

Mária Jakubíková

On complete lattice ordered groups with two generators. II.

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 29 (1979), No. 3, 271--287

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/130706>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON COMPLETE LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS WITH TWO GENERATORS II

MÁRIA JAKUBÍKOVÁ

Part I of this paper has been published in to *Mathem. Slovaca* 28, 1978, 389 – 406.

§ 7. Singular complete lattice ordered groups with two generators

If A is a direct factor of a lattice ordered group G and if $M \subseteq G$, then we denote

$$M(A) = \{m(A) : m \in M\}.$$

7.1. Lemma. *Assume that a set $M \neq \emptyset$ generates a complete lattice ordered group G and that A is a direct factor of G . Then the set $M(A)$ generates the complete lattice ordered group A .*

Proof. According to the assumption there exists an l -subgroup B of G with $G = A \times B$. Let H_1 be a closed l -subgroup of A such that $M(A) \subseteq H_1$. Let H be the set of all elements $g \in G$ with $g(A) \in H_1$. Clearly $H = H_1 \times B$. Then H is a closed l -subgroup of G and $M \subseteq H$; thus $H = G$. From this it follows $H_1 = A$.

Let G be a lattice ordered group. An element $0 \leq e \in G$ is said to be a weak unit in G if $e \wedge x > 0$, whenever $0 < x \in G$.

The following assertion is known (cf. [10]).

7.2. Lemma. *Let G be a singular complete lattice ordered group with a weak unit. Let $0 \leq g \in G$.*

(a) *There exists a (uniquely determined) singular element e in G such that e is a weak unit in G and $e_i \leq e$ for each singular element e_i of G .*

(b) *There are singular elements e_i ($i \in N$) such that the set $\{e_i\}$ ($i \in N$) is disjoint and*

$$g = \bigvee_{i \in N} e_i$$

holds in G .

The assertion (b) from 7.2 can be generalized as follows:

7.3. Lemma. Let G be a singular complete lattice ordered group containing a weak unit. Let $g \in G$. Then there are singular elements e_i ($i \in N_0$) such that

- (a) the set $\{e_i\}$ ($i \in N_0$) is disjoint;
- (b) there exists a singular element $e \in G$ such that e is a weak unit in G and

$$e = \bigvee_{i \in N_0} e_i.$$

- (c) $g^+ = \bigvee_{i \in N} i e_i$, $g^- = \bigvee_{i \in N_0 \setminus N} -i e_i$.

Proof. According to 7.2 there exist singular elements e_i ($i \in N$) in G such that the set $\{e_i\}$ ($i \in N$) is disjoint and

$$g^+ = \bigvee_{i \in N} i e_i.$$

Analogously there exist singular elements e'_i ($i \in N$) in G such that the set $\{e'_i\}$ ($i \in I$) is disjoint and

$$g^- = \bigvee_{i \in N} i e'_i.$$

Also, according to 7.2 there exists $e \in G$ such that e is singular, e is the join of all singular elements of G and e is a weak unit in G . Thus there is $e_0 \in G$ with

$$e_0 = e - \left(\bigvee_{i \in N} e_i \right) \vee \left(\bigvee_{i \in N} e'_i \right).$$

Since $g^+ \wedge g^- = 0$, we have $e_i \wedge e'_j = 0$ for each $i \in N$ and each $j \in N$. Put $e'_i = e_{-i}$ for each $i \in N$. Then the set $\{e_i\}$ ($i \in N_0$) is disjoint and

$$e = \bigvee_{i \in N_0} e_i,$$

$$g^- = \bigvee_{i \in N_0 \setminus N} -i e_i.$$

7.4. Lemma. Let G be a singular complete lattice ordered group with a weak unit. Let e_1, e_2 be singular elements of G . Then $e_1[e_2] = e_1 \wedge e_2$.

Proof. Let e be as in 7.2. Hence $e_1 \leq e$, $e_2 \leq e$. From the definition of a singular element it follows that the interval $[0, e]$ of G is a Boolean algebra. Hence there exists the relative complement e'_2 of e_2 in the interval $[0, e]$. Put $x = e_1 \wedge e'_2$. Then $e_1 = (e_1 \wedge e_2) \vee x$, $x \wedge e_2 = 0$. From this we obtain $e_1 = (e_1 \wedge e_2) + x$, $x[e_2] = 0$, hence

$$e_1[e_2] = (e_1 \wedge e_2)[e_2] = e_1 \wedge e_2.$$

7.5. Lemma. Let G, g, e, e_i ($i \in N_0$) have the same meaning as in 7.3. Let $i \in N_0$ and let e' be a singular element in G , $e' \leq e_i$. Then $g[e'] = i e'$.

Proof. If $i = 0$, then $|g| \wedge e_i = 0$, hence $|g| \wedge e' = 0$ and thus $g[e'] = 0$. Let $i \in N$. In this case we have $g^- \wedge e_i = 0$, hence $g^- \wedge e' = 0$, from which we infer $g^-[e'] = 0$ and $g[e'] = g^+[e']$. Further, we have

$$g^+ = i e_i \vee \left(\bigvee_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} j e_j \right) = i e_i + \bigvee_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} j e_j.$$

For each $j \in N \setminus \{i\}$ the relation $e_j \wedge e' = 0$ is valid, whence

$$\left(\bigvee_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} j e_j \right) [e'] = 0.$$

According to 7.4, $i e_i [e'] = i e'$. By summarizing, we obtain

$$g[e'] = i e'.$$

The method for $i \in N_0$, $i < 0$ is analogous.

For a lattice ordered group G we define the completely subdirect product decomposition of G as follows.

Let $\{A_i\}$ ($i \in I$) be a set of direct factors of G such that

(a) $A_i \cap A_j = 0$, whenever i and j are distinct elements of I ;

(b) for each $g \in G$, $g > 0$ there exists $i \in I$ with $g(A_i) > 0$.

Then G is said to be a completely subdirect product of its l -subgroups G_i .

The notion of the completely subdirect product has been introduced by F. ŠIK [17] (in a formally different, but equivalent, way). It is not hard to verify that G is a completely subdirect product of its l -subgroups A_i ($i \in I$) if and only if for each $0 < g \in G$ there are uniquely determined elements $g_i \in A_i$ such that $g = \bigvee_{i \in I} g_i$. (Cf. also [14], §3.)

If G and A_i fulfil the above mentioned conditions, then the mapping f defined by

$$f(x) = \{x(A_i)\}_{i \in I} \text{ for each } x \in G$$

is an isomorphism of G into the direct product $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$.

7.6. Lemma. *Let G be a lattice ordered group. Suppose that*

(a) G is a completely subdirect product of its l -subgroups A_i ($i \in I$);

(b) G is a completely subdirect product of its l -subgroups B_j ($j \in J$).

Then G is a completely subdirect product of its l -subgroups $A_i \cap B_j$ ($i \in I, j \in J$).

Proof. Denote $A_i \cap B_j = C_{ij}$. If $i, i_1 \in I, j, j_1 \in J$ and $(i, j) \neq (i_1, j_1)$, then clearly

$$C_{ij} \cap C_{i_1 j_1} = \{0\}.$$

Let $0 < g \in G$. According to (a) there is $i \in I$ with $0 < g(A_i)$. Hence according to (b) there is $j \in J$ such that $(g(A_i))(B_j) > 0$. Thus

$$g(C_{ij}) = g(A_i \cap B_j) = (g(A_i))(B_j) > 0.$$

7.7. Lemma. *Suppose that a finite set g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n generates a complete lattice ordered group G . Then the element $h = |g_1| \vee |g_2| \vee \dots \vee |g_n|$ is a weak unit in G .*

Proof. The set $[h]$ is a closed l -subgroup of G and h is a weak unit in $[h]$. Clearly $g_i \in [h]$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence $[h] = G$.

Now suppose that a two-element set $\{g_1, g_2\}$ generates a singular complete lattice ordered group G . According to 7.7, G contains a weak unit and hence by

7.2 there exists a singular element e in G such that e is a weak unit in G . Let e_i ($i \in N_0$) have the same meaning as in Lemma 7.3 for $g = g_1$, and let the elements e'_i ($i \in N_0$) have an analogous meaning for $g = g_2$. Denote

$$G_{ij} = [e_i] \cap [e'_j], \quad f_{ij} = e_i \wedge e'_j \quad (i, j \in N_0).$$

7.8. Lemma. *Let G be as above. Then we have:*

- (a) G is a completely subdirect product of its l -subgroups G_{ij} ($i, j \in N_0$).
- b) For each $i, j \in N_0$, either $G_{ij} = \{0\}$ or G_{ij} is isomorphic with N_0 .
- (c) If $i, j \in N_0$, $G_{ij} \neq \{0\}$ and $i \neq 0 \neq j$, then the integers i, j are relatively prime.
- (d) If $i = j = 0$, then $G_{ij} = \{0\}$. If $i = 0$ and $G_{ij} \neq \{0\}$, then $j \in \{1, -1\}$. If $j = 0$ and $G_{ij} \neq \{0\}$, then $i \in \{1, -1\}$.
- (e) If $i, j \in N_0$, $G_{ij} \neq \{0\}$, then

$$g_1(G_{ij}) = if_{ij}, \quad g_2(G_{ij}) = jf_{ij}.$$

Proof. From 7.3 we obtain that for each $0 < g \in G$ there exists $i \in N_0$ with $e_i \wedge g > 0$, and that the set $\{e_i\}$ ($i \in N_0$) is disjoint. From this it follows that the system of direct factors $[e_i]$ ($i \in N_0$) fulfils the conditions (a) and (b) in the definition of the completely subdirect product decomposition. Hence G is a completely subdirect product of its l -subgroups $[e_i]$ ($i \in N_0$). Analogously, G is a completely subdirect product of its l -subgroups $[e'_j]$ ($j \in N_0$). Hence from 7.6 we obtain that G is a completely subdirect product of its l -subgroups

$$G_{ij} = [e_i] \cap [e'_j] \quad (i, j \in N_0).$$

Let $i, j \in N_0$. According to 7.1, the set

$$\{g_1(G_{ij}), g_2(G_{ij})\}$$

generates the complete lattice ordered group G_{ij} . From the properties of principal polars it follows $[e_i] \cap [e'_j] = [e_i \wedge e'_j]$. Thus by 7.5 we have

$$g_1(G_{ij}) = i(e_i \wedge e'_j), \quad g_2(G_{ij}) = j(e_i \wedge e'_j).$$

Hence in the case $i = j = 0$ we obtain $G_{ij} = \{0\}$.

Suppose that $G_{ij} \neq \{0\}$. Thus $0 < e_i \wedge e'_j \in G_{ij}$. First let us consider the case $i = 0$. Then the one-element set $\{j(e_i \wedge e'_j)\}$ generates the complete lattice ordered group $G_{ij} \neq \{0\}$ and the element $j(e_i \wedge e'_j)$ is comparable with 0. Thus G_{ij} is the set

$$\{mj(e_i \wedge e'_j)\} \quad (m \in N_0).$$

Since $e_i \wedge e'_j \in G_{ij}$, we must have either $j = 1$ or $j = -1$. Moreover, G_{ij} is isomorphic with N_0 . The case $j = 0$ is analogous.

Further let us assume that $i \neq 0 \neq j$. Let $k \in N$ be the greatest common divisor of the integers i and j . Then the set

$$H_{ij} = \{mk(e_i \wedge e'_j)\} \quad (m \in N_0)$$

is a closed l -subgroup of G_{ij} and

$$g_1(G_{ij}) \in H_{ij}, \quad g_2(G_{ij}) \in H_{ij}.$$

Thus $H_{ij} = G_{ij}$. From this it follows that G_{ij} is isomorphic with N_0 . Since $e_i \wedge e'_j \in H_{ij}$, we must have $k = 1$. The proof is complete.

Now suppose that a singular complete lattice ordered group is generated by a set $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$. According to 7.7 and 7.2 there exists a singular element e in G such that e is a weak unit in G . Let $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and let e_{ik} ($i \in N_0$) have a meaning analogous to that of e_i ($i \in N_0$) in 7.3 if we put $g = g_k$. For each $i_1, \dots, i_n \in N_0$ we denote

$$G(i_1, \dots, i_n) = [e_{i_1, 1}] \cap [e_{i_2, 2}] \cap \dots \cap [e_{i_n, n}].$$

Further, we denote by $N(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ the set of all integers that belong to the set $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ and are distinct from 0.

By a method analogous to that in the proof of 7.8 we obtain:

7.9. Lemma. *Let G fulfil the above mentioned assumptions. Then:*

(a) G is a completely subdirect product of its l -subgroups $G(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ ($i_1, \dots, i_n \in N_0$).

(b) For each $i_1, \dots, i_n \in N_0$ either $G(i_1, \dots, i_n) = \{0\}$ or $G(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is isomorphic with N_0 .

(c) If $i_1 = i_2 = \dots = i_n = 0$, then $G(i_1, \dots, i_n) = \{0\}$. If at least one of the numbers i_1, \dots, i_n is distinct from zero, $G(i_1, \dots, i_n) \neq \{0\}$ and if $k \in N$ is the greatest common divisor of integers belonging to $N(i_1, \dots, i_n)$, then $k = 1$.

(d) If $i_1, \dots, i_n \in N_0$, then

$$g_k(G(i_1, \dots, i_n)) = i_k(e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_n})$$

holds for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

7.10. Lemma. *Let G be a complete lattice ordered group. Let $\{G_{ij}\}$ ($i, j \in N_0$) be a system of l -subgroups of G and let $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Suppose that the conditions (a)—(d) from 7.8 are fulfilled. Further suppose that the following condition holds:*

(e') *If $i, j \in N_0$, $G_{ij} \neq \{0\}$, then*

$$g_1(G_{ij}) = if_{ij}, \quad g_2(G_{ij}) = jf_{ij},$$

where f_{ij} is the least positive element of G_{ij} . Then the set $\{g_1, g_2\}$ generates the complete lattice ordered group G .

Proof. Let H be the intersection of all closed l -subgroups of G containing both g_1 and g_2 . Then the set $\{g_1, g_2\}$ generates the complete lattice ordered group H . For $x \in H$ we denote by $[x]_1$ the principal polar in H generated by the element x .

Let φ be the identical mapping on the set H . Then φ is a complete homomorphism of H into G , hence according to 5.14 for each $x, y \in H$ the relation

$$(*) \quad x[y]_1 = x[y]$$

holds.

We have to verify that $H = G$. Let $i, j \in N_0$. If $G_{ij} = \{0\}$, we put $f_{ij} = 0$. If $G_{ij} \neq \{0\}$, then according to (b) there exists $f_{ij} \in G_{ij}$ having the property that f_{ij} covers 0 in G_{ij} . Denote

$$g_1[|jg_1 - ig_2|] = g^*.$$

From (*) we obtain $g^* \in H$. According to (e') we have

$$g_1 - g^* = if_{ij},$$

hence $if_{ij} \in H$. Analogously we obtain $jf_{ij} \in H$. If $i = 0$ or $j = 0$, then according to (d) we have $f_{ij} \in H$. In the case $i \neq 0 \neq j$, the integers i and j are relatively prime, thus $f_{ij} \in H$ as well.

Let $0 \cong g \in G$. From the condition (a) it follows

$$g = \bigvee g(G_{ij}) \quad (i, j \in N_0).$$

According to (b) and (e') for each $i, j \in N_0$ there exists a non-negative integer k_{ij} such that $g(G_{ij}) = k_{ij}f_{ij}$. Thus

$$g = \bigvee_{k_{ij}f_{ij}} \quad (i, j \in N_0).$$

Since $f_{ij} \in H$ for each $i, j \in N_0$ and since H is a closed l -subgroup of G , we obtain $g \in H$. From this it follows $G = H$, completing the proof.

Now suppose that a set $\{g_1, g_2\}$ generates a singular complete lattice ordered group G and that a set $\{g'_1, g'_2\}$ generates a singular complete lattice ordered group G' . For $i, j \in N$ let f_{ij} have the same meaning as in 7.8. Further let the symbols f'_{ij} have an analogous meaning with respect to G' .

7.11. Lemma. *Let G and G' be as above. Let φ be a complete homomorphism of G into G' such that $\varphi(g_1) = g'_1$, $\varphi(g_2) = g'_2$. Then $\varphi(f_{ij}) = f'_{ij}$ holds for each $i, j \in N_0$.*

Proof. Let $i, j \in N_0$. Analogously as in the proof of 7.10 we denote

$$g^* = g_1[|jg_1 - ig_2|], \quad g'^* = g'_1[|jg'_1 - ig'_2|].$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(g^*) &= g'^*, \\ g_1 - g^* &= if_{ij}, \\ g'_1 - g'^* &= if'_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\varphi(if_{ij}) = if'_{ij}.$$

Analogously we get

$$\varphi(jf_{ij}) = jf'_{ij}.$$

If $i \neq 0$ or $j \neq 0$, then we infer $\varphi(f_{ij}) = f'_{ij}$. If $i = j = 0$, then $f_{ij} = 0 = f'_{ij}$, thus $\varphi(f_{ij}) = f'_{ij}$ as well.

§ 8. a -free complete lattice ordered groups in the class \mathcal{C}_s

In this paragraph there will be described the a -free complete lattice ordered group with two a -free generators in the class \mathcal{C}_s .

The following lemmas 8.1—8.3 give us a deeper insight into the situation we are investigating and the reasons why we are dealing with the lattice ordered group G_2 in the proof of 8.5.

Let N' be the set of all pairs (i, j) ($i, j \in N_0$) fulfilling some of the following conditions:

- (a) $i \neq 0 \neq j$ and the integers i, j are relatively prime;
- (b) $i = 0$ and $j = 1$, or $i = 1$ and $j = 0$.

First we deduce two necessary conditions for an a -free complete lattice ordered group with two a -free generators in \mathcal{C}_s .

8.1. Lemma. *Let G be an a -free complete lattice ordered group with two a -free generators g_1, g_2 in the class \mathcal{C}_s . Then (under the denotation of 7.8) we have $f_{ij} > 0$ for each $(i, j) \in N'$.*

Proof. Let $i, j \in N_0$. Then $f_{ij} \geq 0$. For each $(n, m) \in N'$ we set $G'_{nm} = N_0$. Further we denote

$$G' = \Pi G'_{nm} ((n, m) \in N').$$

Choose $g'_1, g'_2 \in G'$, fulfilling

$$g'_1(n, m) = n, \quad g'_2(n, m) = m$$

for each $(n, m) \in N'$. According to 7.10 the set $\{g'_1, g'_2\}$ generates the complete singular lattice ordered group G' . Moreover (under denotations analogous to those in § 7) we have the relations

$$f'_{ij}(i, j) = 1,$$

$f'_{ij}(p, r) = 0$, whenever $(p, r) \in N'$ and $(p, r) \neq (i, j)$;

hence $f'_{ij} > 0$.

According to the assumption there exists a complete homomorphism φ of G into G' such that $\varphi(g_i) = g'_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). Hence by 7.11,

$$\varphi(f_{ij}) = f'_{ij}.$$

Since $f'_{ij} \neq 0$, we obtain $f_{ij} \neq 0$ and thus $f_{ij} > 0$.

Let G have the same meaning as in 8.1. Put $g_3 = |g_1| \vee |g_2|$. Further, we denote

$$G_0 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [-ng_3, ng_3].$$

Let $o(G)$ be the orthogonal hull of G .

8.2. Lemma. There exists $0 < g \in o(G)$ such that $g \notin G_0$.

Proof. Let N' be as in the proof of 8.1. Further, we shall use the same denotations as in 7.8. The set

$$\{iff_{ij} \mid (i, j) \in N'\}$$

is disjoint. If $(i, j) \in N'$, $i > 0$, $j > 0$, then $iff_{ij} > 0$ according to 8.1. Since $o(G)$ is orthogonally complete, there exists $0 < g \in G$ such that

$$g = \bigvee_{(i, j) \in N'} iff_{ij}$$

holds in $o(G)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(i, j) \in N'$, $i > n$, $j > n$. Then

$$ng_3(G_{ij}) = n \max\{i, j\} f_{ij} < iff_{ij} = g(G_{ij}).$$

Thus for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $g \not\leq ng_3$. Therefore $g \notin G_0$.

8.3. Lemma. Let G, G_0 be as in 8.2. Then $G = G_0$.

Proof. We have $G_0 \subseteq G$, $G_0 \in \mathcal{C}_s$ and $\{g_1, g_2\} \subseteq G_0$. Hence there exists a complete homomorphism φ of G into G_0 such that $\varphi(g_i) = g_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). Thus according to 5.8, $\varphi(G) = G$. Therefore $G = G_0$.

Let G', g'_1, g'_2 be as in the proof of 8.1. Put $g'_3 = |g'_1| \vee |g'_2|$ and denote

$$G_2 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [-ng'_3, ng'_3].$$

Then G_2 can be also characterized as the set of all elements $g' \in G'$ having the following property: there exists a positive integer $n = n(g')$ such that

$$|g'(i, j)| \leq n \cdot \max\{|i|, |j|\}$$

for each $(i, j) \in N'$.

8.4. Theorem. The set $\{g'_1, g'_2\}$ is a set of a -free generators of the complete lattice ordered group G_2 in \mathcal{C}_s .

Proof. Obviously $G_2 \in \mathcal{C}_s$. According to 7.10, the set $\{g'_1, g'_2\}$ generates the complete lattice ordered group G_2 . Let H be a complete singular lattice ordered group and let $g_1, g_2 \in H$. We denote by G the intersection of all closed l -subgroups of H containing both g_1 and g_2 . Then the set $\{g_1, g_2\}$ generates the complete lattice ordered group G . Thus we can use for G the denotations from §7.

We have to show that there exists a complete homomorphism φ of G_2 into G

such that $\varphi(g'_i) = g_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). According to 7.11 it suffices to consider only such mappings φ of G_2 into G that fulfil the relation

$$\varphi(f'_{ij}) = f_{ij} \text{ for each } (i, j) \in N'.$$

Let $g' \in G_2$. For each $(i, j) \in N'$ there is an integer c_{ij} such that

$$g'(G'_{ij}) = c_{ij}f'_{ij}.$$

From the fact that G is a completely subdirect product of linearly ordered groups G_{ij} ($(i, j) \in N'$) it follows that $o(G)$ is the (complete) direct product of linearly ordered groups G_{ij} ($(i, j) \in N'$). Thus in $o(G)$ there exists a (uniquely determined) element g such that

$$g(G_{ij}) = c_{ij}f_{ij}$$

holds for each $(i, j) \in N'$. Consider the mapping φ of G_2 into $o(G)$ that is defined by

$$\varphi(g') = g$$

(under the above denotations). Since all (not only finite) joins and intersections in a completely subdirect product of lattice ordered groups are performed component-wise, the mapping φ is a complete homomorphism of G_2 into $o(G)$. Now from the fact that G is a convex l -subgroup of $o(G)$ it follows: if $\varphi(G_2) \subseteq G$, then φ is a complete homomorphism of G_2 into G .

From the definition of φ and from 7.8 we obtain

$$\varphi(g'_1) = g_1, \quad \varphi(g'_2) = g_2.$$

Let $g' \in G_2$, $\varphi(g') = g$. According to the definition of G_2 there exists a positive integer n such that

$$|g'| \leq n(|g'_1| \vee |g'_2|).$$

Since φ is a homomorphism of G_2 into $o(G)$, we obtain

$$|g| \leq n(|g_1| \vee |g_2|).$$

Because $n(|g_1| \vee |g_2|) \in G$ and since G is convex in $o(G)$ we infer that $g \in G$. This completes the proof.

Let $n > 2$ be a fixed integer. Let N'_n be the set of all n -tuples (i_1, \dots, i_n) of integers that fulfil the following conditions:

- (a) at least one of the integers i_1, \dots, i_n is distinct from 0;
- (b) if $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is the greatest common divisor of the nonzero integers belonging to the set $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$, then $k = 1$.

For each n -tuple $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in N'_n$ we put

$$G(i_1, \dots, i_n) = N_0;$$

further we set

$$G'_n = \Pi G(i_1, \dots, i_n) \quad ((i_1, \dots, i_n) \in N'_n).$$

For each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we define an element $g'_k \in G'_n$ by the relations

$$g'_k(i_1, \dots, i_n) = i_k \text{ for each } (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in N'_n.$$

$$\text{Denote } g' = |g'_1| \vee \dots \vee |g'_n|,$$

$$G_n = \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} [-mg', mg'].$$

8.4'. Theorem. *The set $\{g'_1, g'_2, \dots, g'_n\}$ is a set of a -free generators of the complete lattice ordered group G_n in \mathcal{C}_s .*

The idea of the proof is the same as in 8.4; the denotations would be more complicated. We omit the details.

8.5. Lemma. *Let G be a complete lattice ordered group. Assume that G is a completely subdirect product of its lattice ordered subgroups G_i ($i \in I$) and that G is orthogonally complete. Then G is a direct product of its l -subgroups G_i ($i \in I$).*

Proof. Let H be the direct product of lattice ordered groups G_i ($i \in I$). Without loss of generality we can suppose that G is an l -subgroup of H . Let $0 \leq h \in H$. Then

$$h = \bigvee_{i \in I} h(G_i)$$

holds in H and $\{h(G_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a disjoint subset of G . Thus there is $g \in G$ such that the relation

$$g = \sup \{h(G_i)\}_{i \in I}$$

is valid in G .

Thus $h \leq g$. Since G is a convex subset of $o(G) = H$, we obtain $h \in G$; therefore $h = g$. Hence $H = G$.

8.6. Lemma. *Assume that a set $\{g_1, g_2\}$ generates a complete singular lattice ordered group G . Suppose that G is orthogonally complete. Then (under the same denotations as in §7) G is a direct product of its l -subgroups G_{ij} ($(i, j) \in N'$).*

This assertion follows from 7.8 and 8.5.

8.7. Theorem. *Let G' , g'_1 and g'_2 be as in 8.1. The set $\{g'_1, g'_2\}$ is a set of b -free generators of the complete lattice ordered group G' in the class $\mathcal{C}_s \cap \mathcal{C}_0$.*

Proof. We have $G' \in \mathcal{C}_s \cap \mathcal{C}_0$ and according to 7.10, the set $\{g'_1, g'_2\}$ generates the complete lattice ordered group G' . We use the same denotations as in 8.4 with the distinction that now we have $g \in G'$, $G \in \mathcal{C}_s \cap \mathcal{C}_0$ (and hence $G = o(G)$). Then the mapping φ is a complete homomorphism of G' into G . Let $g^* \in G$. According to 7.8 there are integers d_{ij} ($(i, j) \in N'$) such that

$$g^*(G_{ij}) = d_{ij}f_{ij}$$

is valid for each $(i, j) \in N'$. There exists $g^{*'} \in G'$ fulfilling

$$g^{*'}(G'_{ij}) = d_{ij}f'_{ij}$$

for each $(i, j) \in N'$. From the definition of φ we obtain $\varphi(g^{*'}) = g^*$, whence $\varphi(G') = G$, which completes the proof.

From 8.7 and 2.2 it follows

8.8. Corollary. *Let G' , g'_1, g'_2 have the same meaning as in 8.7. The set $\{g'_1, g'_2\}$ is a set of a -free generators of the complete lattice ordered group G' in the class $\mathcal{C}_s \cap \mathcal{C}_0$.*

By an analogous method we obtain:

8.8'. Theorem. *Let G'_n, g'_1, \dots, g'_n be as in 8.4'. The set $\{g'_1, \dots, g'_n\}$ is a set of b -free generators (and a set of a -free generators) of the complete lattice ordered group G'_n in the class $\mathcal{C}_s \cap \mathcal{C}_0$.*

Assume that a set $\{g_1, g_2\}$ generates a complete lattice ordered group G such that $G \in \mathcal{C}_s \cap \mathcal{C}_0$. Then according to 8.8 there exists a complete homomorphism of G' into G . Hence there exists a complete congruence relation ϱ on G' such that G'/ϱ is isomorphic with G .

Let M be the set of all $(i, j) \in N'$ with $\varphi(f'_{ij}) \neq 0$. From the fact that ϱ is a complete congruence relation we infer that G'/ϱ is isomorphic with

$$(*) \quad \prod_{(i, j) \in M} G'_{ij}.$$

Since each G'_{ij} ($(i, j) \in N'$) is isomorphic with N_0 , the lattice ordered group $(*)$ is determined up to isomorphisms by the power of the set M . Since any of the cardinalities $1, 2, \dots, \aleph_0$ can occur as the power of M (cf. Lemma 7.8), we obtain the following result:

8.9. Proposition. *Let M_0 be the set of all nonisomorphic types of complete lattice ordered groups that are generated by a two-element set and belong to $\mathcal{C}_s \cap \mathcal{C}_0$. Then $\text{card } M_0 = \aleph_0$.*

§9. Completely distributive lattice ordered groups

A lattice L is said to be completely distributive if it fulfils the following condition (d₁) and the condition (d₂) dual to (d₁).

(d₁) Let $\{x_{t,s}\}_{t \in T, s \in S} \subseteq L$. Assume that there are elements $u, v \in L$ such that

$$u = \bigvee_{t \in T} \bigwedge_{s \in S} x_{t,s}$$

$$v = \bigwedge_{\varphi \in S^T} \bigvee_{t \in T} x_{t, \varphi(t)}.$$

Then $u = v$.

A lattice ordered group G is called completely distributive if the corresponding lattice $(G; \wedge, \vee)$ is completely distributive.

It is not hard to verify that a lattice ordered group G is completely distributive if and only if, for each $0 < g \in G$, the interval $[0, g]$ is completely distributive. If $[0, g]$ fails to be completely distributive and $0 < g_1 \leq g$, then the interval $[0, g_1]$ is not completely distributive. Each linearly ordered set is completely distributive. From this we infer that the following lemma is valid:

9.1. Lemma. *Let G be a lattice ordered group. Suppose that for each $0 < g \in G$ there exists $g_1 \in G$ such that*

- (a) $0 < g_1 \leq g$,
- (b) *the interval $[0, g_1]$ of G is a chain.*

Then G is completely distributive.

It can be shown by examples that a complete singular lattice ordered group need not be completely distributive.

From 7.8 and 9.1 it follows:

9.2. Theorem. *Let G be a complete singular lattice ordered group. If G is generated by a two-element set, then G is completely distributive.*

From 9.2, 8.4 and 8.7 we obtain:

9.2.1. Corollary. *Let G_2 be as in 8.4. Then G_2 is an a -free complete lattice ordered group with two a -free generators in the class $\mathcal{C}_s \cap \mathcal{C}_a$.*

9.2.2. Corollary. *Let G' be as in 8.7. Then G' is a b -free complete lattice ordered group with two b -free generators in the class $\mathcal{C}_s \cap \mathcal{C}_a \cap \mathcal{C}_0$.*

We need the following well-known result (cf. [19]):

9.3. Theorem. *Let G be a complete lattice ordered group. Assume that G is completely distributive. Then G is a completely subdirect product of linearly ordered groups.*

Now assume that a set $\{g_1, g_2\}$ generates a complete lattice ordered group G and that G is completely distributive. According to (T) from § 1, G can be written as

$$G = A \times B,$$

where $A \in \mathcal{C}_s$, $B \in \mathcal{C}_v$. From the complete distributivity of G it follows that both A and B are completely distributive. According to 7.1, the set $\{g_1(A), g_2(A)\}$ generates the complete lattice ordered group A . Hence either $A = \{0\}$, or the structure of A is described by Lemma 7.8 (if we take A , $g_1(A)$ and $g_2(A)$ instead of G , g_1 and g_2).

Let us consider the structure of the lattice ordered group B . Since $B \in \mathcal{C}_v$, we can define a multiplication of elements of B by reals in such a way that B turns out to be a vector lattice. By 9.3, B is a completely subdirect product of complete linearly

ordered groups B_i ($i \in I$). In what follows we are dealing only with the nontrivial case $B \neq \{0\}$. Then we may suppose that $B_i \neq \{0\}$ for each $i \in I$. Moreover, each B_i is a vector lattice, thus B_i is a linearly ordered group isomorphic with R . For each $i \in I$ we have

$$(g_1(B)) (B_i) = g_1(B \cap B_i) = g_1(B_i)$$

and an analogous equality holds for g_2 . The set $\{g_1(B_i), g_2(B_i)\}$ generates the complete lattice ordered group B_i . If $g_1(B_i) = 0$ or $g_2(B_i) = 0$, then the complete lattice ordered group B_i is generated by a one-element set, whence either $B_i = \{0\}$ or B_i is isomorphic with N_0 , which is a contradiction. Thus $g_1(B_i) \neq 0 \neq g_2(B_i)$ for each $i \in I$. Since B_i is a vector lattice, there exists a real $x(i) \neq 0$ with

$$g_2(B_i) = x(i)g_1(B_i).$$

Assume that $x(i)$ is rational, i.e., we can write

$$x_i = \frac{m_i}{n_i}, \quad m_i, n_i \in N_0, n_i > 0,$$

where m_i and n_i are relatively prime. There exists $h \in B_i$ with

$$n_i h = g_1(B_i).$$

The set $H'_i = \{mh\}_{m \in N_0}$ is a closed l -subgroup of B_i , $g_1(B_i), g_2(B_i) \in H'_i$. Hence $H'_i = H_i$. But H'_i is isomorphic with N_0 , which is a contradiction. Therefore all $x(i)$ are irrational.

9.4. Lemma. *Let B_i ($i \in I$) have the same meaning as above. Let $i, j \in I, i \neq j$. Then $x(i) \neq x(j)$.*

Proof. Assume that $x(i) = x(j)$. We shall show that then for each $g \in B$ the following assertion is valid:

(*) If $y \in R$ and $g(B_i) = yg_1(B_i)$, then $g(B_j) = yg_1(B_j)$.

Let $g \in G$. There are uniquely determined reals $y(g, i), y(g, j)$ with

$$g(B_i) = y(g, i)g_1(B_i), \quad g(B_j) = y(g, j)g_1(B_j).$$

Let A_α ($\alpha \leq \alpha_0$) have the same meaning as in 5.8 with the distinction that $A_0 = \{g_1(B), g_2(B)\}$ and that we now have B instead of G . According to the assumption, (*) holds for each $g \in A_0$. Since all joins and meets in B are performed componentwise, by a transfinite induction we obtain that (*) is valid for each $g \in A_{\alpha_0} = B$. Now put

$$g = g_1(B_i) + g_2(B_j).$$

Then we have

$$g(B_i) = g_1(B_i), \quad g(B_j) = g_2(B_j),$$

hence $y(g, i) = 1, y(g, j) = x(j)$. We have verified above that $s(j)$ is irrational, thus $y(g, i) \neq y(g, j)$. In view of (*) we have a contradiction. Hence $x(i) \neq x(j)$.

Let R' be the set of all irrationals and let N' be as in the previous paragraphs. From 7.8 and 9.4 we obtain:

9.5. Lemma. *Suppose that a set $\{g_1, g_2\}$ generates a complete lattice ordered group G . Assume that G is completely distributive. Then there exist lattice ordered groups $A_{ij}((i, j) \in N'), B_x(x \in R')$ having the following properties:*

- (a) G is a completely subdirect product of lattice ordered groups $A_{ij}((i, j) \in N'), B_x(x \in R')$.
- (b) If $(i, j) \in N'$, then either $A_{ij} = \{0\}$ or A_{ij} is isomorphic with N_0 .
- (c) If $x \in R'$, then either $B_x = \{0\}$ or B_x is isomorphic with R .
- (d) If $(i, j) \in N'$ and $A_{ij} \neq \{0\}$, then $g_1(A_{ij}) = if_{ij}, g_2(A_{ij}) = jf_{ij}$, where f_{ij} is a strong unit in A_{ij} .
- (e) If $x \in R'$ and $B_x \neq \{0\}$, then $0 \neq g_2(B_x) = xg_1(B_x)$.

9.6. Lemma. *Let G be a complete lattice ordered group, $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Assume that there are lattice ordered groups $A_{ij}((i, j) \in N'), B_x(x \in R')$ such that the conditions (a)—(e) from 9.5 are fulfilled. Then the set $\{g_1, g_2\}$ generates the complete lattice ordered group G and G is completely distributive.*

Proof. From 9.1 it follows that G is completely distributive. Let $x \in R', B_x \neq \{0\}$. We denote by B'_x the intersection of all closed l -subgroups of B_x containing both elements $g_1(B_x)$ and $g_2(B_x)$. Then the set $\{g_1(B_x), g_2(B_x)\}$ generates the complete lattice ordered group B'_x . By (e), $B'_x \neq \{0\}$ and hence B'_x is isomorphic either with N_0 or with R . Again from (e) we obtain that the first case is impossible. Therefore $B'_x = B_x$. Thus we have verified that the set $\{g_1(B_x), g_2(B_x)\}$ generates the complete lattice ordered group B_x .

Let $(i, j) \in N'$. If $A_{ij} = \{0\}$, we put $f_{ij} = 0$. If $A_{ij} \neq \{0\}$, then let f_{ij} be as in (d). Let H be a closed l -subgroup of $G, g_1, g_2 \in H$. Analogously as in 7.10 we can verify that $f_{ij} \in H$ for each $(i, j) \in N'$.

Let $0 < g \in G$. Let A and B be as in (T) (cf. § 1). There are non-negative integers $c_{ij}((i, j) \in N')$ such that

$$g(A) = \bigvee c_{ij}f_{ij} \quad ((i, j) \in N')$$

holds in G . From this we obtain $g(A) \in H$. Similarly we obtain $g(A) \in H$ for each $0 > g \in G$. Therefore $g(A) \in H$ for each $g \in G$. In particular, $g_i(A) \in H (i = 1, 2)$. From this it follows

$$g_i(B) = g_i - g_i(A) \in H \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Let $x \in R'$. Since B is a vector lattice, there exists $xg_1(B)$ in B . Put

$$g_1(B)[|g_2(B) - xg_1(B)|] = g^*.$$

By a reasoning analogous to that in 7.10 we can verify that

$$g_1^* \in H$$

is valid. Then from (d) and (e) we infer that

$$g_1(B) - g_1^* = g_1(B_x),$$

hence $g_1(B_x) \in H$. Analogously we denote

$$g_2(B)[|g_2(B) - xg_1(B)|] = g_2^*;$$

then $g_2^* \in H$ and we have

$$g_2(B) - g_2^* = g_2(B_x),$$

thus $g_2(B_x) \in H$. From $\{g_1(B_x), g_2(B_x)\} \subseteq H$ and from the fact that the set $\{g_1(B_x), g_2(B_x)\}$ generates the complete lattice ordered group B_x we obtain $B_x \subseteq H$.

Again, let $0 \leq g \in G$. Then

$$g(B) = \bigvee_{x \in R'} g(B_x).$$

Since $g(B_x) \in B_x$, we have $g(B_x) \in H$ for each $x \in R'$ and thus $g \in H$. From this it follows $H = G$, completing the proof.

For each $(i, j) \in N'$ let A'_{ij} be a lattice ordered group isomorphic with N_0 , and for each $x \in R'$ let B'_x be a lattice ordered group isomorphic with R . Further let G'_d be the direct product of lattice ordered groups A'_{ij}, B'_x ($(i, j) \in N', x \in R'$). In each of the lattice ordered groups A'_{ij} there exists a strong unit f'_{ij} . Let g'_1 and g'_2 be elements of G'_d such that

$$g'_1(A_{ij}) = if'_{ij}, \quad g'_2(A_{ij}) = jf'_{ij} \quad \text{for each } (i, j) \in N',$$

$$0 \neq g'_2(B'_x) = xg'_1(B'_x) \quad \text{for each } x \in R'.$$

Put $g_3 = |g'_1| \vee |g'_2|$ and

$$G_2^d = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [-ng_3, ng_3].$$

9.7. Theorem. *The set $\{g'_1, g'_2\}$ is a set of a -free generators of the complete lattice ordered group G_2^d in the class \mathcal{C}_d .*

Proof. The method is analogous to that in 8.4. According to 9.1, G_2^d is completely distributive. From 9.6 it follows that the set $\{g'_1, g'_2\}$ generates the complete lattice ordered group G_2^d .

Let H be a complete lattice ordered group, $g_1, g_2 \in H$. Assume that H is completely distributive. Let G be the closed l -subgroup of H generated by the set $\{g_1, g_2\}$. Then G is completely distributive. Hence the structure of G is described by 9.5 and we can use the denotations from 9.5. Let $g' \in G_2^d$. There exist integers c_{ij} ($(i, j) \in N'$) and reals $y(x)$ ($x \in R'$) such that

$$g'(A'_{ij}) = c_{ij}f'_{ij}, \quad g'(B'_x) = y(x)g_1(B'_x).$$

The orthogonal hull $o(G)$ of G is the direct product of lattice ordered groups A_{ij} ($(i, j) \in N'$), B_x ($x \in R'$). Let us define a mapping φ of G_2^d into $o(G)$ such that $\varphi(g') = g$, where g is defined by

$$g(A_{ij}) = c_{ij}f_{ij}, \quad g(B_x) = y(x)g_1(B_x)$$

for each $(i, j) \in N'$ and each $x \in R'$. Then φ is a complete homomorphism of G_2^d into $o(G)$ and $\varphi(g'_1) = g_1$, $\varphi(g'_2) = g_2$. By steps analogous to those in the proof of 8.4 we can verify that $\varphi(G_2^d) \subseteq G$, completing the proof.

Similarly as in 8.7 we obtain:

9.8. Theorem. *The set $\{g'_1, g'_2\}$ is a set of b -free generators (and, at the same time, a set of a -free generators) of the complete lattice ordered group G'_d in the class $\mathcal{C}_d \cap \mathcal{C}_0$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] BERNAU, S. J.: The lateral completion of an arbitrary lattice group. J. Austr. Math. Soc. 19, 1975, 263—289.
- [2] BIRKHOFF, G.: Lattice theory, third edition, Providence 1976.
- [3] CONRAD, P.: Lattice ordered groups, Tulane University, 1970.
- [4] CONRAD, P.: The relationship between the radical of a lattice ordered group and complete distributivity. Pacif. Journ Math. 14, 1964, 493—499.
- [5] CONRAD, P.: Free abelian l -groups and vector lattices. Math. Ann. 190, 1971, 306—312.
- [6] CONRAD, P.: The lateral completion of a lattice ordered group. Proc. London Math. Soc. 19, 1963, 444—480.
- [7] ФУКС, Л.: Частично упорядоченные алгебраические системы, Москва 1965.
- [8] HALES, A. W.: On the non-existence of free complete Boolean algebras. Fundam. Math. 54, 1964, 45—66.
- [9] ЯКУБИК, Я.: Представление и расширение l -групп, Czech. Math. J. 13, 1963, 267—283.
- [10] JAKUBÍK, J.: Cantor—Bernstein theorem for lattice ordered groups. Czech. Math. J. 22, 1972, 159—175.
- [11] JAKUBÍK, J.: Orthogonal hull of a strongly projectable lattice ordered group. Czech. Math. J. 28, 1978, 484—527.
- [12] JAKUBÍKOVÁ, M.: Über die B -Potenz einer verbandsgeordneten Gruppe. Matem. Čas. 23, 1973, 231—239.
- [13] JAKUBÍKOVÁ, M.: The nonexistence of free complete vector lattices. Čas. Pěst. Mat. 99, 1974, 142—146.
- [14] JAKUBÍKOVÁ, M.: Totally inhomogeneous lattice ordered groups. Czech. Math. J. 28, 1978, 594—610.
- [15] РОТКОВИЧ, Г. Я.: О дизъюнктно полных полуупорядоченных группах. Czech. Math. J. 27, 1977, 523—527.
- [16] ШИК, Ф.: К теории структурно упорядоченных групп. Czech. Math. J. 6, 1965, 1—25.
- [17] ŠIK, F.: Über subdirekte Summen geordneter Gruppen. Czech. Math. J. 10, 1960, 400—424.
- [18] ВУЛИХ, Б. З.: Введение в теорию полуупорядоченных пространств. Москва 1961.

[19] WEINBERG, E. C.: Completely distributive lattice ordered groups, *Pacif. J. Math.* 12, 1962, 1131—1137.

[20] WEINBERG, E. C.: Free lattice ordered groups. *Math. Ann.* 151, 1963, 187—199.

Received August 4, 1977

*Katedra matematiky strojníckej fakulty
Vysokej školy technickej
Švermova 9
040 01 Košice*

О ПОЛНЫХ СТРУКТУРНО УПОРЯДОЧЕННЫХ ГРУППАХ
С ДВУМЯ ОБРАЗУЮЩИМИ II

Мария Якубикова

Резюме

Понятие α -свободной полной структурно упорядоченной группы было введено в части I этой статьи. В части II исследованы α -свободные полные структурно упорядоченные группы с двумя свободными образующими в классе всех сингулярных полных структурно упорядоченных групп и в классе всех структурно упорядоченных групп, которые являются полными и вполне дистрибутивными.