

Milan Paštéka

Convergence of series and submeasures of the set of positive integers

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 40 (1990), No. 3, 273--278

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129604>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

CONVERGENCE OF SERIES AND SUBMEASURES OF THE SET OF POSITIVE INTEGERS

MILAN PAŠTÉKA

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of compact submeasure and show a connection between this notion and a convergence of infinite series.

Denote by \mathbb{N} the set of all positive integers and by $P(\mathbb{N})$ the system of all subsets of \mathbb{N} .

A function $m: P(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a submeasure if for any two sets $A, B \in P(\mathbb{N})$ there holds:

$$(i) \quad A \subseteq B \Rightarrow m(A) \leq m(B)$$

$$(ii) \quad m(A \cup B) \leq m(A) + m(B)$$

If m is a submeasure, let $Z(m)$ denote the system of all sets $A \in P(\mathbb{N})$ satisfying the condition $m(A) = 0$. In [1] it is proved that if m is the upper asymptotic density then the infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$, with nonnegative elements, converges if

and only if for every $A \in Z(m)$ we have $\sum_{n \in A} a_n < \infty$. The aim of our paper is to show that this result can be extended to a broader class of submeasures for which the system $Z(m)$ can be smaller than the system of all sets $A \in P(\mathbb{N})$ with asymptotic density 0.

A submeasure $m: P(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be compact if and only if

$$(iii) \quad m(\{a_i\}) = 0 \text{ for every } a \in$$

(iv) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a decomposition $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k = \mathbb{N}$ such that $m(A_i) < \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Theorem. Let m be a compact submeasure. Then the infinite series with

AMS Subject Classification (1980): Primary 40A05, Secondary 28A10.

Key words: Compact submeasures, Infinite series.

nonnegative elements $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges if and only if for every set $A \in Z(m)$ there holds

$$\sum_{n \in A} a_n < \infty$$

Proof. The necessity of the condition is evident. Assume that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty, \quad a_n \geq 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

For $A \in P(\cdot)$ put

$$\mathcal{S}(A) = \sum_{n \in A} a_n$$

According to (iv) we obtain that there exists a decomposition

$$A_1^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup A_{k_1}^{(1)} = \mathbb{N} \tag{1}$$

such that $m(A_i) < 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, k_1$. From the divergence of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ we deduce that there exists an index i_0 such that

$$\mathcal{S}(A_{i_0}^{(1)}) = \infty \tag{2}$$

Put $A^{(1)} = A_{i_0}^{(1)}$. Again (iv) implies that there exists a decomposition

$$A_1^{(2)} \cup \dots \cup A_{k_2}^{(2)} = \cdot$$

such that $m(A_j) < \frac{1}{2}, j = 1, 2, \dots, k_2$. Using the equality

$$A^{(1)} = (A^{(1)} \cap A_1^{(2)}) \cup \dots \cup (A^{(1)} \cap A_{k_2}^{(2)})$$

and (2) we obtain that there exist j_0 such that

$$\mathcal{S}(A^{(1)} \cap A_{j_0}^{(2)}) = \infty$$

Let us denote $A^{(2)} = A^{(1)} \cap A_{j_0}^{(2)}$. From (i) it follows that $m(A^{(2)}) < \frac{1}{2}$. By induction we can construct a sequence of sets

$$A^{(1)} \supset A^{(2)} \supset \dots \supset A^{(m)} \supset \dots$$

such that

$$\mathcal{S}(A^{(m)}) = \infty, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{3}$$

and

$$m(A^{(n)}) < \frac{1}{n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4)$$

According to (3) it is easy to see that there exists a sequence of positive integers m_1, m_2, \dots such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(A^{(1)} \cap \{1, 2, \dots, m_1\}) &\geq 1 \\ &\vdots \\ \mathcal{S}(A^{(n)} \cap \{m_{n-1} + 1, \dots, m_n\}) &\geq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let us put $m_0 = 0$ and

$$B^n = A^{(n)} \cap \{m_{n-1} + 1, \dots, m_n\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

If

$$B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B^n$$

then, by virtue of (5), we have

$$\sum_{i \in B} a_i = \mathcal{S}(B) = \infty$$

The sets $B^n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ are finite. Consequently it follows from (iii) and (ii) that $m(B^n) = 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$. It is trivial that

$$B^n \cup B^{n+1} \cup \dots \subseteq A^{(n)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

And therefore for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ there holds according to (i) and (4)

$$m(B) \leq m(B^1 \cup \dots \cup B^n) + m(A^{(n+1)}) \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$$

Thus, for $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $m(B) = 0$. The proof is completed.

In paper [2], the measure density of a set $A \in P(\mathbb{N})$ has been introduced in the following way:

Let the symbol $a + \langle d \rangle$ denote the arithmetic sequence $\{a + nd, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

For two sets B_1, B_2 let the symbol $B_1 \dot{\subset} B_2$ denote that the set

$$B_1 \setminus B_2$$

is finite. We shall write $B_1 \doteq B_2$ instead of the fact $B_1 \dot{\subset} B_2$ and $B_2 \dot{\subset} B_1$. It is easy to see that $B_1 \doteq B_2$ if and only if the sets B_1 and B_2 differ at most in a finite number of elements.

Let \mathcal{D}_0 be the system of all subsets $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that there exists a finite number of arithmetic sequences $a_1 + \langle d_1 \rangle, \dots, a_k + \langle d_k \rangle$ such that

$$S \doteq a_1 + \langle d_1 \rangle \cup \dots \cup a_k + \langle d_k \rangle$$

Now we introduce on \mathcal{D}_0 a real function Δ in the following way: For every disjoint union of arithmetic sequences

$$S = a_1 + \langle d_1 \rangle \cup \dots \cup a_k + \langle d_k \rangle$$

we put $\Delta(S) = \frac{1}{d_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_k}$ and for each $S' \doteq S$ we put $\Delta(S') = \Delta(S)$.

If $A \in P(\mathbb{N})$ then the value

$$\mu(A) = \inf \{ \Delta(S); \quad A \dot{\subseteq} S \wedge S \in \mathcal{D}_0 \}$$

will be called the measure density of the set A .

In [2, p. 562] it is proved that the measure density has the following properties:

$$(v) \quad A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$$

$$(vi) \quad \mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$$

(vii) For each arithmetic sequence $a + \langle d \rangle$ there holds

$$\mu(a + \langle d \rangle) = \frac{1}{d}$$

From (v) and (vi) it follows that μ is a submeasure.

If $a \in \mathbb{N}$ then for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\{a\} \subseteq a + \langle d \rangle$$

and therefore

$$\mu(\{a\}) = 0$$

It is clear that for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathbb{N} = \langle d \rangle \cup 1 + \langle d \rangle \cup \dots \cup d - 1 + \langle d \rangle$$

Thus according to (vii) we obtain that μ is a compact submeasure.

Consider now the set

$$A = \{n + n!, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

It is obvious that the asymptotic density of the set A is 0. Contrary to this fact we prove that

$$\mu(A) = 1 \tag{6}$$

Clearly, $\mu(A) \leq 1$. Suppose that $\mu(A) < 1$. Then by definition of μ , there exist such a disjoint system of arithmetic sequences

$$a_1 + \langle d_1 \rangle, \dots, a_k + \langle d_k \rangle$$

that

$$A \subset a_1 + \langle d_1 \rangle \cup \dots \cup a_k + \langle d_k \rangle \tag{7}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{d_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_k} < 1$$

Denote the least common multiple of d_1, \dots, d_k by d . It is easy to see that every arithmetic sequence $a_i + \langle d_i \rangle$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, can be expressed in the form

$$a_i + \langle d_i \rangle = a_i + \langle d \rangle \cup a_i + d_i + \langle d \rangle \cup \dots \cup a_i + r_i \cdot d_i + \langle d \rangle$$

where $r_i = \frac{d}{d_i} - 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. The decomposition on the right-hand side is disjoint and contains exactly $\frac{d}{d_i}$ arithmetic sequences. From (7) it follows that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^r b_j + \langle d \rangle, \quad b_j \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \dots, r \tag{8}$$

and

$$\frac{r}{d} = \frac{1}{d_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_k} < 1$$

Then $r < d$, and therefore b_1, \dots, b_r is not the complete residue system modulo d . By virtue of (8), there exists such an arithmetic sequence $b + \langle d \rangle$ that at most a finite number of elements of A belong to $b + \langle d \rangle$.

But it is trivial that for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ there hold

$$b + nd + (b + nd)! \in b + \langle d \rangle,$$

whence the sequence $b + \langle d \rangle$ has infinitely many common elements with A — a contradiction. This proves (6).

As a consequence of (6) we obtain that $\mu(B) = 1$ for every set $B \supseteq A$. It can be easily proved that if $\mu(C) = 0$ then C has zero asymptotic density. This implies that if we consider the measure density μ , then μ is a compact submeasure, and $Z(\mu)$ is a proper subset of the system of all sets with asymptotic density zero.

To conclude with, let us remark that (6) is also valid in the case when

$$A = \{n + (n!)^{k_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

where $\{k_n\}$ is an arbitrary sequence of positive integers.

REFERENCES

- [1] ESTRADA, R. KANVAL, R. P.: Series that converge on sets of null density. Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc. 97, 1986, No 4, 682–686.
- [2] BUCK, R. C.: The measure theoretic approach to density. Amer. J. Math. 68, 1946, 560–580.

Received January 16, 1989

*Katedra matematiky
Stavebná fakulta SVŠT
Radlinského 11
863 68 Bratislava*