

Marta Popovičová

Darboux property for functions of several variables

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 26 (1976), No. 3, 185--192

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/131749>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

DARBOUX PROPERTY FOR FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES

MARTA POPOVIČOVÁ

In [1] there are investigated local properties of Darboux (\mathcal{O}) functions mapping E_n into R , where \mathcal{O} is a basis of E_n with the following properties:

- (1) Every element of \mathcal{O} is a connected set;
- (2) Any translation of an element of \mathcal{O} is in \mathcal{O} ;
- (3) For $x \in E_n$ and $U \in \mathcal{O}$, $x \in \bar{U}$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{O}$ such, that $x \in \bar{V}$ and $\bar{V} - \{x\} < U$. (\bar{U} denotes the closure of U .)

If \mathcal{O} is the class of open intervals in E_n , $n > 1$, the condition (3) is not satisfied.

Troughout this paper \mathcal{X} will be the set R^2 with the usual topology. Let ρ be a metric in \mathcal{X} inducing the usual topology. \mathcal{O} will denote the class of all open spheres in the metric space (\mathcal{X}, ρ) . It is obvious that there is a metric ρ such that \mathcal{O} does not satisfy (3).

Let \mathcal{N} be a class of subsets of real numbers such that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{N}$. We define a class $\mathcal{ND}(\mathcal{O})$ of functions as follows [4].

A function $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow R$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{ND}(\mathcal{O})$ if for every $G \in \mathcal{O}$ we have

$$(\inf_{x \in G} f(x), \sup_{x \in G} f(x)) - f(G) \in \mathcal{N}.$$

If $\mathcal{N} = \{\emptyset\}$, then $\mathcal{ND}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O})$ which is the class of all functions with the Darboux property as it is defined in [6]. If \mathcal{N} is the class of all sets complements of which are dense in their convex hulls, then f belongs to the class $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{O})$, which is the same class as the class $\mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{O})$ defined in [7]. For the case of functions of real variable this is the same as the class \mathcal{U}_0 defined in [2] and the class of functions studied by Radaković in [9].

Denote by $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$ the class of all functions $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow R$ such that for every $G \in \mathcal{O}$ and every set $M \subset \mathcal{X}$ of cardinality less than c we have $\overline{f(G - M)} \supset (\inf_{x \in G} f(x), \sup_{x \in G} f(x))$.

(c denotes the cardinality of the continuum.)

Theorems proved in this paper are similar to Theorem 1 of [1] for functions of classes $\mathcal{ND}(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$. For the case of functions of real variable this is proved in [3] for \mathcal{D} and in [4] for \mathcal{ND} . It is also shown that $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$ is the closure of the class $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O})$ with respect to uniform limits.

If x is an element of a metric space (\mathcal{X}, ϱ) and r is a positive number, then the symbol $S(x, r)$ denotes the set $\{y; \varrho(x, y) < r\}$ and \mathcal{O} denotes the class $\{S(x, r); x \in \mathcal{X}, r > 0\}$.

Let α be a direction in the plane. Put $S_{\alpha r} = S_{\alpha r}^0 \cup \{x\}$, where $S_{\alpha r}^0$ is the open sphere with radius r whose centre c_r lies on the halfline with origin x and direction α and $\varrho(c_r, x) = r$.

Let us denote $f_\alpha(x) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \{f(y); y \in S_{\alpha r}\}$,

$$f^\alpha(x) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \{f(y); y \in S_{\alpha r}\} \text{ and}$$

$$I_\alpha(x) = (f_\alpha(x), f^\alpha(x)).$$

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{N} be a hereditary σ -additive class of subsets of R such that if an open interval belongs to \mathcal{N} , then its closure also belongs to \mathcal{N} . Then $f \in \mathcal{N}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O})$ if and only if for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi)$ and $r > 0$ we have $I_\alpha(x) - f(S_{\alpha r}) \in \mathcal{N}$.*

To prove the theorem we need the following lemma of [4].

Lemma 1. *Let \mathcal{N} satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1. If $E \notin \mathcal{N}$, then there exists $y_0 \in E$ such that for every open interval $I \subset R$ containing y_0 we have $I \cap E \notin \mathcal{N}$ and for every open interval $J \subset R$ which has y_0 as its end point we have $J \notin \mathcal{N}$.*

Proof of Theorem 1. The necessity of the condition is obvious. The sufficiency will be proved indirectly. Let $f \notin \mathcal{N}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O})$. There exists $G \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $E = (\inf_{x \in G} f(x), \sup_{x \in G} f(x)) - f(G) \notin \mathcal{N}$. According to Lemma 1, there exists a point $y_0 \in E$ such that for every open interval I containing y_0 we have $E \cap I \notin \mathcal{N}$ and for every open interval J for which y_0 is one of the end points we have $J \notin \mathcal{N}$. There exist points x_1 and x_2 in G such that $f(x_1) < y_0 < f(x_2)$. If for every $x \in G$, $f(x)$ is less than y_0 , there would be a point $x' \in \bar{G}$ such that $f(x') > y_0$. For α equal to the direction of the line connecting the point x' with the centre of G we have $f^\alpha(x') > y_0$ and $f_\alpha(x') \leq y_0$, which is in contradiction with the assumption of the theorem. So there exists $\bar{G}_0 \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $x_1, x_2 \in \bar{G}_0$ and $\bar{G}_0 \subset G$.

Let us denote $A = \{x; x \in \bar{G}_0, f(x) > y_0\}$, and $B = \{x; x \in \bar{G}_0, f(x) < y_0\}$. We show that for $x \in A$ we have $f_\alpha(x) \geq y_0$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi)$. Let $f_{\alpha_0}(x) < y_0$ for some α_0 . Since $x \in A$, $f^{\alpha_0}(x) > y_0$ and so $y_0 \in I_{\alpha_0}(x)$. We obtain $E \cap I_{\alpha_0}(x) - f(S_{\alpha_0 r}) \in \mathcal{N}$, for $S_{\alpha_0 r} \subset \bar{G}_0$, which contradicts a property of y_0 . It follows that for $x \in A$ and any natural number m there exists an open set $H_A(x) \in \mathcal{O}$, $x \in H_A(x)$ such that for $z \in \bar{H}_A(x)$, $f(z) > y_0 - 1/m$. Similarly for $x \in B$ and any natural number m , $f^\alpha(x) \leq y_0$ and there exists an open sphere $H_B(x) \in \mathcal{O}$, $x \in H_B(x)$ such that for $z \in \bar{H}_B(x)$ we have $f(z) < y_0 + 1/m$. $\bar{G}_0 \subset \bigcup_{x \in B} H_B(x) \cup \bigcup_{x \in A} H_A(x)$ and so $\bar{G}_0 = \bigcup_{x \in A} H_A(x) \cap \bar{G}_0 \cup \bigcup_{x \in B} H_B(x) \cap \bar{G}_0$. Since \bar{G}_0 is connected,

$\bigcup_{x \in A} H_A(x) \cap \bigcup_{x \in B} H_B(x) \neq \emptyset$. Hence there exist points $z_1 \in A$ and $z_2 \in B$ such that $H_A(z_1) \cap H_B(z_2) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\lambda_0 = \sup \{ \lambda ; f((1-\mu)z_1 + \mu z_2) > y_0, 0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \}$ and $x_0 = (1-\lambda_0)z_1 + \lambda_0 z_2$. Then we show that $f(x_0) = y_0$. Let $f(x_0) > y_0$. Let α be the direction of the line $x_0 z_2$. Then $f_\alpha(x_0) \leq y_0$ and $f^\alpha(x_0) > y_0$ but this is contradictory to the property of y_0 . Similarly we can show that $f(x_0)$ is not less than y_0 . So $f(x_0) = y_0$ which contradicts the assumption that $y_0 \in E$.

Let $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{O})$ denote the class of all functions $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that every $G \in \mathcal{O}$ we have $\overline{f(G)} \supset \langle \inf_{x \in G} f(x), \sup_{x \in G} f(x) \rangle$.

As it was said, $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O})$ if \mathcal{N} is the class of all sets complements of which are dense in their convex hulls. But this class of sets is not additive, so Theorem 1 is not valid for functions of $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{O})$. A similar theorem for $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{O})$ can be proved.

Theorem 2. A function f belongs to $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{O})$ if and only if for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\alpha \in \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle$ and $r > 0$ we have $I_\alpha(x) \subset \overline{f(S_{\alpha r})}$.

To prove Theorem 2 we need the following generalization of Lemma of [3].

Lemma 2. Let $g: M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, where M is a connected set in \mathcal{X} . Let $g(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in M$ and let there be points $x_1, x_2 \in M$ such that $g(x_1) \cdot g(x_2) < 0$. Then there exists a point $x_0 \in M$ such that $g(x)$ takes both positive and negative values in every neighbourhood of x_0 .

Proof. As $M = \{x; x \in M, g(x) > 0\} \cup \{x; x \in M, g(x) < 0\}$ and M is connected, it follows that

$$\overline{\{x; x \in M, g(x) > 0\}} \cap \overline{\{x; x \in M, g(x) < 0\}} \cap M \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. It is obvious that the condition is necessary.

To prove the sufficiency of the condition, we argue by contradiction. Let $y_0 \in \langle \inf_{x \in G} f(x), \sup_{x \in G} f(x) \rangle - \overline{f(G)}$ for some G . Then there are points $x_1, x_2 \in G$ such that $f(x_1) < y_0 < f(x_2)$. Let there be $f(x) < y_0$ for all $x \in G$, then there exists $x_0 \in \tilde{G}$ such that $f(x_0) > y_0$. Let α be a direction of the line $x_0 x_1$, where x_1 is the centre of G , then $f_\alpha(x_0) < y_0$ and $f^\alpha(x_0) > y_0$ and $f(S_{\alpha x_0}^0) \subset \overline{f(G)}$ for $S_{\alpha x_0}^0 \subset G$, $\overline{f(S_{\alpha x_0}^0)} = f(S_{\alpha x_0}^0) \cup \{f(x_0)\}$ which is in contradiction with the fact that $I_\alpha(x) \subset \overline{f(S_{\alpha r})}$. Put $y_1 = \sup \{z; z \in \overline{f(G)}, z < y_0\}$, $y_2 = \inf \{z; z \in \overline{f(G)}, z > y_0\}$. Then $y_1, y_2 \in \overline{f(G)}$ and $(y_1, y_2) \cap \overline{f(G)} = \emptyset$. Define a function g by $g(x) = f(x) - (y_1 + y_2)/2$. The function g satisfies on G the conditions of Lemma 2. Hence there is a point x_0 such that in every neighbourhood of x_0 , $f(x)$ takes the values both greater or equal y_2 and smaller or equal y_1 .

Now we prove that there is α_0 such that $I_{\alpha_0}(x_0) \supset (y_1, y_2)$ and the proof of Theorem 2 will be finished.

Put $A_1 = \{\alpha; f^\alpha(x_0) \leq y_1\}$ and $A_2 = \{\alpha; f^\alpha(x_0) \geq y_2\}$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $\langle 0, 2\pi \rangle - (A_1 \cup A_2) \neq \emptyset$. Then for $\alpha_0 \in \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle - (A_1 \cup A_2)$ we have $f^{\alpha_0}(x_0) \geq y_2$ and $f_{\alpha_0}(x_0) \leq y_1$. Thus $I_{\alpha_0}(x_0) \supset (y_1, y_2)$.

Case 2. $A_1 \cup A_2 = \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle$. Let $\alpha_0 \in b(A_1) \cap b(A_2)$, where $b(A)$ denotes the boundary of A with respect to the usual topology on the interval. There is a sequence $\{\alpha_n^1\}_{n=1}^\infty$ convergent to α_0 , $\alpha_n^1 \in A_1$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and we have $f_{\alpha_n^1}(x_0) \leq y_1$. Similarly there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n^2\}_{n=1}^\infty$ convergent to α_0 , $\alpha_n^2 \in A_2$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and we have $f^{\alpha_n^2}(x_0) \geq y_2$ so that $I_{\alpha_0}(x_0) \supset (y_1, y_2)$.

The following theorem can be proved in a similar way.

Theorem 3. *A function f belongs to $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$ if and only if for every $x, \alpha \in \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle$, $r > 0$ and for every set $M \subset \mathcal{X}$ of cardinality less than c we have $I_\alpha(x) \subset \overline{S_{\alpha r} - M}$.*

As it is shown in [2] for the case of real variable, the class \mathcal{U} is the class of uniform limits of sequences of Darboux functions. A similar characterization for the class $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$ is given.

Let us denote $C_0(f, x)$ ($C(f, x)$) the set of all points y such that for every set $G \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $x \in G$ and for every neighbourhood N of y the set $f^{-1}(N) \cap G \neq \emptyset$ (has cardinality c).

Let us denote $C_0^\alpha(f, x, \mathcal{O})$ ($C^\alpha(f, x, \mathcal{O})$) the set of all points y such that for every neighbourhood N of y and for every $r > 0$ the set $f^{-1}(N) \cap S_{\alpha r} \neq \emptyset$ (has cardinality c).

Theorem 4. *For a function $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $f \in \mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{O})$.
- (b) $C_0(f, x)$ is a closed interval for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

(c) *For every $G \in \mathcal{O}$ we have $\bigcup_{x \in \tilde{G}} C_0(f, x) = \langle \inf_{x \in \tilde{G}} f(x), \sup_{x \in \tilde{G}} f(x) \rangle$ (for $x \in \tilde{G} - G$ instead of $C_0(f, x)$ we take $C_0^\alpha(f, x, \mathcal{O})$ for α equal to the direction of $x_0 x$, where x_0 is the centre of G).*

Proof. (a) implies (b). Suppose that $C_0(f, x_0)$ is not an interval. Then the convex hull $\text{co}(C_0(f, x_0))$ of $C_0(f, x_0)$ contains a point y which does not belong to $C_0(f, x_0)$.

Since $f \in \mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{O})$, we have $\overline{f(G)} \supset \langle \inf_{x \in \tilde{G}} f(x), \sup_{x \in \tilde{G}} f(x) \rangle \supset \text{co}(C_0(f, x_0))$ for G which contains x_0 . Let N be neighbourhood of y . Then $f^{-1}(N) \cap G \neq \emptyset$ and consequently $y \in C_0(f, x_0)$.

(b) implies (c). Let $C_0(f, x)$ be a closed interval for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Let $G \in \mathcal{O}$ and $K = \bigcup_{x \in \tilde{G}} C_0(f, x)$.

We will show that K is dense in its convex hull $\text{co}(K)$. Let there be an interval

$(a, b) \subset \text{co}(K) - K$. $C_0(f, x)$ is a closed interval hence either $C_0(f, x) \subset (-\infty, a)$ or $C_0(f, x) \subset (b, \infty)$ for each $x \in \bar{G}$. Let $C_0(f, x_0) \subset (-\infty, a)$. Let there be α_0 such that, for every $r > 0$, $S_{\alpha_0, x_0, r}$ contains a point x_r with the property $C_0(f, x_r) \subset (b, \infty)$. Then there exists $y \in C_0(f, x_0)$ such that $y \geq b$ and this is a contradiction. For all α let δ_0^α denote the supremum of all δ such that $y \in C_0(f, x)$ implies $y \leq a$ for every $x \in S_{\alpha, x_0, \delta}$. Then $\delta_0^\alpha > 0$. Let $\delta_0^\alpha \neq \infty$ and z_1 be a point of the boundary of $S_{\alpha_0, \delta_0^\alpha}$. Then every set $H \in \mathcal{O}$ containing the point z_1 contains also a point z_2 such that $\sup C_0(f, z_2) \leq a$ and it follows $\sup C_0(f, z_1) \leq a$. We can apply to the point z_1 the same consideration as for x_0 . Thus we get that for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ we have $\sup C_0(f, x) \leq a$.

Let $c \in \text{co}(K)$. According to the preceding for every n there is an $x_n \in \bar{G}$ such that $C_0(f, x_n) \cap (c - 1/n, c + 1/n) \neq \emptyset$. We can suppose that x_n converges to $x_0 \in \bar{G}$. Then there exist points $z_n \in S(x_n, 1/n) \cap \bar{G}$ such that $f(z_n) \in (c - 1/n, c + 1/n)$. Hence $c \in C_0(f, x_0) \subset K$.

Since there exist points x_1, x_2 such that $\inf_{x \in G} f(x) \in C_0(f, x_1)$ and $\sup_{x \in G} f(x) \in C_0(f, x_2)$ the condition (c) follows.

(c) implies (a). The proof is very similar to that for the case of a real variable [2, Theorem 3.1].

Let $A, B \subset \mathfrak{X}$. The set A will be called c -dense in B if for every $G \in \mathcal{O}$ for which $G \cap B \neq \emptyset$ the set $G \cap A$ has cardinality c .

Theorem 5. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) $f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$.

(b) For every $G \in \mathcal{O}$ we have $\bigcup_{x \in G} C(f, x) = \inf_{x \in G} f(x), \sup_{x \in G} f(x)$ (for $x \in \bar{G} - G$ instead of $C(f, x)$ we take $C^\alpha(f, x, \mathcal{O})$, for α equal to the direction of the line x_0x , where x_0 is the centre of G).

(c) $f \in \mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{O})$ and, for every open interval I , $f^{-1}(I)$ is empty or c -dense in itself.

(d) $f \in \mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{O})$ and the graph of f is c -dense in itself.

Proof will be omitted because it is very similar to that for the case of one variable [2, Theorem 3.2].

It is easy to see that Lemma 4.1 [2] holds also in \mathfrak{X} .

Lemma 3. *Any $A \subset \mathfrak{X}$ c -dense in itself is a union of countably many disjoint, non-empty subsets each of which is c -dense in A .*

Theorem 6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $g \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$ such that g is not constant on any sphere, the range of g is countable and $\|f - g\| < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let $f(x) = a$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. Let $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be the rational numbers lying in

the interval $(a - \varepsilon/2, a + \varepsilon/2)$. Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a decomposition of \mathcal{X} into subsets c -dense in \mathcal{X} (as it is given by Lemma 3). Define $g(x) = r_i$, for $x \in A_i$.

Let f be not constant. Then we can assume that $f(\mathcal{X}) = R$, $R = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty I_n$, $|I_n| < \varepsilon$, $I_j \cap I_k = \emptyset$, for $j \neq k$, where $I_n = (a_{n-1}, a_n)$ are half-open intervals having irrational end points. Put $A_n = f^{-1}(I_n)$, where I_n° denotes the interior of I_n . Let $\{r_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of rational numbers belonging to I_n . Since $f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$, A_n is c -dense in itself. If A_n were not c -dense in itself, then there would exist $G_n \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $\text{card}(G_n \cap A_n) < c$ and $f(G_n - (G_n \cap A_n)) = f(G_n - A_n) = f(G_n) - I_n^\circ$ and this is in contradiction with the fact that $(\inf_{x \in G} f(x), \sup_{x \in G} f(x)) \subset \overline{f(G_n - (G_n \cap A_n))} = \overline{f(G_n) - I_n^\circ}$.

Put

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} r_{n,k} & \text{for } x \in B_{n,k}, \\ f(x) & \text{for } x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n, \end{cases}$$

where $A_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_{n,k}$ is the decomposition of the set A_n given in Lemma 3.

It is obvious that $\|f - g\| < \varepsilon$ and the range of g is countable, and since $B_{n,k}$ are c -dense in A_n , g cannot be constant on any sphere.

Let x, α be given. Denote $\mathcal{F}^\alpha(x) = \{I_n; I_n \cap I_{g^\alpha(x)}^\alpha \neq \emptyset\}$, where $I_{g^\alpha(x)}^\alpha = (g^\alpha(x), g^\alpha(x))$ (similarly $I_{f^\alpha(x)}^\alpha$). Then for $I \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha(x)$ we have $I_{f^\alpha(x)}^\alpha \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Indeed let $I_n \cap I_{f^\alpha(x)}^\alpha = \emptyset$ for some $I_n \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha(x)$. Since $f^{-1}(I_n^\circ) = A_n$ and A_n is c -dense in itself, there exists r_0 such that for $r < r_0$ it follows that $S_{\alpha r} \cap A_n = \emptyset$. Hence, for such r , $g(S_{\alpha r}) \cap I_n^\circ = \emptyset$ and since $g^{-1}(a_n) \cap S_{\alpha r} = f^{-1}(a_n) \cap S_{\alpha r}$ we have $g(S_{\alpha r}) \cap I_n = \emptyset$, a contradiction.

Let $g^\alpha(x) = \infty$. Then, since $f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$, we have $f(S_{\alpha r} - C) \cap I_n^\circ \neq \emptyset$ for every $I_n \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha(x)$, $r > 0$ and for every C with cardinality less than c . Then the set $g(S_{\alpha r} - C)$ contains all rational numbers of the intervals of $\mathcal{F}^\alpha(x)$. Let $z \in S_{\alpha r} - C$ be such that $f(z) \in I_n^\circ$ for $I_n \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha(x)$. Obviously, $z \in A_n$. Then $(B_{n,i} - C) \cap S_{\alpha r} \neq \emptyset$ for every i . Therefore $g(S_{\alpha r} - C)$ contains all rational numbers of $\cup \{I_n; I_n \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha(x)\}$.

Let $g^\alpha(x) < \infty$. Then there exists I_{n_0} such that $g^\alpha(x) \in I_{n_0}$. Let $f^\alpha(x) \in I_{n_0}^0$ or $f^\alpha(x) = a_{n_0}$. Since $f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$, according to Theorem 3 we have $f(S_{\alpha r} - C) \cap I_{n_0}^0 \neq \emptyset$ for every $r > 0$. Therefore $g(S_{\alpha r} - C)$ contains all rational numbers of the interval I_{n_0} .

Similarly as in the case of $g^\alpha(x) = \infty$ we can prove that $g(S_{\alpha r} - C)$ contains all rational numbers of $\{I_n; I_n \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha(x) - \{I_{n_0}\}\}$. Hence, by Theorem 3, it follows that $g \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$.

Theorem 7. Let $f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$ be a function with a countable range and not constant on any sphere. Then f is a uniform limit of a sequence of Darboux (\mathcal{O}) functions.

The proof of Theorem 7 is very similar to that for the case of real variable [2, Theorem 4.2].

Lemma 4. *If $f \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and for each x , $f(x) \in \bigcap_{\alpha} (I_{\alpha}(x))_0$, $\alpha \in \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle$, then $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O})$, where $(I_{\alpha}(x))_0 = \langle \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \{f(z), z \in S_{\alpha r}^0\}, \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \{f(z), z \in S_{\alpha r}^0\} \rangle$. (\mathcal{B}_1 denotes the functions of Baire class 1)*

Proof. Let there exist $G \in \mathcal{O}$ and a real number d such that $G \cap \{x; f(x) = d\} = \emptyset$, but none of the sets $A = G \cap \{x; f(x) > d\}$, $B = G \cap \{x; f(x) < d\}$ are empty. The boundary $b(A)$ of A is non-empty, because G is connected. If $b(A) \cap G = \emptyset$, then $b(A) \subset \bar{G} - G$, then either $A = G$ or $B = G$, which contradicts the assumption.

Let $b(A)$ contain an isolated point z . Then there exists $G_z \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $G_z \cap b(A) = \{z\}$. Therefore $G_z - \{z\}$ is connected and $G_z - \{z\} \subset B$ or $G_z - \{z\} \subset A$, which is in contradiction with the property that $f(z) \in \bigcap_{\alpha} (I_{\alpha}(z))_0$, $\alpha \in \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle$.

Hence $b(A) \cap G$ is non-empty and dense in itself. We will prove that $A \cap b(A)$ is dense in $G \cap b(A)$. Let $A \cap b(A)$ be not dense in $G \cap b(A)$. Then there exists an open sphere $H \subset G$ with the centre $z_0 \in B \cap b(A)$ such that $H \cap A \cap b(A) = \emptyset$. Let z_1 be a point of $A \cap H$ such that $\varrho(z_1, H^c) > 2\varrho(z_1, z_0)$. (H^c denotes the complement of H .) Let $H_1 \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $z_1 \in H_1 \subset A \cap H$ and $\varrho(H_1, H^c) > \varrho(H_1, z_0)$. Let $z_2 \in B$ such that $\varrho(z_2, z_1) = \varrho(z_1, B)$ then $z_2 \in H$. For α equal to the direction of the line $z_1 z_2$ there is r such that $S_{\alpha z_2}^0 \subset A$, which is contradictory to our assumption that $f(z_2) \in \bigcap_{\alpha} (I_{\alpha}(z_2))_0$, $\alpha \in \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle$. Similarly $B \cap b(A)$ is dense in $G \cap b(A)$.

The function f is not continuous in the points of $b(A) \cap G$, which is in contradiction with the fact that $f \in \mathcal{B}_1$.

Theorem 8. $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O})\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{O})\mathcal{B}_1$.

Proof. According to Lemma 4, it is sufficient to prove that if $f \in \mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{O})\mathcal{B}_1$, then $f(x) \in \bigcap_{\alpha} (I_{\alpha}(x))_0$, $\alpha \in \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle$, for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Let there exist x_0 such that $f(x_0) \notin \bigcap_{\alpha} (I_{\alpha}(x_0))_0$, $\alpha \in \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle$. It follows that there exist α_0 such that

$f(x_0) \notin (I_{\alpha_0}(x_0))_0$. Then $I_{\alpha_0}(x_0) \subset \overline{f(S_{\alpha_0 x_0}^0)}$ cannot hold.

A similar characterization as is given in [8] for $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$ can be proved for functions of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$. (\mathcal{B}_{α} denotes the functions of Baire class α .)

Lemma 5. *Let there be given $f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$, $g \in \mathcal{B}_{\beta}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|f - g\| \leq \varepsilon$, then there exists $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O})\mathcal{B}_{\max(\beta, 2)}$ such that $\|f - h\| \leq 2\varepsilon$.*

Proof. We apply the method of the proof of Lemma 7 of [8], where J_n are the open spheres with rational radii centres of which have rational coordinates. The existence of nowhere dense perfect subsets P_i^k follows from Alexandroff's-Hausdorff's theorem [5, p. 355]. For details see [8].

Theorem 9. *A function f belongs to $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$ if and only if f is a uniform limit of a sequence of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O})$ functions. Moreover if f is in Baire class α then the approximating function can be taken from Baire class α .*

Proof. i) If f is an arbitrary function then the necessity is proved by applying Theorems 6 and 7. The proof of sufficiency is similar to that for the case of one variable [2, Theorem 4.3].

(ii) If $f \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ then for $\alpha = 0$ the assertion is trivial. For $\alpha = 1$ it is a consequence of Theorem 8. For $\alpha \geq 2$ the theorem is a consequence of Lemma 5.

REFERENCES

- [1] BRUCKNER, A. M.—BRUCKNER, J. B.: Darboux transformations. Trans. Amer. math. Soc., 128, 1967, 103—111.
- [2] BRUCKNER, A. M.—CEDER, J. G.—WEISS, M.: Uniform limits of Darboux functions. Coll. Math., 15, 1966, 65—77.
- [3] CSÁSZÁR, A.: Sur la propriété de Darboux. C. R. Premiér Congr. des Math. Hong. Budapest 1952, 551—560.
- [4] HALPERIN, I.: On the Darboux property. Pacific J. Math., 5, 1955, 703—705.
- [5] KURATOWSKI, K.: Topologie I. PWN, Warszawa 1958.
- [6] MIŠÍK, L.: Über die Funktionen der ersten Baireschen Klasse mit Eigenschaft von Darboux, Mat.-fyz. Čas. 1964, 44-49.
- [7] MIŠÍK, L.: Über die Eigenschaft von Darboux und einiger Klassen von Funktionen. Rev. Roum. Math. pures et appl., 11, 1966, 411—430.
- [8] PREISS, D.: Limits of derivatives and Darboux-Baire functions, Rev. Roum. Math. pures et appl., 14, 1969, 1201—1206.
- [9] RADAKOVIĆ, T.: Über Darboux'sche und stetige Funktionen, Monatshefte Math. Phys., 38, 1931, 117—122.

Received December 14, 1973

Matematický ústav SAV
Obrancov mieru 49
886 25 Bratislava

СВОЙСТВО ДАРБУ ДЛЯ ФУНКЦИИ НЕСКОЛЬКИХ ПЕРЕМЕННЫХ

Марта Поповичова

Резюме

В статье определяются классы функции $\mathcal{ND}(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$ и $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{O})$, которые являются обобщением классов функции \mathcal{ND} [4], \mathcal{U} и \mathcal{U}_0 [2], для функции двух переменных. Исследуются их локальные свойства и обобщаются результаты работ [2] и [8] касающиеся равномерной сходимости функции Дарбу.