

Mária Tóthová; Oleg Palumbíny

On monotone solutions of the fourth order ordinary differential equations

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 4, 737–746

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128553>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON MONOTONE SOLUTIONS OF THE FOURTH ORDER
ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

MÁRIA TÓTHOVÁ and OLEG PALUMBÍNÝ, Trnava

(Received April 11, 1994)

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the paper is to study the existence of monotone solutions of the linear differential equation of the fourth order with quasi-derivatives

$$(L) \quad L(y) \equiv L_4y + P(t)L_2y + Q(t)y = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1y(t) &= p_1(t)y'(t) = p_1(t) dy(t)/dt, \\ L_2y(t) &= p_2(t)(p_1(t)y'(t))' = p_2(t)(L_1y(t))', \\ L_3y(t) &= p_3(t)(p_2(t)(p_1(t)y'(t))')' = p_3(t)(L_2y(t))', \\ L_4y(t) &= (p_3(t)(p_2(t)(p_1(t)y'(t))')')' = (L_3y(t))', \end{aligned}$$

$P(t)$, $Q(t)$, $p_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are real-valued continuous functions on an interval $I = [a, \infty)$, $-\infty < a < \infty$. It is assumed throughout that

$$(A) \quad P(t) \leq 0, \quad Q(t) \leq 0, \quad p_i(t) > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ for all } t \in I \text{ and } Q(t) \text{ not identically zero in any subinterval of } I.$$

Similar problems for the third order ordinary differential equations with quasi-derivatives were studied in several papers ([2], [3], [5], [6]). The equation (L), where $p_i(t) \equiv 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, was studied for example in ([1], [9], [10]). The equation of the fourth order with quasi-derivatives was also studied, for instance, in ([7], [8]). Therefore some results achieved in the papers mentioned above are special cases of ours.

Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 give sufficient conditions for the existence of monotone solutions of (L) and their quasi-derivatives as well. Theorem 3 deals with the uniqueness of such solutions (with the exception of constant multiples).

A nontrivial solution of a differential equation of the n -th order is called oscillatory if its set of zeros is not bounded from above. Otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. A differential equation of the n -th order will be called nonoscillatory, when all its solutions are nonoscillatory; oscillatory, when at least one of its solutions (except the trivial one) is oscillatory. Let $C(I)$ denote the set of all real-valued functions which are continuous on I .

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We start by a generalization of Švec's result from [4].

Lemma 1. *Let $p(t) > 0$, $p(t)$, $q(t)$, $f(t)$ be functions of class $C([t_0, \infty))$, let the differential equation*

$$(1) \quad (p(t)w'(t))' + q(t)w(t) = 0$$

be nonoscillatory. If $f(t)$ does not change the sign in $[t_0, \infty)$, then also the differential equation

$$(2) \quad (p(t)z'(t))' + q(t)z(t) = f(t)$$

is nonoscillatory in $[t_0, \infty)$.

Proof. If $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are solutions of (1) and (2), respectively, then the function

$$W(z, y) = \begin{vmatrix} y(t) & z(t) \\ p(t)y'(t) & p(t)z'(t) \end{vmatrix}$$

fulfils the equation

$$W(z, y) = c + \int_{t_0}^t f(x)y(x) dx,$$

where c is a constant. Let equation (1) be nonoscillatory. Then its solution $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory function. Let $y(t) > 0$ eventually. Then the function $\int_{t_0}^t f(x)y(x) dx$ as well as the function $W(z, y)$ do not change the sign for all $t > t_1 \geq t_0$. This fact implies the existence of such t_1 that W is a nonoscillatory function on (t_1, ∞) . Now, the function

$$\left(\frac{z(t)}{y(t)} \right)' = \frac{1}{p(t)} \frac{W(z, y)}{y^2(t)}$$

as well as the function $W(z, y)$ have the same sign for all $t > t_1$. This fact implies that $z(t)/y(t)$ is either an increasing function or a decreasing one, i.e. there exists $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that either

- a) the function z/y is still negative on $[t_2, \infty)$ or
- b) the function z/y is still positive on $[t_2, \infty)$.

In both cases it is obvious that $z(t)$ is nonoscillatory, i.e. equation (2) is nonoscillatory. □

Lemma 2, [1]. Let $A(t, s)$ be a nonnegative and continuous function for $t_0 \leq s \leq t$ (nonpositive for $a \leq t \leq s \leq t_0$). If $g(t)$, $\varphi(t)$ ($\psi(t)$) are continuous functions in the interval $[t_0, \infty)$ ($[a, t_0)$) and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &\leq g(t) + \int_{t_0}^t A(t, s)\varphi(s) \, ds \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0, \infty) \\ (\psi(t) &\geq g(t) + \int_{t_0}^t A(t, s)\psi(s) \, ds \quad \text{for } t \in [a, t_0]), \end{aligned}$$

then every solution $y(t)$ of the integral equation

$$(3) \quad y(t) = g(t) + \int_{t_0}^t A(t, s)y(s) \, ds$$

satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &\geq \varphi(t) \quad \text{in } [t_0, \infty) \\ (y(t) &\leq \psi(t) \quad \text{in } [a, t_0]). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See [1]. □

Lemma 3. Let (A) and $\int_0^\infty (1/p_1(t)) \, dt = \infty$ hold. Then for every nonoscillatory solution $y(t)$ of (L) there exists a number $t_0 \geq a$ such that either

$$(y(t)L_1y(t) > 0, y(t)L_2y(t) > 0) \quad \text{or} \quad (y(t)L_1y(t) < 0, y(t)L_2y(t) > 0)$$

or

$$(y(t)L_1y(t) > 0, y(t)L_2y(t) < 0) \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0.$$

Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (L). Then there exists a number $t_1 \geq a$ such that $y(t) \neq 0$ in $[t_1, \infty)$. Without loss of generality we can assume that

$y(t) > 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$. The substitution $z(t) = L_2y(t)$ into (L) leads to the differential equation

$$(5) \quad (p_3(t)z'(t))' + P(t)z(t) = -Q(t)y(t).$$

Since $P(t) \leq 0$, the equation $(p_3z')' + Pz = 0$ is nonoscillatory on $[t_1, \infty)$. Then the fact that $Q(t)y(t)$ does not change the sign in $[t_1, \infty)$ implies that equation (5) is nonoscillatory by Lemma 1.

Hence, there exists a number $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that $z(t) \neq 0$, i.e. $L_2y(t) \neq 0$. This fact implies the existence of a number $t_0 \geq t_2$ such that $L_1y(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$. The following four cases may occur for $t \geq t_0$:

- a) $y(t)L_1y(t) > 0, y(t)L_2y(t) > 0,$
- b) $y(t)L_1y(t) < 0, y(t)L_2y(t) > 0,$
- c) $y(t)L_1y(t) > 0, y(t)L_2y(t) < 0,$
- d) $y(t)L_1y(t) < 0, y(t)L_2y(t) < 0.$

We prove that the case d) is impossible. Without loss of generality we can assume that $y(t) > 0, L_1y(t) < 0, L_2y(t) < 0$. It follows that $L_1y(t) = p_1(t)y'(t)$ is a negative and decreasing function and hence there exists a constant $k \neq 0$ such that $p_1(t)y'(t) \leq -k^2$ for $t \geq t_0$. This implies that $y(t) \leq y(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^t (k^2/p_1(\tau)) d\tau$. According to the assumptions of the lemma we have $y(t) \rightarrow -\infty, t \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts the fact that $y(t) > 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 4. Suppose that (A) holds and let $y(t)$ be a nontrivial solution of (L) satisfying the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} y(t_0) = y_0 \geq 0, L_1y(t_0) = y'_0 \geq 0, \\ L_2y(t_0) = y''_0 \geq 0, L_3y(t_0) = y'''_0 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

($t \in I$ arbitrary and $y_0 + y'_0 + y''_0 + y'''_0 \neq 0$). Then

$$y(t) > 0, L_1y(t) > 0, L_2y(t) > 0, L_3y(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t > t_0.$$

Proof. The initial-value problem $L_4y + P(t)L_2y + Q(t)y = 0, y(t_0) = y_0, L_1y(t_0) = y'_0, L_2y(t_0) = y''_0, L_3y(t_0) = y'''_0$ is equivalent to the following Volterra integral equation:

$$(6) \quad L_3y(t) = g(t) + \int_{t_0}^t A(t, \tau)L_3y(\tau) d\tau,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(t) &= y_0''' - y_0'' \int_{t_0}^t P(s) \, ds - y_0'' \int_{t_0}^t Q(s)G(t_0, s) \, ds - \int_{t_0}^t Q(s)(y_0'h(t_0, s) + y_0) \, ds, \\
 A(t, \tau) &= \int_{\tau}^t ((-P(s) - Q(s)G(\tau, s))/p_3(\tau)) \, ds, \\
 G(\tau, s) &= \int_{\tau}^s (h(\xi, s)/p_2(\xi)) \, d\xi, \\
 h(\xi, s) &= \int_{\xi}^s (1/p_1(t)) \, dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (L) that $L_4y = -P(t)L_2y - Q(t)y$. Integrating the last equation we get

$$(7) \quad L_3y(t) = y_0''' - y_0'' \int_{t_0}^t P(s) \, ds - \int_{t_0}^t P(s) \left[\int_{t_0}^s (L_3y(\tau)/p_3(\tau)) \, d\tau \right] \, ds - \int_{t_0}^t Q(s)y(s) \, ds.$$

If we express $y(s)$ by L_1y and L_2y we get

$$y(s) = \int_{t_0}^s \left[\left[\int_{t_0}^{\tau} (L_2y(\xi)/p_2(\xi)) \, d\xi \right] / p_1(\tau) \right] \, d\tau + y_0' \int_{t_0}^s (1/p_1(\tau)) \, d\tau + y_0.$$

Exchanging the limits of integration and denoting

$$h(t_0, s) = \int_{t_0}^s (1/p_1(\tau)) \, d\tau$$

we get

$$y(s) = \int_{t_0}^s (L_2y(\xi)h(\xi, s)/p_2(\xi)) \, d\xi + y_0'h(t_0, s) + y_0.$$

If we express L_2y by L_3y , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(s) &= \int_{t_0}^s \left[\int_{t_0}^{\xi} (L_3y(\tau)/p_3(\tau)) \, d\tau \right] h(\xi, s)/p_2(\xi) \, d\xi \\
 &\quad + y_0'' \int_{t_0}^s (h(\xi, s)/p_2(\xi)) \, d\xi + y_0'h(t_0, s) + y_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Exchanging the limits of integration and denoting

$$G(t_0, s) = \int_{t_0}^s (h(\xi, s)/p_2(\xi)) \, d\xi$$

we get

$$y(s) = \int_{t_0}^s (G(\tau, s)L_3y(\tau)/p_3(\tau)) d\tau + y_0''G(t_0, s) + y_0'h(t_0, s) + y_0.$$

We substitute this expression for $y(s)$ into (7) obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} L_3y(t) = & y_0''' - y_0'' \int_{t_0}^t P(s) ds - \int_{t_0}^t P(s) \left[\int_{t_0}^s (L_3y(\tau)/p_3(\tau)) d\tau \right] ds \\ & - \int_{t_0}^t Q(s) \left[\int_{t_0}^s (G(\tau, s)L_3y(\tau)/p_3(\tau)) d\tau + y_0''G(t_0, s) + y_0'h(t_0, s) + y_0 \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

After little arrangements we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_3y(t) = & y_0''' - y_0'' \int_{t_0}^t P(s) ds - y_0'' \int_{t_0}^t Q(s)G(t_0, s) ds - \int_{t_0}^t Q(s)(y_0'h(t_0, s) + y_0) ds \\ & + \int_{t_0}^t \left[- \int_{t_0}^s ((P(s) + Q(s)G(\tau, s))L_3y(\tau)/p_3(\tau)) d\tau \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Exchanging the limits of integration and rearranging the equation we obtain the Volterra integral equation (6). The hypotheses of the lemma imply that $A(t, \tau) \geq 0$ and $g(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (t_0, \infty)$. According to Lemma 2 we get $L_3y(t) \geq \varphi(t) = g(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (t_0, \infty)$. Integrating this inequality over $[t_0, \infty)$ we obtain (owing to the initial conditions) the assertion of Lemma 4. \square

Lemma 5. *Suppose that (A) holds and let $y(t)$ be a nontrivial solution of (L) satisfying the initial conditions*

$$y(t_0) = y_0 \geq 0, \quad L_1y(t_0) = y_0' \leq 0, \quad L_2y(t_0) = y_0'' \geq 0, \quad L_3y(t_0) = y_0''' \leq 0,$$

($t_0 \in I$ arbitrary, $y_0^2 + y_0'^2 + y_0''^2 + y_0'''^2 > 0$). Then

$$y(t) > 0, \quad L_1y(t) < 0, \quad L_2y(t) > 0, \quad L_3y(t) < 0 \text{ for all } t \in [a, t_0).$$

Proof. The initial-value problem is equivalent to the Volterra integral equation (6), where

$$g(t) = y_0''' + y_0'' \int_{t_0}^t P(s) ds + y_0' \int_t^{t_0} Q(s)[G(s, t_0) - y_0'h(s, t_0) + y_0] ds,$$

$$G(b, a) = \int_b^a (h(b, \xi)/p_2(\xi)) d\xi,$$

$$A(t, \tau) = \int_t^\tau [(P(s) + G(s, \tau) Q(s))/p_3(\tau)] ds,$$

$$h(s, \xi) = \int_s^\xi (1/p_1(\tau)) d\tau.$$

The hypotheses of the lemma imply that $g(t) < 0$, $A(t, \tau) \leq 0$ for $a \leq t \leq \tau \leq t_0$. Then by Lemma 2 we have $L_3y(t) < 0$ for all $t \in [a, t_0]$. Hence the assertion of Lemma 5 follows from the initial conditions. \square

3. THE EXISTENCE OF MONOTONE SOLUTIONS

Let z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3 be solutions of (L) on $[a, \infty)$ which fulfil the initial conditions

$$z_i(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0, \\ 0, & i = 1, 2, 3, \end{cases} \quad L_1z_i(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 1, \\ 0, & i = 0, 2, 3, \end{cases}$$

$$L_2z_i(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 2, \\ 0, & i = 0, 1, 3, \end{cases} \quad L_3z_i(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 3, \\ 0, & i = 0, 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

We want to show the existence of solutions $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ such that $y(t) > 0$, $L_1y(t) > 0$, $L_2y(t) > 0$, $L_3y(t) > 0$ for $t \in I$ and $z(t) > 0$, $L_1z(t) < 0$, $L_2z(t) > 0$, $L_3z(t) < 0$ for $t \in I$.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that (A) holds. Then there exists a solution $y(t)$ of (L) such that*

$$y(t) > 0, \quad L_1y(t) > 0, \quad L_2y(t) > 0, \quad L_3y(t) > 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in I_0 = (a, \infty).$$

Proof. The assertion of the theorem follows from Lemma 4 for $t_0 = a$. \square

Theorem 2. *Suppose that (A) holds. Then there exists a solution $y(t)$ of (L) such that*

$$y(t) > 0, \quad L_1y(t) < 0, \quad L_2y(t) > 0, \quad L_3y(t) < 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in I = [a, \infty).$$

Proof. Let $(c_{0n}, c_{1n}, c_{2n}, c_{3n})$ be a solution of the system (S_n) which consists of the relationships (8), (9), (10), (11) and (12):

$$(8) \quad c_{0n}z_0^{(0)}(n) + c_{1n}z_1^{(0)}(n) + c_{2n}z_2^{(0)}(n) + c_{3n}z_3^{(0)}(n) = 0,$$

$$(9) \quad c_{0n}z_0^{(1)}(n) + c_{1n}z_1^{(1)}(n) + c_{2n}z_2^{(1)}(n) + c_{3n}z_3^{(1)}(n) = 0,$$

$$(10) \quad c_{0n}z_0^{(2)}(n) + c_{1n}z_1^{(2)}(n) + c_{2n}z_2^{(2)}(n) + c_{3n}z_3^{(2)}(n) = 0,$$

$$(11) \quad c_{0n}z_0^{(3)}(n) + c_{1n}z_1^{(3)}(n) + c_{2n}z_2^{(3)}(n) + c_{3n}z_3^{(3)}(n) < 0,$$

$$(12) \quad c_{0n}^2 + c_{1n}^2 + c_{2n}^2 + c_{3n}^2 = 1,$$

where n is an arbitrary integer, $n > \max\{0, a\}$, $z_i^{(j)}(n) = L_j z_i(n)$, $z_i(t)$ form the fundamental system of solutions of (L) such that $z_i^{(j)}(a) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, $z_i^{(j)}(a) = 1$ for $i = j$, $i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3$. We will show that (S_n) admits a solution $(c_{0n}, c_{1n}, c_{2n}, c_{3n})$ for all $n > \max\{0, a\}$. Let $W(z_0(t), z_1(t), z_2(t), z_3(t))$ denote Wronski's determinant of z_i at the point t . Then at least one of all the four subdeterminants of the system of equations (8), (9), (10) is not equal to zero. Let it be, for instance, the determinant

$$W_3 = \begin{vmatrix} z_0^{(0)}(n), & z_1^{(0)}(n), & z_2^{(0)}(n) \\ z_0^{(1)}(n), & z_1^{(1)}(n), & z_2^{(1)}(n) \\ z_0^{(2)}(n), & z_1^{(2)}(n), & z_2^{(2)}(n) \end{vmatrix}.$$

According to the Frobenius theorem, the system of equations (8), (9), (10) with the unknowns c_{0n}, c_{1n}, c_{2n} and the right hand side $(-c_{3n}z_3^{(0)}(n), -c_{3n}z_3^{(1)}(n), -c_{3n}z_3^{(2)}(n))$ admits the only solution $(c_{0n}, c_{1n}, c_{2n}) = (A_n c_{3n}, B_n c_{3n}, C_n c_{3n})$. Then (12) has the form $c_{0n}^2 + c_{1n}^2 + c_{2n}^2 + c_{3n}^2 = (A_n^2 + B_n^2 + C_n^2 + 1)c_{3n}^2 = 1$. Therefore $|c_{3n}| = 1/(A_n^2 + B_n^2 + C_n^2 + 1)^{1/2} \neq 0$. The left hand side of (11) has the form $(A_n z_0^{(3)}(n) + B_n z_1^{(3)}(n) + C_n z_2^{(3)}(n) + z_3^{(3)}(n))c_{3n}$. The expression in the last parentheses is not equal to zero. If it were equal to zero, then the system consisting of (8), (9), (10) and (11'), where

$$(11') \quad c_{0n}z_0^{(3)}(n) + c_{1n}z_1^{(3)}(n) + c_{2n}z_2^{(3)}(n) + c_{3n}z_3^{(3)}(n) = 0,$$

would admit a nontrivial solution, which is impossible because $W(z_0(n), z_1(n), z_2(n), z_3(n)) \neq 0$. Now it suffices to choose the sign of c_{3n} for (11) to be valid. Therefore (S_n) admits a solution for all $n > \max\{0, a\}$. Let us put $y_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^3 c_{in} z_i(t)$. Because of $(c_{0n}, c_{1n}, c_{2n}, c_{3n}) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0)$, $y_n(t)$ is not identically zero. According to Lemma 5, we have $(-1)^k L_k y_n(t) > 0$ on $[a, n)$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$. It is obvious that c_{in} , $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are bounded. For this reason, there exist subsequences $c_{i r_n}$ of c_{in} which are convergent. Let $c_{i r_n} \rightarrow c_i$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Let us put $y(t) = \sum_{i=0}^3 c_i z_i(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n(t)$ for all $t \in [a, \infty)$. Let $n_0 > \max\{0, a\}$. Then $(-1)^k L_k y_n(t) > 0$ on $[a, n_0)$ for $n \geq n_0$ and so $(-1)^k L_k y(t) \geq 0$ on $[a, n_0)$ for all $n_0 > \max\{0, a\}$. Therefore $(-1)^k L_k y(t) \geq 0$ on $[a, \infty)$. Since $y(t)$ is a nontrivial solution of (L) on $[a, \infty)$ (because $\sum_{i=0}^3 c_i^2 > 0$), $Q(t) \leq 0$ and $Q(t)$ is not identically zero in any subinterval of I , we have $L_4 y(t) \geq 0$ with $L_4 y(t) = 0$ at most at isolated points of $[a, \infty)$. This implies that $L_3 y(t)$ is increasing on I , so $L_3 y(t) < 0$ on $[a, \infty)$. Similarly, it can be proved that $L_2 y(t) > 0$, $L_1 y(t) < 0$, $L_0 y(t) = y(t) > 0$ on $[a, \infty)$. \square

The next theorem deals with the uniqueness of such a solution.

Theorem 3. Suppose that (A) holds, $\int^{\infty} (1/p_1(t)) dt = \int^{\infty} (1/p_2(t)) dt = \infty$, and (L) is nonoscillatory. Then there exists at most one solution (with the exception of constant multiples) of (L) such that

$$(13) \quad (\text{sign } y \neq \text{sign } L_1 y \neq \text{sign } L_2 y \neq \text{sign } L_3 y \text{ on } I = [a, \infty), \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0).$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists another solution $z(t)$ linearly independent of $y(t)$, which fulfils (13). Let $\tau \in [a, \infty)$. Then there exists $c \in (-\infty, \infty)$ such that $z(\tau) + cy(\tau) = 0$. The number τ has been taken such that $y(\tau) \neq 0$. We prove that such τ exists. Suppose on the contrary that the required τ does not exist. This implies that $y(t) \equiv 0$ for all $t > t^*$ and that is why $y'(t) \equiv 0 \equiv L_1 y(t)$, which contradicts (13). Let $Y(t) = z(t) + cy(t)$. It is obvious that $Y(\tau) = 0$, $\lim Y(t) = \lim z(t) + c \lim y(t) = 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. According to Lemma 3 there exists $t_0 \geq a$ such that either

$$(i) \quad [(YL_1 Y > 0, YL_2 Y > 0) \text{ or } (YL_1 Y > 0, YL_2 Y < 0)]$$

or

$$(ii) \quad [YL_1 Y < 0, YL_2 Y > 0]$$

for all $t \geq t_0$. Let t_0 be taken such that $t_0 > \tau$. Without loss of generality we can assume $Y > 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Suppose that (ii) holds, i.e.

$$Y > 0, L_1 Y < 0, L_2 Y > 0.$$

Since Y is a solution of (L) we have

$$L_4 Y = -PL_2 Y - QY \geq 0.$$

This fact implies that the function $L_3 Y$ is increasing ($dL_3 Y/dt = L_4 Y$) because $L_4 Y = 0$ at isolated points of the interval $[a, \infty)$ only. Two cases may occur now. Either

$$(a) \quad \text{there exists } t_1 \geq t_0 \text{ such that } L_3 Y(t_1) = 0$$

or

$$(b) \quad L_3 Y(t) < 0 \text{ for all } t \in [t_0, \infty).$$

If (a) is fulfilled then $L_3 Y > 0$ for all $t > t_1$. Take $t_2 > t_1$. This implies that $L_3 Y(t_2) = b > 0$ and $L_3 Y(t) \geq b$ for all $t \geq t_2$, i.e. $dL_2 Y(t)/dt \geq b/p_3(t)$. Let $t > t_2$. Integrating the last inequality over $[t_2, t]$ we obtain

$$L_2 Y(t) - L_2 Y(t_2) \geq \int_{t_2}^t (b/p_3(s)) ds > 0,$$

i.e. $L_2Y(t) > L_2Y(t_2) > 0$ because of $L_2Y(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$ and $t_2 > t_0$. Hence $dL_1Y(t)/dt > L_2Y(t_2)/p_2(t)$. Integration over $[t_2, t]$ yields

$$L_1Y(t) \geq L_1Y(t_2) + L_2Y(t_2) \int_{t_2}^t (1/p_2(s)) ds.$$

It is obvious that t_3 can be taken such that $t_3 > t_2$ and the right hand side of the last inequality is positive for all $t \geq t_3$. This fact follows from the assumption

$$\int^{\infty} (1/p_2(t)) dt = \infty.$$

This implies that $L_1Y(t) = p_1(t)Y'(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq t_3$, which is a contradiction. Therefore the case (a) is impossible, i.e. the case (b) occurs, i.e. $Y > 0$, $L_1Y < 0$, $L_2Y > 0$, $L_3Y < 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$. According to Lemma 5 we have $Y(t) > 0$ for all $t \in [a, t_0)$. But $\tau \in [a, t_0)$. This implies that $Y(\tau) > 0$, which contradicts our assumptions. This contradiction implies impossibility of (ii). For this reason the condition (i) holds. It implies that $Y(t) > 0$, $L_1Y(t) > 0$, i.e. $Y'(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$ and so $\lim Y(t) \neq 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. This contradiction proves our theorem. \square

References

- [1] *J. Regenda*: Oscillatory and nonoscillatory properties of solutions of the differential equation $y^{(4)} + P(t)y'' + Q(t)y = 0$. *Mathematica Slovaca* 28 (1978).
- [2] *M. Cecchi, M. Marini, J. Rovder*: On existence and uniqueness of the solutions of the third order differential equation. To appear.
- [3] *J. Rovder*: Comparison theorems for third-order linear differential equations. *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica* 19 (1991).
- [4] *M. Švec*: On various properties of the solutions of third and fourth order linear differential equations. *Proceedings of the conference held in Prague in September 1962*.
- [5] *Ch. G. Philos*: Oscillation and asymptotic behaviour of third order linear differential equations. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* 11(2) (1983).
- [6] *A. Škerlík*: Criteria of property **A** for third order superlinear differential equations. *Math. Slovaca* 43(2) (1993).
- [7] *W. Leighton and Z. Nehari*: On the oscillation of solutions of self-adjoint linear differential equations of the fourth order. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 89 (1958).
- [8] *J. H. Barrett*: Two-point boundary problems for linear self-adjoint differential equations of the fourth-order with middle term. *Duke. Math. J.* 29 (1962).
- [9] *A. Shair*: On the oscillation of solutions of a class of linear fourth order differential equations. *Pac. J. Math.* 34 (1970).
- [10] *M. Švec*: Über einige neue Eigenschaften der oszillatorischen Lösungen der linearen homogenen Differentialgleichung vierter Ordnung. *Czechoslov. Math. J.* 4(79) (1954).

Authors' address: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Material Engineering, Slovak Technical University, Paulínska 16, 917 24 Trnava, Slovakia.