

Ján Jakubík

On idempotent modifications of  $MV$ -algebras

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 57 (2007), No. 1, 243–252

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128169>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON IDEMPOTENT MODIFICATIONS OF *MV*-ALGEBRAS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received January 4, 2005)

*Abstract.* The notion of idempotent modification of an algebra was introduced by Ježek. He proved that the idempotent modification of a group is subdirectly irreducible. For an *MV*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  we denote by  $\mathcal{A}'$ ,  $A$  and  $\ell(\mathcal{A})$  the idempotent modification, the underlying set or the underlying lattice of  $\mathcal{A}$ , respectively. In the present paper we prove that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is semisimple and  $\ell(\mathcal{A})$  is a chain, then  $\mathcal{A}'$  is subdirectly irreducible. We deal also with a question of Ježek concerning varieties of algebras.

*Keywords:* *MV*-algebra, idempotent modification, subdirect reducibility

*MSC 2000:* 06D35

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of idempotent modification  $\mathcal{A}'$  of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  was introduced by Ježek [8]. It is defined as follows. Suppose that  $A$  and  $F$  are the underlying set of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the set of fundamental operations of  $\mathcal{A}$ , respectively. The underlying set of  $\mathcal{A}'$  is equal to  $A$ ; the system  $F'$  of fundamental operations of  $\mathcal{A}'$  consists of operations  $f'$ , where  $f \in F$  and

- 1) if  $f$  is a nullary operation, then  $f' = f$ ;
- 2) if  $f$  is an  $n$ -ary operation,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and if  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ , then

$$f'(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \begin{cases} a_1 & \text{if } a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n, \\ f(a_1, \dots, a_n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

---

This work was supported by Science and Technology Assistance Agency under the contract No. APVT-51-032002.

This work has been partially supported by the Slovak Academy of Sciences via the project Center of Excellence-Physics and Information.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of algebras. Consider the following condition for  $\mathcal{C}$ .

(c<sub>1</sub>) If  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}'$  is subdirectly irreducible.

The main result of [9] is the following theorem:

( $\alpha$ ) (Cf. [9], Theorem 1.) The class of all groups satisfies condition (c<sub>1</sub>).

In the mentioned paper, Ježek remarks that it would be interesting to find another variety with the property of Theorem 1.

When we consider the idempotent modification of an *MV*-algebra, then the following fact must be taken into account. For defining the notion of an *MV*-algebra, different systems of axioms have been applied in literature (cf., e.g., Chang [2], Cignoli, D'Ottaviano and Mundici [3], Dvurečenskij and Pulmannová [4], Glushankof [6], Cattaneo and Lombardo [1]). An operation which is considered as fundamental in one of these systems can be taken as a derived operation in another system. In all cases, by means of the fundamental operations we can define binary operations  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  on the corresponding underlying set  $A$  of the *MV*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $(A; \vee, \wedge)$  turns out to be a lattice.

By defining the idempotent modification, the question which operations are considered to be fundamental is essential.

In the approach of the present paper, we will apply the axioms from [2] with the distinction that we add the operations  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  to the system of fundamental operations. For the detailed formulation, cf. Section 2 below.

We prove the following result

( $\beta$ ) Let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  be the class of all *MV*-algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is semisimple and the underlying lattice  $(A; \vee, \wedge)$  is a chain. Then  $\mathcal{C}_1$  satisfies condition (c<sub>1</sub>).

We remark that  $\mathcal{C}_1$  fails to be a variety. There exists an infinite set of mutually nonisomorphic *MV*-algebras belonging to  $\mathcal{C}_1$ .

In the last section of the paper we deal with the suggestion proposed by Ježek. We construct a variety  $\mathcal{V}$  such that for each algebra  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ , the idempotent modification  $\mathcal{A}'$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is subdirectly irreducible. Applying  $\mathcal{V}$ , an infinite system of varieties having the analogous property can be defined.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The notion of an *MV*-algebra was introduced by Chang [2] as an algebraic description of many valued logics. It was investigated by several authors using different systems of axioms.

We recall the system of axioms from [2]. Suppose that  $A$  is a nonempty set,  $\oplus$  and  $\odot$  are binary operations,  $\neg$  is a unary operation, and  $0, 1$  are nullary operations

(i.e., constants) on  $A$ . By means of these operations we define binary operations  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  on  $A$  putting

- (1)  $x \vee y = (x \odot \neg y) \oplus y$ ,
- (2)  $x \wedge y = (x \oplus \neg y) \odot y$ .

**2.1. Definition.** The algebraic structure  $\mathcal{A} = (A; \oplus, \odot, \neg, 0, 1)$  is an *MV*-algebra if  $\vee, \wedge$  are binary operations on  $A$  defined by (1) and (2) and if the following axioms are satisfied:

- Ax. 1.  $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$ ,
- Ax. 1'.  $x \odot y = y \odot x$ ,
- Ax. 2.  $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)$ ,
- Ax. 2'.  $(x \odot y) \odot z = x \odot (y \odot z)$ ,
- Ax. 3.  $x \oplus \neg x = 1$ ,
- Ax. 3'.  $x \odot \neg x = 0$ ,
- Ax. 4.  $x \oplus 1 = 1$ ,
- Ax. 4'.  $x \odot 0 = 0$ ,
- Ax. 5.  $x \oplus 0 = x$ ,
- Ax. 5'.  $x \odot 1 = x$ ,
- Ax. 6.  $\neg(x \oplus y) = \neg x \odot \neg y$ ,
- Ax. 6'.  $\neg(x \odot y) = \neg x \oplus \neg y$ ,
- Ax. 7.  $x = \neg(\neg x)$ ,
- Ax. 8.  $\neg 0 = 1$ ,
- Ax. 9.  $x \vee y = y \vee x$ ,
- Ax. 9'.  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ ,
- Ax. 10.  $x \vee (z \vee z) = (x \vee y) \vee z$ ,
- Ax. 10'.  $x \wedge (y \wedge z) = (x \wedge y) \wedge z$ ,
- Ax. 11.  $x \oplus (y \wedge z) = (x \oplus y) \wedge (x \oplus z)$ ,
- Ax. 11'.  $x \odot (y \vee z) = (x \odot y) \vee (x \odot z)$ .

As we have already mentioned in Section 1 above, we modify the method from [2] in such a way that we consider the operations  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  as belonging to the fundamental operations of  $\mathcal{A}$ . In other words, we deal with the algebra  $(A; \oplus, \odot, \neg, 0, 1, \vee, \wedge)$  of type  $(2, 2, 1, 0, 0, 2, 2)$  and we take as axioms the system from 2.1 augmented by the relations (1) and (2) considered as axioms. Below, the term ‘*MV*-algebra’ has always the just mentioned meaning.

It is clear that homomorphic images, subalgebras and direct products remain the same in both formulations.

In 2.2–2.4 we recall some well-known facts on *MV*-algebras (cf. e.g., [3], [4]).

**2.2.** The algebraic structure  $\ell(\mathcal{A}) = (A; \vee, \wedge)$  is a distributive lattice with the least element 0 and the greatest element 1.

**2.3.** Let  $G$  be an abelian lattice ordered group with a strong unit  $u$ . Let  $A$  be the interval  $[0, u]$  of  $G$ . For each  $x, y \in A$  we put

$$\begin{aligned} x \oplus y &= (x + y) \wedge u, & \neg x &= u - x, & 1 &= u, \\ x \odot y &= \neg(\neg x \oplus \neg y). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathcal{A} = (A; \oplus, \odot, \neg, 0, 1, \vee, \wedge)$  is an *MV*-algebra; it will be denoted by  $\Gamma(G, u)$ .

**2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an *MV*-algebra. Then there exists an abelian lattice ordered group  $G$  with a strong unit  $u$  such that  $\mathcal{A} = \Gamma(G, u)$ .

In view of 2.3 and 2.4 we conclude that

$$(*) \quad x \odot y = \neg(\neg x \oplus \neg y)$$

for each *MV*-algebra.

In what follows, when speaking about an *MV*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , we always suppose that  $G$  and  $u$  are as in 2.4.

The partial order on  $A$  (or on  $G$ ) induced by the operations  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  will be denoted by  $\leq$ .

An *MV*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is *semisimple* (or *archimedean*) if for any nonzero elements  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  of  $A$  there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $nx_1 \not\leq x_2$ .

Semisimple *MV*-algebras have been investigated by several authors; cf., e.g., the monograph [3], and the references in this monograph.

We say that an *MV*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is *linearly ordered* if the lattice  $(A; \vee, \wedge)$  is a chain.

### 3. TWO-ELEMENT CONGRUENCE CLASSES

For an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with the underlying set  $A$  we denote by  $\text{Con } \mathcal{A}$  the system of all congruence relations of  $\mathcal{A}$ ; this system is partially ordered in the usual way. Then  $\text{Con } \mathcal{A}$  is a complete lattice. Its least element will be denoted by  $\sim_0$ .

It is well-known that  $\mathcal{A}$  is subdirectly reducible if and only if there exists a system  $\{\sim_i\}_{i \in I}$  of elements of  $\text{Con } \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\bigwedge_{i \in I} \sim_i = \sim_0$  and  $\sim_i \neq \sim_0$  for each  $i \in I$ .

In the opposite case,  $\mathcal{A}$  is *subdirectly irreducible*. Thus if  $\text{card } A \leq 2$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  is subdirectly irreducible.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an *MV*-algebra and  $\sim \in \text{Con } \mathcal{A}'$ . Further, let  $\sim_m$  be the greatest element of  $\text{Con } \mathcal{A}'$ . If  $\text{card } A \leq 2$ , then  $\sim \in \{\sim_0, \sim_m\}$ . In what follows we assume that  $\text{card } A > 2$ . For  $a \in A$  we put  $\bar{a} = \{x \in A : x \sim a\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $a \in A$ . Then  $\bar{a}$  is a convex sublattice of the lattice  $(A; \vee, \wedge)$ . If  $x, y \in \bar{a}$  and  $x \neq y$ , then  $x \oplus y \in \bar{a}$  and  $x \odot y \in \bar{a}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\vee' = \vee$  and  $\wedge' = \wedge$  we conclude that  $\sim$  is a congruence of the lattice  $(A; \vee, \wedge)$ ; it is well-known that each congruence class of a lattice is a convex sublattice. Let  $x, y \in \bar{a}$ ,  $x \neq y$ . Then  $x \oplus y = x \oplus' y \sim a \oplus' a = a$ , whence  $x \oplus y$  belongs to  $\bar{a}$ . Similarly we verify that  $x \odot y$  belongs to  $\bar{a}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the additive group of all integers with the natural linear order. Put  $u = 2$ ; then  $u$  is a strong unit of the linearly ordered group  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the *MV*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, u)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.1.** *The idempotent modification  $\mathcal{A}'_1$  of  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is simple.*

*Proof.* We denote by  $A_1$  the underlying set of  $\mathcal{A}_1$ ; hence  $A_1 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ . In view of 3.1 it suffices to deal with the partitions

$$\varrho_1\{\{0\}, \{1, 2\}\}, \quad \varrho_2 = \{\{0, 1\}, \{2\}\}$$

of the set  $A_1$ . For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  let  $\sim_i$  be the equivalence on  $A_1$  corresponding to  $\varrho_i$ .

We have  $1\varrho_1 2$ , but the relation  $\neg'1 \varrho_1 \neg'2$  fails to be valid. Also,  $0\varrho_2 1$ , but  $\neg'0 \varrho_2 \neg'1$  does not hold. Hence neither  $\varrho_1$  nor  $\varrho_2$  is a congruence relation on  $\mathcal{A}'_1$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{A}'_1$  is simple.  $\square$

In the remaining part of this section we assume that the lattice  $(A; \vee, \wedge)$  is a chain. It is well-known that in this case the lattice ordered group  $G$  is linearly ordered. We will be interested in two-element congruence classes of the congruence  $\sim$ .

Suppose that  $a \in A$  and that  $\bar{a}$  is a two-element set, i.e.,  $\bar{a} = \{a, b\}$  with  $a \neq b$ . Then in view of 4.1,  $\{a, b\}$  must be a chain and  $a \oplus b \in \{a, b\}$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $a < b$ . We have  $a \oplus b \geq b$ , thus

$$b = a \oplus b = (a + b) \wedge u.$$

If  $a + b \geq u$ , then  $(a + b) \wedge u = u$ , hence  $b = u$ . If  $a + b < u$ , then  $(a + b) \wedge u = a + b$ , thus  $a + b = b$  and so  $a = 0$ . We obtain

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume that  $\bar{a} = \{a, b\}$  is a two-element set and  $a < b$ . Then we have either  $a = 0$  or  $b = u$ .*

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\bar{a}$  be as in 3.2 and let  $a = 0$ . If  $b = u$ , then  $\bar{a} = A$ . If  $b + b = u$ , then  $A$  is a three element set, namely,  $A = \{a, b, u\}$ .*

*Proof.* The first assertion is obvious. Suppose that  $b + b = u$ . Since the interval  $[0, b + b]$  of the lattice  $(A; \vee, \wedge)$  is isomorphic to the interval  $[0, b]$  and  $[0, b] = \{0, b\}$ , we get  $[b, b + b] = \{b, b + b\} = \{b, u\}$ . Because the interval  $[0, u]$  is a chain we obtain that  $A = [0, u] = \{0, b, u\}$  with  $0 < b < u$ .  $\square$

We remark that in the case  $u = 0$  and  $b + b = u$  we have the same situation as in Lemma 3.1.1. Thus in this case, the algebra  $\mathcal{A}'$  is subdirectly irreducible.

Again, let  $a = 0$  and let us now suppose that  $b + b \neq u$ . We cannot have  $b + b > u$ , since this relation would yield  $\text{card}[b, b + b] > 2$ , which is impossible. Let us apply the usual notation  $b + b = 2b$ ,  $b + b + b = 3b$ .

The interval  $[2b, 3b]$  of  $G$  is a two-element set, hence we cannot have  $3b > u$ ; thus either  $3b = u$  or  $3b < u$ .

Suppose that  $3b = u$ . Hence  $2b = -b$  and then  $b \neq -b$ . We get

$$u = b \oplus -b = b \oplus' -b \sim 0 \oplus' -b = 0 \oplus -b = -b.$$

This yields that  $A = \{0, b, 2b, u\}$  and  $\sim$  has exactly two congruence classes, namely  $\{0, b\}$  and  $\{2b, u\}$ . If  $\sim_1$  is a congruence on  $\mathcal{A}'$  such that  $\sim_1 \neq \{\sim, \sim_0, \sim_m\}$ , then the partition of  $A$  corresponding to  $\sim_1$  must have the form  $\{\{0\}, \{b, 2b\}, \{u\}\}$ . In view of  $b \sim_1 2b$  and in view of 3.2 we arrive at a contradiction. Hence we have

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\bar{a}$  be as in 3.2,  $a = 0$  and  $3b = u$ . Then  $A$  is a four-element set and  $\mathcal{A}'$  is subdirectly irreducible.*

We return to the assumption as above with the distinction that we suppose that  $3b < u$ . In this case we have  $b \neq 2b$ ,  $0 \neq 2b$ , hence

$$0 \oplus' 2b = 0 \oplus 2b = 2b, \quad b \oplus' 2b = b \oplus 2b = b + 2b = 3b.$$

Since  $0 \sim b$  we get  $2b \sim 3b$ . Also,  $2b \neq -b$ .

If  $3b \neq -b$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 2b \oplus' -b &= 2b \oplus -b = 2b + (-b) = b, \\ 3b \oplus' -b &= 3b \oplus -b = 3b + (-b) = 2b, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $b \sim 2b$ , which is a contradiction.

If  $3b = \neg b$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 3b \oplus' \neg b &= 3b, \\ 3b \oplus' \neg b &\sim 2b \oplus' \neg b = b, \end{aligned}$$

thus  $b \sim 3b$ ; again, we arrive at a contradiction.

Summarizing, we obtain

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an MV-algebra such that the lattice  $(A; \vee, \wedge)$  is a chain. Let  $\sim \in \text{Con } \mathcal{A}$ ,  $a \in A$  and assume that  $\bar{a} = \{a, b\}$ ,  $a < b$ . Then some of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i)  $b = u$  (i.e.,  $\text{card } A = 2$ );
- (ii)  $A$  is a three-element set, i.e.,  $A = \{0, b, u\}$ , and  $\mathcal{A}'$  is subdirectly irreducible;
- (iii)  $A$  is a four-element set,  $A = \{0, b, 2b, u\}$  and  $\mathcal{A}'$  is subdirectly irreducible.

Again, let us apply the assumptions and the notation as in 3.2. Suppose that  $b = u$ . Now we can apply the analogous method as above with the distinction that instead of dealing with the operation  $\oplus'$  we deal with the operation  $\odot'$ . We obtain a result analogous to 3.5. Thus we have

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an MV-algebra such that the lattice  $(A; \vee, \wedge)$  is a chain. Let  $\sim \in \text{Con } \mathcal{A}'$  and suppose that there exists  $a \in A$  with  $\text{card } \bar{a} = 2$ . Then some of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i)  $\text{card } A = 2$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{card } A = 3$  and  $\mathcal{A}'$  is subdirectly irreducible;
- (iii)  $\text{card } A = 4$  and  $\mathcal{A}'$  is subdirectly irreducible.

It is easy to verify that if  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are linearly ordered MV-algebras with  $\text{card } A = \text{card } B = 4$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{B}$ .

#### 4. SUBDIRECT IRREDUCIBILITY

In this section we assume that the MV-algebra under consideration is linearly ordered. Our aim is to prove the assertion  $(\beta)$  from Section 1. In view of the results of Section 3 it suffices to consider an MV-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\text{card } A \geq 5$  and a congruence  $\sim$  of  $\mathcal{A}'$  such that  $\sim_0 \neq \sim \neq \sim_m$ . Then according to 3.6, for each  $a \in A$  we have either  $\text{card } \bar{a} = 1$  or  $\text{card } \bar{a} \geq 3$ . Since  $\sim \neq \sim_0$ , there exists  $a \in A$  with  $\text{card } \bar{a} \geq 3$ .

From the properties of the operation  $\odot$  we obtain by simple calculation



**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $x, y \in A$  and  $x < y$ , then  $0 = x \odot \neg x < y \odot \neg x$ .*

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $a, b, c$  be mutually distinct elements of  $A$ ,  $c \neq u$ ,  $\bar{a} = \bar{b} = \bar{c}$ . Then there exists  $c' \in A$  such that  $c < c'$  and  $\bar{c}' = \bar{a}$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $b \oplus' c = c'$ . We have  $c' = b \oplus c$  and in view of 3.1,  $\bar{c}' = \bar{a}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is linearly ordered, we get  $c' = (b + c) \wedge u > c$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *There exists  $b_0 \in A$  such that  $0 < b_0$  and  $\bar{b}_0 = \bar{0}$ .*

*Proof.* There exists  $x \in A$  with  $\text{card } \bar{x} \geq 3$ . Thus there are  $a, b, c \in \bar{x}$  with  $a < b < c$ .

1) Assume that  $a \neq \neg a$  and  $b \neq \neg a$ . Put  $b_0 = b \odot' \neg a$ . Hence  $b = b \odot \neg a$  and in view of 4.1,  $b_0 > 0$ . Further

$$b_0 \sim a \odot' \neg a = a \odot \neg a = 0.$$

2) Assume that  $a \neq \neg a$  and  $b = \neg a$ . Then  $c \neq \neg a$ . Put  $b_0 = c \odot' \neg a$ . Similarly as in 1), we get  $b_0 > 0$  and  $b_0 \sim 0$ .

3) Assume that  $a = \neg a$ . Then  $b \neq \neg b$ . Suppose that  $c \neq \neg b$ . Put  $b_0 = c \odot' \neg b$ . We obtain  $b_0 > 0$  and  $b_0 \sim 0$ .

4) Assume that  $a = \neg a$  and  $c = \neg b$ . Then we have  $b \neq \neg b$ . Since  $u \neq \neg b$ , we get  $c \neq u$ . Thus in view of 4.2, there exists  $c_1 \in A$  with  $c_1 > c$ ,  $c_1 \sim a$ . We obtain  $c_1 \neq \neg b$ . Put  $b_0 = c_1 \odot' \neg b$ . Then  $b_0 > 0$  and  $b_0 \sim 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *There exist  $b_1, c_1 \in A$  such that  $0 < b_1 < c_1$  and  $0 \sim b_1 \sim c_1$ .*

*Proof.* In view of 4.3, there exists  $b_0 \in \bar{0}$  with  $b_0 > 0$ . Hence  $\text{card } \bar{0} \neq 1$ . Then  $\text{card } \bar{0} \geq 3$ . Thus there is  $c_0 \in \bar{0}$  such that  $c_0 \notin \{0, b_0\}$ . Now it suffices to apply the fact that  $\bar{a}$  is linearly ordered.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an MV-algebra which is linearly ordered and semisimple. Then the algebra  $\mathcal{A}'$  is simple.*

*Proof.* Let  $\sim$  be a congruence of  $\mathcal{A}'$  such that  $\sim \neq \sim_0$ . We have to verify that  $\sim = \sim_m$ . The case  $\text{card } A \leq 2$  being trivial, in view of 3.1.1 we can assume that  $\text{card } A > 3$ .

Since  $A$  is semisimple, the corresponding unital group  $G$  is archimedean. Also,  $G$  is linearly ordered. Let  $b_1$  and  $c_1$  be as in Lemma 4.4.

Consider the element  $b_1 + c_1$  of  $G$ . If  $b_1 + c_1 \geq u$ , then  $b_1 \oplus c_1 = (b_1 + c_1) \wedge u = u$ , thus in view of 3.1 we have  $\bar{0} = \bar{u}$  and so  $\sim = \sim_m$ .

Further, assume that  $b_1 + c_1 < u$ . Denote  $b_1 + c_1 = d_0$  and  $d_0 + nc_1 = d_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have  $b_1 \oplus c_1 = d_0$ , thus  $d_0 \in \bar{0}$ .

Since  $G$  is archimedean and linearly ordered there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d_{n_1-1} < u \leq d_{n_1}.$$

1) Assume that  $n_1 = 1$ . We have  $d_1 = d_0 + c_1$  and  $d_0 > c_1$ , thus

$$(1) \quad d_0 \oplus' c_1 = d_0 \oplus c_1 = (d_0 + c_1) \wedge u = u.$$

From  $d_0, c_1 \in \bar{0}$  we get  $d_0 \oplus c_1 \in \bar{0}$ , hence  $\bar{u} = \bar{0}$  and  $\sim = \sim_m$ .

2) Assume that  $n_1 > 1$ . By the same method as in 1) and by induction we verify that  $d_{n_1-1} \in \bar{0}$ ,  $d_{n_1-1} > c_1$ . Taking  $d_{n_1-1}$  instead of  $d_0$  in (1) and applying steps analogous to those in 1) we again get  $\bar{u} = \bar{0}$ , hence  $\sim = \sim_m$ .  $\square$

The assertion ( $\beta$ ) from Section 1 is a corollary of Proposition 4.5.

## 5. ON THE VARIETY $\mathcal{V}$

Let ( $\alpha$ ) be as in Section 1. This section deals with Ježek's remark concerning the existence of further varieties with the property as in ( $\alpha$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the collection of all algebras having the form  $\mathcal{A} = (A; f, g, h, 0, 1)$ , where  $A$  is a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{A}$  is of the type  $(3, 3, 3, 0, 0)$ , such that for each  $x, y \in A$  the relations

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y, x) &= 0, & g(x, y, x) &= 1, \\ h(0, x, y) &= x, & h(1, x, y) &= y \end{aligned}$$

are valid. Then  $\mathcal{V}$  is a variety.

Under the terminology as in Section 1, let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be the idempotent modification of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

First suppose that  $0 = 1$ . Then for each  $x, y \in A$  we have

$$x = h(0, x, y) = h(1, x, y) = y,$$

hence  $A$  is a one-element set. Thus  $\mathcal{A}'$  is subdirectly irreducible.

Further, suppose that  $0 \neq 1$ . Then  $\text{card } A \geq 2$ . Let  $\sim$  be a congruence relation on  $\mathcal{A}'$ ,  $\sim \neq \sim_0$ . Thus there exist  $x, y \in A$  such that  $x \neq y$  and  $x \sim y$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x &= f'(x, x, x) \sim f'(x, y, x) = 0, \\ x &= g'(x, x, x) \sim g'(x, y, x) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

whence  $0 \sim 1$  for each nontrivial congruence of  $\mathcal{A}$ . This yields that  $\mathcal{A}'$  is subdirectly irreducible. Therefore we get

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra belonging to the variety  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then the idempotent modification of  $\mathcal{A}$  is subdirectly irreducible.*

It is easy to verify that there exists a proper class of mutually nonisomorphic algebras belonging to the variety  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be as above and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 4$ . Let  $f_n$  be an  $n$ -ary operation on  $A$ ; we set  $\mathcal{B} = (A; f, g, h, f_n, 0, 1)$ . Suppose that, e.g., the identity

$$f_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = f_n(x_n, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_1)$$

is satisfied in  $\mathcal{B}$ . The collection of all algebras  $\mathcal{B}$  of this form (where  $\mathcal{A}$  runs over  $\mathcal{V}$ ) will be denoted by  $\mathcal{V}_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is a variety and for each element  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{V}_n$ , the idempotent modification  $\mathcal{B}'$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  is subdirectly irreducible.

#### References

- [1] *G. Cattaneo and F. Lombardo*: Independent axiomatization of *MV*-algebras. *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* 15 (1998), 227–232.
- [2] *C. C. Chang*: Algebraic analysis of many valued logics. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 88 (1958), 467–490.
- [3] *R. Cignoli, I. M. L. D'Ottaviano and D. Mundici*: Algebraic Foundation of Many Valued Reasoning. Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
- [4] *A. Dvurečenskij and S. Pulmannová*: New Trends in Quantum Structure. Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht and Ister, Bratislava, 2000.
- [5] *L. Fuchs*: Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems. Pergamon Press, Oxford-New York-London-Paris, 1963.
- [6] *D. Glushankof*: Cyclic ordered groups and *MV*-algebras. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 43 (1993), 249–263.
- [8] *J. Ježek*: A note on idempotent modifications of groups. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 54 (2004), 229–231.

*Author's address:* Ján Jakubík, Matematický ústav SAV, Grešákova 6, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia, e-mail: [kstefan@saske.sk](mailto:kstefan@saske.sk).