

Bohumil Šmarda

*-median

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 46 (1996), No. 2-3, 157--164

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/131679>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

*Dedicated to Professor Tibor Šalát
on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

*-MEDIAN

BOHUMIL ŠMARDÁ

(Communicated by Tibor Katriňák)

ABSTRACT. The investigation of completely normal topological spaces gives a motive for the definition of the *-median operation on distributive p -algebras. Basic properties of this operation are described in the following paper.

Several authors described the role of the median operation in distributive lattices. Let us remember G. Birkhoff [1], M. Sholander [5] and M. Kolibiar [4]. The *median operation* on a distributive lattice L is defined (see [1]) in the following way:

$$(a, b, c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c) \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c) \quad \text{for } a, b, c \in L.$$

We can investigate a lot of properties of topological spaces with the help of open sets only and transform these properties into locales. Recall, that a *locale* L is a complete lattice in which the infinite distributive law

$$a \wedge VS = V\{a \wedge s : s \in S\}$$

holds for all $a \in L$, $S \subseteq L$.

For example, the normality of a topological space T is possible to define in the locale $O(T)$ of all open sets in T in the following way:

$$a, b \in O(T), a \vee b = 1 \implies \exists \ell \in O(T) \quad a \vee \ell^* = 1 = b \vee \ell,$$

where $*$ denotes pseudocomplements in L .

If we transform this condition into locales, then we have the category of normal locales (see [3]). This category has not many natural properties because subspaces, factor spaces and products of topological spaces need not be normal.

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 06D99.

Key words: *-median operation, distributive p -algebra, completely normal locale.

For these reasons, we have some modifications of topological spaces, namely, so called completely normal spaces (see [2]). The corresponding category of locales called completely normal locales is introduced in [6] in the following way:

A locale L is *completely normal* when for any $a, b \in L$ there exists $\ell \in L$ such that $a \leq b \vee \ell$, $b \leq a \vee \ell^*$.

The properties of completely normal locales are studied in [6]. Let us introduce the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let L be a locale. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. L is a completely normal locale.
2. Sublocales of L are normal.
3. For any $a, b \in L$ there exists $\ell \in L$ such that

$$a \vee b = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge \ell) \vee (b \wedge \ell^*).$$

P r o o f. See [6; Proposition 2]. □

Assertion 3 from Proposition 1 motivates us to investigate a ternary operation analogously to the median operation on a distributive p -algebra $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1, *)$, i.e., a distributive lattice (L, \vee, \wedge) with 0, 1, and pseudocomplements denoted by $*$.

PROPOSITION 2.

1. In every distributive lattice, the identity $(*)$ holds:

$$(a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee d) \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge d). \quad (*)$$

If L is a lattice and for arbitrary elements $a, b, c \in L$ there exists $d \in L$ such that $(*)$ holds, then L is distributive.

2. A p -algebra $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1, *)$ is distributive if and only if $(a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c^*) \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c^*)$ holds for any $a, b, c \in L$.

P r o o f.

1. \implies : $(a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee d) \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \vee b) \wedge [(a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c) \vee (d \wedge b) \vee (c \wedge d)] = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c) \vee (d \wedge b)$.

\longleftarrow : First, let us prove that L is a modular lattice. If $a, b, c \in L$, $a \geq b$ and $d \in L$ is such that $(*)$ is satisfied, then $a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee d) \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge d) = b \vee (a \wedge c)$. Now, $a \wedge (b \vee c) = a \wedge [(a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee d) \wedge (b \vee c)] = a \wedge \{[(a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)] \vee (b \wedge d)\} = [(a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)] \vee [a \wedge (b \wedge d)] = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$ holds for any $a, b, c \in L$, and thus L is distributive.

2. This is a direct consequence of 1. □

DEFINITION 3. Let L be a distributive p -algebra. Then the ternary operation on L defined by

$$[a, b, c] = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c^*) \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c^*)$$

for $a, b, c \in L$ is called the **-median on L* .

THEOREM 4.

1. Let L be a set with $0, 1$, and a ternary operation $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ with the properties:

- 1° $[a, 0, [c, d, e]] = [c, [a, 0, d], [a, 0, e]]$,
- 2° $[a, a, b] = a$,
- 3° $[a, b, 1] = a$,
- 4° $[0, 1, 0] = 1$.

Then L is a distributive p -algebra with regard to the operations $a \vee b = [1, a, b]$, $a \wedge b = [a, 0, b]$.

2. If L is a distributive p -algebra, then the **-median on L* has properties 1°–4°.

P r o o f .

1. We shall prove in the following parts:

a) Properties 1°, 2° and 3° imply

- (i) $[a, 0, 1] = a$,
- (ii) $[1, a, 1] = 1$,
- (iii) $[1, 1, a] = 1$,
- (iv) $[a, 0, 0] = [a, [0, 0, 1], [0, 0, 1]] = [0, 0, [a, 1, 1]] = [0, 0, a] = 0$,
- (v) $[a, 0, a] = [a, 0, [a, 1, 1]] = [a, [a, 0, 1], [a, 0, 1]] = [a, a, a] = a$.

b) Now, we shall use only properties 1° (i)–(v) and prove that L is a distributive lattice:

We have $a \wedge (b \vee c) = [a, 0, [1, b, c]] = [1, [a, 0, b], [a, 0, c]] = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$, $a \wedge b = [a, 0, b] = [a, 0, [b, 0, 1]] = [b, [a, 0, 0], [a, 0, 1]] = [b, 0, a] = b \wedge a$.

Now, we shall prove the following formulas: $a \wedge (a \vee b) = [a, 0, [1, a, b]] = [1, [a, 0, a], [a, 0, b]] = [1, a, [a, 0, b]] = [1, [a, 0, 1], [a, 0, b]] = [a, 0, [1, 1, b]] = [a, 0, 1] = a$, $a \wedge (b \vee a) = [a, 0, [1, b, a]] = [1, [a, 0, b], [a, 0, a]] = [1, [a, 0, b], a] = [1, [a, 0, b], [a, 0, 1]] = [a, 0, [1, b, 1]] = [a, 0, 1] = a$ and together $a \vee b = \{a \wedge (b \vee a)\} \vee \{b \wedge (b \vee a)\} = \{(b \vee a) \wedge a\} \vee \{(b \vee a) \wedge b\} = (b \vee a) \wedge (a \vee b) = (a \vee b) \wedge (b \vee a) = \{(a \vee b) \wedge b\} \vee \{(a \vee b) \wedge a\} = \{b \wedge (a \vee b)\} \vee \{a \wedge (a \vee b)\} = b \vee a$. Finally, the introduced formula $a \wedge (a \vee b) = a$ together with $a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c) = (b \wedge a) \vee (c \wedge a) = (c \wedge a) \vee (b \wedge a)$ fulfils assumptions of the Sholander's theorem (see [1; p. 35, Theorem 10']) implying that (L, \vee, \wedge) is a distributive lattice.

c) The fact that L is a p -algebra follows from 4°: Let us introduce $a^* = [0, 1, a]$ for any $a \in L$ and prove that a^* is a pseudocomplement of a . Namely,

$a \wedge a^* = [a, 0, [0, 1, a]] = [0, [a, 0, 1], [a, 0, a]] = [0, a, a] = [0, [a, 0, 1], [a, 0, 1]] = [a, 0, [0, 1, 1]] = [a, 0, 0] = 0$. If $x \wedge a = 0$, i.e., $[x, 0, a] = 0$, then $x \wedge a^* = [x, 0, [0, 1, a]] = [0, [x, 0, 1], [x, 0, a]] = [0, x, 0] = [0, [x, 0, 1], [x, 0, 0]] = [x, 0, [0, 1, 0]] = [x, 0, 1] = x$.

2. We have $[a, 0, [c, d, e]] = a \wedge [c, d, e] = a \wedge (c \vee d) \wedge (c \vee e^*) \wedge (d \vee e)$, $[c, [a, 0, d], [a, 0, e]] = [c, a \wedge d, a \wedge e] = \{c \vee (a \wedge d)\} \wedge \{c \vee (a \wedge e)^*\} \wedge \{(a \wedge d) \vee (a \wedge e)\} = (c \vee a) \wedge (c \vee d) \wedge \{c \vee (a \wedge e)^*\} \wedge a \wedge (d \vee e) = a \wedge (c \vee d) \wedge (c \vee e^*) \wedge (d \vee e)$ since $a \wedge (c \vee e^*) = a \wedge \{c \vee (a \wedge e)^*\}$. Namely, $a \wedge e^* \leq a \wedge (a \wedge e)^*$ and $a \wedge (a \wedge e)^* \leq (a \wedge e)^* \implies 0 = \{a \wedge (a \wedge e)^*\} \wedge (a \wedge e) = \{a \wedge (a \wedge e)^*\} \wedge e \implies a \wedge (a \wedge e)^* \leq a \wedge e^*$. It means that $a \wedge e^* = a \wedge (a \wedge e)^*$, and thus $a \wedge (c \vee e^*) = (a \wedge c) \vee (a \wedge e^*) = (a \wedge c) \vee \{a \wedge (a \wedge e)^*\} = a \wedge \{c \vee (a \wedge e)^*\}$. We proved property 1°, and properties 2°–4° follow from Definition 3, immediately. \square

Remarks.

1. Property 1° from 4.1 can be reformulated to $a \wedge [c, d, e] = [c, a \wedge d, a \wedge e]$.
2. Let us mention that the $*$ -median is no symmetric operation.

COROLLARY 5. *Let (L, \leq) be a partially ordered set with 0, 1, and $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ be a ternary operation on L such that $b \geq a \iff [a, 0, b] = a \iff [1, a, b] = b$ and $[1, 1, a] = 1$.*

Then it holds:

1. *If L has property 1°, then L is a distributive lattice.*
2. *If L has properties 1°, 4° and $[0, 1, 1] = 0$, then L is a distributive p -algebra.*
3. *If L has properties 1°, 4°, and $[0, 1, [0, 1, a]] = a$ for $a \in L$, then L is a Boolean algebra.*

Proof.

1. We have $[a, 0, 1] = a$, $[a, 0, a] = a$, $[1, a, 1] = 1$, and $[a, 0, 0] = [a, [0, 0, 1], [0, 0, 1]] = [0, 0[a, 1, 1]] = 0$. Part b) from the proof of Theorem 4 implies that L is a distributive lattice.

2. Parts b) and c) from the proof of Theorem 4 imply that L is a distributive p -algebra.

3. Let us remark that $[0, 1, 1] = [0, 1, [0, 1, 0]] = 0$. Then L is a distributive p -algebra, and $a^{**} = a$ holds for $a^* = [0, 1, a]$ and $a \in L$, i.e., L is a Boolean algebra. \square

COROLLARY 6. *Let L be a set with elements 0, 1, and $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ be a ternary operation on L . Then it holds:*

If L has properties 1°, 2°, 3°, and $[0, 1, [0, 1, a]] = a$ for all $a \in L$, then L is a Boolean algebra.

*-MEDIAN

Proof. It holds $[0, 1, 0] = [0, 1, [0, 1, 1]] = 1$. Then L is a distributive p -algebra, and $a^* = [0, 1, a]$ is a pseudocomplement of a (see 4.1). The fact $a^{**} = [0, 1, [0, 1, a]] = a$ implies that L is a Boolean algebra. \square

PROPOSITION 7. *Properties $1^\circ - 4^\circ$ from the Theorem 4.1 are independent.*

Proof. Let L be a Boolean algebra with $|L| > 5$. If we define $[a, b, c] = b$, then $1^\circ, 2^\circ, 4^\circ$ hold, and 3° does not hold.

If we define $[a, b, c] = a \wedge (b \vee c)$, then $1^\circ, 2^\circ, 3^\circ$ hold, and 4° does not hold.

If we define $[a, b, 0] = b$, and $[a, b, c] = a$ for $c \neq 0$, then $2^\circ, 3^\circ, 4^\circ$ hold, and 1° does not hold.

Let $L = \{0, 1\}$ be a Boolean algebra. If we define $[1, 0, 1] = [1, 1, 1] = [0, 1, 0] = 1$ and $[0, 1, 1] = [0, 0, 1] = [0, 0, 0] = [1, 1, 0] = [1, 0, 0] = 0$, then $1^\circ, 3^\circ, 4^\circ$ hold, and 2° does not hold. \square

THEOREM 8. *Let L be a distributive p -algebra, and $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ be a ternary operation on L fulfilling $1^\circ - 4^\circ$, and $a \vee b = [1, a, b]$, $a \wedge b = [a, 0, b]$, for $a, b \in L$. Then $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ is the $*$ -median if and only if $x \vee [a, b, c] = [x \vee a, x \vee b, c]$ for $a, b, c, x \in L$.*

Proof.

\implies : We have $x \vee [a, b, c] = x \vee \{(a \vee b) \wedge (b \vee c) \wedge (a \vee c^*)\} = (x \vee a \vee b) \wedge (x \vee b \vee c) \wedge (x \vee a \vee c^*) = [x \vee a, x \vee b, c]$.

\impliedby : The proof has the following steps:

a) $[a, 1, 0] = [a \vee 0, a \vee 1, 0] = a \vee [0, 1, 0] = a \vee 1 = 1 \implies [a, b, 0] = [a, b \wedge 1, b \wedge 0] = b \wedge [a, 1, 0] = b \wedge 1 = b \implies c^* \wedge [a, b, c] = [a, c^* \wedge b, c^* \wedge c] = [a, b \wedge c^*, 0] = b \wedge c^* \implies b \wedge c^* \leq [a, b, c]$;

b) $(a \wedge b) \vee [a, b, c] = [(a \wedge b) \vee a, (a \wedge b) \vee b, c] = [a, b, c] \implies a \wedge b \leq [a, b, c]$;

c) $(a \vee b) \vee [a, b, c] = [(a \vee b) \vee a, (a \vee b) \vee b, c] = [a \vee b, a \vee b, c] = a \vee b \implies a \vee b \geq [a, b, c]$;

d) $(b \vee c) \wedge [a, b, c] = [a, b \wedge (b \vee c), c \wedge (b \vee c)] = [a, b, c] \implies b \vee c \geq [a, b, c]$;

e) $c \wedge a = c \wedge [a, b, 1] = [a, c \wedge b, c \wedge 1] = [a, c \wedge b, c \wedge c] = c \wedge [a, b, c] \implies a \wedge c \leq [a, b, c]$;

f) $0 = c \wedge c^* = c \wedge [c^*, b, c] \implies c^* \geq [c^*, b, c] \implies (a \vee c^*) \vee [a, b, c] = [a \vee c^*, a \vee c^* \vee b, c] = (a \vee c^*) \vee [c^*, b, c] = a \vee c^* \implies a \vee c^* \geq [a, b, c]$;

g) Finally, $(a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c^*) \leq [a, b, c] \leq (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c^*) \wedge (b \vee c)$ holds, and Proposition 2.2. implies that $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ is the $*$ -median. \square

EXAMPLE. Let L be a distributive p -algebra, and $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ be a ternary operation on L defined in the following way:

$$[a, b, c] = (a \vee b) \wedge (b \vee c) \wedge (a \vee c^*) \wedge (a \vee a^*) \quad \text{for } a, b, c \in L.$$

Then, $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ has properties 1° , 2° , 3° , 4° from Theorem 4, but $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ is not the $*$ -median on L because $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ has not the property $x \vee [a, b, c] = [x \vee a, x \vee b, c]$ from Theorem 8.

Namely, $x \wedge [a, b, c] = x \wedge \{(a \vee b) \wedge (b \vee c) \wedge (a \vee c^*) \wedge (a \vee a^*)\} = (a \vee x) \wedge (a \vee b) \wedge x \wedge (b \vee c) \wedge (a \vee (x \wedge c)^*) \wedge (a \vee a^*) = (a \vee (x \wedge b)) \wedge ((x \wedge b) \vee (x \wedge c)) \wedge (a \vee (x \wedge c)^*) \wedge (a \vee a^*) = [a, x \wedge b, x \wedge c]$, since $x \wedge (a \vee c^*) = x \wedge (a \vee (x \wedge c)^*)$ – see part 2 from Theorem 4. Then property 1° is true. Properties 2° , 3° , 4° are fulfilled trivially. Now, $x \vee [0, 1, 0] = x \vee 1 = 1$ and $[x \vee 0, x \vee 1, 0] = [x, 1, 0] = x \vee x^* \neq 1$, for $x \in L$, in the case that L is not a Boolean algebra.

THEOREM 9. *Let L be a distributive p -algebra, and let $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ be a ternary operation on L such that $a = [1, 0, a]$ and $a^* = [0, 1, a]$, for $a \in L$. Then $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ is the $*$ -median operation on L if and only if for $a, b, c, x \in L$, $x \wedge [a, b, c] = [x \wedge a, x \wedge b, c]$ and $x \vee [a, b, c] = [x \vee a, x \vee b, c]$.*

Proof.

\implies : We have $x \wedge [a, b, c] = x \wedge \{(a \vee b) \wedge (b \vee c) \wedge (a \vee c^*)\} = x \wedge (a \vee b) \wedge (x \vee c) \wedge (b \vee c) \wedge (x \vee c^*) \wedge (a \vee c^*) = \{(x \wedge a) \vee (x \wedge b)\} \wedge \{(x \wedge b) \vee c\} \wedge \{(x \wedge a) \vee c^*\} = [x \wedge a, x \wedge b, c]$ and $x \vee [a, b, c] = x \vee \{(a \vee b) \wedge (b \vee c) \wedge (a \vee c^*)\} = (x \vee a \vee b) \wedge (x \vee b \vee c) \wedge (x \vee a \vee c^*) = [x \vee a, x \vee b, c]$.

\impliedby : This part has the following steps:

- a) $(a \wedge b) \vee [a, b, c] = [(a \wedge b) \vee a, (a \wedge b) \vee b, c] = [a, b, c] \implies a \wedge b \leq [a, b, c]$;
- b) $(a \vee b) \wedge [a, b, c] = [(a \vee b) \wedge a, (a \vee b) \wedge b, c] = [a, b, c] \implies a \vee b \geq [a, b, c]$;
- c) $b \wedge c^* = b \wedge [0, 1, c] = [0, b, c] \implies c^* = c^* \vee (b \wedge c^*) = c^* \vee [0, b, c] = [c^*, b \vee c^*, c] \implies 0 = c \wedge c^* = c \wedge [c^*, b \vee c^*, c] = [0, c \wedge (b \vee c^*), c] = [0, b \wedge c, c] = c \wedge [c^*, b, c] \implies [c^*, b, c] \leq c^* \implies (a \vee c^*) \vee [a, b, c] = [a \vee c^*, a \vee b \vee c^*, c] = (a \vee c^*) \vee [c^*, b, c] = a \vee c^* \implies a \vee c^* \geq [a, b, c]$;
- d) $a \vee c^* = a \vee [0, 1, c] = [a, 1, c] \implies c^* \wedge [a, c^*, c] = [a \wedge c^*, c^*, c] = c^* \wedge [a, 1, c] = c^* \wedge (a \vee c^*) = c^* \implies c^* \leq [a, c^*, c] \implies (b \wedge c^*) \wedge [a, b, c] = [a \wedge b \wedge c^*, b \wedge c^*, c] = (b \wedge c^*) \wedge [a, c^*, c] = b \wedge c^* \implies b \wedge c^* \leq [a, b, c]$;
- e) $[1, a, b] = a \vee [1, 0, b] = a \vee b \implies (a \wedge c) \wedge [a, b, c] = [a \wedge c, a \wedge b \wedge c, c] = (a \wedge c) \wedge [1, b, c] = (a \wedge c) \wedge (b \vee c) = a \wedge c \implies a \wedge c \leq [a, b, c]$;
- f) $[a, 0, b] = a \wedge [1, 0, b] = a \wedge b \implies (b \vee c) \vee [a, b, c] = [a \vee b \vee c, b \vee c, c] = (b \vee c) \vee [a, 0, c] = (b \vee c) \vee (a \wedge c) = b \vee c \implies b \vee c \geq [a, b, c]$;
- g) Finally, $(a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c^*) \leq [a, b, c] \leq (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c^*) \wedge (b \vee c)$, and Proposition 2.2. implies that $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ is the $*$ -median. \square

PROPOSITION 10. *A distributive p -algebra L is a Boolean algebra if and only if the $*$ -median operation $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ on L satisfies $x \vee [a, b, c] = [x \vee a, b, x \vee c]$ for $a, b, c, x \in L$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \implies : & \text{ We have } x \vee [a, b, c] = x \vee \{(a \vee b) \wedge (b \vee c) \wedge (a \vee c^*)\} = \{x \vee (a \vee b)\} \wedge \{x \vee (b \vee c)\} \wedge \{x \vee (a \vee c^*)\} \wedge \{x \vee (x^* \vee a)\} \\ & = (x \vee a \vee b) \wedge (x \vee b \vee c) \wedge (x \vee a \vee (x^* \wedge c^*)) = (x \vee a \vee b) \wedge (x \vee b \vee c) \wedge (x \vee a \vee (x \vee c)^*) = [x \vee a, b, x \vee c]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\impliedby : \text{ For all } a \in L \text{ it holds } a \vee a^* = a \vee [0, 1, a] = [a, 1, a] = a \vee [0, 1, 0] = a \vee 1 = 1. \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION 11. *Let L be a Boolean algebra, and let $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ be a ternary operation on L . Then $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ is the $*$ -median operation on L if and only if for all $a, b, c, x \in L$, $x \wedge [a, b, c] = [a, x \wedge b, x \wedge c]$, $x \vee [a, b, c] = [x \vee a, b, x \vee c]$, $1 = [a, 1, 0]$ and $0 = [0, a, 1]$.*

Proof.

\implies : With regard to Theorem 4.2, we have $x \wedge [a, b, c] = [x, 0, [a, b, c]] = [a, [x, 0, b], [x, 0, c]] = [a, x \wedge b, x \wedge c]$. The rest follows from the first part of the proof of Proposition 10.

\impliedby : This part has the following steps:

- a) $(b \vee c) \wedge [a, b, c] = [a, (b \vee c) \wedge b, (b \vee c) \wedge c] = [a, b, c] \implies b \vee c \geq [a, b, c]$;
- b) $b = b \wedge 1 = b \wedge [a, 1, 0] = [a, b, 0] \implies (b \wedge c^*) \wedge [a, b, c] = [a, (b \wedge c^*) \wedge b, (b \wedge c^*) \wedge c] = [a, b \wedge c^*, 0] = b \wedge c^* \implies b \wedge c^* \leq [a, b, c]$;
- c) $(a \wedge c) \vee [a, b, c] = [(a \wedge c) \vee a, b, (a \wedge c) \vee c] = [a, b, c] \implies a \wedge c \leq [a, b, c]$;
- d) $a = a \vee 0 = a \vee [0, 1, b] = [a, b, 1] \implies (a \vee c^*) \vee [a, b, c] = [a \vee c^*, b, a \vee c^* \vee c] = [a \vee c^*, b, 1] = a \vee c^* \implies a \vee c^* \geq [a, b, c]$;
- e) $a^* = [b, a^*, 0] = [b, a^*, a^* \wedge a] = a^* \wedge [b, 1, a] \implies a^* \leq [b, 1, a] \implies [b, 1, a] = a^* \vee [b, 1, a] = [a^* \vee b, 1, 1] = a^* \vee b \implies (a \wedge b) \wedge [a, b, c] = [a, a \wedge b, a \wedge b \wedge c] = (a \wedge b) \wedge [a, 1, c] = (a \wedge b) \wedge (a \vee c^*) = a \wedge b \implies a \wedge b \leq [a, b, c]$;
- f) $a = [a, b, 1] = [a, b, a \vee a^*] = a \vee [0, b, a^*] \implies a \geq [0, b, a^*] \implies [0, b, c] = c^* \wedge [0, b, c] = [0, b \wedge c^*, 0] = b \wedge c^* \implies (a \vee b) \vee [a, b, c] = [a \vee b, b, a \vee b \vee c] = (a \vee b) \vee [0, b, c] = (a \vee b) \vee (b \wedge c^*) = a \vee b \implies a \vee b \geq [a, b, c]$;
- g) Finally, $(a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c^*) \leq [a, b, c] \leq (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c^*) \wedge (b \vee c)$, and Proposition 2.2. implies that $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ is the $*$ -median. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] BIRKHOFF, G.: *Lattice Theory*, AMS, Providence, R. I., 1967.
- [2] ČECH, E.: *Topological spaces*, Academia, Praha, 1966.
- [3] JOHNSTONE, P. T.: *Stone Space*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [4] KOLIBIAR, M.: *A characterization of a lattice by means of a ternary operation* (Slovak), Mat.-Fyz. Časopis **6** (1956), 10-14.
- [5] SHOLANDER, M.: *Postulates for distributive lattices*, Canad. J. Math. **3** (1951), 28-30.

BOHUMIL ŠMARDA

[6] ŠMARDA, B.: *Completely normal locales*, Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys. **31** (1990), 101-104.

Received November 14, 1991

Revised January 17, 1995

*Katedra matematiky
PřF MU
Janáčkovo nám. 2a
CZ-662 95 Brno
Czech Republic*