

Said R. Grace

Oscillatory behaviour of certain difference equations

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 50 (2000), No. 3, 345--355

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129154>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## OSCILLATORY BEHAVIOUR OF CERTAIN DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

S. R. GRACE

(Communicated by Milan Medved')

ABSTRACT. Some new criteria for the oscillation of second order difference equations of the form

$$\Delta^2 x_n + p_n \Delta x_{n-h} = q_n |x_{g_n}|^c \operatorname{sgn} x_{g_n},$$

and

$$\Delta^2 x_n = p_n \Delta x_{n+h} + q_n |x_{g_n}|^c \operatorname{sgn} x_{g_n}$$

are established.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the oscillation of the solutions of certain second order difference equations of the form

$$\Delta^2 x_n + p_n \Delta x_{n-h} = q_n |x_{g_n}|^c \operatorname{sgn} x_{g_n}, \quad (E_1)$$

and

$$\Delta^2 x_n = p_n \Delta x_{n+h} + q_n |x_{g_n}|^c \operatorname{sgn} x_{g_n}, \quad (E_2)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the forward difference operator defined by  $\Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$ ,  $\{p_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\{q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  are sequences of nonnegative real numbers,  $\{g_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a nondecreasing sequence of nonnegative integers with  $g_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $h$  is a positive integer and  $c$  is a positive real number.

A nontrivial solution  $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  of  $(E_1)$  (or  $(E_2)$ ) is said to be *oscillatory* if for every positive integer  $N$ , there exists an  $n \geq N$  such that  $x_n x_{n+1} \leq 0$  and *nonoscillatory* otherwise.

Equation  $(E_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is said to be *almost oscillatory* if for every solution  $\{x_n\}$  of  $(E_i)$ , either  $\{x_n\}$  is oscillatory or  $\{\Delta x_n\}$  is oscillatory.

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 39A10.

Key words: oscillation, almost oscillation, difference equations.

There is an extensive literature on the topic of oscillation criteria for the generalized Emden-Fowler functional differential equation

$$x''(t) + q(t)|x(g(t))|^c \operatorname{sgn} x(g(t)) = 0, \quad c > 0, \tag{F}$$

where  $g, q: [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous and  $g(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Few results are known regarding the oscillatory behaviour of the continuous analogues of equations  $(E_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , namely the functional differential equations

$$x''(t) + p(t)x'(t - h) = q(t)|x(g(t))|^c \operatorname{sgn} x(g(t)), \tag{F_1}$$

and

$$x''(t) = p(t)x'(t + h) + q(t)|x(g(t))|^c \operatorname{sgn} x(g(t)), \tag{F_2}$$

where  $c$  and  $h$  are positive constants,  $p, q: [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are continuous and the function  $g(t)$  is defined as in (F). For recent contributions we refer to the papers [1]–[4] and the references cited therein.

Oscillation criteria for the discrete analogue of (F), namely the difference equation

$$\Delta^2 x_n + q_n |x_{g_n}|^c \operatorname{sgn} x_{g_n} = 0, \quad c > 0, \tag{E}$$

have been investigated by a number of authors in recent years (see for example [5]–[10] and the reference cited therein), but the literature is relatively limited. It seems that nothing is known about the oscillation of  $(E_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to establish some new criteria for the oscillation of  $(E_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . We also mention that the results of this paper are not applicable to equations of type  $(E_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , with either  $h = 0$  or  $p_n = 0$ .

The following properties of  $\Delta$  are needed. For every  $N$ ,  $n \geq N$

- (i)  $\Delta u_i = u_{i+1} - u_i$ ,
- (ii)  $\sum_{i=N}^n u_i \Delta v_i = u_{n+1} v_{n+1} - u_N v_N - \sum_{i=N}^n v_{i+1} \Delta u_i$ ,
- (iii)  $\Delta(u_n v_n) = v_{n+1} \Delta u_n + u_n \Delta v_n = u_{n+1} \Delta v_n + v_n \Delta u_n$ .

## 2. Almost oscillatory character of $(E_1)$

The following result concerns the almost oscillatory character of  $(E_1)$  when  $c > 1$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $\Delta p_n \geq 0$ ,  $0 < p_n < 1$  and  $g_n \geq n + 1$  for  $n \geq n_0 \geq 0$ . If*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=n-h}^{n-1} p_n \right) > \frac{h^h}{(1+h)^{(1+h)}}, \tag{1}$$

and

$$\sum_{k \geq n_0}^{\infty} P_{k+1, g_k-1} q_k = \infty, \quad n_0 \geq 0, \tag{2}$$

where

$$P_{k+1, g_k-1} = \sum_{j=k+1}^{g_k-1} \left( \prod_{i=n_0}^j \frac{1}{1-p_i} \right)^{1-c},$$

then  $(E_1)$  is almost oscillatory.

**P r o o f .** Assume for the purpose of contradiction that  $(E_1)$  has a nonoscillatory solution  $\{x_n\}$ , which we may (and do) assume to be eventually positive. There exists  $n_1 \geq n_0 + h + 1$  such that  $x_n > 0$  and  $x_{g_n} > 0$  for  $n \geq n_1$ . Next, we consider the following two cases:

- (a)  $\Delta x_n < 0$  eventually,                      (b)  $\Delta x_n > 0$  eventually.

(a) Assume  $\Delta x_n < 0$  eventually. From  $(E_1)$ , we see that

$$\Delta^2 x_n + p_n \Delta x_{n-h} = q_n x_{g_n}^c \geq 0 \quad \text{eventually.}$$

Set  $y_n = \Delta x_n < 0$  eventually. Then

$$\Delta y_n + p_n y_{n-h} \geq 0 \quad \text{eventually.}$$

Now, by a result similar to [8; Lemma 1.1(a)], we see that the equation

$$\Delta y_n + p_n y_{n-h} = 0 \tag{3}$$

has an eventually negative solution. But, in view of [10; Theorem 3] and condition (1), equation (3) is oscillatory, which is a contradiction.

(b) Assume  $\Delta x_n > 0$  for  $n \geq N \geq n_2 + h$ . From  $(E_1)$ , we obtain

$$\Delta x_n - \Delta x_N + \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} p_k \Delta x_{k-h} = \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} q_k x_{g_k}^c,$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} p_k \Delta x_{k-h} &= p_n x_{n-h} - p_N x_{N-h} - \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} x_{k-h} \Delta p_k \\ &\leq p_n x_{n-h} \leq p_n x_n, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\Delta x_n + p_n x_n \geq \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} q_k x_{g_k}^c, \quad n \geq N. \tag{4}$$

Define the sequence  $\{r_n\}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , by the recurrence relation

$$r_{n+1} = \frac{r_n}{1 - p_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad r_0 > 0. \tag{5}$$

Next, multiply (4) by  $r_{n+1}$ . We get

$$\Delta(r_n x_n) \geq r_{n+1} \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} q_k x_{g_k}^c \quad \text{for } n \geq N. \tag{6}$$

Choose  $N_1 \geq N$  and define  $m = \max\{N_1, \max_{N \leq n \leq N_1} g_n\}$ . Dividing (6) by  $(r_{n+1} x_{n+1})^c$  and summing from  $N + 1$  to  $m$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N+1}^m \frac{\Delta(r_n x_n)}{(r_{n+1} x_{n+1})^c} &\geq \sum_{n=N+1}^m (r_{n+1})^{1-c} \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} q_k (x_{g_k}/x_{n+1})^c \\ &\geq \sum_{k=N}^m q_k \sum_{n=k+1}^{g_k-1} (r_{n+1})^{1-c} (x_{g_k}/x_{n+1})^c. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_{g_k} \geq x_{n+1}$  for  $k + 1 < n < g_k - 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^m \Delta(r_n x_n)/(r_{n+1} x_{n+1})^c \geq \sum_{k=N}^m q_k \left( \sum_{n=k+1}^{g_k-1} \left( \prod_{j \geq n_0 \geq 0} r_{n_0}/(1 - p_n) \right)^{1-c} \right). \tag{7}$$

Now, from the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [7], it follows that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \Delta z_i / z_{i+1}^c < \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

The following theorem is concerned with the almost oscillatory character of  $(E_1)$  when  $c = 1$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $\Delta p_n \geq 0$ ,  $g_n \geq n + 1$  and  $0 < p_n < 1$  for  $n \geq n_0 \geq 0$ . If condition (1) holds and*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{g_n-1} B_{k, g_n-1} q_k > 1, \tag{8}$$

where

$$B_{k,g_n-1} = \sum_{s=k}^{g_n-1} \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{g_n-1} (1-p_j) \right),$$

then  $(E_1)$  is almost oscillatory.

Proof. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be an eventually positive solution of  $(E_1)$ , say  $x_n > 0$  and  $x_{g_n} > 0$  for  $n \geq n_1 \geq n_0 \geq 0$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1, we consider the following two cases:

- (a)  $\Delta x_n < 0$  eventually,
- (b)  $\Delta x_n > 0$  eventually.

(a) Assume  $\Delta x_n < 0$  eventually. The proof of this case is similar to that of Theorem 1(a) and hence is omitted.

(b) Assume  $\Delta x_n > 0$  for  $n \geq N \geq n_2 + h$ . Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1(b) and defining the sequence  $\{r_n\}$  as in (5) we obtain

$$\Delta(r_s x_s) \geq r_{s+1} \sum_{k=n}^{s-1} q_k x_{g_n} \quad \text{for } s \geq n \geq N. \tag{9}$$

Summing both sides of (9) from  $n$  to  $g_n-1$ , we have

$$r_{g_n} x_{g_n} \geq r_{g_n} x_{g_n} - r_n x_n \geq \sum_{s=n}^{g_n-1} r_{s+1} \sum_{k=n}^{s-1} q_k x_{g_k},$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \sum_{s=n}^{g_n-1} (r_{s+1}/r_{g_n}) \sum_{k=n}^{s-1} q_k (x_{g_k}/x_{g_n}) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=n}^{g_n-1} q_k (x_{g_k}/x_{g_n}) \left( \sum_{s=k}^{g_n-1} r_{s+1}/r_{g_n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_{g_k} \geq x_{g_n}$  for  $n \leq k \leq g_n - 1$ , we obtain

$$1 \geq \sum_{k=n}^{g_n-1} q_k \left( \sum_{s=k}^{g_n-1} \prod_{j=s+1}^{g_n-1} (1-p_j) \right),$$

which contradicts (8). This completes the proof. □

The following criterion deals with the almost oscillation of all bounded solutions of  $(E_1)$  for any  $c > 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose that  $\Delta p_n \geq 0$ ,  $g_n \geq n + 1$  and  $0 < p_n < 1$  for  $n \geq n_0 \geq 0$ . If condition (1) holds and*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=n_1}^n \left( \prod_{i=s+1}^n (1 - p_i)^{-1} \right) \sum_{k=n_1}^{s-1} q_k = \infty, \quad n_1 \geq n_0, \quad (10)$$

*then every bounded solution  $\{x_n\}$  of  $(E_1)$  is oscillatory or  $\{\Delta x_n\}$  is oscillatory.*

**Proof.** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a bounded and eventually positive solution of  $(E_1)$ , say  $x_n > 0$  and  $x_{g_n} > 0$  for  $n \geq n_1 \geq n_0 \geq 0$ . Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we see that the case (a) is impossible. Next, we consider:

(b)  $\Delta x_n > 0$  for  $n \geq n_2$ . There exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  and  $N \geq n_2 + h$  such that

$$x_{g_n} \geq c_1 \quad \text{for } n \geq N. \quad (11)$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1(b) we obtain (4) and then define the sequence  $\{r_n\}$  as in (5) and obtain (6) which takes the form

$$\Delta(r_n x_n) \geq c_1^c r_{n+1} \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} q_k \quad \text{for } n \geq N. \quad (12)$$

Summing both sides of (12) from  $N$  to  $m \geq N$ , we have

$$r_{m+1} x_{m+1} \geq r_{m+1} x_{m+1} - r_N x_N \geq c_1^c \sum_{n=N}^m r_{n+1} \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} q_k,$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} x_{m+1} &\geq c_1^c \sum_{n=N}^m (r_{n+1}/r_{m+1}) \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} q_k \\ &= c_1^c \sum_{n=N}^m \left( \prod_{i=n+1}^m (1 - p_i)^{-1} \right) \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} q_k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the fact that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Almost oscillatory character of $(E_2)$

In this section, we present two criteria for the almost oscillation of  $(E_2)$  when  $0 < c \leq 1$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose that  $c = 1$ ,  $g_n \leq n$  and  $\Delta p_n \leq 0$  for  $n \geq n_0 \geq 0$ . If*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{h-1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+h-1} p_k \right) > \frac{(h-1)^{(h-1)}}{h^h} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=g_n}^{n-1} C_{g_n, k} q_k > 1, \tag{14}$$

where

$$C_{g_n, k} = \sum_{s=g_n}^k \left[ \prod_{j=g_n+1}^s (1+p_j)^{-1} \right],$$

then  $(E_2)$  is almost oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_n\}$  be an eventually positive solution of  $(E_2)$ , say  $x_n > 0$  and  $x_{g_n} > 0$  for  $n \geq n_1 \geq n_0 \geq 0$ . Now, there are two cases to consider:

- (a)  $\Delta x_n > 0$  eventually,                      (b)  $\Delta x_n < 0$  eventually.

(a) Suppose  $\Delta x_n > 0$  eventually. From  $(E_2)$  we see that

$$\Delta y_n - p_n y_{n+h} = q_n x_{g_n} \geq 0 \quad \text{eventually,}$$

where  $y_n = \Delta x_n > 0$  eventually. Now, by [8; Lemma 1.1(b)], the equation

$$\Delta y_n - p_n y_{n+h} = 0 \tag{15}$$

has an eventually positive solution. But, in view of [10; Theorem 3'] and condition (13), equation (15) is oscillatory, which is a contradiction.

(b) Suppose  $\Delta x_n < 0$  for  $n \geq N \geq n_2 + 1$ . Then from  $(E_2)$ , we have

$$\Delta x_n - \Delta x_s = \sum_{k=s}^{n-1} p_k \Delta x_{k+h} + \sum_{k=s}^{n-1} q_k x_{g_k} \quad \text{for } n \geq s \geq N. \tag{16}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=s}^{n-1} p_k \Delta x_{k+h} = p_n x_{n+h} - p_s x_{s+h} - \sum_{k=s}^{n-1} x_{k+h} \Delta p_k,$$

and  $\Delta p_n \leq 0$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is nonincreasing,  $n \geq N$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=s}^{n-1} p_k \Delta x_{k+h} \geq -p_s x_s \quad \text{for } n \geq s \geq N.$$



Now, (16) takes the form

$$-(\Delta x_s - p_s x_s) \geq \sum_{k=s}^{n-1} q_k x_{g_k} \quad \text{for } n \geq s \geq N. \quad (17)$$

Define the sequence  $\{r_n\}$  by

$$r_{n+1} = r_n / (1 + p_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \text{and} \quad r_{n_0} > 0 \quad \text{for } n_0 \geq 0, \quad (18)$$

and multiply (17) by  $r_{s+1}$ . Then we have

$$-\Delta(r_s x_s) \geq r_{s+1} \sum_{k=s}^{n-1} q_k x_{g_k} \quad \text{for } n \geq s \geq N. \quad (19)$$

Summing both sides of (19) from  $g_n \geq N$  to  $n - 1 \geq g_n$ , we have

$$r_{g_n} x_{g_n} \geq r_{g_n} x_{g_n} - r_n x_n \geq \sum_{s=g_n}^{n-1} r_{s+1} \sum_{k=s}^{n-1} q_k x_{g_k}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \sum_{s=g_n}^{n-1} (r_{s+1}/r_{g_n}) \sum_{k=s}^{n-1} q_k (x_{g_k}/x_{g_n}) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=g_n}^{n-1} q_k (x_{g_k}/x_{g_n}) \sum_{s=g_n}^k (r_{s+1}/r_{g_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_{g_k} \geq x_{g_n}$  for  $g_n \leq k \leq n - 1 \leq n$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \sum_{k=g_n}^{n-1} q_k \sum_{s=g_n}^k (r_{s+1}/r_{g_n}) \\ &= \sum_{k=g_n}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{s=g_n}^k \prod_{j=g_n+1}^s (1 + p_j)^{-1} \right) q_k. \end{aligned}$$

Taking lim sup of both sides of the above inequality as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain a contradiction to (14). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**THEOREM 5.** *Suppose that  $0 < c < 1$ ,  $\Delta p_n \leq 0$  and  $g_n < n$  for  $n \geq n_0 \geq 0$ , and let condition (13) hold. If*

$$\sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} A_{g_k, k} q_k = \infty, \quad (20)$$

where

$$A_{g_k, k} = \sum_{s=g_k}^k (1 + p_s)^{-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} (1 + p_j)^{-1} \right)^{1-c},$$

then  $(E_2)$  is almost oscillatory.

**Proof.** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be an eventually positive solution of  $(E_2)$ ,  $x_n > 0$  and let  $x_{g_n} > 0$  for  $n \geq n_1 \geq n_0 \geq 0$ . As in the proof of Theorem 4, we see that case (a) is impossible. Next we consider:

(b) Suppose  $\Delta x_n < 0$  for  $n \geq N \geq n_2 + 1$ . Define the sequence  $\{r_n\}$  as in (18) and proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4(b) to obtain (19) which takes the form

$$-\Delta(r_s x_s) \geq r_{s+1} \sum_{k=s}^{n-1} q_k x_{g_k}^c \quad \text{for } n \geq s \geq N. \tag{21}$$

Choose  $N^* > N$  such that  $g_s \geq N$  for  $s \geq N^*$  and let  $m > N^*$  be fixed. We see that

$$-\Delta(r_s x_s) \geq r_{s+1} \sum_{k=s}^m q_k x_{g_k}^c \quad \text{for } m \geq s \geq N. \tag{22}$$

Dividing (22) by  $(r_s x_s)^c$  and summing from  $N$  to  $m$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=N}^m -\Delta(r_s x_s)/(r_s x_s)^c &\geq \sum_{s=N}^m (r_{s+1}/r_s^c) \sum_{k=s}^m q_k (x_{g_k}/x_s)^c \\ &= \sum_{s=N}^m r_s^{1-c}/(1 + p_s) \sum_{k=s}^m q_k (x_{g_k}/x_s)^c \\ &\geq \sum_{k=N^*}^m q_k \sum_{s=g_k}^k r_s^{1-c}/(1 + p_s) (x_{g_k}/x_s)^c, \quad N^* \geq N. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_{g_k} > x_s$  for  $g_k \leq s \leq k$ ,  $m \geq k \geq N^*$ , we have

$$\sum_{s=N}^m -\Delta(r_s x_s)/(r_s x_s)^c \geq \sum_{k=N^*}^m q_k \sum_{s=g_k}^k r_s^{1-c}/(1 + p_s).$$

It follows from the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [7], that

$$\sum_{s=N}^m -\Delta z_s/z_s^c \text{ is bounded below, } \quad m \geq N.$$

which contradicts condition (20). This completes the proof. □

As an application of Theorems 2 and 4, we consider the special cases of  $(E_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , namely, the constant coefficients equations:

$$\Delta^2 x_n + p x_{n-h} = q x_{n+g}, \tag{L_1}$$

and

$$\Delta^2 x_n = p x_{n+h} + q x_{n-g}, \tag{L_2}$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are positive real numbers and  $h$  and  $k$  are positive integers.

Now, we have the following two oscillation results for  $(L_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $g \geq 1$  and  $0 < p < 1$ . If*

$$p > \frac{h^h}{(1+h)^{1+h}} \tag{23}$$

and

$$(q/p) \left[ g + \frac{1-p}{p} \left( (1-p)^g - 1 \right) \right] > 1, \tag{24}$$

then  $(L_1)$  is almost oscillatory.

**COROLLARY 2.** *If*

$$p > \frac{(h-1)^{h-1}}{h^h} \tag{25}$$

and

$$(q/p)(1+p) \left[ g - \frac{1}{p} \left( 1 - (1+p)^{-g} \right) \right] > 1, \tag{26}$$

then  $(L_2)$  is almost oscillatory.

As an illustration, we see that the difference equations

$$\Delta^2 x_n + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x_{n-3} = q_1 x_{n+3}, \tag{27}$$

and

$$\Delta^2 x_n = \Delta x_{n+4} + q_2 x_{n-4} \tag{28}$$

are almost oscillatory if  $q_1 > 4/17$  and  $q_2 > 8/49$  by Corollaries 1 and 2 respectively.

**Remarks.**

1. If we let  $p_n = 0$  in the results presented in this paper, the remaining conditions in our results are not enough to describe the oscillatory character of the equation

$$\Delta^2 x_n = q_n |x_{g_n}|^c \operatorname{sgn} x_{g_n}, \quad c > 0, \tag{E*}$$

and hence our results are not applicable to  $(E^*)$ .

2. It would be interesting to study the oscillatory character of  $(E_1)$  and  $(E_2)$  instead of almost oscillation and to obtain results similar to these presented here for  $(E_1)$  with  $c < 1$  and for  $(E_2)$  with  $c > 1$ .

## OSCILLATORY BEHAVIOUR OF CERTAIN DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

### REFERENCES

- [1] GRACE, S. R.: *Oscillation theorems for damped functional differential equations*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **35** (1992), 261–278.
- [2] GRACE, S. R.: *Oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of damped functional differential equations*, Math. Nachr. **142** (1989), 279–305.
- [3] GRACE, S. R.—LALLI, B. S.: *Oscillation theorems for second order nonlinear functional differential equations with damping*, Comput. Math. Appl. **25** (1993), 107–113.
- [4] GRACE, S. R.—HAMEDANI, G. G.—LALLI, B. S.: *Oscillation of damped-forced functional differential equations*, Dynam. Systems Appl. **4** (1995), 391–404.
- [5] GRACE, S. R.—LALLI, B. S.: *Oscillation theorems for second order delay and neutral difference equations*, Utilitas Math. **45** (1994), 197–211.
- [6] GRACE, S. R.—LALLI, B. S.: *Oscillation theorems for forced neutral difference equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **187** (1994), 91–106.
- [7] HOOKER, J. W.—PATULA, W. T.: *A second order nonlinear difference equations: Oscillation and asymptotic behaviour*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **91** (1983), 9–29.
- [8] LADAS, G.: *Explicit conditions for the oscillation of difference equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **153** (1990), 276–287.
- [9] PATULA, W. T.: *Growth and oscillation properties of second order linear difference equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **10** (1979), 55–61.
- [10] PHILOS, CH. G.: *On oscillation of some difference equations*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **34** (1991), 157–172.

Received September 10, 1997

*Department of Engin. Mathematics  
Faculty of Engineering  
Cairo University  
Orman, Giza 12211  
A. R. of EGYPT*