

Ján Jakubík

Affine completeness of complete lattice ordered groups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 3, 571–576

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128545>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

AFFINE COMPLETENESS OF COMPLETE
LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received February 4, 1994)

Affine completeness of universal algebras and, in particular, of lattices, was investigated by several authors (cf. [2]–[9]).

A variety is called affine complete if each of its algebras is affine complete. An important example of an affine complete variety is the variety of Boolean algebras [3]; this result was extended in [5] and [6].

In [4] it was proved that a bounded distributive lattice is affine complete if and only if it does not contain an interval which is a Boolean lattice with more than one element. A generalization of this result was established in [7].

In the present paper we show that if G is an abelian lattice ordered group which can be expressed as a direct product $G = A \times B$ with $A \neq \{0\} \neq B$, then G is not affine complete.

By means of this result we prove the following theorem:

(A). *Let G be a complete lattice ordered group. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *G is affine complete.*
- (ii) *$G = \{0\}$.*

The question whether the conditions (i) and (ii) are equivalent for each lattice ordered group remains open.

We shall apply the following notation. For a universal algebra A we denote by $\text{Con } A$ the set of all congruences of A . Let $P(A)$ be the set of all polynomials that can be constructed by using the symbols of basic operations of A , constants a, b, c, \dots which are elements of A and a finite number of variables x, y, \dots

Let n be a positive integer and let $f: A^n \rightarrow A$ be a mapping. f is called compatible with $\text{Con } A$ if, whenever $\Theta \in \text{Con } A$, $a_i, b_i \in A$, $a_i \Theta b_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \Theta f(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$.

The algebra A is said to be affine complete if each mapping $f: A^n \rightarrow A$ which is compatible with $\text{Con } A$ belongs to $P(A)$.

1. AUXILIARY RESULTS

For lattice ordered groups we apply the standard terminology and notation (cf. e.g., Conrad [1]). The group operation in a lattice ordered group will be written additively.

Let G be a lattice ordered group. The underlying lattice will be denoted by \bar{G} . Then

- (a) \bar{G} is a distributive lattice;
- (b) if $G \neq \{0\}$, then \bar{G} has neither the greatest element nor the least element;
- (c) for each $x, y, z \in G$ the relations

$$\begin{aligned} x + (y \wedge z) &= (x + y) \wedge (x + z), & (y \wedge z) + x &= (y + x) \wedge (z + x), \\ x + (y \vee z) &= (x + y) \vee (x + z), & (y \vee z) + x &= (y + x) \vee (z + x) \end{aligned}$$

are valid.

From (a) and (b) we obtain by the obvious induction steps:

1.1. Lemma. *Let $p(x) \in P(G)$. Then there are nonempty finite sets I and $J(i)$ ($i \in I$) such that $p(x)$ can be expressed in the form*

$$p(x) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in J(i)} (a_{ij}^1 + a_{ij}^2 + \dots + a_{ij}^{n(i,j)}),$$

where for each $i \in I$, $j \in J(i)$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n(i, j)\}$ we have either $a_{ij}^k \in G$ or $a_{ij}^k = x$.

1.2. Corollary. *Let $p(x) \in P(G)$ and assume that G is abelian. Then $p(x)$ can be expressed in the form*

$$p(x) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in J(i)} (a_{ij} + n_{ij}x),$$

where all n_{ij} are integers and $a_{ij} \in G$.

1.3. Lemma. *Let $p(x)$ and G be as in 1.2. Suppose that $p(x)$ fails to be a constant (i.e., there are $x_1, x_2 \in G$ such that $p(x_1) \neq p(x_2)$). Then there are $x_1 \in G^+$, $i(0) \in I$ and $j(0) \in J_{i(0)}$ such that*

$$p(x_1) = a_{i(0)j(0)} + n_{i(0)j(0)}x_1.$$

PROOF. We have $G \neq \{0\}$. Hence according to (b) there is $x_1 \in G$ such that $x_1 > 0$ and

$$x_1 > \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J(i)} |a_{ij}|.$$

For $i \in I$ we denote

$$c_i(x) = \bigvee_{j \in J(i)} (a_{ij} + n_{ij}x).$$

Let $j(1), j(2) \in J(i)$, $j(1) \neq j(2)$. If $n_{ij(1)} = n_{ij(2)}$, then

$$(a_{ij(1)} + n_{ij(1)}x) \vee (a_{ij(2)} + n_{ij(2)}x) = (a_{ij(1)} \vee a_{ij(2)}) + n_{ij(1)}x.$$

Hence without loss of generality we can suppose that $n_{ij(1)} \neq n_{ij(2)}$ whenever $j(1), j(2) \in J(i)$, $j(1) \neq j(2)$.

Let $j(1)$ and $j(2)$ be distinct elements of $J(i)$. Suppose that $n_{ij(1)} < n_{ij(2)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{ij(2)} + n_{ij(2)}x_1) - (a_{ij(1)} + n_{ij(1)}x_1) &= \\ = (n_{ij(2)} - n_{ij(1)})x_1 + (a_{ij(2)} - a_{ij(1)}) &\geq x_1 + (a_{ij(2)} - a_{ij(1)}). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} -|a_{ij(2)} - a_{ij(1)}| &\leq a_{ij(2)} - a_{ij(1)} \leq |a_{ij(2)} - a_{ij(1)}|, \\ |a_{ij(2)} - a_{ij(1)}| &\leq |a_{ij(2)}| + |a_{ij(1)}| < x_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$x_1 + (a_{ij(2)} - a_{ij(1)}) > 0.$$

Hence

$$(a_{ij(2)} + n_{ij(2)}x_1) \vee (a_{ij(1)} + n_{ij(1)}x_1) = a_{ij(2)} + n_{ij(2)}x_1.$$

This yields that there is $j(i) \in J(i)$ such that

$$c_i(x_1) = a_{ij(i)} + n_{ij(i)}x_1.$$

Therefore

$$p(x_1) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (a_{ij(i)} + n_{ij(i)}x_1).$$

Now, by an analogous method as we did above we obtain that there is $i(0) \in I$ such that

$$p(x_1) = a_{i(0),j(i(0))} + n_{i(0),j(i(0))}x_1.$$

□

1.3.1. Remark. From the consideration applied in the proof of 1.3 we infer that if x_1 is as in 1.3 and $x'_1 \in G$, $x'_1 > x_1$, then

$$p(x'_1) = a_{i(0)j(0)} + n_{i(0)j(0)}x'_1$$

(i.e., the indices remain the same as in 1.3).

If $G = A \times B$ and $g \in G$, then the component of g in A will be denoted by $g(A)$. Thus $g(A) = g$ for each $g \in A$, and $g(A) = 0$ for each $g \in B$.

1.4. Lemma. Let $G = A \times B$, $f(x) = x(A)$ for each $x \in G$. Then f is compatible with $\text{Con } G$.

Proof. Let $\Theta \in \text{Con } G$. There exists an ℓ -ideal H of G such that for any $g_1, g_2 \in G$,

$$g_1 \Theta g_2 \Leftrightarrow g_1 - g_2 \in H.$$

Let $u, v \in G$, $u \Theta v$. Hence $u - v \in H$ and thus $|u - v| \in H$. We have

$$f(|u - v|) = |f(u) - f(v)|,$$

$$f(|u - v|) \leq |u - v|,$$

and so $f(|u - v|) \in H$, yielding $f(u) \Theta f(v)$. □

1.5. Lemma. Let G and f be as in 1.4. Suppose that G is abelian and that $A \neq \{0\} \neq B$. Then $f \notin P(G)$.

Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose that $f \in P(G)$. It is obvious that $f(x)$ satisfies the assumption from 1.3 (we put $p = f$). Since $A \neq \{0\} \neq B$ there exist $0 < a \in A$ and $0 < b \in B$. In view of 1.3.1, the element x_1 in 1.3 can be replaced by $x'_2 = x_1 \vee a \vee b$. Thus for $x_1 = x_1 \vee a \vee b$ we have

$$f(x'_1) = a + nx'_1,$$

where $a = a_{i(0)j(0)}$ and $n = n_{i(0)j(0)}$.

Put $x'_1(A) = x^A$ and $x'_1(B) = x^B$. Hence

$$f(x'_1) = f(x^A + x^B) = f(x^A) + f(x^B) = x^A,$$

$$f(x'_1) = a + n(x^A + x^B) = a + nx^A + nx^B.$$

At the same time, taking $2x'_1$ instead of x'_1 we get (cf. 2.3.1)

$$f(2x'_1) = 2x^A, \quad f(2x'_1) = a + 2nx^A + 2nx^B.$$

Hence

$$x^A = nx^A + nx^B,$$

yielding that

$$(1 - n)x^A = nx^B.$$

Since $(1 - n)x^A \in A$, $nx^B \in B$ and $A \cap B = \{0\}$ we obtain that $(1 - n)x^A = nx^B = 0$.
 Since

$$x^A \geq a > 0, \quad x^B \geq b > 0,$$

we have arrived at a contradiction. □

2. PROOF OF (A)

2.1. Proposition. *Let G be an abelian lattice ordered group, $G = A \times B$, $A \neq \{0\} \neq B$. Then G is not affine complete.*

Proof. This is a consequence of 1.4 and 1.5. □

For a subset X of a lattice ordered group G we put

$$X^\delta = \{y \in G : |y| \wedge |x| = 0 \text{ for each } x \in X\}.$$

If $G = \{g\}^{\delta\delta} \times \{g\}^\delta$ for each $g \in G$, then G is said to be projectable.

2.2. Proposition. *Let G be a projectable lattice ordered group. Assume that G is abelian and that it is not linearly ordered. Then G is not affine complete.*

Proof. There exist incomparable elements a, b in G . Put

$$a_1 = a - (a \wedge b), \quad b_1 = b - (a \wedge b).$$

Then $0 < a_1$, $0 < b_1$ and $a_1 \wedge b_1 = 0$. Denote $A = \{a_1\}^{\delta\delta}$, $B = \{b_1\}^\delta$. We have $a_1 \in A$, $b_1 \in B$. Since G is projectable, $G = A \times B$. Now it suffices to apply 2.1. □

It is well-known that each complete lattice ordered group is abelian and projectable. Hence we have

2.3. Corollary. *Let G be a complete lattice ordered group which is not linearly ordered. Then G is not affine complete.*

We denote by \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{Z} the additive group of all reals or of all integers, respectively. Both \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{Z} are linearly ordered in the usual way.

We define a mapping $f_1 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as follows: for $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we put $f_1(z) = 1$ if z is even and $f_1(z) = 2$ if z is odd. Next, we define $f_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_2(t) = f_1(t)$ if $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f_2(t) = 0$ otherwise. Since both the lattice ordered groups \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{R} are simple (i.e., they have no non-trivial ℓ -ideal) we obtain

2.4. Lemma. f_1 is compatible with $\text{Con } \mathbb{Z}$ and f_2 is compatible with $\text{Con } \mathbb{R}$.

2.5. Lemma. Let f_1 and f_2 be as above. Then $f_1 \notin P(\mathbb{Z})$ and $f_2 \notin P(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose that $f_1 \in P(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus according to 1.3 and 1.3.1 there are $x_1, a, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}f_1(x_1) &= a + nx_1, \\f_1(x_1 + 2) &= a + n(x_1 + 2).\end{aligned}$$

In view of the definition of f_1 we have $f_1(x_1) = f_1(x_1 + 2)$, whence $n = 0$ and thus $f_1(x_1) = a$. By applying 1.3.1 again we obtain

$$f_1(x_1 + 1) = a$$

and hence $f_1(x_1) = f_1(x_1 + 1)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $f_1 \notin P(\mathbb{Z})$. This implies that $f_2 \notin P(\mathbb{R})$. \square

Now, 2.4 and 2.5 yield

2.6. Corollary. Neither \mathbb{Z} nor \mathbb{R} is affine complete.

Proof of (A). Let G be a complete lattice ordered group. Let (i) and (ii) be as in (A). Clearly (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that (i) is valid. In view of 2.3, G must be linearly ordered. Hence G is isomorphic to some of the lattice ordered groups $\{0\}$, \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{R} . Therefore according to 2.6 we obtain that (ii) holds. \square

References

- [1] *P. Conrad*: Lattice ordered groups. Tulane University, 1970.
- [2] *D. Dorninger, G. Eigenhaler*: On compatible and order-preserving functions. Algebra and Applications, Banach Center Publications, Vol. 9. Warsaw, 1982, pp. 97–104.
- [3] *G. Grätzer*: On Boolean functions (Notes on lattice theory II). Revue de Math. Pures at Appliquées 7 (1962), 693–697.
- [4] *G. Grätzer*: Boolean functions on distributive lattices. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung 15 (1964), 195–201.
- [5] *T. K. Hu*: Characterization of algebraic functions in equational classes generated by independent primal algebras. Algebra Universalis 1 (1971), 187–191.
- [6] *A. F. Pixley*: Functional affine completeness and arithmetical varieties. Lecture Notes, Montreal, 1991.
- [7] *M. Ploščica*: Affine complete distributive lattices. Submitted.
- [8] *D. Schweigert*: Über endliche, ordnungspolynomvollständige Verbände. Monatsh. Math. 78 (1974), 68–76.
- [9] *H. Werner*: Produkte von Kongruenzklassengeometrien universeller Algebren. Math. Z. 121 (1971), 111–140.

Author's address: Matematický ústav SAV, Grešákova 6, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia.