

Bahmann Yousefi; B. Tabatabaie

Universal interpolating sequences on some function spaces

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 55 (2005), No. 3, 773–780

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128020>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

UNIVERSAL INTERPOLATING SEQUENCES ON
SOME FUNCTION SPACES

B. YOUSEFI and B. TABATABAIE, Shiraz

(Received November 26, 2002)

Abstract. Let $H(K)$ be the Hilbert space with reproducing kernel K . This paper characterizes some sufficient conditions for a sequence to be a universal interpolating sequence for $H(K)$.

Keywords: reproducing kernels, universal interpolating sequences, Bessel sequence, Riesz-Fischer sequence

MSC 2000: 47B38, 47B32, 46E20

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a Hilbert space of complex-valued analytic functions on the open unit disc \mathbb{D} such that point evaluations are bounded linear functionals on H . Then for every $w \in \mathbb{D}$ there exists a function k_w in H such that $f(w) = \langle f, k_w \rangle$ for all $f \in H$. Now if we define $K: \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $K(z, w) = k_w(z)$, then K is a positive definite function with the reproducing property $f(w) = \langle f(\cdot), K(\cdot, w) \rangle$ for every $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f \in H$. The function K is called the *reproducing kernel* for H .

Recall that a function $K: \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *positive definite* (denoted $K \gg 0$) provided

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n a_j \bar{a}_k K(w_j, w_k) \geq 0$$

for any finite set of complex numbers a_1, \dots, a_n and any finite subset w_1, \dots, w_n of \mathbb{D} . Conversely, if $K: \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is positive definite then

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n a_j K(\cdot, w_j) : a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{D} \right\}$$

This research was partially supported by the Shiraz University Research Council Grant.

has dense linear span in a Hilbert space $H(K)$ of functions with

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j K(\cdot, w_j) \right\|^2 = \sum_{j,k=0}^n a_j \bar{a}_k K(w_j, w_k)$$

and $f(w) = \langle f(\cdot), K(\cdot, w) \rangle$ for every w in \mathbb{D} and f in $H(K)$. Thus evaluation at w is a bounded linear functional for each w in \mathbb{D} . Note also that convergence in $H(K)$ implies uniform convergence on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} .

Now if K is a kernel on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ which is analytic in the first variable and consequently coanalytic in the second variable, then $K(z, \bar{w})$ is an analytic function on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ in the two variables z and w . Hence $K(z, w)$ can be represented by the double power series $\sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} a_{jk} z^j \bar{w}^k$. In this case K is called an analytic kernel. If C denotes the matrix $[a_{jk}]$, then such a K can be written more compactly in the form

$$K(z, w) = \bar{Z}^* C \bar{W} = \langle C \bar{W}, \bar{Z} \rangle_{\ell^2}$$

where Z denotes the column vector whose transpose is $(1, z, z^2, \dots)$. (Here ℓ^2 denotes the usual space of all square summable sequences.) It is well known that $K \gg 0$ if and only if $C > 0$. Henceforth for positive matrices C , $H(C)$ will denote the space $H(K)$ where $K = \bar{Z}^* C \bar{W}$. For more information about reproducing kernels the reader is referred to [1], [2]. Some good sources on spaces of analytic functions are [4], [5], [6], [8], [9], [12], [13]. Throughout this paper, K will be an analytic kernel.

Following the interpolation theory for the Hardy space H^2 in [10] and for certain Banach spaces of analytic functions in [11], we call $\{w_n\}_n$ a universal interpolating sequence for $H(K)$ when the linear operator $T: H(K) \rightarrow \ell^2$ defined by $Tf = \{f(w_n)/\|k_{w_n}\|\}_n$ is surjective. From this definition, we see that a universal interpolating sequence consists of distinct points and has no limit point in \mathbb{D} .

In the next section we give some sufficient conditions for existence of a sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ of vectors in $H(K)$ such that $\{\langle f, f_n \rangle\}_n$ belongs to ℓ^2 for all f in $H(K)$. We also investigate some conditions on a sequence of points in \mathbb{D} for being a universal interpolating sequence.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Related to the universal interpolating sequences, there are really two questions involved here: first, is the sequence always in ℓ^2 for every $f \in H(K)$, and second, is every ℓ^2 sequence obtained in this manner? Both of these questions can be formulated in an abstract Hilbert space as follows (see N. Bari [3]). We shall say that a sequence

of elements in a Hilbert space H is a *Bessel sequence* with bound M if

$$\sum_n |\langle x, x_n \rangle|^2 \leq M \|x\|^2$$

for all $x \in H$. Also we shall say that $\{x_n\}_n$ is a *Riesz-Fischer sequence* with bound m if to each sequence $\{c_n\}_n \in \ell^2$ there corresponds at least one $x \in H$ for which

$$\langle x, x_n \rangle = c_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots) \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|^2 \leq m \sum_n |c_n|^2.$$

If one merely assumes the existence of $x \in H$ such that $\langle x, x_n \rangle = c_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) for each sequence $\{c_n\} \in \ell^2$, then the existence of the constant m follows from the inverse mapping theorem.

So $\{w_n\}$ is a universal interpolating sequence for $H(K)$ if and only if the sequence $\{k_{w_n}/\|k_{w_n}\|\}_n$ is both Bessel and Riesz-Fischer.

We need the following two theorems in the proof of our main results.

Theorem 1. *Let $\{x_n\}$ be an infinite sequence of elements in a separable Hilbert space, and let A denotes the inner product matrix $[\langle x_i, x_j \rangle]_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then*

- a) $\{x_n\}_n$ is a Bessel sequence with bound M if and only if the matrix A is a bounded operator on ℓ^2 with bound M .
- b) $\{x_n\}_n$ is a Riesz-Fischer sequence with bound m if and only if the matrix A is bounded below on ℓ_2 with bound m .

Proof. See [3]. □

Theorem 2. *Let $A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be given. If $\sum_i |a_{ij}| \leq M$ for all j , and if $\sum_j |a_{ij}| \leq N$ for all i , then*

$$\left| \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x_i \bar{x}_j \right| \leq (MN)^{1/2} \sum_i |x_i|^2$$

for all $\{x_i\}_i$ in ℓ^2 .

Proof. See [7]. □

Theorem 3. Let $H = H(K)$ have a reproducing kernel of the form

$$k_w(z) = \log \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{w})^t}$$

for some $t \geq 1$. Also suppose that $\{w_n\}_n$ is a sequence of points in the open unit disc \mathbb{D} which converges to a point in $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and

$$1 - |w_{n+1}| \leq (1 - |w_n|)^{1/\alpha}$$

for all n and some α such that $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a subsequence of $\{k_{w_n}/\|k_{w_n}\|\}$ that is a Bessel sequence with bound $(1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2}(2 + \varepsilon)(1 + \alpha^{1/2})(1 - \alpha^{1/2})^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ be given. We can choose an integer $j_0 = j_0(\varepsilon)$ such that if $m, n > j_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Arg}^2 \frac{1}{(1 - \bar{w}_n w_m)^t} &\leq \varepsilon \log^2 \frac{1}{(1 - |w_n|)^t}, \\ \log(1 - |w_n|) / \log 2(1 - |w_n|) &< 2 + \varepsilon, \\ |1 - w_n \bar{w}_m| &< 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} < |w_n| < 1.$$

Put $n_i = j_0 + i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. We prove that $\{k_{w_{n_i}}/\|k_{w_{n_i}}\|\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a Bessel sequence with bound $(1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2}(2 + \varepsilon)(1 + \alpha^{1/2})(1 - \alpha^{1/2})^{-1}$. For this let $A_t = [a_{ij}]_{ij}$ be the infinite matrix defined by

$$a_{ij} = \frac{k_{w_{n_i}}(w_{n_j})}{\|k_{w_{n_i}}\| \|k_{w_{n_j}}\|}; \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

By Theorem 1 it is sufficient to show that A_t is a bounded operator on ℓ^2 with bound $(1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2}(2 + \varepsilon)(1 + \alpha^{1/2})(1 - \alpha^{1/2})^{-1}$. Note that $a_{ii} = 1$ and $a_{ij} = \bar{a}_{ji}$ for all i and j in \mathbb{N} . We have

$$a_{ij} = \left(\log \frac{1}{(1 - |w_{n_i}|^2)^t} \right)^{-1/2} \left(\log \frac{1}{(1 - |w_{n_j}|^2)^t} \right)^{-1/2} \log \frac{1}{(1 - \bar{w}_{n_i} w_{n_j})^t}.$$

It follows from the hypothesis that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - |w_{n_i+p}| &\leq (1 - |w_{n_i}|)^{1/\alpha^p}, \\ |1 - \bar{w}_{n_i} w_{n_j}| &\geq 1 - |w_{\min(n_i, n_j)}| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$1 - |w_{n_i}|^2 \leq 2(1 - |w_{n_i}|)$$

for all i, j and p in \mathbb{N} . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{1}{2^t(1 - |w_{n_i}|)^t} &\leq \log \frac{1}{(1 - |w_{n_i}|^2)^t}, \\ \log \frac{1}{|1 - \bar{w}_{n_i} w_{n_j}|^t} &\leq \log \frac{1}{(1 - |w_{n_i}|)^t} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\log(1 - |w_{n_i+p}|)^t \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^p} \log(1 - |w_{n_i}|)^t$$

for all i, j and p in \mathbb{N} . If $i \leq j$, by using the last inequality for $p = n_j - n_i$ we get

$$\log 2^t(1 - |w_{n_j}|)^t \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^p} \log 2^t(1 - |w_{n_i}|)^t.$$

Thus for $i \leq j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{ij}| &\leq \left| \log \frac{1}{(1 - |w_{n_i}|)^t} \right|^{-1/2} \left| \log \frac{1}{(1 - |w_{n_j}|)^t} \right|^{-1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left[\log^2 \frac{1}{(1 - |w_{n_i}|)^t} + \text{Arg}^2 \frac{1}{(1 - \bar{w}_{n_i} w_{n_j})^t} \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left| \log \frac{1}{2^t(1 - |w_{n_i}|)^t} \right|^{-1/2} \left| \log \frac{1}{2^t(1 - |w_{n_j}|)^t} \right|^{-1/2} \left| \log \frac{1}{(1 - |w_{n_i}|)^t} \right| (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \alpha^{(n_j - n_i)/2} \left| \log \frac{1}{2^t(1 - |w_{n_i}|)^t} \right|^{-1} \left| \log \frac{1}{(1 - |w_{n_i}|)^t} \right| \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \alpha^{(j-i)/2} (2 + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Also if $i > j$, in the same way we obtain

$$|a_{ij}| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} (2 + \varepsilon) \alpha^{(i-j)/2}.$$

Thus for all i and j we get

$$|a_{ij}| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} (2 + \varepsilon) \alpha^{|i-j|/2}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i |a_{ij}| &= \sum_{i=1}^j |a_{ij}| + \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} (2 + \varepsilon) \left[\sum_{i=1}^j \alpha^{(j-i)/2} + \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \alpha^{(i-j)/2} \right] \\ &< (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} (2 + \varepsilon) \left(\frac{1}{1 - \alpha^{1/2}} + \frac{\alpha^{1/2}}{1 - \alpha^{1/2}} \right) \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} (2 + \varepsilon) (1 + \alpha^{1/2}) (1 - \alpha^{1/2})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\sum_j |a_{ij}| < (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2}(2 + \varepsilon)(1 + \alpha^{1/2})(1 - \alpha^{1/2})^{-1}.$$

So by Theorem (2), the matrix $A_t = [a_{ij}]_{i,j}$ is bounded above by

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2}(2 + \varepsilon)(1 + \alpha^{1/2})(1 - \alpha^{1/2})^{-1}.$$

Now Theorem 1 implies that $\{k_{w_{n_i}}/\|k_{w_{n_i}}\|\}_i$ is a Bessel sequence and the proof is complete. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.

Corollary 4. *Under the conditions of the theorem, $\{f(w_n)/\|k_{w_n}\|\}_n \in \ell^2$ for all f in $H(K)$.*

Theorem 5. *Let $H = H(K)$ have a reproducing kernel of the form*

$$k_w(z) = \log \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{w})^t}$$

for some $t \geq 1$. If $\{w_n\}$ converges to a point in $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and

$$1 - |w_{n+1}| \leq (1 - |w_n|)^{1/\alpha}$$

for all n and some α such that $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{25}$, then there exists a subsequence of $\{k_{w_n}/\|k_{w_n}\|\}_n$ that is a universal interpolating sequence for $H(K)$.

Proof. The function $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by $f(t) = (1 + 2(1+t)^{1/2}(2+t))^{-2}$ is a nonnegative decreasing function on $[0, 1]$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t) = \frac{1}{25}$. So there exists $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ such that $\alpha < f(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{25}$. By Theorem 3 there exists a subsequence $\{k_{w_{n_i}}/\|k_{w_{n_i}}\|\}_i$ that is a Bessel sequence and as we saw in the proof of Theorem 3, if $A_t = [a_{ij}]_{i,j}$ where

$$a_{ij} = \frac{k_{w_{n_i}}(w_{n_j})}{\|k_{w_{n_i}}\| \|k_{w_{n_j}}\|} \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{N}),$$

then for all i and j we get

$$|a_{ij}| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2}(2 + \varepsilon)\alpha^{|i-j|/2}.$$

Now we estimate the operator norm of the difference of A_t and the identity operator I :

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_t - I\|_{\text{op}} &\leq \sup_j \sum_{i \neq j} |a_{ij}| \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} (2 + \varepsilon) \sup_j \left[\sum_{i < j} \alpha^{(j-i)/2} + \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \alpha^{(i-j)/2} \right] \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} (2 + \varepsilon) \frac{2\alpha^{1/2}}{1 - \alpha^{1/2}} < 1, \end{aligned}$$

since $f(\varepsilon) > \alpha$. Hence A_t is invertible and so by Theorem 1, the proof is complete. \square

Remark. Note that in Theorems 3 and 5, the reproducing kernels k_w are analytic on the unit disc \mathbb{D} when $t \in [1, 2]$ and, in the special case, when $t = 1$ we have the Bloch space.

The constant $\frac{1}{25}$ in the Theorem may not be sharp. We conclude this paper by raising the following question.

Question. Can we replace $\frac{1}{25}$ by 1 in Theorem 5?

References

- [1] *G. T. Adams, P. J. McGuire and V. I. Paulsen*: Analytic reproducing kernels and multiplication operators. *Illinois J. Math.* 36 (1992), 404–419.
- [2] *N. Aronszajn*: Theory of reproducing kernels. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 68 (1950), 337–404.
- [3] *N. Bari*: Biorthogonal systems and bases in Hilbert space. *Matematika* 4 (1951), 69–107 (in Russian); reviewed in *Math. Reviews* 14 (1953), 289.
- [4] *J. B. Conway*: The Theory of Subnormal Operators. *Math. Surveys Monographs* 36. Amer. Math. Soc., 1991.
- [5] *R. G. Douglas*: Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory. Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [6] *J. B. Garnett*: Bounded Analytic Functions. Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [7] *I. Schur*: Bemerkungen zur Theorie der beschränkten Bilinearformen mit unendlich vielen Veränderlichen. *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik* 140 (1911), 1–28.
- [8] *K. Seddighi*: Operators Acting on Hilbert Spaces of Analytic Functions. A series of lectures. Dept. of Math., Univ. of Calgary, 1991.
- [9] *K. Seddighi and S. M. Vaezpour*: Commutants of certain multiplication operators on Hilbert spaces of analytic functions. *Studia Mathematica* 133 (1999), 121–130.
- [10] *H. S. Shapiro and A. L. Shields*: On some interpolation problems for analytic functions. *Amer. J. Math.* 83 (1961), 513–532.
- [11] *B. Yousefi*: Interpolating sequence on certain Banach spaces of analytic functions. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 65 (2002), 177–182.
- [12] *K. Zhu*: Operator Theory in Function Spaces. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1990.

- [13] *K. Zhu*: A class of operators associated with reproducing kernels. *J. Operator Theory* 38 (1997), 19–24.

Authors' address: Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran, e-mails: yousefi@math.susc.ac.ir, tabataba@susc.ac.ir.