

Miroslav Fiedler; Thomas L. Markham

On a theorem of Everitt, Thompson, and de Pillis

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 44 (1994), No. 4, 441--444

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/130384>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

*Dedicated to Academician Štefan Schwarz  
on the occasion of his 80th birthday*

## ON A THEOREM OF EVERITT, THOMPSON, AND de PILLIS

MIROSLAV FIEDLER\* — THOMAS L. MARKHAM\*\*

*(Communicated by Tibor Katriňák)*

**ABSTRACT.** It is proved that if  $H = (H_{ik})$  is a partitioned positive semidefinite matrix with square blocks, then the matrix  $(E_r(H_{ik}))$ , where  $E_r(X)$  denotes the  $r$ th elementary symmetric function of the eigenvalues of  $X$ , is again a positive semidefinite matrix.

### 1. Introduction

In 1958, W. N. Everitt [2] proved that if  $H = \begin{bmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ , where each  $H_{ij}$  is a  $k \times k$  matrix, is positive definite hermitian, then

$$\det H \leq \det(H_{11}) \det(H_{22}) - |\det(H_{12})|^2.$$

In 1961, R. C. Thompson [5] extended this result to the case where  $H = (H_{ij})$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , is an  $nk \times nk$  matrix. His main result was the following:

**Theorem ([5]):** If  $H$  is positive definite hermitian with  $H = (H_{ij})$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , with each block  $H_{ij}$  of order  $k$ , then let  $\widehat{H} = (\det H_{ij})$ . Then  $\widehat{H}$  is positive definite hermitian and  $\det(H) \leq \det(\widehat{H})$ . Equality holds if and only if  $H_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $i \neq j$ .

Thompson's proof used an identity for the inner product of Grassmann products as his main weapon.

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 15A57, 15A15, 15A18.

Key words: (Positive) Semidefinite matrix, Partitioned matrix, Eigenvalue, Elementary symmetric function, Hermitian, Hadamard product.

John de Pillis [1] showed that the first part of Thompson's result holds in general for the elementary symmetric functions  $E_i(A)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , of the eigenvalues of the matrix  $A$ . For a general  $k \times k$  matrix  $A$ , we have  $E_1(A) = \text{tr}(A)$  and  $E_k(A) = \det(A)$ .

Before we state this result, we introduce a few preliminary notions and cite two useful theorems.

If  $A = (a_{ij})$  and  $B = (b_{ij})$  are matrices of size  $m \times n$ , the Hadamard product of  $A$  and  $B$ , denoted  $A \circ B$ , is the  $m \times n$  matrix  $(a_{ij}b_{ij})$ .

If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix and  $B$  is a  $p \times q$  matrix, then tensor product of  $A$  and  $B$ , denoted  $A \otimes B$ , is the  $mp \times nq$  matrix  $(a_{ij}B)$ , in partitioned form. It is well known that  $A \circ B$  is a principal submatrix of  $A \otimes B$ , whenever  $A$  and  $B$  are square of the same order.

Ischur proved [4] that if each of  $A$  and  $B$  is positive semidefinite hermitian of the same order  $n$ , then  $A \circ B$  is a positive semidefinite hermitian matrix. He also stated the result that if  $A$  and  $B$  are positive semidefinite hermitian, then  $\det(A \circ B) \geq \max \left\{ \left( \prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii} \right) \det B, \left( \prod_{i=1}^n b_{ii} \right) \det A \right\}$ .

Later, Sir Alexander Oppenheim [3] proved this result, and strengthened it.

As we mentioned above, Thompson's result was generalized by John de Pillis, as in the following Theorem 1, of which we will give a new proof. Finally, we give a determinantal inequality, and then state an observation concerning Thompson's result.

## 2. Main result

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $H = (H_{ij})$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , be a positive semidefinite hermitian matrix with each block  $H_{ij}$  of order  $k$ . Let  $E_i$  denote the  $i$ th elementary symmetric function,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Denote  $\hat{H}_r = (E_r(H_{ij}))$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq k$ , and let  $E_0(H_{ij}) = 1$  for each pair  $(i, j)$ . Then  $\hat{H}_r$  is positive semidefinite for  $r = 0, 1, \dots, k$ .*

*Proof.* For  $r = 0$ , we have  $\hat{H}_0 = J_n \otimes I_k$ , where  $J_n$  is the matrix of all 1's, so  $\hat{H}_0$  is clearly positive semidefinite since  $J_n$  and  $I_k$  are positive semidefinite. Assume  $1 \leq r \leq k$ .

At the first stage, we construct the matrix  $K = (C^r(H_{ij}))$ , where  $C^r(\cdot)$  denotes the  $r$ th compound matrix. Thus each block matrix in  $K$  has order  $\binom{k}{r}$ . The matrix  $K$  is a principal submatrix of  $C^r(H)$ , and hence is positive semidefinite hermitian. For any square matrix  $A$ , the eigenvalues of  $C^r(A)$  are

all possible products  $\gamma_{i_1}\gamma_{i_2}\dots\gamma_{i_r}$  of the eigenvalues  $\gamma_j$  of  $A$ . It is thus clear that  $\text{tr}(C^r(A)) = E_r(A)$ , so that

$$\text{tr}[C^r(H_{ij})] = E_r(H_{ij}). \tag{1}$$

Let  $J_n$  denote as before the  $n \times n$  matrix of all 1's. Consider the product  $K \circ (J_n \otimes I_{\binom{k}{r}})$ . This matrix is again positive semidefinite by Schur's result that the Hadamard product of two positive semidefinite matrices is again positive semidefinite. At this stage,

$$K \circ (J_n \otimes I_{\binom{k}{r}}) = \begin{bmatrix} h_1^{(11)} & & & & h_1^{(1n)} & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ & & h_{\binom{k}{r}}^{(11)} & \dots & & & & & h_{\binom{k}{r}}^{(1n)} \\ & & \vdots & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ h_1^{(n1)} & & & & & h_1^{(nn)} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & \\ & & & h_{\binom{k}{r}}^{(n1)} & \dots & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & h_{\binom{k}{r}}^{(nn)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This matrix is permutationally similar to a matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_1 & & & \\ & D_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & D_{\binom{k}{r}} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$D_i = \begin{bmatrix} h_i^{(11)} & h_i^{(12)} & \dots & h_i^{(1n)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ h_i^{(n1)} & h_i^{(n2)} & \dots & h_i^{(nn)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, \binom{k}{r}.$$

This matrix is again a positive semidefinite matrix, so each block  $D_i$  is positive semidefinite. Finally, the sum  $\sum D_i$  is positive semidefinite hermitian, and, by (1),  $\widehat{H}_r = \sum D_i$ , so the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.** *Under the assumption of Theorem 1, if we take  $E_1 = \text{trace}(\cdot)$ , then*

$$\frac{1}{k^k} [\det(\widehat{H}_1)]^k \geq \det H. \tag{2}$$

P r o o f. We have

$$\det(\widehat{H}_1) = \det\left(\sum_{j=1}^k D_j\right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^k \det D_j \geq k \sqrt[k]{\det\left(\prod_{i=1}^k D_i\right)}.$$

Thus

$$\left\{\frac{1}{k} \det \widehat{H}_1\right\}^k \geq \det(D_1 \dots D_k) = \det(H \circ (J \otimes I_k)) \geq \det(H)$$

by Oppenheim's inequality. □

The multiplicative constant  $\frac{1}{k^k}$  in (2) is the best possible since equality can be attained. For example, if  $H = I_k$  with  $n = 1$ , then  $\widehat{H} = (k)$ , and clearly we get equality.

Finally, we observe the following. For  $H$  as given in Theorem 1, let  $\widehat{H}(t) = (\det(H_{ij} + tI_k))$ . It is easy to see that

$$\det(\widehat{H}(t)) = \det[\widehat{H}_k + t\widehat{H}_{k-1} + \dots + t^k \widehat{H}_0].$$

By Thompson's theorem, we get that  $\det(H + t(J_n \otimes I_k)) \leq \det(\widehat{H}(t))$  for  $t \geq 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] de PILLIS, J.: *Transformations on partitioned matrices*, Duke Math. J. **36** (1969), 511–515.
- [2] EVERITT, W. N.: *A note on positive definite matrices*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **3** (1958), 173–175.
- [3] OPPENHEIM, A.: *Inequalities connected with definite Hermitian forms*, J. London Math. Soc. **5** (1930), 114–119.
- [4] SCHUR, I.: *Bemerkungen zur Theorie der beschränkten Bilinearformen mit unendlich vielen Veränderlichen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **140** (1911), 1–28.
- [5] THOMPSON, R. C.: *A determinantal inequality for positive definite matrices*, Canad. Math. Bull. **4** (1961), 57–62.

Received October 21, 1993

\* *Mathematics Institute*  
*Academy of Sciences of Czech Republic*  
*CZ-115 67 Prague*  
*Czech Republic*

\*\* *Department of Mathematics*  
*University of South Carolina*  
*Columbia, SC 29208*  
*U.S.A.*