

Karol Baron

Note on measures

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 28 (1978), No. 4, 379--383

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/131666>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## NOTE ON MEASURES

KAROL BARON

Suppose that  $X$  is a non-empty set and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of it. By a measure on  $\mathcal{A}$  we shall mean a countably additive function  $\mu$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  into the set of all complex numbers  $\mathbf{C}$ . For the measure  $\mu$  by  $\mu^*$  we shall denote the total variation of it and by  $\mu^+$  and  $\mu^-$  its positive and negative variation, respectively, whenever  $\mu$  will be real. Moreover, if  $T$  is a self-mapping of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then we shall say that it has the property (m) iff  $a \left( \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m \right) = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} T(A_m)$  holds for every sequence  $(A_m: m \in \mathbf{N})$  of mutually disjoint sets from  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $T(A) \cap T(B) = \emptyset$ , whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

Let a non-empty set  $X$  and a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of it be given together with measures  $\nu_i$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ , measurable functions  $f_{i,j,k}: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  and self-mappings  $S_k$  and  $T_k$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  with the property (m),  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ ,  $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , where  $M$  and  $N$  are positive integers. The aim of this note is to give a sufficient condition for the existence of exactly one sequence  $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_M)$  of measures on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\mu_i(A) = \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{T_k(A)} f_{i,j,k} d\mu_j \circ S_k + \nu_i(A)$$

holds for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ .

In order to be brief we shall assume permanently that the indexes  $i$  and  $j$  (with or without affixes) run over the set  $\{1, \dots, M\}$ ,  $k$  (with or without affixes) runs over the set  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $n$  runs over the set of all non-negative integers and  $m$  runs over the set of all positive integers.

Assume that

(i)  $X$  is a non-empty set and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of it.

(ii)  $\nu_{i,n}$  are measures on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\lim_n (\nu_{i,n} - \nu_{i,0})^*(X) = 0$  for every  $i$ .

(iii)  $f_{i,j,k,n}: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  are measurable functions such that  $\lim_n \sup \{|f_{i,j,k,n}(x) - f_{i,j,k,0}(x)|: x \in X\} = 0$  for every  $i, j, k$  and  $\sup \{|f_{i,j,k,n}(x)|: x \in X\} \leq a_{i,j,k}$  holds for all  $i, j, k$  and  $n$  with constants  $a_{i,j,k}$  such that all the characteristic roots of the matrix  $\left( \sum_k a_{i,j,k} \right)$  are less than one.

(iv)  $S_k$  and  $T_k$  are self-mappings of  $\mathcal{A}$  with the property (m).

We have the following

**Theorem.** Under the hypotheses (i)—(iv) there exists for every  $n$  exactly one sequence  $(\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{M,n})$  of measures on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\mu_{i,n}(A) = \sum_j \sum_k \int_{T_k(A)} f_{i,j,k,n} d\mu_{j,n} \circ S_k + v_{i,n}(A)$$

holds for every  $i$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Moreover,

- (I)  $\lim_n (\mu_{i,n} - \mu_{i,0})^*(X) = 0$  for every  $i$ ;
- (II) if for a certain  $n$  all the  $f_{i,j,k,n}$  and  $v_{i,n}$  are real, so are  $\mu_{i,n}$  for that  $n$  and all  $i$ ;
- (III) if for a certain  $n$  all the  $f_{i,j,k,n}$  and  $v_{i,n}$  are non-negative, so are  $\mu_{i,n}$  for that  $n$  and all  $i$ ;
- (IV) if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $S_k(T_k(\mathfrak{M})) \subset \mathfrak{M}$  for every  $k$  and for a certain  $n$

$$(*) \quad \sum_i v_{i,n}^*|_{\mathfrak{M}} = 0,$$

then  $\sum_i \mu_{i,n}^*|_{\mathfrak{M}} = 0$  for that  $n$ .

**Proof.** Denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{R}$ ) the set of all measures (resp. real measures) on  $\mathcal{A}$  and define  $\|\cdot\|: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\|\mu\| = \mu^*(X), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{C}.$$

It is known (cf. [3], §§ 43 and 44) that  $(\mathcal{C}, \|\cdot\|)$  and  $(\mathcal{R}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{R}})$  are Banach spaces. Defining, for every  $i, j, k$  and  $n$ , the (linear) operator  $\mathbf{I}_{i,j,k,n}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  by

$$\mathbf{I}_{i,j,k,n}(\mu)(A) = \int_{T_k(A)} f_{i,j,k,n} d\mu \circ S_k, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{C}, \quad A \in \mathcal{A},$$

we see that the inequalities

$$\mathbf{I}_{i,j,k,n}(\mu)^* \leq a_{i,j,k} \mu^* \circ S_k \circ T_k, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{C},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{I}_{i,j,k,n}(\mu) - \mathbf{I}_{i,j,k,0}(\mu))^* \leq \\ & \leq \sup \{ |f_{i,j,k,n}(x) - f_{i,j,k,0}(x)| : x \in X \} \mu^* \circ S_k \circ T_k, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{C}, \end{aligned}$$

are valid for all  $i, j, k$  and  $n$ . Therefore

$$\|\mathbf{I}_{i,j,k,n}(\mu)\| \leq a_{i,j,k} \|\mu\|, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{C},$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{I}_{i,j,k,n}(\mu) - \mathbf{I}_{i,j,k,0}(\mu)\| \leq \sup \{ |f_{i,j,k,n}(x) - f_{i,j,k,0}(x)| : x \in X \} \|\mu\|, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{C},$$

for every  $i, j, k$  and  $n$ . Hence, next, for  $\mathcal{F}_{i,n}: \mathcal{C}^M \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  defined for all  $i$  and  $n$  by

$$\mathcal{F}_{i,n}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_M) = \sum_j \sum_k \mathbf{I}_{i,j,k,n}(\mu_j) + v_{i,n},$$

$$(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_M) \in \mathcal{C}^M,$$

we have

$$\left\| \mathcal{F}_{i,n}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_M) - \mathcal{F}_{i,n}(\hat{\mu}_1, \dots, \hat{\mu}_M) \right\| \leq \sum_j \sum_k a_{i,j,k} \left\| \mu_j - \hat{\mu}_j \right\|,$$

$$(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_M), (\hat{\mu}_1, \dots, \hat{\mu}_M) \in \mathcal{C}^M,$$

and

$$\left\| \mathcal{F}_{i,n}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_M) - \mathcal{F}_{i,0}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_M) \right\| \leq$$

$$\sum_j \sum_k \sup \{ |f_{i,j,k,n}(x) - f_{i,j,k,0}(x)| : x \in X \} \left\| \mu_j \right\| + \left\| v_{i,n} - v_{i,0} \right\|,$$

$$(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_M) \in \mathcal{C}^M,$$

for every  $i, j, k$  and  $n$ . The last inequality gives

$$\mathcal{F}_{i,0}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_M) = \lim_n \mathcal{F}_{i,n}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_M),$$

$$(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_M) \in \mathcal{C}^M,$$

for all  $i$ . Now we see that the first part of the Theorem and the property (I) follows from Lemma in [1] and Lemma 1.2 (ii) in [2]. To obtain (II) observe that if for a certain  $n$  all the  $f_{i,j,k,n}$  and  $v_{i,n}$  are real, then  $\mathcal{F}_{i,n}(\mathcal{R}) \subset \mathcal{R}$  for that  $n$  and all  $i$ . Passing to the proof of (III) fix an  $n$  such that all the  $f_{i,j,k,n}$  and  $v_{i,n}$  are non-negative. Then  $\mu_{i,n} = \mu_{i,n}^+ + \mu_{i,n}^-$  and

$$\mu_{i,n} = \sum_j \sum_k \mathbf{I}_{i,j,k,n}(\mu_{j,n}^+) + v_{i,n} - \sum_j \sum_k \mathbf{I}_{i,j,k,n}(-\mu_{j,n}^-)$$

for every  $i$ . Therefore

$$-\mu_{i,n}^- \leq \sum_j \sum_k \mathbf{I}_{i,j,k,n}(-\mu_{j,n}^-)$$

for all  $i$  and so

$$\left\| \mu_{i,n}^- \right\| \leq \sum_j \sum_k a_{i,j,k} \left\| \mu_{j,n}^- \right\|$$

for every  $i$ . Hence and from Lemmas 1.3 and 1.2 (ii) from [2] we get  $\mu_{i,n}^- = 0$  and, consequently,  $\mu_{i,n} \geq 0$  for all  $i$ . In order to obtain the property (IV) fix an  $n$  such that (\*) is true. Since

$$\mu_{i,n}^* = \left( \sum_j \sum_k \mathbf{I}_{i,j,k,n}(\mu_{j,n}) + v_{i,n} \right)^* \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_j \sum_k \mathbf{I}_{i,j,k,n}(\mu_{j,n})^* + v_{i,n}^* \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_j \sum_k a_{i,j,k} \mu_{j,n}^* \circ S_K \circ T_k + v_{i,n}^*$$

for every  $i$ ,

$$\mu_{i,n} \Big|_{\mathfrak{M}} \leq \sum_j \sum_k a_{i,j,k} \mu_{j,n}^* \circ S_k \circ T_k \Big|_{\mathfrak{M}}$$

holds for all  $i$ . By induction

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{i,n}^* \Big|_{\mathfrak{M}} \leq & \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m+1}} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{m+1}} a_{i,j_1,k_1} \cdot a_{j_1,j_2,k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot \\ & \cdot a_{j_m,j_{m+1},k_{m+1}} \mu_{j_{m+1},n}^* \circ (S_{k_{m+1}} \circ T_{k_{m+1}}) \circ \dots \circ (S_{k_1} \circ T_{k_1}) \Big|_{\mathfrak{M}} \end{aligned}$$

is valid for every  $i$  and  $m$ . Hence, recalling Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2 (ii) in [2] and choosing a  $\vartheta \in (0, 1)$  and  $r_i \in (\mu_{i,n}^*(X), \infty)$  for all  $i$  in such a manner that

$$\sum_j \sum_k a_{i,j,k} r_j \leq \vartheta r_i$$

holds for every  $i$ ,  $\mu_{i,n}^* \Big|_{\mathfrak{M}} \leq \vartheta^m r_i$  for all  $i$  and  $m$ . Consequently  $\sum_i \mu_{i,n}^* \Big|_{\mathfrak{M}} = 0$  and the proof is finished.

The just proved Theorem leads to the following

**Corollary.** *Suppose that the hypotheses (i) and (ii) are fulfilled and  $T_k$  are self-mappings of  $\mathcal{A}$  with the property (m). If for the complex numbers  $s_{i,j,k,n}$  have  $\lim_n s_{i,j,k,n} = s_{i,j,k,0}$  for every  $i, j, k$  and  $|s_{i,j,k,n}| \leq a_{i,j,k}$  for every  $i, j, k$  and  $n$ , where all the characteristic roots of the matrix  $\left( \sum_k a_{i,j,k} \right)$  are less than one, then for every  $n$  there exists exactly one sequence  $(\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{M,n})$  of measures on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that*

$$\mu_{i,n} = \sum_j \sum_k s_{i,j,k,n} \mu_{j,n} \circ T_k + \nu_{i,n}$$

holds for every  $i$ . Moreover, we have (I) and

(II') if for a certain  $n$  all the  $s_{i,j,k,n}$  and  $\nu_{i,n}$  are real so are  $\mu_{i,n}$  for that  $n$  and all  $i$ ;

(III') if for a certain  $n$  all the  $s_{i,j,k,n}$  and  $\nu_{i,n}$  are non-negative, so are  $\mu_{i,n}$  for that  $n$  and all  $i$ ;

(IV') if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $T_k(\mathfrak{M}) \subset \mathfrak{M}$  for every  $k$  and for a certain  $n$  we have (\*), then  $\sum_i \mu_{i,n}^* \Big|_{\mathfrak{M}} = 0$  for that  $n$ .

**Remark.** Using our Corollary we may strengthen Theorem 6.6a) from [2]. In fact, suppose (i), assume  $\nu$  to be a measure on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $s$  to be a complex number such that  $|s| < 1$ . If  $f$  is a one-to-one self-mapping of  $X$  such that the image  $f(A)$  of every set  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then, by the Corollary, there exists exactly one measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\mu(A) = s\mu[f(A)] + v(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

This measure is real provided  $s$  and  $v$  are real and it is non-negative whenever  $s$  and  $v$  are non-negative. Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $f(\mathfrak{M}) \subset \mathfrak{M}$  and  $v|_{\mathfrak{M}} = 0$ , then  $\mu|_{\mathfrak{M}} = 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BARON, K.: A few observations regarding continuous solutions of a system of functional equations. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 21, 1974, 185—191.
- [2] MATKOWSKI, J.: Integrable solutions of functional equations. *Dissertationes Math. Rozprawy Mat.*, 127, 1975.
- [3] ZAAANEN, A. C.: *Integration*. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1967.

Received September 21, 1976

*Department of Mathematics  
Silesian University  
40-007 Katowice,  
Poland*

#### ЗАМЕТКА О МЕРАХ

Карол Барон

Резюме

Предположим, что данно непустое множество  $X$ ,  $\sigma$ -алгебра  $\mathcal{A}$  его подмножеств, меры  $\nu_i$  на  $\mathcal{A}$ , измеримые функции  $f_{i,j,k}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , далее функции  $S_k$  и  $T_k$  отображающие семейство  $\mathcal{A}$  в себя,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ ,  $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , где  $M$  и  $N$  являются некоторыми натуральными числами.

Доказывается теорема о существовании, единственности и некоторых свойствах решений системы

$$\mu_i(A) = \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{T_k(A)} f_{i,j,k} d\mu_j \circ S_k + \nu_i(A), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, M\},$$

в которой неизвестными функциями являются меры  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_M$ .