

Ján Jakubík

On isometries of lattices

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 34 (1984), No. 2, 177--184

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133002>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ON ISOMETRIES OF LATTICES

JÁN JAKUBÍK

Dedicated to Academician Štefan Schwarz on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Metrics defined on lattices with values in an abelian partially ordered group are dealt with in this note. Šmarda [7] investigated metrics defined on lattice ordered groups with values in an abelian lattice ordered group. Swamy [6] studied a metric  $d(x, y)$  on an abelian lattice ordered group  $G$  with values in  $G$ , where  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  for each  $x, y \in G$ .

### 1. Preliminaries

Let  $L$  be a lattice and let  $H$  be an abelian partially ordered group. Let  $v$  be a mapping of  $L$  into  $H$  such that

$$v(x) - v(x \wedge y) = v(x \vee y) - v(y)$$

is valid for each pair of elements  $x, y \in L$ . Then  $v$  is said to be a  $H$ -valuation on  $L$ . If  $v(x) < v(y)$  whenever  $x < y$ , then  $v$  is called a positive  $H$ -valuation.

Let  $d$  be a mapping of  $L \times L$  into  $H$  which satisfies the following conditions for each  $x, y, z \in L$ :

- (i)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ;
- (ii)  $d(x, y) \geq 0$ , and  $d(x, y) = 0$  iff  $x = y$ ;
- (iii)  $d(x, y) + d(y, z) \geq d(x, z)$ ;
- (iv)  $d(x \wedge z, y \wedge z) + d(x \vee z, y \vee z) \leq d(x, y)$ .

Then  $d$  is called an  $H$ -metric on  $L$ .

**1.1. Lemma.** *Let  $v: L \rightarrow H$  be a positive  $H$ -valuation. Then the lattice  $L$  is modular.*

The proof is the same as in [1], p. 232, Thm. 2.

The relation between  $H$ -metrics and positive  $H$ -valuations is given by the following propositions 1.2 and 1.3.

**1.2. Proposition.** *Let  $v$  be a positive  $H$ -valuation on  $L$ . Put*

$$d(x, y) = v(x \vee y) - v(x \wedge y)$$

*for each  $x, y \in L$ . Then  $d$  is an  $H$ -metric on  $L$ .*

The proof can be performed by using the same steps as in [1], p. 230, Thm. 1 (cf. also [1], p. 234, Exercise 4).

**1.3. Proposition.** *Let  $d$  be an  $H$ -metric on  $L$ . Let  $x_0 \in L$ . For each  $x \in L$  we set*

$$v(x) = d(x_0, x_0 \vee x) - d(x_0 \vee x, x).$$

*Then  $v$  is a positive  $H$ -valuation on  $L$ .*

*Proof.* At first we verify that

$$(\alpha) \quad x \leq z \leq y \Rightarrow d(x, z) + d(z, y) = d(x, y)$$

is valid. In fact, from (iv) and from  $x \leq z \leq y$  we obtain

$$d(x, z) + d(z, y) \leq d(x, y),$$

and hence in view of (iii),  $d(x, z) + d(z, y) = d(x, y)$  holds.

Now we prove that for each pair  $x, y \in L$  the relation

$$(\beta) \quad d(x, x \wedge y) = d(x \vee y, y)$$

is valid. Namely, from (iv) we infer (by putting  $v_1 = x \vee y$  and  $u_1 = x \wedge y$  that

$$\begin{aligned} d(v_1 \wedge y, x \wedge y) + d(v_1 \vee y, x \vee y) &\leq d(v_1, x) \\ d(u_1 \wedge x, y \wedge x) + d(u_1 \vee x, y \vee x) &\leq d(u_1, y), \end{aligned}$$

hence  $d(y, u_1) \leq d(v_1, x)$  and  $d(x, v_1) \leq d(u_1, y)$ , implying that  $(\beta)$  holds.

From  $(\alpha)$  it follows that for each  $t \in L$  with  $t \geq x_0 \vee x$  the relation

$$(\gamma) \quad v(x) = d(x_0, t) - d(t, x)$$

is valid. Moreover,  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\gamma)$  imply that whenever  $x < y$ , then

$$v(y) - v(x) = d(x, y) > 0$$

holds. Therefore in view of  $(\beta)$ ,

$$v(x \vee y) - v(y) = d(x \vee y, y) = d(x, x \wedge y) = v(x) - v(y).$$

We have verified that  $v$  is a positive  $H$ -valuation on  $L$ .

**1.4. Lemma.** *Let  $d$  be an  $H$ -metric on  $L$ . Let  $x, y \in L$ ,  $u_1 = x \wedge y$ ,  $v_1 = x \vee y$ . Then  $d(x, y) = d(x, u_1) + d(u_1, y) = d(x, v_1) + d(v_1, y)$  and  $d(x, y) = d(u_1, v_1)$ .*

*Proof.* From (iv) we obtain (by putting  $x = z$ )

$$d(x, y) \geq d(x, u_1) + d(x, v_1).$$

Hence in view of  $(\beta)$  and (iii),

$$d(x, y) \geq d(x, u_1) + d(u_1, y) \geq d(x, y).$$

The relation  $d(x, y) = d(x, v_1) + d(v_1, y)$  can be verified analogously. From (α) and (β) we infer  $d(u_1, v_1) = d(u_1, x) + d(x, v_1) = d(u_1, x) + d(u_1, y) = d(x, y)$ .

Let  $L'$  be a lattice and let  $d'$  be a  $H$ -metric on  $L'$ . Suppose that  $h: L \rightarrow L'$  is bijection such that

$$d(x, y) = d'(h(x), h(y))$$

is valid for each  $x, y \in L$ . Then  $h$  is said to be an  $H$ -isometry.

If the abelian partially ordered group  $H$  is fixed, then we use the term valuation instead of  $H$ -valuation, and in a similar sense we apply the notions of metric and isometry.

The results of this section will be applied below without quotation.

## 2. Basic properties of isometries

Let  $L, L', d, d'$  and  $H$  be as in § 1 and let  $h$  be an isomery of  $L$  onto  $L'$ . For each  $x \in L$  we put  $h(x) = x'$ . (If  $z' \in L'$ , then  $z$  is the element of  $L$  with  $h(z) = z'$ .)

**2.1. Lemma.** *Let  $x, y, a \in L, x \leq a \leq y, x' \leq y'$ . Then  $x' \leq a' \leq y'$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $d(x, a) + d(a, y) = d(x, y)$ , hence

$$d'(x', a') + d'(a', y') = d'(x', y').$$

Put  $x' \wedge a' = u', y' \vee a' = v'$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d'(x', y') &\leq d'(u', v') = d'(u', a') + d'(a', v') \leq \\ &\leq d'(x', u') + d'(u', a') + d'(y', v') + d'(a', v') = \\ &= d'(x', a') + d'(a', y') = d'(x', y'), \end{aligned}$$

whence  $d'(x', u') = 0$  and  $d'(y', v') = 0$ . Therefore  $u' = x'$  and  $v' = y'$ ; thus  $x' \leq a' \leq y'$ .

Analogously we can prove

**2.1'. Lemma.** *Let  $x, y, a \in L, x \leq a \leq y, x' \geq y'$ . Then  $x' \geq a' \geq y'$ .*

**2.2. Lemma.** *Let  $x, y \in L, x \leq y, x' \wedge y' = u'$ . Then  $x \leq u \leq y$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $x \wedge u = u_1, y \vee v = v_1$ . We have  $d'(x', u') + d'(u', y') = d'(x', y')$ , hence  $d(x, u) + d(u, y) = d(x, y)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &\leq d(u_1, v_1) = d(u_1, u) + d(u, v_1) \leq d(x, u_1) + d(u_1, u) + \\ &+ d(y, v_1) + d(v_1, u) = d(x, u) + d(u, y) = d(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

whence  $d(x, u_1) = 0$  and  $d(y, v_1) = 0$ , implying  $x = u_1$  and  $y = v_1$ . Therefore  $x \leq u \leq y$ .

Similarly we can prove

**2.2'. Lemma.** Let  $x, y \in L$ ,  $x \leq y$ ,  $x' \vee y' = v'$ . Then  $x \leq v \leq y$ .

**2.3. Lemma.** Let  $x, y, u, v$  be as in 2.2 and 2.2'. Then  $u \wedge v = x$  and  $u \vee v = y$ .

Proof. Put  $u \wedge v = x_1$ ,  $u \vee v = y_1$ . In view of 2.2 and 2.2' we have  $x_1, y_1 \in [x, y]$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= d(x, x_1) + d(x_1, y_1) + d(y_1, y) = \\ &= d(x, x_1) + d(u, v) + d(y_1, y) = d(x, x_1) + d'(u', v') + d(y_1, y) = \\ &= d(x, x_1) + d(x, y) + d(y_1, y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $d(x, x_1) = 0$  and  $d(y, y_1) = 0$ ; hence  $x = x_1$  and  $y = y_1$ .

**2.4. Lemma.** Let  $a, b \in L$ ,  $a \wedge b = u$ ,  $a \vee b = v$ ,  $a' \leq u'$ ,  $u' \leq b'$ . Then  $u' \wedge v' = a'$  and  $u' \vee v' = b'$ .

Proof. We have  $a' \leq b'$ . From 2.3 we obtain (if  $h$  is replaced by  $h^{-1}$ ) that  $u' \wedge v' = a'$  and  $u' \vee v' = b'$  is valid.

**2.5. Lemma.** Let  $a, b \in L$ ,  $a \wedge b = u$ ,  $a \vee b = v$ ,  $u' \leq a'$ ,  $u' \leq b'$ . Then  $a' \wedge b' = u'$  and  $a' \vee b' = v'$ .

Proof. a) Denote  $a' \wedge b' = u'_1$ . In view of 2.1 (if we replace  $h$  by  $h^{-1}$ ) the relation  $u_1 \in [u, a] \cap [u, b]$  must be valid, hence  $u = u_1$ .

b) Denote  $a'_1 = a' \vee v'$ ,  $b'_1 = b' \vee v'$ . According to 2.2' we have  $a_1 \in [a, v]$  and  $b_1 \in [b, v]$ . Put  $u_0 = a_1 \wedge b_1$ . In view of 2.1 (with  $h$  replaced by  $h^{-1}$ ) the relations  $u' \leq u'_0 \leq a'_1$ ,  $u' \leq u'_0 \leq b'_1$  are valid; hence from a) we obtain  $u'_0 = a'_1 \wedge b'_1$ . Further we have  $v' \leq a'_1$ ,  $v' \leq b'_1$ , thus  $v' \leq u'_0$ . Because of  $u_0 \leq a_1 \leq v$ , from 2.1' (and with  $h$  replaced by  $h^{-1}$ ) we infer that  $v' \leq a'_1 \leq u'_0$  must be valid. Thus  $u_0 = a_1$ . Similarly we obtain  $b_1 = u_0$ . In view of  $u_0 \in [a, v] \cap [b, v]$  we get  $u_0 = v$ , hence  $a' \leq v'$  and  $b' \leq v'$ . Thus according to the dual of a),  $v' = a' \vee b'$  is valid.

The assertions dual to 2.4 and 2.5 can be proved analogously.

**2.6. Lemma.** Let  $x, y, z \in L$ ,  $x_1 = x \vee z$ ,  $y_1 = y \vee z$ ,  $x \leq y$ ,  $x' \leq y'$ . Then  $x'_1 \leq y'_1$ .

Proof. Put  $x_1 \wedge y_1 = p$ ,  $p' \vee x'_1 = v'$ . In view of 2.2' we have  $p \leq v \leq x_1$ . Next, lemma 2.1 (with  $h$  replaced by  $h^{-1}$ ) yields  $p' \leq y'$ . Denote  $v_1 = y \vee v$ . According to 2.5,  $v'_1 = y' \vee v'$ .

From the relations  $v \leq v_1$ ,  $v' \leq v'_1$ ,  $v \leq x_1$ ,  $v' \geq x'_1$  we infer (using 2.1 and the dual of 2.1) that  $v_1 \wedge x_1 = v$  is valid. Thus (since  $v_1 \vee x_1 = y_1$ ) in view of 2.4  $x'_1 \leq y'_1$  holds.

### 3. Direct product decompositions corresponding to isometries

Again, let  $L, L', d, d', H$  and  $h$  be as above.

Let  $x, y \in L$ ,  $u = x \wedge y$ ,  $v = x \vee y$ . If  $u' \leq v'$  (or  $u' \geq v'$ , respectively), then we put  $xR_1y(xR_2y)$ .

From 2.6 (and using duality) we obtain:

**3.1. Lemma.** *Let  $x, y, z \in L, i \in \{1, 2\}, xR_i y$ . Then  $x \vee z R_i y \vee z$  and  $x \wedge z R_i y \wedge z$ . Both  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are obviously reflexive and symmetric.*

**3.2. Lemma.** *The relation  $R_1$  is transitive.*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, z \in L, xR_1 y, yR_1 z$ . Put  $x \wedge y = u_1, x \vee y = v_1, y \wedge z = u_2, y \vee z = v_2, u_1 \wedge u_2 = u_3, v_1 \vee v_2 = v_3$ .

In view of 2.1, we have  $u_1 R_1 y$  and  $u_2 R_1 y$ , hence  $u_1 R_1 (u_1 \vee u_2)$  and  $u_2 R_1 (u_1 \vee u_2)$ . From this and from the dual of 2.5 we infer that  $u_3 R_1 u_1$  and  $u_3 R_1 u_2$  holds. We have  $u_1 R_1 x$  and  $u_2 R_1 z$ , thus  $u_3 R_1 x$  and  $u_3 R_1 z$ . Since  $u_3 \leq x \wedge z$ , using 2.1 again we obtain that  $(x \wedge z)' \leq x'$  is valid. By a dual argument,  $x' \leq (x \vee z)'$  holds. Hence  $xR_1 z$ .

Similarly we can verify that  $R_2$  is transitive. Hence according to 3.1, both  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are congruence relations on  $L$ .

**3.3. Lemma.** *Let  $x, y \in L, xR_1 y$  and  $xR_2 y$ . Then  $x = y$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $(x \wedge y)' \leq (x \vee y)'$  and, at the same time,  $(x \wedge y)' \geq (x \vee y)'$ . Thus  $x \wedge y = x \vee y$  and hence  $x = y$ .

**3.4. Lemma.**  *$R_1 \vee R_2$  is the greatest congruence relation on  $L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in L$ ; we have to verify that  $aR_1 \vee R_2 b$  holds. Put  $x = a \wedge b, y = a \vee b, u' = x' \wedge y'$ . In view of 2.2, the relations  $xR_2 u, uR_1 y$  are valid, hence  $xR_1 \vee R_2 y$  holds. Thus  $aR_1 \vee R_2 b$ .

**3.5. Lemma.** *The congruence relations  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are permutable.*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in L, x \leq y, u' = x' \wedge y', v' = x' \vee y'$ . In the proof of 3.4 we have already verified that  $xR_2 u$  and  $uR_1 y$  is valid. In view of 2.2', the relations  $xR_1 v$  and  $vR_1 y$  hold. Now it suffices to apply Ex. 10, p. 163, [1].

For  $x \in L$  and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  we denote  $\{z \in L: zR_i x\} = x(R_i)$ . Let  $\varphi_i: L \rightarrow L/R_i$  be the mapping defined by  $\varphi_i(x) = x(R_i)$  for each  $x \in L$ . From 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 and [1], Thm. 5, Chap. VII we obtain:

**3.6. Theorem.** *The mapping  $\varphi': L \rightarrow L/R_1 \times L/R_2$  defined by  $\varphi(x) = (\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x))$  for each  $x \in L$  is an isomorphism of the lattice  $L$  onto the lattice  $L/R_1 \times L/R_2$ .*

Now we introduce analogous notions and denotations for the lattice  $L'$ .

Let  $x', y' \in L', u' = x' \wedge y', v' = x' \vee y'$ . If  $u \leq v$  (or  $u \geq v$ ), then we put  $x'R_1'y' (x'R_2'y')$ . Analogously as we did for  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  we can verify that  $R_1'$  and  $R_2'$  are congruence relations on the lattice  $L'$ ; if  $\varphi_1', \varphi_2'$  and  $\varphi'$  are defined similarly as  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi$ , then we have

**3.6'. Theorem.** *The mapping  $\varphi: L' \rightarrow L'/R_1' \times L'/R_2'$  defined by  $\varphi'(x') = (\varphi_1'(x'), \varphi_2'(x'))$  for each  $x' \in L'$  is an isomorphism of  $L'$  onto  $L'/R_1' \times L'/R_2'$ .*

**3.7. Lemma.** *Let  $x, y \in L$ . Then  $xR_1 y$  iff  $x'R_1'y'$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $xR_1 y, u = x \wedge y, v = x \vee y$ . Hence  $u' \leq v'$ . From 2.1 and from the

assertion that we obtain from 2.1 if we replace  $h$  by  $h^{-1}$  it follows that the mapping  $h$  maps isomorphically the interval  $[u, v]$  of  $L$  onto the interval  $[u', v']$  of  $L'$ ; in particular, the relations  $u' = x' \wedge y'$  and  $v' = x' \vee y'$  are valid. Thus  $x'R_1'y'$ . The inverse implication can be verified similarly.

Analogously we can prove (by applying 2.1'):

**3.7'. Lemma.** *Let  $x, y \in L$ . Then  $xR_2y$  iff  $x'R_2'y'$ .*

For each lattice  $K$ , we denote by  $K^\sim$  the lattice dual to  $K$ .

Let us consider the mappings  $\psi_1: L'/R_1' \rightarrow L/R_1$  and  $\psi_2: L'/R_2' \rightarrow L/R_2$  defined by  $\psi_1(x'(R_1')) = x(R_1)$  and  $\psi_2(x'(R_2')) = x(R_2)$ . From 3.7 and 3.7' it follows that  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are correctly defined; moreover, from the definitions of  $R_i$  and  $R_i'$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) we infer that  $\psi_1$  is a dual isomorphism and  $\psi_2$  is an isomorphism. Therefore from 3.6' we obtain

**3.8. Theorem.** *Let  $\psi: L' \rightarrow L/R_1 \times L/R_2$  be the mapping defined by  $\psi(x') = (x(R_1), x(R_2))$  for each  $x' \in L'$ . Then (i)  $\psi$  is an isomorphism of the lattice  $L$  onto the lattice  $(L/R_1)^\sim \times (L/R_2)$ ; (ii)  $\varphi = h \circ \psi$ .*

Theorems 3.8 and 3.6 yield:

**3.9. Corollary.** *Let  $h$  be an isometry of a lattice  $L$  onto a lattice  $L'$ . Then there exist lattices  $A, B$  and direct product representations  $f_1: L \rightarrow A \times B$ ,  $f_2: L' \rightarrow A^\sim \times B$ , such that  $f_1 = h \circ f_2$ .*

Let  $R$  be the additive group of all reals with the natural linear order.  $R$ -isometries of lattices were investigated in [2]. The method of proving theorem 1 in [2] essentially differs from the direct method applied above (in [2] a result of M. Kolibiar [5] on the betweenness relation in lattices was used).

#### 4. Isometries of $l$ -groups

Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be abelian lattice ordered groups and let  $H$  be an abelian partially ordered group. The corresponding lattices (i. e., the structures that we obtain from  $G$  and  $G'$  if the group operations are not taken under consideration) will be denoted by  $L(G)$  and  $L(G')$ . Let  $h, d$  and  $d'$  be as in § 1 with the difference that  $L$  and  $L'$  are replaced by  $L(G)$  and  $L(G')$ . Then Corollary 3.9 is valid (with  $L$  and  $L'$  replaced by  $L(G)$  and  $L(G')$ ).

Let us introduce the following denotation. Let  $L, P, Q$  be lattices and let us have a direct product representation  $f: L \rightarrow P \times Q$ . Let  $z_0 \in L$ ,  $f(z_0) = (p_0, q_0)$ . We denote by  $P_L[z_0]$  the set of all elements  $z \in L$  such that  $f(z) = (p, q)$  with  $q = q_0$ . The set  $Q_L[z_0]$  is defined analogously. Both  $P_L[z_0]$  and  $Q_L[z_0]$  are sublattices of  $L$ . For each  $z \in L$  we put  $f[z_0](z) = (p_1, q_1)$ , where (under the above denotations) we have  $p_1 \in P_L[z_0]$ ,  $q_1 \in Q_L[z_0]$ ,  $f(p_1) = (p, q_0)$  and  $f(q_1) = (p_0, q)$ . Then  $f[z_0]: L \rightarrow P_L[z_0] \times Q_L[z_0]$  is a direct product representation of the lattice  $L$ .

If  $G$  is an  $l$ -group and  $L = L(G)$ , then we write  $P_G[z_0]$  instead of  $P_{L(G)}[z_0]$ .

**4.1. Proposition.** Assume that we have a direct product representation  $f: L(G) \rightarrow P \times Q$ . Then  $P_G[0]$  and  $Q_G[0]$  are  $l$ -subgroups of  $G$  and the mapping  $f[0]: G \rightarrow P_G[0] \times Q_G[0]$  is a direct product representation of the  $l$ -group  $G$ .

This was proved in [4] (Thm. 3) (under different denotations).

From 4.1 and 3.9 we infer:

**4.2. Theorem.** Let  $h$  be an  $H$ -isometry of an  $l$ -group  $G$  onto an  $l$ -group  $G'$ . (i) There are lattices  $A, B$  and direct product representations  $f_1: L(G) \rightarrow A \times B$ ,  $f_2: L(G') \rightarrow A \times B$  such that  $f_1 = h \circ f_2$ . (ii)  $A_G[0]$  and  $B_G[0]$  are  $l$ -subgroups of  $G$ , and  $A_{G'}[0]$ ,  $B_{G'}[0]$  are  $l$ -subgroups of  $G'$ ; for the  $l$ -groups  $G$  and  $G'$  we have direct product representations

$$\begin{aligned} f_1[0]: G &\rightarrow A_G[0] \times B_G[0], \\ f_2[0]: G' &\rightarrow A_{G'}[0] \times B_{G'}[0]. \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $H = G$ , then the 'simplest' positive  $H$ -valuation  $v_0$  on  $G$  is the identity. The  $H$ -metric  $d_0$  corresponding to  $v_0$  (cf. Lemma 1.2) is defined by

$$d_0(x, y) = x \vee y - x \wedge y = |x - y|$$

for each  $x, y \in G$ . In the particular case when also  $G' = G$  we have the following result:

**4.3. Proposition** (Cf. [3]). Let  $h: G \rightarrow G$  be a bijection such that  $h(0) = 0$  and  $d_0(h(x), h(y)) = d_0(x, y)$  for each pair of elements  $x, y \in G$ . Then there exists a direct product representation  $f: G \rightarrow A \times B$  such that, whenever  $x \in G$  and  $f(x) = (a, b)$ , then  $f(h(x)) = (-a, b)$ .

Let us now return to the general case dealt with in Theorem 4.2 above. The lattices  $L(A_G[0])$  and  $L(A_{G'}[0])$  are isomorphic, and so are the lattices  $L(B_G[0])$  and  $L(B_{G'}[0])$ . Motivated by Proposition 4.3 we can now consider the question whether  $A_G[0]$  and  $A_{G'}[0]$  must be also isomorphic as groups, and similarly for  $B_G[0]$  and  $B_{G'}[0]$ . The following example shows that the answer is negative.

Let  $R_0$  and  $N_0$  be the additive group of all rational numbers or of all integers, respectively; both  $R_0$  and  $N_0$  are considered with the natural linear order. Let  $G$  be the lexicographic product  $R_0 \circ N_0$  (the elements of  $G$  are pairs  $(x, y)$  with  $x \in R_0$ ,  $y \in N_0$ , the group operation is performed coordinatewise, and  $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2)$  if either  $y_1 < y_2$ , or  $y_1 = y_2$  and  $x_1 \leq x_2$ ). Put  $G' = H = R_0$ . There exists an isomorphism  $h$  of  $L(G)$  onto  $L(G')$ . For  $z_1, z_2 \in G$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in G'$  we put

$$d(z_1, z_2) = |h(z_1) - h(z_2)|, \quad d'(x_1, x_2) = |x_1 - x_2|.$$

Then  $d$  is a  $H$ -metric on  $G$ ,  $d'$  is a  $H$ -metric on  $G'$  and  $h$  is an  $H$ -isometry of  $G$  onto  $G'$ . Both  $G$  and  $G'$  are directly indecomposable and they fail to be isomorphic.



## REFERENCES

- [1] BIRKHOFF, G.: Lattice theory, third ed., Providence 1967.
- [2] JAKUBÍK, J.: On metric lattices. (Slovak.) *Matem. fyz. časopis SAV* 5, 1955, 140–143.
- [3] JAKUBÍK, J.: Isometries of lattice ordered groups. *Czech. Math. J.* 30, 1980, 142–152.
- [4] ЯКУБИК, Я.: Прямые разложения частично упорядоченных групп. *Чех. мат. ж.* 10, 1960, 231–243.
- [5] KOLIBIAR, M.: To the betweenness relations in lattices. (Slovak.) *Matem. fyz. časopis SAV* 5, 1955, 162–171.
- [6] SWAMY, K. L.: Isometries in autometrized lattice ordered groups. *Algebra univ.* 8, 1977, 58–64.
- [7] ŠMARDA, B.: Topologies corresponding to metrics on  $l$ -groups. *Math. Slovaca* (submitted).

Received April 15, 1983

*Katedra matematiky VŠT  
Švermova 9  
040 02 Košice*

## ОБ ИЗОМЕТРИЯХ РЕШЕТОК

Ján Jakubík

Резюме

В статье исследуются метрики на решетках со значениями в частично упорядоченной абелевой группе. Найдены соотношения между изометриями и прямыми разложениями.