neq b_n$. Choose $\eta > 0, \eta < \min\{|a_n - x_0|, |b_n - x_0|, \delta/4\}$ such that $|g(x)| < \delta/4$ whenever $|x - x_0| < 2\eta$. Note that such η exists because g is a continuous function. Define a continuous function h on $[a, b]$ as follows:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\delta}{2\eta}(x - x_0) - \frac{\delta}{4} & \text{for } x \in [x_0, x_0 + \eta), \\ -\frac{\delta}{2\eta}(x - x_0) - \frac{\delta}{4} & \text{for } x \in [x_0 - \eta, x_0), \\ g(x) & \text{for } |x - x_0| \geq 2\eta, \\ \text{linear} & \text{for the rest in } [a, b]. \end{cases}$$

For this continuous function h we have $\rho(g, h) < \delta/2$ and put $B_1 = B(h, \delta/4)$. It is obvious that $B(h, \delta/4) \subseteq B(g, \delta)$. Further, from construction of the function h we get

$$h(x_0 - \eta) = \delta/4, \quad h(x_0) = -\delta/4, \quad h(x_0 + \eta) = \delta/4. \tag{1}$$

If $f \in B(h, \delta/4)$, then, according to (1), we have $f(x_0 - \eta) > 0, f(x_0) < 0$ and $f(x_0 + \eta) > 0$. Therefore, the function f has in every interval $(x_0 - \eta, x_0), (x_0, x_0 + \eta)$ at least one zero. Then f has at least two zeros in $[x_0 - \eta, x_0 + \eta] \subset I_n = [a_n, b_n]$. This implies $B(h, \delta/4) \cap D_n = \emptyset$.

Now, assume that $x_0 = a_n$ (if $x_0 = b_n$ a similar argument can be used). Choose $\eta > 0, \eta < \delta/4, 2\eta < b_n - a_n$ such that $|g(x) - g(a_n)| = |g(x)| < \delta/4$ whenever $|x - a_n| < 2\eta$. Once more, the existence of such η is implied by the

continuity of g . Now we can define a continuous function h .

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\delta}{\eta}(x - a_n) & \text{for } x \in [a_n, a_n + \eta/4], \\ -\frac{2\delta}{\eta}(x - a_n - \frac{\eta}{4}) + \frac{\delta}{4} & \text{for } x \in (a_n + \eta/4, a_n + \eta/2), \\ \frac{\delta}{\eta}(x - a_n - \frac{\eta}{2}) - \frac{\delta}{4} & \text{for } x \in [a_n + \eta/2, a_n + \eta], \\ \text{linear} & \text{for } x \in (a_n + \eta, a_n + 2\eta), \\ g(x) & \text{for the rest in } [a, b]. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\rho(g, h) < \delta/2$. Put $B_1 = B(h, \delta/4)$, then $B(h, \delta/4) \subseteq B(g, \delta)$. If $f \in B(h, \delta/4)$, then according to the construction of h we get $f(a_n + \eta/4) > 0$, $f(a_n + \eta/2) < 0$ and $f(a_n + \eta) > 0$.

Similarly as in the previous case we get $B(h, \delta/4) \cap D_n = \emptyset$.

We will prove that

$$D(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n. \tag{2}$$

Suppose $f \in D(a, b)$, then $Z(f)$ is not a perfect set in $[a, b]$. Therefore it has an isolated point x_0 , for which there exists positive integer n such that $I_n \cap Z(f) = \{x_0\}$. Hence $f \in D_n$. The converse inclusion is obvious. Thus (2) holds.

Since the right-hand side of (2) is a set of the first Baire category we see that the set $D(a, b)$ is also the set of the first Baire category.

According to the previous reasoning we have that the set $A(a, b) \cup D(a, b)$ is a set of the first Baire category in $C(a, b)$.

It is obvious that $C(a, b) \setminus H(a, b) = A(a, b) \cup D(a, b)$. Therefore $H(a, b)$ is a residual set in $C(a, b)$.

(ii) Let $A_0(a, b)$ be the set of all $f \in C_0(a, b)$ for which the set $Z(f)$ is not nowhere dense. We will show that $A_0(a, b)$ is the set of the first Baire category.

Let $S = \{I_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ have the same meaning as before. Define $A_n = \{f \in C_0(a, b) : f(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in I_n\}$. We will prove that A_n is a nowhere dense in $C_0(a, b)$.

Let $B_0(g, \delta)$ be an arbitrary sphere in $C_0(a, b)$. We have to prove that there exists a ball $B \subseteq B_0(g, \delta)$ disjoint with the set A_n .

For this we will distinguish two cases:

- a) $g \notin A_n$,
- b) $g \in A_n$.

a) Since $g \notin A_n$, there exists a point $x_0 \in I_n$ such that $g(x_0) \neq 0$. Put $\eta = \min\left\{\frac{|g(x_0)|}{2}, \delta\right\}$. Put $B = B_0(g, \eta)$, then $B_0(g, \eta) \subseteq B(g, \delta)$. If $f \in B_0(g, \eta)$, then $f(x_0) \in (g(x_0) - \eta, g(x_0) + \eta)$, therefore $f(x_0) \neq 0$. Thus $f \notin A_n$. From this we get $B_0(g, \eta) \cap A_n = \emptyset$.

b) Assume $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$, $x_0 = \frac{a_n + b_n}{2}$. Define a continuous function h on $[a, b]$ as follows:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} -\left|\frac{\delta}{b_n - a_n}(x - x_0)\right| + \frac{\delta}{2} & \text{for } x \in [a_n, b_n], \\ g(x) & \text{for the rest of } [a, b]. \end{cases}$$

Evidently $h \in C_0(a, b)$. Consider the ball $B = B_0(h, \delta/2)$. According to the definition of h we have $B_0(h, \delta/2) \subseteq B_0(g, \delta)$. If $f \in B_0(h, \delta/2)$, then $f(x_0) \in (0, \delta)$. Hence $f \notin A_n$, which implies $B_0(h, \delta/2) \cap A_n = \emptyset$. Therefore the set A_n is nowhere dense.

Now we will show that

$$A_0(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n. \tag{3}$$

Indeed, let $f \in A_0(a, b)$. According to the definition of $A_0(a, b)$, the set $Z(f)$ contains a certain interval of the collection S . Then f belongs to A_n for a positive integer n . The converse inclusion is obvious. Thus (3) holds.

Since the right-hand side of (3) is a set of the first Baire category, $A_0(a, b)$ is a set of the first Baire category.

Denote $D_0(a, b)$ the set of all $f \in C_0(a, b)$ for which the set $Z(f)$ is not a perfect set. Similarly as in the proof of (i) we can prove that $D_0(a, b)$ is of the first Baire category.

Again we have $C_0(a, b) \setminus H_0(a, b) = A_0(a, b) \cup D_0(a, b)$. Therefore $H_0(a, b)$ is a residual set in $C_0(a, b)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Now we will investigate density of the sets $A_0(a, b)$ and $D_0(a, b)$. In this way we will complete the previous theorem.

THEOREM 2.2. *Sets $A_0(a, b)$ and $D_0(a, b)$ are dense in $C_0(a, b)$.*

P r o o f. Let $B_0(g, \eta)$ be an arbitrary sphere in $C_0(a, b)$. We will prove that

$$B_0(g, \eta) \cap A_0(a, b) \neq \emptyset, \tag{4}$$

$$B_0(g, \eta) \cap D_0(a, b) \neq \emptyset. \tag{5}$$

Denote x_0 a zero of $g \in C_0(a, b)$. Since g is a continuous function, for $\eta/2$ there exists $\delta > 0$, such that $|g(x) - g(x_0)| < \eta/2$ for all $x \in [a, b]$ whenever $|x - x_0| < \delta$. Choose two rational numbers a_n, b_n so that $a_n, b_n \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \cap [a, b]$ and $a_n < b_n$. Denote $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ and define a continuous function h in the following way:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \in I_n, \\ g(x) & \text{for } [a, b] - (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta), \\ \text{linear} & \text{for the rest of } [a, b], \end{cases}$$

From the construction of h it is clear that $h \in B_0(g, \eta)$ and $h \in A_n \subset A_0(a, b)$, thus (4) is true.

In order to prove (5) we define the continuous function h as follows:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{for } [a, b] - (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta), \\ \frac{\eta}{b_n - a_n}(x - b_n) + \frac{\eta}{2} & \text{for } x \in I_n, \\ \text{linear} & \text{for the rest of } [a, b]. \end{cases}$$

According to the definition of h we have $h \in B_0(g, \eta)$. Further $\frac{a_n + b_n}{2}$ is a unique zero of h in the interval I_n . Therefore $h \in D_n \subset D_0(a, b)$. Hence $h \in B_0(g, \eta) \cap D_0(a, b)$, which implies (5). \square

COROLLARY 2.3. *The set $C_0(a, b) \setminus H_0(a, b)$ is dense in $C_0(a, b)$.*

In connection with Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 the following question arises: Is a similar assertion true in the space $C(a, b)$? The answer is negative.

THEOREM 2.4. *The set $C(a, b) \setminus H(a, b)$ is neither dense nor nowhere dense in $C(a, b)$.*

Proof. In order to show that the set $C(a, b) \setminus H(a, b)$ is not nowhere dense in $C(a, b)$ it is enough to construct such a sphere in $C(a, b)$ that the set $C(a, b) \setminus H(a, b)$ is dense in it. Put $x_0 = \frac{a+b}{2}$. Choose a continuous function g on $[a, b]$ such that $g(x_0) = 0$, $g(a) < 0$, $g(b) > 0$. Let

$$\delta = \min \left\{ \left| \min_{x \in [a, b]} g(x) \right|, \max_{x \in [a, b]} g(x) \right\}.$$

Consider a sphere $B(g, \delta)$. Let $B(f, \eta)$ be an arbitrary ball in $B(g, \delta)$. Since $f \in B(g, \delta)$, according to the definition of δ , there exist numbers $x_1, x_2 \in [a, b]$, $x_1 < x_0 < x_2$ such that $f(x_1) < 0$, $f(x_2) > 0$. Therefore there exists a point y_0 , $x_1 < y_0 < x_2$ such that $f(y_0) = 0$. We will show that

$$[C(a, b) \setminus H(a, b)] \cap B(f, \eta) \neq \emptyset. \tag{6}$$

According to the continuity of f there exists $\eta_1 > 0$, such that $|f(x)| < \eta/2$ whenever $x \in [y_0 - \eta_1, y_0 + \eta_1] \cap [a, b]$. Put $h(x) = 0$ for $x \in [y_0 - \eta_1/2, y_0 + \eta_1/2]$, $h(x) = g(x)$ for $x \in [a, b] \setminus [y_0 - \eta_1, y_0 + \eta_1]$ and h is linear and continuous on intervals $J_1 = [y_0 - \eta_1, y_0 - \eta_1/2] \cap [a, b]$, $J_2 = [y_0 + \eta_1/2, y_0 + \eta_1] \cap [a, b]$. Thus h belongs to $C(a, b) \setminus H(a, b)$. We will show that $h \in B(f, \eta)$. If $x \in [y_0 - \eta_1/2, y_0 + \eta_1/2]$, according to the continuity of f , we have $|h(x) - f(x)| = |f(x)| < \eta/2$. If $x \in J_1$, then according to linearity of h , the number $h(x)$ is between numbers $f(y_0 - \eta_1/2)$ and $f(y_0 - \eta_1)$, by the continuity of f , we have $|h(x)| < \eta/2$. Hence for $x \in J_1$, $|f(x) - h(x)| < \eta/2 + \eta/2 = \eta$. If $x \in J_2$ a similar argument can be used. Finally, if $x \in [a, b] \setminus [y_0 - \eta_1, y_0 + \eta_1]$, it is clear that $|h(x) - f(x)| = 0 < \eta$. This completes the proof that $h \in B(f, \eta)$, which implies the validity of (6).

Now we will show that the set $C(a, b) \setminus H(a, b)$ is not dense in $C(a, b)$. Choose $g \in C(a, b)$, such that $g(x) \geq \delta > 0$ for each $x \in [a, b]$. Consider $B(g, \delta/2)$. If $f \in B(g, \delta/2)$, then $Z(f) = \emptyset$ and it is a perfect, nowhere dense set. Hence $B(g, \delta/2) \subseteq H(a, b)$, which implies $[C(a, b) \setminus H(a, b)] \cap B(g, \delta/2) = \emptyset$. This shows that the set $C(a, b) \setminus H(a, b)$ is not dense in $C(a, b)$. \square

Now we will give the estimation of σ -porosity of sets $A_0(a, b)$, $D_0(a, b)$ and $M_0(a, b)$ in $C_0(a, b)$, where $M_0(a, b)$ denotes the set of all $f \in C_0(a, b)$ for which $\text{card } Z(f) \leq \aleph_0$.

THEOREM 2.5. *The set $A_0(a, b)$ is σ -very- $1/2$ -porous in $C_0(a, b)$.*

P r o o f . In the proof of Theorem 2.1.(ii) we showed that $A_0(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, A_n were nowhere dense in $C_0(a, b)$. We distinguished two cases:

- a) $g \notin A_n$,
- b) $g \in A_n$ and found a ball B disjoint with the set A_n for all n .

Using this construction of the ball B let us restrict our considerations to $\gamma(g, \delta, A_n)$. Without loss of generality, in the case a) we can confine to such $\delta > 0$, that $\delta < \frac{|g(x_0)|}{2}$ and we get $\gamma(g, \delta, A_n) = \delta$. Thus $p(g, A_n) = 1$. In the case b) we get $\gamma(g, \delta, A_n) \geq \delta/2$ hence $\underline{p}(g, A_n) \geq 1/2$. \square

THEOREM 2.6. *The set $D_0(a, b)$ is σ -very- $1/4$ -porous in $C_0(a, b)$.*

P r o o f . In the proof of Theorem 2.1.(i) we showed that $D(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$, D_n were nowhere dense in $C(a, b)$. We again distinguished two cases:

- a) g has no zero in I_n ,
- b) g has a zero in I_n and we found a ball B_1 disjoint with the set D_n for all n .

Similarly we can show that $D_0(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$, where D_n are a nowhere dense in $C_0(a, b)$. Using this and the construction of the ball B_1 in the space $C_0(a, b)$, we can count $\gamma(g, \delta, D_n)$. Again, in the case a) we can restrict our considerations to such $\delta > 0$, $\delta = \min_{x \in I_n} |g(x)|$ and then $\gamma(g, \delta, D_n) = \delta$. Thus $p(g, D_n) = 1$. In the case b) we get $\gamma(g, \delta, D_n) \geq \delta/4$ hence $\underline{p}(g, D_n) \geq 1/4$. \square

COROLLARY 2.7. *The set $M_0(a, b)$ is σ -very- $1/4$ -porous in $C_0(a, b)$.*

P r o o f . Let $f \in M_0(a, b)$, then $\text{card } Z(f) \leq \aleph_0$. Every closed countable set has an isolated point. There exist two rational points a_n, b_n such that the interval $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ contains a unique zero of f . Thus $f \in D_n \subset D_0(a, b)$ and $M_0(a, b) \subset D_0(a, b)$. Using the previous Theorem 2.6 we have that the set $M_0(a, b)$ is σ -very- $1/4$ -porous. \square

Remark 2.8. Similar assertions as Theorem 2.5, Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7 can be obtained for the space $C(a, b)$.

§3. The structure of the spaces $C(a, b)$ and $C_0(a, b)$ from the point of view of measure of the sets $Z(f)$

In [1; Theorem 1] it was shown that the set of functions $f \in C_0(a, b)$ for which $\lambda(Z(f)) = 0$ is a residual set in $C_0(a, b)$. We extend this result. We will consider only the space $C_0(a, b)$, for the space $C(a, b)$ it can be done analogically.

Throughout this section, we shall assume that μ is a measure defined on certain family F of subsets of the interval $[a, b]$ containing all open and closed subsets of $[a, b]$. The notion of measure we understand in the sense [3; p. 2, Definition 1]. It means $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$, μ is nonnegative, σ -subadditive, monotone set function and moreover we assume that for every $M \in F$ we have $\mu(M) = \inf_{G \supseteq M} \mu(G)$ and $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for each $x \in [a, b]$.
 G is an open set

THEOREM 3.1. *Let μ be a measure on F possessing the previous properties. Then the set $W_0(a, b)$ of functions f , $f \in C_0(a, b)$ for which $\mu(Z(f)) = 0$ is a residual set in the space $C_0(a, b)$.*

P r o o f. We use the same procedure as in [1]. Define $M_n = \{f \in C_0(a, b) : \mu(Z(f)) < 1/n\}$. We shall show that M_n is an open set in $C_0(a, b)$. Let $f \in M_n$. Since $\mu(Z(f)) < 1/n$ and according to the properties of the measure μ , there exists an open set G , $G \supseteq Z(f)$ such that $\mu(G) \leq 1/n$. Put $\eta = \inf\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b] \setminus G\}$. Since f is continuous and $[a, b] \setminus G$ is a closed set, we have $\eta > 0$. It is enough to show that $B_0(f, \eta) \subseteq M_n$. Let $h \in B_0(f, \eta)$. If $x \in Z(h)$, we have $|f(x)| = |f(x) - h(x)| \leq \varrho(f, g) < \eta$. Thus $|f(x)| < \eta$ and according to the definition of η , the point x belongs to G , which implies $Z(h) \subseteq G$. From monotonicity of the measure μ we get $\mu(Z(h)) \leq \mu(G) < 1/n$, therefore $h \in M_n$. Put $M = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$. Then M is a G_δ set and contains all polynomials from $C_0(a, b)$ (see [1; Lemma 1]). On the basis of the Weierstrass approximation theorem we have that M is dense in the complete metric space $C_0(a, b)$. Since a dense G_δ set is a residual set (see [2; p. 49]), we see that M is a residual subset of $C_0(a, b)$. If $h \in M$, then $\mu(Z(h)) < 1/n$ for all n , thus $\mu(Z(h)) = 0$. Hence $M \subseteq W_0(a, b)$, so we get that $W_0(a, b)$ is also a residual subset of $C_0(a, b)$. \square

Consider Hausdorff measure defined by means of any function of class H_0 (see [3; pp. 50–51]), where H_0 is a class of all such functions $g: [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, that $g(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} g(t) = 0 = g(0)$.

Hausdorff measures fulfill all conditions imposed on measure μ above, before Theorem 3.1. Using this and Theorem 3.1 we obtain two following corollaries.

COROLLARY 3.2. *If $g \in H_0$ and μ^g is a Hausdorff measure defined by g , then in the space $C_0(a, b)$ functions with $\mu^g(Z(f)) = 0$ are typical.*

In the special case, if $g(t) = t^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ we have the following corollary:

COROLLARY 3.3. *In the space $C_0(a, b)$ functions with $\dim Z(f) = 0$ are typical.*

Remark 3.4. Results similar to Theorem 3.1 and Corollaries 3.2, 3.3 hold also for the space $C(a, b)$ (it suffices to write $C(a, b)$ instead of $C_0(a, b)$ in the theorem and the corollaries and it is possible to prove them by the same way that we used in the proof of Theorem 3.1).

Combining results of paper [1] with our results (Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1) we get Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.7.

THEOREM 3.5. *In the space $C_0(a, b)$, those functions f are typical for which $Z(f)$ is a perfect and nowhere dense set, $\text{card } Z(f) = c$, and $\dim Z(f) = 0$.*

Remark 3.6. According to the fact, that the set $C(a, b) \setminus C_0(a, b)$ is of the second Baire category, all results which hold for the space $C_0(a, b)$ cannot be transformed directly for the space $C(a, b)$. However we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.7. *In the space $C(a, b)$, functions f for which $Z(f)$ is a perfect and nowhere dense set and $\dim Z(f) = 0$ are typical.*

The above mentioned results can be transformed to describe the structure of spaces $C_P(a, b)$ and $C(a, b)$ by means of fixed points of functions, where $C_P(a, b)$ is the class of all continuous functions on the interval $[a, b]$ having at least one fixed point. Let $P(f)$ be a set of fixed points x of a function f , i.e. such $x \in [a, b]$ that $f(x) = x$. We give details only for $C(a, b)$.

We define a mapping F , $F: C(a, b) \rightarrow C(a, b)$ in the following way $F(f) = f - f_0$ for $f \in C(a, b)$, where $f_0(x) = x$ (identity function for $[a, b]$). If $x_0 \in [a, b]$ is a fixed point for a function f , then x_0 is zero for the function $F(f)$. Obviously that F is isometry on the space $C(a, b)$. For the space $C_P(a, b)$ we define a mapping F , $F: C_P(a, b) \rightarrow C_0(a, b)$ in the same way. From this we immediately have the validity of the following theorems.

THEOREM 3.8. *In the space $C_P(a, b)$, functions f for which $P(f)$ is a perfect and nowhere dense set, $\text{card } P(f) = c$, and $\dim P(f) = 0$ are typical.*

THEOREM 3.9. *In the space $C(a, b)$, those functions f are typical for which $P(f)$ is a perfect and nowhere dense set and $\dim P(f) = 0$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] BENAVIDES, T. D.: *How many zeros does a continuous function have?* Amer. Math. Monthly **93** (1986), 464–466.
- [2] KURATOWSKI, K.: *Topologie I*, PWN, Warszawa, 1958.
- [3] ROGERS, C. A.: *Hausdorff Measures*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1970.
- [4] ŠALÁT, T.: *On functions that are monotone on no interval*, Amer. Math. Monthly **88** (1981), 754–755.
- [5] THOMSON, B. S.: *Real Functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, 1980.

Received May 14, 2001

* *Katedra matematiky*
Chemickotechnologická fakulta
Slovenská technická univerzita
Radlinského 9
SK-812 39 Bratislava
SLOVAKIA
E-mail: vbalaz@chelin.chtf.stuba.sk

** *Katedra algebry a teórie čísel*
Matematicko-fyzikálna fakulta
Univerzita Komenského
Mlynská dolina
SK-842 15 Bratislava
SLOVAKIA