

Lubomír Kubáček

Underparametrization in a regression model with constraints II

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 55 (2005), No. 5, 579--596

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/130582>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

UNDERPARAMETRIZATION IN A REGRESSION MODEL WITH CONSTRAINTS II

LUBOMÍR KUBÁČEK

(Communicated by Gejza Wimmer)

ABSTRACT. Mathematical models of many events and processes involve sometimes more unknown parameters than it can be interpreted. Thus a problem arises whether some of them can be neglected without an essential disagreement between experimental data and the reduced model. The aim of the paper is to contribute to a solution of the problem.

Introduction

A utilization of mathematical models of real events and processes is of a great importance in such a case only when all parameters of a model can be interpreted in the language and terms of the science region where the model is used. However, a good fitting of data need sometimes larger numbers of parameters than it can be interpreted. Thus a problem of underparametrization of a model arises.

It can be solved at least in two ways, i.e. either to test a hypothesis that the neglected parameters are zero, or to find a neighbourhood of zero where the nonzero values of the neglected parameters do not cause any essential deterioration of statistical inference. The aim of the paper is to contribute to the second approach.

1. Motivation example

Let in \mathbb{R}^3 (three dimensional Euclidean space) points A, P_1, P_2, B be given by coordinates $A \mapsto (x_1, 0, \beta_2 + \beta_1 x_1 + \gamma x_1^2 = \Theta_1)$, $P_1 \mapsto (x_2, 0, \beta_2 + \beta_1 x_2 + \gamma x_2^2)$,

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 62J05.

Keywords: linear model with constraints, underparametrization.

Supported by the Council of the Czech Government J14/98:153 1000 11.

$P_2 \mapsto (x_3, 0, \beta_2 + \beta_1 x_3 + \gamma x_3^2)$, $B \mapsto (x_4, 0, \beta_2 + \beta_1 x_4 + \gamma x_4^2 = \Theta_2)$. The coordinates x are known, $x_1 = 4$, $x_2 = 6$, $x_3 = 8$, $x_4 = 10$ and also the heights Θ_1 and Θ_2 are known. The parameters β_1 , β_2 , γ are unknown. The differences of heights

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= \beta_2 + \beta_1 x_2 + \gamma x_2^2 - (\beta_2 + \beta_1 x_1 + \gamma x_1^2), \\ h_2 &= \beta_2 + \beta_1 x_3 + \gamma x_3^2 - (\beta_2 + \beta_1 x_2 + \gamma x_2^2), \\ h_3 &= \beta_2 + \beta_1 x_4 + \gamma x_4^2 - (\beta_2 + \beta_1 x_3 + \gamma x_3^2) \end{aligned}$$

are measured. (In geodesy it is a problem of levelling traverse between two fixed points A and B .)

The model of measurement is

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim_3 \left[\begin{pmatrix} x_2 - x_1, & x_2^2 - x_1^2 \\ x_3 - x_2, & x_3^2 - x_2^2 \\ x_4 - x_3, & x_4^2 - x_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma \right]$$

and the constraints are

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1, & x_1^2 \\ x_4, & x_4^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \beta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 \\ \Theta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here Σ is the covariance matrix of the observation vector \mathbf{Y} . For the sake of simplicity let $\Sigma = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ (\mathbf{I} is identity matrix).

The problem is to estimate the parameters β_1 , β_2 and γ and to decide, whether the parameter γ can or cannot be neglected. If it cannot be neglected, then to determine a region around zero, where the nonzero values of γ do not cause any essential deterioration of statistical inference on parameters β_1 and β_2 , respectively, based on simplified (underparametrized) model (2).

2. Notation and auxiliary statements

Consider linear regression model with constraints of type II

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \\ -\mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} \sim_{n+q} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}, & \mathbf{S}, & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{B}_1, & \mathbf{G}, & \mathbf{B}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \gamma \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma, & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O}, & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad (1)$$

and its submodel (underparametrized model)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \\ -\mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} \sim_{n+q} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}, & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{B}_1, & \mathbf{B}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma, & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O}, & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \right], \quad (2)$$

where the covariance matrix $\text{Var}(\mathbf{Y}) = \Sigma$ of the observation vector \mathbf{Y} is assumed to be known.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let the model (2) be regular, i.e.:*

$$r(\mathbf{X}_{n,k_1}) = k_1 < n, \quad r(\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{B}_2) = q < k_1 + k_2, \quad r(\mathbf{B}_2) = k_2 < q,$$

Σ be positive definite (p.d.). Then the BLUEs (best linear unbiased estimators) of the parameters β_1 and β_2 are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_1 &= \hat{\beta}_1 - \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2})^+ (\mathbf{B}_1 \hat{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{b}), \\ \hat{\beta}_2 &= - \left[(\mathbf{B}'_2)_{m(\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1)}^- \right]' (\mathbf{B}_1 \hat{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{b}), \end{aligned}$$

where $()^+$ denotes the Moore-Penrose g -inverse ([5]) of the matrix in the brackets, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{B}_2}$, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{B}_2}$ is the projection matrix (in the Euclidean space) on the column space $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{B}_2) = \{\mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{u} : \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_2}\}$ of the matrix \mathbf{B}_2 , $()_{m(\mathbf{U})}^-$ denotes the minimum \mathbf{U} -seminorm g -inverse ([5]) of the matrix in the brackets (the matrix \mathbf{U} must be at least positive semidefinite) and $\hat{\beta}_1 = \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{Y}$, $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X}$ ($\hat{\beta}_1$ is the BLUE in the model without constraints).

Proof. The minimization of the function $\phi(\beta_1, \beta_2) = (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta_1)' \Sigma^{-1} \times (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta_1)$ under the constraints $\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{B}_1 \beta_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 \beta_2 = \mathbf{O}$ leads to the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1, & \mathbf{B}_2 \\ \mathbf{B}'_2, & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{B}_1 \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix},$$

where λ is the vector of the Lagrange multipliers. With respect to Pandora-Box theorem ([5] and [1; p. 105]), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{11}, & \boxed{12} \\ \boxed{21}, & \boxed{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -(\mathbf{B}_1 \hat{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{b}) \\ \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \boxed{11} &= (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2})^+ \\ &= (\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{B}'_2)^{-1} - (\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{B}'_2)^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{B}_2 [\mathbf{B}'_2 (\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{B}'_2)^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2]^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_2 (\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{B}'_2)^{-1}, \\ \boxed{12} &= (\mathbf{B}'_2)_{m(\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1)}^- \\ &= (\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{B}'_2)^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2 [\mathbf{B}'_2 (\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{B}'_2)^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2]^{-1}, \\ \boxed{21} &= (\boxed{12})', \\ \boxed{22} &= -\boxed{21} \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 \boxed{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the statement is obvious. □

LEMMA 2.2. *Let the model (2) be regular. Then the estimator of β_1 and β_2 from Lemma 2.1 are biased in the model (1) and $*$ denotes the model (1) and $**$ denotes the model (2), i.e. $\widehat{\widehat{\beta}}_i^{**} = \hat{\beta}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, from Lemma 2.1.)*

$$E_*\left(\widehat{\widehat{\beta}}_1^{**}\right) - \beta_1 = \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{S}\gamma - \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}\right)^+ \times \\ \times \left(\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{S}\gamma - \mathbf{G}\gamma\right),$$

$$E_*\left(\widehat{\widehat{\beta}}_2^{**}\right) - \beta_2 = -\left[\left(\mathbf{B}'_2\right)_{m(\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1)}^-\right]' \left(\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{S}\gamma - \mathbf{G}\gamma\right).$$

Proof.

$$E_*\left(\widehat{\widehat{\beta}}_1^{**}\right) = E_*(\hat{\beta}_1) - \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}\right)^+ \left[\mathbf{B}_1E_*(\hat{\beta}_1) + \mathbf{b}\right] \\ = \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{X}\beta_1 + \mathbf{S}\gamma) - \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}\right)^+ \times \\ \times \left[\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{X}\beta_1 + \mathbf{S}\gamma) + \mathbf{b}\right] \\ = \beta_1 + \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{S}\gamma - \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}\right)^+ \times \\ \times \left(\mathbf{B}_1\beta_1 + \mathbf{G}\gamma + \mathbf{B}_2\beta_2 + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{S}\gamma - \mathbf{G}\gamma\right) \\ = \beta_1 + \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{S}\gamma - \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}\right)^+ \times \\ \times \left(\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{S}\gamma - \mathbf{G}\gamma\right).$$

Analogously

$$E_*\left(\widehat{\widehat{\beta}}_2^{**}\right) \\ = -\left[\left(\mathbf{B}'_2\right)_{m(\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1)}^-\right]' \left[\mathbf{B}_1E_*(\hat{\beta}_1) + \mathbf{b}\right] \\ = -\left[\left(\mathbf{B}'_2\right)_{m(\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1)}^-\right]' \left[\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{X}\beta_1 + \mathbf{S}\gamma) + \mathbf{b}\right] \\ = -\left[\left(\mathbf{B}'_2\right)_{m(\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1)}^-\right]' \left(\mathbf{B}_1\beta_1 + \mathbf{B}_2\beta_2 - \mathbf{B}_2\beta_2 + \mathbf{G}\gamma + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{G}\gamma + \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{S}\gamma\right) \\ = \beta_2 - \left[\left(\mathbf{B}'_2\right)_{m(\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1)}^-\right]' \left(\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{S}\gamma - \mathbf{G}\gamma\right).$$

□

LEMMA 2.3. *The covariance matrices of the estimators from Lemma 2.1 are*

$$\text{Var}_{**}\left(\widehat{\widehat{\beta}}_1^{**}\right) = \mathbf{C}^{-1} - \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}\right)^+ \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1},$$

$$\text{Var}_{**}\left(\widehat{\widehat{\beta}}_2^{**}\right) = \left[\mathbf{B}'_2\left(\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{B}'_2\right)^{-1}\mathbf{B}_2\right]^{-1} - \mathbf{I}.$$

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1.

□

3. Underparametrization

LEMMA 3.1. *The BLUEs of the parameter $\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}$ and β_2 , respectively, in the regular model (1), i.e. $r(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}) = k_1 + l < n$, $r(\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{B}_2) = q < k_1 + l + k_2$, $r(\mathbf{B}_2) = k_2 < q$, Σ p.d., are*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{D}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{D}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{pmatrix} \left[\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}(\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{D}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \right] \times \\ \times \left[(\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{D}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{b} \right],$$

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = - \left[(\mathbf{B}'_2)_{m[(\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{D}^{-1} (\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{D}^{-1}]} \right]' \left[(\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{D}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{b} \right],$$

$$\text{Var} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{D}^{-1} - \mathbf{D}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{pmatrix} \left\{ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \left[(\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{D}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{pmatrix} \right] \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \right\}^{-1} \times \\ \times (\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{D}^{-1},$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_2) = \left\{ \mathbf{B}'_2 \left[(\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{D}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2 \right\}^{-1} - \mathbf{I}.$$

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3. □

THEOREM 3.2.

(i) *Let $h(\beta_1, \gamma, \beta_2) = \mathbf{h}'_1 \beta_1$. If $\mathbf{h}_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{S}})$ and $\mathbf{S}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1 = \mathbf{G}'$, then the BLUE of $\mathbf{h}'_1 \beta_1$ from Lemma 2.1 is equal to the BLUE of $\mathbf{h}' \beta_1$ from Lemma 3.1, i.e. the parameter γ can be neglected in the model.*

(ii) *If $\mathbf{S}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_1 = \mathbf{G}'$, then the BLUE of β_2 from Lemma 2.1 is equal to the BLUE of β_2 from Lemma 3.1, i.e. the parameter γ can be neglected in the model.*

Proof.

(i) The inverse \mathbf{D}^{-1} can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{D}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}^{1,1} & \mathbf{D}^{1,2} \\ \mathbf{D}^{2,1} & \mathbf{D}^{2,2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}^{1,1} &= \mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \Sigma \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}})^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{D}^{1,2} &= -\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \Sigma \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}})^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{D}^{2,1} &= (\mathbf{D}^{1,2})', \\ \mathbf{D}^{2,2} &= [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \Sigma \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}})^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var}_* \left[(\mathbf{h}'_1 \mathbf{O}') \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\beta}_1^* \\ \widehat{\gamma}^* \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \mathbf{h}'_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{h}_1 - \mathbf{h}'_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \Sigma \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}})^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ & \quad - \left\{ \mathbf{h}'_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{h}'_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \Sigma \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}})^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1} (\mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 - \mathbf{G}') \right\} \times \\ & \quad \times (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \{ \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + (\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{G}) [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \Sigma \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}})^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \times \\ & \quad \times (\mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 - \mathbf{G}') \} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2})^+ \times \\ & \quad \times \{ \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{h}_1 + (\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{G}) [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \Sigma \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}})^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{h}_1 \} \\ &= \mathbf{h}'_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{h}_1 - \mathbf{h}'_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2})^+ \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{h}_1 = \text{Var}_{**} (\widehat{\mathbf{h}'_1 \beta_1^{**}}), \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathbf{h}_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S}}) \iff \mathbf{h}'_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{O}'$ and $\mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 = \mathbf{G}'$.

(ii) If $\mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 = \mathbf{G}'$, then

$$\mathbf{B}'_2 \left[(\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{D}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{B}'_2 \right]^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2 = \mathbf{B}'_2 (\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{B}'_2)^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2$$

and thus $\text{Var}_* (\widehat{\beta}_2^*) = \text{Var}_{**} (\widehat{\beta}_2^{**})$.

If two estimators are BLUEs of the same linear function of parameters, then one is equal to another with probability one. Thus the statements are proved. \square

In [3] similar problem is solved in model without constraints and without assumption of regularity. However, the condition $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X}) \subset \mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$ is assumed.

Even the conditions $\mathbf{h}_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S}})$ and $\mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 = \mathbf{G}'$, respectively, need not be satisfied, the parameter γ can be sometimes neglected under some a prior information. It will be clarified in the following statements.

Let $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{A}_i \boldsymbol{\gamma}$, $i = 1, 2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}_1 &= E_* \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1^{**} \right) - \boldsymbol{\beta}_1, \\ \mathbf{A}_1 &= \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \right)^+ \left(\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{G} \right), \\ \mathbf{b}_2 &= E_* \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2^{**} \right) - \boldsymbol{\beta}_2, \\ \mathbf{A}_2 &= - \left[\left(\mathbf{B}'_2 \right)^-_{m(\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1)} \right]' \left(\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{G} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{V} = \text{Var} \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1^* - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1^{**} \right) \text{ and } \mathbf{W} = \text{Var} \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2^* \right) - \text{Var} \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2^{**} \right).$$

LEMMA 3.3. *Under the condition of regularity it is valid that*

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{A}_1) \subset \mathcal{M} \left[\text{Var} \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1^* - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1^{**} \right) \right].$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{B}'_2$, $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{S}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 - \mathbf{G}'$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1 = \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}$. With respect to Lemma 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1^* &= (\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{0}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}^{1,1} & \mathbf{D}^{1,2} \\ \mathbf{D}^{2,1} & \mathbf{D}^{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{Y} \\ &\quad - (\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{0}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{S} [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}) + \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{U} \\ - [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}) + \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{U} \end{pmatrix} \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \{ \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{U}' [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}) + \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{U} \} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \right)^+ \times \\ &\quad \times \{ \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{U}' [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}) + \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times (\mathbf{S}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{S}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}) + \mathbf{b} \} \\ &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1 - \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{S} [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}) + \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{S}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1) \\ &\quad - \{ \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{S} [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}) + \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{U} \} \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \{ \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{U}' [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}) + \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{U} \} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \right)^+ \times \\ &\quad \times \{ \mathbf{B}_1 \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1 + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{U}' [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}) + \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{S}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \{ \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{U}' [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}) + \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{U} \} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \right)^+ \\ &= (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2})^+ - (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2})^+ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{U}' \{ [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}) + \mathbf{S}] \\ &\quad + \mathbf{U} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2})^+ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{U}' \}^{-1} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2})^+ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \mathbf{U}' \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \times \\
 & \times \mathbf{U}' [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_1) \\
 & - \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \times \\
 & \times \mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_1) \\
 = & \widehat{\beta}_1^{**} - \mathbf{A}_1 \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \times \\
 & \times \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ (\mathbf{B}_1 \hat{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{b}) \\
 & + \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 (\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_1) \\
 & - \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 (\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \times \\
 & \times \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_1) \\
 & - \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \times \\
 & \times \mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{B}_1 \hat{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_1$ are uncorrelated and $\text{Var}(\mathbf{B}_1 \hat{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1$ and $\text{Var}[\mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_1)] = \mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Var}(\widehat{\beta}_1 - \widehat{\beta}_1^{**}) \\
 = & \mathbf{A}_1 \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \times \\
 & \times \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \times \\
 & \times \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \mathbf{A}'_1 \\
 & + \left(\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 \left\{ (\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ + \mathbf{U}' [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{U} \right\}^+ \times \right. \\
 & \times \mathbf{U}' [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \\
 & \left. - \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \right) \mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S} \times \\
 & \times \left([\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{U} \left\{ (\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ + \mathbf{U}' [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1} \mathbf{U} \right\}^+ \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \right. \\
 & \left. - \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \right) \\
 = & \mathbf{A}_1 \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \times \\
 & \times \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \mathbf{A}'_1
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \mathbf{A}_1 \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S} \times \\
 & \times \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \mathbf{A}'_1 \\
 & = \mathbf{A}_1 \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \mathbf{A}'_1,
 \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' = \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}'$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{M}[\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 (\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ (\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{G})] = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{A}_1) \\
 & \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{A}_1 \left\{ [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}] + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}_{B_2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}_{B_2})^+ \mathbf{U}' \right\}^{-1} \mathbf{A}'_1) \\
 & = \mathcal{M}[\text{Var}(\widehat{\beta}_1^* - \widehat{\beta}_1^{**})].
 \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 3.4. *Under the condition of regularity it is valid that*

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{A}_2) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{W}).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Var}(\widehat{\beta}_2^*) - \text{Var}(\widehat{\beta}_2^{**}) \\
 & = (\mathbf{B}'_2 \{ (\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{G}) [\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S}]^{-1} (\mathbf{S}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 - \mathbf{G}') \\
 & \quad + \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{B}'_2 \}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2)^{-1} - \mathbf{I} - [\mathbf{B}'_2 (\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{B}'_2)^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2]^{-1} + \mathbf{I} \\
 & = [\mathbf{B}'_2 (\mathbf{K}^{-1} - \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{U}' \{ \mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{U} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{U}' \} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{K}^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2]^{-1} \\
 & \quad - (\mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2)^{-1} \\
 & = (\mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2)^{-1} + (\mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{U}' \times \\
 & \quad \times \{ \mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{U} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{U}' - \mathbf{U} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2 (\mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{U}' \}^{-1} \times \\
 & \quad \times \mathbf{U} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2 (\mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2)^{-1} - (\mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2)^{-1} \\
 & = (\mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{U}' \{ \mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_X \Sigma \mathbf{M}_X)^+ \mathbf{U}' \}^{-1} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2 (\mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{A}_2) = \mathcal{M}[(\mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{U}'] \subset \mathcal{M}[\text{Var}(\widehat{\beta}_2^*) - \text{Var}(\widehat{\beta}_2^{**})].$$

□

THEOREM 3.5.

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma \in \{ \mathbf{g} : \mathbf{g}' \mathbf{A}'_1 \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{g} \leq c^2 \} \\ &\implies (\forall \mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_1}) \left(|\mathbf{h}' \mathbf{b}_1| \leq c \sqrt{\text{Var} \left[\mathbf{h}' (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1^* - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1^{**}) \right]} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma \in \{ \mathbf{g} : \mathbf{g}' \mathbf{A}'_2 \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{g} \leq 1 \} \\ &\implies (\forall \mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_2}) \left(\text{Var} \left(\mathbf{h}' \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2^{**} \right) + (\mathbf{h}' \mathbf{b}_2)^2 \leq \text{Var} \left(\mathbf{h}' \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2^* \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

(i) With respect to Lemma 3.3, $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{A}_1) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{V})$ and therefore regarding a nonessential generalization of the Schéffé theorem ([6]) we obtain

$$(\forall \mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_1}) \left(|\mathbf{h}' \mathbf{b}_1| = |\mathbf{h}' \mathbf{A}_1 \gamma| \leq \sqrt{\mathbf{h}' \mathbf{V} \mathbf{h}} \right) \iff \gamma' \mathbf{A}'_1 \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_1 \gamma \leq 1.$$

(ii) With respect to Lemma 3.4, $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{A}_2) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{W})$. Analogously as in (i)

$$(\forall \mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_2}) \left(|\mathbf{h}' \mathbf{b}_2| = |\mathbf{h}' \mathbf{A}_2 \gamma| \leq c \sqrt{\mathbf{h}' \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h}} \right) \iff \gamma' \mathbf{A}'_2 \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_2 \gamma \leq c^2.$$

□

4. Generalization

In this section, conditions of regularity are not assumed. Then the notation ULSM (universal linear statistical model) will be used. ULSMII means ULSM with constraints II.

LEMMA 4.1.

(i) A function $h(\boldsymbol{\beta}_1, \gamma, \boldsymbol{\beta}_2) = \mathbf{h}'_1 \boldsymbol{\beta}_1$ is unbiasedly estimable in (2) if and only if $\mathbf{h}_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2})$.

(ii) A function $h(\boldsymbol{\beta}_1, \gamma, \boldsymbol{\beta}_2) = \mathbf{h}'_2 \boldsymbol{\beta}_2$ is unbiasedly estimable in (2) if and only if $\mathbf{h}_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_1} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}'})$.

Proof. Both statements are a direct consequence of the relationships

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}'_1 \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 \text{ is unbiasedly estimable} &\iff \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' & \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B}'_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{h}'_2 \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 \text{ is unbiasedly estimable} &\iff \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{h}_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' & \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B}'_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

As far as (ii) is concerned, it is to be remarked:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\forall \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^q) (\exists \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n) (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}'}} \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{O}) &\iff \\
 \mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}'}} &= \mathbf{B}'_1 - [(\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}) - \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}] (\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{B}'_1 \\
 &\quad + \mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{B}_1 (\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{X}' [\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{X}']^{-1} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{B}'_1 \\
 &= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} (\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{X}' [\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{X}']^{-1} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{B}'_1 \\
 &\quad - \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} (\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{X}' [\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{X}']^{-1} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{B}'_1,
 \end{aligned}$$

thus $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}'}}) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X}')$. □

LEMMA 4.2.

(i) An unbiasedly estimable function $\mathbf{h}'_1 \beta_1$ (i.e. $\mathbf{h}_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2})$) in the model (2) is biased in the model (1) and

$$E_* \left(\widehat{\mathbf{h}'_1 \beta_1^{**}} \right) - \mathbf{h}'_1 \beta_1 = \mathbf{h}'_1 \left\{ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \left[(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \mathbf{X}')^{-1}_{m(\Sigma)} \right]' \mathbf{S} + (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{B}_1)^{-1}_{m(\mathbf{W})} \mathbf{G} \right\} \gamma.$$

(ii) An unbiasedly estimable function $\mathbf{h}'_2 \beta_2$ (i.e. $\mathbf{h}_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{B}'_2 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}'}})$) in the model (2) is biased in the model (1) and

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_* \left(\widehat{\mathbf{h}'_2 \beta_2^{**}} \right) - \mathbf{h}'_2 \beta_2 &= \mathbf{h}'_2 \left\{ -[(\mathbf{B}'_2)^{-1}_{m(\mathbf{V})}]' \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{W}^+ \mathbf{X}' (\Sigma + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \mathbf{X}')^{-1} \mathbf{S} + [(\mathbf{B}'_2)^{-1}_{m(\mathbf{V})}]' \mathbf{G} \right\} \gamma,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{W}^+ \mathbf{B}'_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{B}'_2, \quad \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X} (\Sigma + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \mathbf{X}')^+ + \mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{B}_1.$$

Proof. Since (cf. [2; Theorem 4.6]) $\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}$ -seminorm g -inverse of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' & \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{B}'_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is given by the relation

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' & \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{B}'_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}_{m \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}} \right]' = \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{1} & \boxed{2} \\ \boxed{3} & \boxed{4} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \boxed{1} &= \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \left[(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \mathbf{X}')^{-1}_{m(\Sigma)} \right]', \\
 \boxed{2} &= \mathbf{W}^+ \mathbf{B}'_1 (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{W}^+ \mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2})^+, \\
 \boxed{3} &= -[(\mathbf{B}'_2)^{-1}_{m(\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{W}^+ \mathbf{B}'_1)}]' \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{W}^+ \mathbf{X}' (\Sigma + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \mathbf{X}')^+, \\
 \boxed{4} &= [(\mathbf{B}'_2)^{-1}_{m(\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{W}^+ \mathbf{B}'_1)}]'.
 \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X}' (\Sigma + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \mathbf{X}')^+ + \mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{B}_1$, we have

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} & E_*\left(\widehat{h'_1\beta_1^{**}}\right) \\ &= (\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{t}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}, & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{B}_1, & \mathbf{B}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{1}, & \boxed{2} \\ \boxed{3}, & \boxed{4} \end{pmatrix} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}, & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{B}_1, & \mathbf{B}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{G} \end{pmatrix} \gamma \right] \\ &= h'_1\beta_1 + h'_1(\boxed{1}\mathbf{S} + \boxed{2}\mathbf{G})\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathbf{u}'\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{t}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}\mathbf{B}_1 = h'_1$.

(ii) Analogously

$$\begin{aligned} & E_*\left(\widehat{h'_2\beta_1^{**}}\right) \\ &= (\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{t}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}'}}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}, & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{B}_1, & \mathbf{B}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{1}, & \boxed{2} \\ \boxed{3}, & \boxed{4} \end{pmatrix} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}, & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{B}_1, & \mathbf{B}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{G} \end{pmatrix} \gamma \right] \\ &= h'_2\beta_2 + h'_2(\boxed{3}\mathbf{S} + \boxed{4}\mathbf{G})\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathbf{u}'\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{t}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}'}}\mathbf{B}_1 = \mathbf{O}'$ and $\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}'}}\mathbf{B}_2 = h'_2$. Now the proof can be easily finished. \square

Remark 4.3. Let two ULSMs (without constraints)

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim_n \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S} \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma \right], \quad \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_1+l} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim_n (\mathbf{X}\beta, \Sigma), \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{k_1} \tag{4}$$

be under consideration. Then the variance of the BLUE of the function $\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}\beta$ in the model (3) is

$$\text{Var}_*(\widehat{\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}\beta^*}) = \mathbf{t}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}[\mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}})^+\mathbf{X}]^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{t},$$

where $\mathbf{T} = \Sigma + \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}'$ and in the model (4)

$$\text{Var}_{**}(\widehat{\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}\beta^{**}}) = \mathbf{t}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X}')^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{t}.$$

The inequality

$$\text{Var}_*(\widehat{\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}\beta^*}) \geq \text{Var}_{**}(\widehat{\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}\beta^{**}})$$

is implied by the inequalities (in the Loevner sense)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}})^+ &= (\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}')^+ - (\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}')^+\mathbf{S}[\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}')^+\mathbf{S}]^+\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}')^+ \leq_L \mathbf{T}^+ \\ &\Rightarrow [\mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}})^+\mathbf{X}]^+ \geq_L (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore analogous inequalities are valid in ULSMIs (1) and (2), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall \mathbf{h}_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1) \left(\text{Var}_* \left(\widehat{\mathbf{h}'_1 \beta_1^*} \right) \geq \text{Var}_{**} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{h}'_1 \beta_1^{**}} \right) \right), \\ & (\forall \mathbf{h}_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2) \left(\text{Var}_* \left(\widehat{\mathbf{h}'_2 \beta_2^*} \right) \geq \text{Var}_{**} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{h}'_2 \beta_2^{**}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M} \left((\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{B}'_1) \mathbf{M} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{O} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M} \left((\mathbf{O}, \mathbf{B}'_2) \mathbf{M} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{s} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right)$ characterize the unbiasedly estimable functions of β_1 (\mathcal{M}_1) and the unbiasedly estimable functions of β_2 (\mathcal{M}_2).

Let the following notation

$$\mathbf{W}_* = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} \left[\Sigma + (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}) \mathbf{M} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{smallmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}) + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} (\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{G}),$$

$$\mathbf{V}_* = (\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{W}_*^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{B}'_2,$$

$$\text{Var}_{**} \left(\widehat{\beta_1^{**}} \right) = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \mathbf{X}' (\Sigma + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \mathbf{X}')^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} - \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_* \left(\widehat{\begin{matrix} \beta_1^* \\ \gamma^* \end{matrix}} \right) &= \mathbf{M} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} \left[\Sigma + (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}) \mathbf{M} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}) \mathbf{M} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} - \mathbf{M} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var}_{**} \left(\widehat{\beta_2^{**}} \right) \\ &= [(\mathbf{B}'_2)_{m(\mathbf{V})}]' \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{W}^+ \mathbf{X}' (\Sigma + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \mathbf{X}')^{-1} \Sigma (\Sigma + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \mathbf{X}')^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{X} \mathbf{W}^+ \mathbf{B}'_1 (\mathbf{B}'_2)_{m(\mathbf{V})}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var}_* \left(\widehat{\beta_2^*} \right) \\ &= [(\mathbf{B}'_2)_{m(\mathbf{V}_*)}]' (\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{W}_*^+ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} \left[\Sigma + (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}) \mathbf{M} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times \Sigma \left[\Sigma + (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}) \mathbf{M} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}) \mathbf{W}_*^+ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{pmatrix} (\mathbf{B}'_2)_{m(\mathbf{V}_*)}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_1 = (\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{O}) \text{Var}_* \left(\widehat{\begin{matrix} \beta_1^* \\ \gamma^* \end{matrix}} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} - \text{Var}_{**} \left(\widehat{\beta_1^{**}} \right),$$

$$\mathbf{R}_2 = \text{Var}_* \left(\widehat{\beta_2^*} \right) - \text{Var}_{**} \left(\widehat{\beta_2^{**}} \right)$$

be used. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_1 &= \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \left[(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \mathbf{X}')^{-1}_{m(\Sigma)} \right]' \mathbf{S} + (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \mathbf{B}_1)^{-1}_{m(\mathbf{W})} \mathbf{G}, \\ \mathbf{F}_2 &= -[(\mathbf{B}'_2)^{-1}_{m(\mathbf{V})}]' \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{W}^+ \mathbf{X}' (\Sigma + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}_2}} \mathbf{X}')^{-1} \mathbf{S} + [(\mathbf{B}'_2)^{-1}_{m(\mathbf{V})}]' \mathbf{G} \end{aligned}$$

(cf. Lemma 4.2). Then, analogously as in Theorem 3.5, if $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{F}_i) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, then for all $i = 1, 2$

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall \mathbf{h}_i \in \mathcal{M}_i) \left(\left| E_{**}(\widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{h}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_i^{**}}}) - \mathbf{h}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_i \right| \leq c \sqrt{\text{Var}_*(\widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{h}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_i^{**}}}) - \text{Var}_{**}(\widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{h}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_i^{**}}})} \right) \\ \iff \boldsymbol{\gamma}' \mathbf{F}'_i \mathbf{R}_i^- \mathbf{F}_i \boldsymbol{\gamma} \leq c^2. \end{aligned}$$

Sometimes there occurs such a situation that either a function $\mathbf{h}'_1 \boldsymbol{\beta}_1$ or a function $\mathbf{h}'_2 \boldsymbol{\beta}_2$ can be unbiasedly estimated in (2) and the estimator is the same as in (1) (cf. Theorem 3.2).

To find a subspace of functions which can be unbiasedly estimated by BLUE in the model (2) and this estimator is simultaneously the BLUE in the model (1) is rather difficult. Until now only the following result is known to the author.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let ULSMII*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \\ -\mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} \sim_{n+q} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}, & \mathbf{O}, & \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{B}_1, & \mathbf{B}_2, & \mathbf{G} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma, & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O}, & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \\ -\mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} \sim_{n+q} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}, & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{B}_1, & \mathbf{B}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma, & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O}, & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

be considered. Let

$$\mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{G} \end{pmatrix} \subset \mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}, & \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}' \\ \mathbf{S} \mathbf{X}', & \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} \quad (\mathbf{T} = \Sigma + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}').$$

Then BLUE of the function $h(\boldsymbol{\beta}_1, \boldsymbol{\beta}_2) = \mathbf{h}'_1 \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{h}'_2 \boldsymbol{\beta}_2$, where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \mathbf{h}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}', & \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{O}, & \mathbf{B}'_2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{(\mathbf{S}', \mathbf{G})'} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{t} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^q,$$

in both models are identical if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \mathbf{h}_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{1,1}, & \mathbf{A}_{1,2} \\ \mathbf{A}_{2,12}, & \mathbf{A}_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{K}} \right]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D} &= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{X}, \\ \mathbf{A}_{1,1} &= \mathbf{D} + (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{S}' - \mathbf{B}'_1)[\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D})\mathbf{S}']^{-1}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{B}_1), \\ \mathbf{A}_{1,2} &= -(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{S}' - \mathbf{B}'_1)[\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D})\mathbf{S}']^{-1}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{B}_1)\mathbf{B}_2 = \mathbf{A}'_{2,1}, \\ \mathbf{A}_{2,2} &= \mathbf{B}'_2[\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D})\mathbf{S}']^{-1}\mathbf{B}_2, \\ \mathbf{K} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' & \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{B}'_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{X}\mathbf{S}' \\ \mathbf{S}\mathbf{X}' & \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{G} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

P r o o f. It is a direct consequence of the following consideration.

Let two ULSMs

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim \left[(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}) \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma \right] \quad (*)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim (\mathbf{X}\beta, \Sigma) \quad (**)$$

be considered and let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{S}) \subset \mathcal{M}(\Sigma + \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}')$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var}_* \left[\widehat{(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}) \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}^*} \right] \\ &= (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}) \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}) \right]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} - (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}' + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}') \\ &= (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{S}'\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{S}'\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{S} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} - (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}' + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}') \\ &= \mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{X}' - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}' + (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^{-1} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{S}[\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}})^+\mathbf{S}]^{-1} \times \\ & \quad \times \mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{X}' - \mathbf{I}) - \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}' \\ \Rightarrow & \text{Var}_*(\widehat{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}\beta^*}) = \text{Var}_{**}(\widehat{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}\beta^{**}}) \\ & \quad + \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{S}[\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}})^+\mathbf{S}]^{-1}\mathbf{S}'\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}} \\ \Rightarrow & \text{Var}_*(\widehat{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}\beta^*}) = \text{Var}_{**}(\widehat{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}\beta^{**}}) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \mathbf{q}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{O}' \\ \Leftrightarrow & \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{S}). \end{aligned}$$

Now \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{S} , β , γ , Σ are substituted by the following scheme

$$\mathbf{X} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{B}_1 & \mathbf{B}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{S} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{G} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma, \quad \Sigma \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the equality

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}' & \mathbf{B}'_1 \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{B}'_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{X}\mathbf{S}' \\ \mathbf{S}\mathbf{X}' & \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{B}_1 & \mathbf{B}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E}_{1,1} & \mathbf{E}_{1,2} \\ \mathbf{E}_{2,1} & \mathbf{E}_{2,2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{1,1} = \mathbf{D}^- + \mathbf{D}^- \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{T}^- \mathbf{S} [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_\mathbf{X} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{M}_\mathbf{X})^+ \mathbf{S}]^- \mathbf{S}' \mathbf{T}^- \mathbf{X} \mathbf{D}^-,$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{1,2} = -\mathbf{D}^- \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{T}^- \mathbf{S} [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_\mathbf{X} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{M}_\mathbf{X})^+ \mathbf{S}]^- = \mathbf{E}'_{2,1}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{2,2} = [\mathbf{S}' (\mathbf{M}_\mathbf{X} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{M}_\mathbf{X})^+ \mathbf{S}]^-,$$

are used and the proof can be easily finished. □

5. Numerical example

In 1. Motivation example, we have

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 20 \\ 28 \\ 36 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 16 \\ 100 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further

$$\text{Var}_{**}(\widehat{\beta_1^{**}}) = \text{Var}_{**}(\widehat{\beta_2^{**}}) = 0,$$

$$\text{Var}_*(\widehat{\beta_1^*}) = \sigma^2 1.479, \quad \text{Var}_*(\widehat{\beta_2^*}) = \sigma^2 12.5, \quad \text{Var}_*(\widehat{\gamma^*}) = \sigma^2 0.0078,$$

$$A_1 = 14, \quad A_2 = -40.$$

In this case there does not exist a function of the parameters which can be unbiasedly estimated by the same estimators in both models; i.e. the parameter γ cannot be neglected. However, the relationships

$$|\gamma| \leq \sigma 0.086861 \implies (\forall h_1 \in \mathbb{R}^1) \left(|h_1 b_1| \leq \sqrt{\text{Var}_*(\widehat{h_1 \beta_1^*})} \right),$$

$$|\gamma| \leq \sigma 0.088388 \implies (\forall h_2 \in \mathbb{R}^1) \left(|h_2 b_2| \leq \sqrt{\text{Var}_*(\widehat{h_2 \beta_2^*})} \right)$$

are valid. It is to be remarked that $\sigma 0.088388 = \sqrt{\text{Var}(\widehat{\gamma^*})}$.

An admissible region around zero for the parameter γ is rather small in this case. However, in another example, where, e.g. $\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{O}$, it can be the whole parametric space. Thus the approach used in this paper gives another view on the problem of underestimation than testing hypothesis $\gamma = 0$ does.

REFERENCES

- [1] KUBÁČEK, L.—KUBÁČKOVÁ, L.—VOLAUFVÁ, J.: *Statistical Models with Linear Structures*, Veda, Bratislava, 1995.
- [2] KUBÁČEK, L.—KUBÁČKOVÁ, L.: *Nonsensitiveness regions in universal models*, Math. Slovaca **50** (2000), 219–240.
- [3] NORDSTRÖM, K.—FELLMAN, J.: *Characterizations and dispersion matrix robustness of efficiently estimable parametric functionals in linear models with nuisance parameters*, Linear Algebra Appl. **127** (1990), 341–361.
- [4] RAO, C. R.: *Unified theory of linear estimation*, Sankhyā Ser. A **33** (1971), 371–394.
- [5] RAO, C. R.—MITRA, S. K.: *Generalized Inverses of Matrices and Its Applications*, J. Wiley & Sons, New York-London-Sydney-Toronto, 1971.
- [6] SCHEFFÉ, H.: *The Analysis of Variance* (5th ed.), J. Wiley & Sons, New York-London-Sydney, 1967.

Received March 4, 2004

Revised August 4, 2004

*Katedra matematické analýzy
a aplikované matematiky
PF Univerzita Palackého
Tomkova 40
779 00 Olomouc
Česká Republika
E-mail: kubacekl@risc.upol.cz*