

Ján Jakubík

On the affine completeness of lattice ordered groups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 54 (2004), No. 2, 423–429

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127900>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON THE AFFINE COMPLETENESS
OF LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received September 17, 2001)

Abstract. In the paper it is proved that a nontrivial direct product of lattice ordered groups is never affine complete.

Keywords: lattice ordered group, affine completeness, direct product

MSC 2000: 06F15

1. INTRODUCTION

Polynomial completeness and affine completeness of various algebraic structures have been investigated in a rather large series of papers and systematically studied in the monograph [3].

The problem of the existence of a nontrivial affine complete lattice ordered group remains open (cf. [3], p. 331, Problem 5.6.19).

The following negative results have been proved.

(A₁) Let G be a complete lattice ordered group. Then G is affine complete if and only if $G = \{0\}$. (Cf. [1].)

More generally, we have

(A₂) Let G be an abelian projectable lattice ordered group. Then G is affine complete if and only if $G = \{0\}$. (Cf. [2].)

(A₃) Let G be an abelian lattice ordered group, $G = A \times B$, $A \neq \{0\} \neq B$. Then G is not affine complete. (Cf. [1].)

(A₄) A direct product of a nonzero subdirectly irreducible lattice ordered group and any lattice ordered group is never affine complete (cf. [3], Section 3.6.4).

In the present paper we prove that (A₂) and (A₃) remain valid without assuming that G is abelian.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We apply the terminology as in [3]. An algebra is *affine complete* if every congruence compatible function is induced by a polynomial.

Let $G \neq \{0\}$ be a lattice ordered group. We denote by $P(G)$ the set of all polynomials over G and by $\text{Con } G$ the set of all congruence relations on G .

Let $p(x) \in P(G)$. From the basic properties of lattice ordered groups we easily obtain that $p(x)$ can be represented in the form

$$(1) \quad p(x) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in J(i)} (a_{ij}^1 + a_{ij}^2 + \dots + a_{ij}^{n(i,j)}),$$

where $I, J(i)$ are nonempty finite sets and for each $i \in I, j \in J(i), k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n(i, j)\}$ we have either

a) $a_{ij}^k \in G,$

or

b) $a_{ij}^k \in \{x, -x\}.$

We denote by $[a]$ the set of all triples (i, j, k) (under the notation as above) such that the condition a) is valid.

In this section we assume that $[a] \neq \emptyset$. Let m_0 be the number of elements of the set $[a]$.

There exists $s \in G^+$ such that

$$s \geq \bigvee_{(i,j,k) \in [a]} |a_{ij}^k|.$$

This condition is satisfied if and only if

$$(\alpha) \quad s \geq a_{ij}^k \quad \text{and} \quad s \geq -a_{ij}^k \quad \text{for each } (i, j, k) \in [a].$$

Put

$$x_1 = 3m_0s.$$

In the present section we deal with the properties of the element $p(x_1)$.

Let i, j be fixed and let $1 \leq k < n(i, j)$. Suppose that

$$a_{ij}^k = x, \quad a_{ij}^{k+1} \in G.$$

Then in the corresponding expression for $p(x_1)$ (cf. (1)) we have

$$x_1 + a_{ij}^k = (x_1 + a_{ij}^k - x_1) + x_1.$$

Since

$$-s \leq a_{ij}^k \leq s,$$

we obtain

$$-s \leq x_1 + a_{ij}^k - x_1 \leq s.$$

In a similar way we can proceed if $a_{ij}^k = -x$.

We put

$$p_{ij}(x) = a_{ij}^1 + a_{ij}^2 + \dots + a_{ij}^{n(i,j)}.$$

Applying the above mentioned steps and using the obvious induction we conclude that $p_{ij}(x_1)$ can be written in the form

$$(2) \quad p_{ij}(x_1) = \bar{a}_{ij}^1 + \bar{a}_{ij}^2 + \dots + \bar{a}_{ij}^{\ell(i,j)} + k_{ij}x_1,$$

where $0 \leq \ell(i, j) \leq n(i, j)$, k_{ij} is an integer and for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell(i, j)\}$ we have

$$\bar{a}_{ij}^k \in [-s, s].$$

Denote

$$\bar{a}_{ij} = \bar{a}_{ij}^1 + \dots + \bar{a}_{ij}^{\ell(i,j)}.$$

Keeping the element i fixed we put

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{j} &= \{j(1) \in J(i) : k_{i,j(1)} = k_{ij}\} \\ p_{i\bar{j}}(x) &= \bigvee_{i(1) \in \bar{j}} (a_{ij(1)}^1 + a_{ij(1)}^2 + \dots + a_{ij(1)}^{n(i,j(1))}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$p_{i\bar{j}}(x_1) = \bigvee_{j(1) \in \bar{j}} (\bar{a}_{ij(1)} + k_{ij}x_1) = \left(\bigvee_{j(1) \in \bar{j}} \bar{a}_{ij(1)} \right) + k_{ij}x_1.$$

We set

$$\bigvee_{j(1) \in \bar{j}} \bar{a}_{ij(1)} = a_{ij}^*.$$

For each $j \in J(i)$ we have

$$\bar{a}_{ij} \in [-m_0s, m_0s],$$

whence

$$(3) \quad a_{ij}^* \in [-m_0s, m_0s].$$

Now let j and j' be elements of $J(i)$ such that $\bar{j} \neq \bar{j}'$. Hence we have $k_{ij} \neq k_{ij'}$.

2.1. Lemma. Assume that $k_{ij} < k_{ij'}$. Then $p_{i\bar{j}}(x_1) < p_{i\bar{j}'}(x_1)$.

Proof. We have

$$p_{i\bar{j}}(x_1) = a_{ij}^* + k_{ij}x_1, \quad p_{i\bar{j}'}(x_1) = a_{ij'}^* + k_{ij'}x_1.$$

We want to show that

$$(\alpha_1) \quad a_{ij}^* + k_{ij}x_1 < a_{ij'}^* + k_{ij'}x_1.$$

The relation (α_1) is equivalent to

$$(\alpha_2) \quad -a_{ij'}^* + a_{ij}^* < (k_{ij'} - k_{ij})x_1.$$

In view of (3) we get

$$-a_{ij'}^* \in [-m_0s, m_0s],$$

whence

$$-a_{ij'}^* + a_{ij}^* \in [-2m_0s, 2m_0s],$$

thus according to the definition of x_1 we obtain

$$-a_{ij'}^* + a_{ij}^* < x_1 \leq (k_{ij'} - k_{ij})x_1,$$

which completes the proof. □

For $i \in I$ we put

$$p_i(x) = \bigvee_{j \in J(i)} (a_{ij}^1 + a_{ij}^2 + \dots + a_{ij}^{n(i,j)}) = \bigvee_{j \in J(i)} p_{ij}(x).$$

Hence we obtain

$$p_i(x_1) = \bigvee_{j \in J(i)} p_{ij}(x_1) = \bigvee_{j \in J(i)} p_{i\bar{j}}(x_1).$$

There exists a pair $(i, j(0))$ such that

$$k_{i,j(0)} = \max\{k_{ij}\}_{j \in J(i)}.$$

Then in view of 2.1 we conclude

2.2. Lemma. $p_i(x_1) = a_{ij(0)}^* + k_{ij(0)}x_1$.

Let us now write $j(i)$ instead of $j(0)$. Since

$$p(x) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} p_i(x)$$

we get

$$p(x_1) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} p_i(x_1) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (a_{i,j(i)}^* + k_{ij(i)}x_1).$$

For the indices belonging to I we proceed analogously as we did above for the indices belonging to $J(i)$.

Let $i \in I$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{i} &= \{i(1) \in I: k_{i(1),j(i(1))} = k_{i,j(i)}\}, \\ p_{\bar{i}}(x) &= \bigwedge_{i(1) \in \bar{i}} p_{i(1)}(x), \\ a_{\bar{i}}^{**} &= \bigwedge_{i(1) \in \bar{i}} a_{i(1),j(i(1))}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\bar{i}}(x_1) &= \bigwedge_{i(1) \in \bar{i}} p_{i(1)}(x_1) = \bigwedge_{i(1) \in \bar{i}} (a_{i(1),j(i(1))}^* + k_{i,j(i)}x_1) \\ &= \left(\bigwedge_{i(1) \in \bar{i}} a_{i(1),j(i(1))}^* \right) + k_{i,j(i)}x_1 = a_{\bar{i}}^{**} + k_{i,j(i)}x_1. \end{aligned}$$

From (3) we conclude that

$$(4) \quad a_{\bar{i}}^{**} \in [-m_0s, m_0s]$$

for each $i \in I$.

Now let i and i' be elements of I such that $\bar{i} \neq \bar{i}'$, i.e., $k_{i,j(i)} \neq k_{i',j(i')}$. By an argument similar to that in the proof of 2.1 we obtain

2.3. Lemma. *Assume that $k_{i,j(i)} < k_{i',j(i')}$. Then $p_{\bar{i}}(x_1) < p_{\bar{i}'}(x_1)$.*

There exists $i(0) \in I$ such that

$$k_{i(0),j(i(0))} = \min_{i \in I} \{k_{i,j(i)}\}.$$

Then in view of 2.3 we have

2.4. Lemma. $p(x_1) = a_{i(0)}^{**} + k_{i(0),j(i(0))}x_1$.

3. DIRECT PRODUCTS

If a lattice ordered group G is a direct product,

$$(1) \quad G = A \times B$$

and if $g \in G$, then the component of g in A or in B will be denoted by $g(A)$ or by $g(B)$, respectively.

3.1. Theorem. *Let (1) be valid. Assume that $A \neq \{0\} \neq B$. Then G is not affine complete.*

Proof. Consider the mapping $f: G \rightarrow G$ such that $f(g) = g(A)$ for each $g \in G$. Then in view of 1.4 in [1], f is compatible with all elements of $\text{Con } G$.

By way of contradiction, suppose that G is affine complete. Thus there exists $p(x) \in P(G)$ such that $p(x) = f(x)$.

For $p(x)$ we apply the notation as in Section 2. First let us assume that the set $[a]$ is empty. Hence (cf. (1) in Section 2) we have

$$a_{ij}^k \in \{x, -x\}$$

for each $i \in I$, $j \in J(i)$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n(i, j)\}$.

There exist $0 < a \in A$, $0 < b \in B$. Put $g = a + b$. In view of (1) in Section 1 we easily verify that there exists an integer k_0 with

$$p(g) = k_0g.$$

Thus $g(A) = a \neq k_0g$, whence

$$f(g) = a \neq k_0g = p(g),$$

which is a contradiction.

Therefore we must have $[a] \neq \emptyset$. Thus we can apply Lemma 2.4. We will use the simpler notation $k_{i(0)}$ instead of $k_{i(0),j(i(0))}$. Then we have

$$(*) \quad p(x_1) = a_{i(0)}^{**} + k_{i(0)}x_1.$$

Since the element s from Section 2 is subjected only to the condition (α) , we can suppose without loss of generality that

$$s(A) > 0, \quad s(B) > 0.$$

Thus we get

$$x_1(A) > 0, \quad x_1(B) > 0.$$

We put $x_1(A) = a$, $x_1(B) = b$.

Further, according to (4) in Section 2, we obtain

$$(4.1) \quad a_i^{**}(A) \in [-m_0s(A), m_0s(A)],$$

$$(4.2) \quad a_i^{**}(B) \in [-m_0s(B), m_0s(B)]$$

for each $i \in I$.

From (*) and from the assumption we get

$$a = a_{i(0)}^{**}(A) + k_{i(0)}a.$$

If $k_{i(0)} \neq 1$, then (4.1) and the relation $a = x_1(A) = 3m_0s(A)$ imply a contradiction. Hence $k_{i(0)} = 1$. Then

$$p(x_1) = a_{i(0)}^{**} + x_1.$$

By considering the components in B , we obtain

$$0 = a_{i(0)}^{**}(B) + b.$$

Since $b = x_1(B) = 3m_0s(B)$ we have arrived at a contradiction with 4.2. \square

3.2. Theorem. *Let $G \neq \{0\}$ be a projectable lattice ordered group. Then G is not affine complete.*

Proof. If G is linearly ordered, then it is subdirectly irreducible and hence in view of (A_4) , G is not affine complete. Suppose that G is not linearly ordered. Then, being projectable, it can be expressed in the form $G = A \times B$, $A \neq \{0\} \neq B$. Thus according to 3.1, G is not affine complete. \square

References

- [1] *J. Jakubík*: Affine completeness of complete lattice ordered groups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 45 (1995), 571–576.
- [2] *J. Jakubík and M. Csontóová*: Affine completeness of projectable lattice ordered groups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 48 (1998), 359–363.
- [3] *K. Kaarli and A. F. Pixley*: Polynomial Completeness in Algebraic Systems. Chapman-Hall, London-New York-Washington, 2000.

Author's address: Matematický ústav SAV, Grešáková 6, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia, e-mail: kstefan@saske.sk.