

Sándor Radelecki; Dietmar Schweigert
Lattices with complemented tolerance lattice

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 54 (2004), No. 2, 407–412

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127898>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

LATTICES WITH COMPLEMENTED TOLERANCE LATTICE

S. RADELECZKI, Miskolc, and D. SCHWEIGERT, Kaiserslautern

(Received August 13, 2001)

Abstract. We characterize lattices with a complemented tolerance lattice. As an application of our results we give a characterization of bounded weakly atomic modular lattices with a Boolean tolerance lattice.

Keywords: tolerance simple and tolerance-trivial lattices, locally order-polynomially complete lattices

MSC 2000: 06B05, 06C05

1. INTRODUCTION

A lattice with 0 and 1 is called bounded. A *tolerance* T of a lattice L is a binary relation $T \subseteq L^2$ which is reflexive, symmetric and compatible with the lattice operations \wedge and \vee . The tolerances of a lattice L form an algebraic lattice denoted by $\text{Tol } L$. As usual, $\text{Con } L$ denotes the congruence lattice of L . Clearly, $\text{Con } L \subseteq \text{Tol } L$. If $\text{Tol } L = \text{Con } L$, then the lattice L is called *tolerance-trivial* [2]. L is called *tolerance simple* if it has only the trivial tolerances, namely the identity relation Δ and the all relation ∇ . L is called *tolerance-boolean* if $\text{Tol } L$ is a Boolean lattice.

It is known [21] that a lattice L has a Boolean congruence lattice if and only if it is a *discrete* subdirect product of simple lattices (i.e. the components of arbitrary two elements of L are identical except for a finite number of components). In this paper we prove the following

The first author gratefully acknowledges the support by János Bolyai Grant and by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (Grant No. T029525 and No. T034137).

Main Theorem. (i) Tol L is complemented if and only if the lattice L is tolerance-trivial and it is a discrete subdirect product of tolerance simple lattices.

(ii) The tolerance lattice of a bounded lattice L is complemented if and only if L is a finite direct product of tolerance simple lattices.

The proof of Main Theorem is contained in Section 2. As an application of this theorem, in Section 3 we also show that a bounded weakly atomic modular lattice L is tolerance-boolean if and only if L is a complemented lattice of finite height.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let L be an arbitrary lattice. According to H.-J. Bandelt's result [1], Tol L is a pseudocomplemented and 0-modular lattice. A lattice L with 0 is called *pseudocomplemented* if for every element $x \in L$, there exists an $x^* \in L$ such that for any $y \in L$, $y \wedge x = 0 \Leftrightarrow y \leq x^*$. L is said to be *0-modular* if for any $a, b \in L$, the relations $a \leq c$ and $b \wedge c = 0$ imply $(a \vee b) \wedge c = a$ (see [19]). It is well-known that for any $T \in \text{Tol } L$ its transitive closure \widetilde{T} is a congruence. In addition we prove

Proposition 2.1. For any $T \in \text{Tol } L$ its pseudocomplement T^* is a congruence.

Proof. We claim that $T^* = \widetilde{T}^*$. Since $T^* \leq \widetilde{T}^*$, we have to prove only $\widetilde{T}^* \leq T^*$, that is $\widetilde{T}^* \wedge T = \Delta$.

On the contrary, assume that $\widetilde{T}^* \wedge T \neq \Delta$. Then there exist $a, b \in L$ such that $a < b$ and $(a, b) \in \widetilde{T}^* \wedge T$. Thus we have $(a, b) \in T$ and, by the definition of the transitive closure, there exists a finite chain $a = z_0 \leq z_1 \leq \dots \leq z_n = b$ in L such that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $(z_{i-1}, z_i) \in T^*$ holds. Now $a \leq z_{i-1} \leq z_i \leq b$ gives $(z_{i-1}, z_i) \in T \wedge T^* = \Delta$, i.e. $z_{i-1} = z_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence we get $a = b$, contrary to our assumption. \square

To make our proofs self-consistent we need some additional notions. Let $L = \prod_{i \in I} L_i$ be the direct product of lattices L_i , $i \in I$ and let x_i denote the i -th component (coordinate) of an $x \in L$. The identity and the all relation on L_i are denoted by Δ_i and ∇_i , respectively. A tolerance $\varphi \in \text{Tol } L$ is called the *product of the tolerances* $\varphi_i \in \text{Tol } L_i$ if $(a, b) \in \varphi \Leftrightarrow (a_i, b_i) \in \varphi_i$ for all $i \in I$ (where $a, b \in L$). We write $\varphi = \prod_{i \in I} \varphi_i$ or $\varphi = \varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_n$ (when $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$).

Remark 2.2. The class of lattices has *directly decomposable tolerances* (see e.g. [3]), that is $L \cong \prod_{i=1}^n L_i$ implies $\text{Tol } L \cong \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Tol } L_i$.

Proof of Main Theorem. (i) Let L be an arbitrary lattice and assume that $\text{Tol } L$ is complemented. First, we show that $\text{Tol } L = \text{Con } L$.

Take any $T \in \text{Tol } L$. Denoting the complement of T by \overline{T} , we prove $(\overline{T})^* = T$. As $T \wedge \overline{T} = \Delta$ implies $T \leq (\overline{T})^*$, and since $\overline{T} \wedge (\overline{T})^* = \Delta$ and $\text{Tol } L$ is 0-modular, we obtain $(\overline{T})^* = (T \vee \overline{T}) \wedge (\overline{T})^* = T$. Now Proposition 2.1 gives that $T \in \text{Con } L$ and this proves $\text{Tol } L = \text{Con } L$.

As now $\text{Con } L$ is also complemented and so it is a Boolean lattice, in view of [21], L is a discrete subdirect product of some simple lattices L_i , $i \in I$. Since $\text{Tol } L = \text{Con } L$ and since any L_i is a homomorphic image of L , we deduce that any L_i must be tolerance simple: Indeed, if a tolerance $T \in \text{Tol } L_i \setminus \text{Con } L_i$ existed, then, in view of [4] Theorem 7, there would exist also a tolerance $T' \in \text{Tol } L \setminus \text{Con } L$, contrary to our assumption. Therefore we get $\text{Tol } L_i = \text{Con } L_i = \{\Delta_i, \nabla_i\}$, $i \in I$ and hence L is a discrete subdirect product of tolerance simple lattices.

Conversely, assume that L is tolerance-trivial and it is a discrete subdirect product of tolerance simple lattices L_i , $i \in I$. Since each L_i is (congruence) simple as well, $\text{Con } L$ is a Boolean lattice according to [21]. As $\text{Tol } L = \text{Con } L$, $\text{Tol } L$ is complemented.

(ii) Let L be a bounded lattice and assume that $\text{Tol } L$ is complemented. Then, in view of the above (i), L is tolerance-trivial and $\text{Con } L$ is a Boolean lattice. Moreover, since any congruence-boolean bounded lattice has a finite congruence lattice (see e.g. [5]), $\text{Con } L$ is finite. As by [14] any tolerance-trivial algebra is congruence permutable, L is also congruence permutable. On the other hand, [6] Theorem 3.1 implies that any bounded lattice with a finite Boolean congruence lattice and permutable congruences is a finite direct product of simple lattices. (See also [11], Theorem 6(iii).) Hence we obtain $L = \prod_{i=1}^n L_i$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all L_i congruence simple. As L is tolerance-trivial, we can repeat the argument in the “if” part of the proof of assertion (i) providing that all the lattices L_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ are tolerance simple.

Conversely, assume that $L = \prod_{i=1}^n L_i$ with all L_i tolerance simple. Then, in view of Remark 2.3, we have $\text{Tol } L = \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Tol } L_i$. Therefore $\text{Tol } L$, as a direct product of two-element chains, is complemented. \square

A bounded lattice L is called *semicomplemented* if for any $x \in L$, $x \neq 1$ there exists a $y \in L$ such that $x \wedge y = 0$ and $y \neq 0$. In view of [20], any semicomplemented lattice which is pseudocomplemented is complemented, too. It is also known that any tolerance simple lattice L is locally order-polynomially complete (see e.g. [15]), i.e. every order-preserving function $f: L^n \rightarrow L$ is a local polynomial of L . Hence we obtain

Corollary 2.3. *If L is a bounded lattice, then $\text{Tol } L$ is semicomplemented if and only if L is a finite direct product of locally order-polynomially complete lattices.*

Remark 2.4. (i) Notice that, implicit in the proof of Main Theorem (ii) is the following assertion: If L is a bounded lattice and $\text{Tol } L$ is complemented, then $\text{Tol } L$ is finite.

(ii) We also note that the statement (i) of our Main Theorem is a generalization of the results of [12] and [13].

Since any simple distributive lattice is a two-element Boolean lattice we obtain the following

Corollary 2.5. *The tolerance lattice of a bounded distributive lattice L is semicomplemented if and only if L is a finite Boolean lattice.*

3. APPLICATION TO WEAKLY ATOMIC MODULAR LATTICES

Let $u < v$ be elements of a lattice L . If u is covered by v (i.e. when there is no $z \in L$ with $u < z < v$), then we write $u \prec v$. L is called *weakly atomic* if for any $a, b \in L$, $a < b$ there exist $c, d \in L$ such that $a \leq c \prec d \leq b$. A congruence $\theta \in \text{Con } L$ is called *separable* [7] if for any $a, b \in L$, $a < b$, there exists a chain $a = z_0 \leq z_1 \leq \dots \leq z_n = b$ such that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ either $(z_{i-1}, z_i) \in \theta$ holds or there are no elements $r, s \in L$ satisfying $z_{i-1} \leq r < s \leq z_i$ and $(r, s) \in \theta$.

Now we are able to formulate the second main result of this paper:

Theorem 3.1. *Let L be a bounded weakly atomic modular lattice. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) L is tolerance-trivial and every congruence of L is definable.
- (ii) $\text{Tol } L$ is a Boolean lattice.
- (iii) L is a finite direct product of tolerance simple lattices.
- (iv) L is complemented and has a finite height.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). By the Grätzer-Schmidt well-known theorem [8] the congruence lattice of a lattice L is Boolean if and only if L is weakly modular and every congruence of it is definable. Since any modular lattice is also weakly modular, (i) implies that the lattice $\text{Tol } L = \text{Con } L$ is Boolean.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). If $\text{Tol } L$ is a Boolean lattice, then it is complemented as well, and hence by applying Main Theorem (ii) we obtain (iii).

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Assume that $L = \prod_{i=1}^n L_i$ with all L_i tolerance simple. Then each L_i , as a direct factor of the bounded lattice L , is isomorphic to a principal ideal of L .

Therefore each L_i is also a bounded and weakly atomic modular lattice. Since, in view of [5] Theorem 4.2, any weakly atomic modular lattice with a Boolean congruence lattice is locally finite and since each $\text{Con } L_i$ is a two-element Boolean lattice, we conclude that every L_i is locally finite. As each L_i is bounded and modular, by the Jordan-Dedekind chain condition it has a finite height. On the other hand, any tolerance simple modular lattice with a finite height is complemented, according to [17]. (See also [16].) Therefore all the lattices L_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, are complemented and have finite height, and hence their finite direct product L is also complemented and has a finite height.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Since any complemented modular lattice is relatively complemented, we can now apply [4] Theorem 5 which asserts that any relatively complemented lattice is tolerance-trivial. As all congruences of a lattice with a finite height are definable (since every chain of it is finite), we get (i), and our proof is completed. \square

Since every direct factor of a lattice with a finite height has also a finite height and since, according to [17], a modular lattice with a finite height is tolerance simple if and only if it is a (finite dimensional) irreducible projective geometry, we deduce

Corollary 3.2. *A bounded weakly atomic modular lattice is tolerance-boolean if and only if it is a finite direct product of finite dimensional irreducible projective geometries.*

As any lattice with a finite height is bounded, weakly atomic, and each congruence of it is definable, by applying Theorem 3.1 we obtain

Corollary 3.3. *For any modular lattice of finite height the following statements are equivalent¹:*

- (i) L is tolerance-trivial.
- (ii) L is a finite direct product of tolerance simple lattices.
- (iii) L is complemented.

References

- [1] *H.-J. Bandelt*: Tolerance relations on lattices. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 23 (1981), 367–381.
- [2] *I. Chajda*: Algebraic Theory of Tolerance Relations. Univerzita Palackého Olomouc, Olomouc, 1991.
- [3] *I. Chajda and J. Nieminen*: Direct decomposability of tolerances on lattices, semilattices and quasilattices. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 32 (1982), 110–115.

¹ We note that the equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) is implicitly contained in [10] and the equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) can be also found in [9].

- [4] *I. Chajda, J. Niederle and B. Zelinka*: On existence conditions for compatible tolerances. Czechoslovak Math. J. *26* (1976), 304–311.
- [5] *P. Crawley*: Lattices whose congruences form a Boolean algebra. Pacific J. Math. *10* (1960), 787–795.
- [6] *R. P. Dilworth*: The structure of relatively complemented lattices. Ann. Math. *51* (1950), 348–359.
- [7] *G. Grätzer*: General Lattice Theory, Second edition. Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel-Stuttgart, 1991.
- [8] *G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt*: Ideals and congruence relations in lattices. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. *9* (1958), 137–175.
- [9] *Z. Heleyova*: Tolerances on semilattices. Math. Pannon. *9* (1998), 111–119.
- [10] *K. Kaarli and K. Täht*: Strictly locally order affine complete lattices. Order *10* (1993), 261–270.
- [11] *T. Katriňák and S. El-Assar*: Algebras with Boolean and Stonean congruence lattices. Acta Math. Hungar. *48* (1986), 301–316.
- [12] *J. Niederle*: A note on tolerance lattices. Čas. pěst. Mat. *107* (1982), 221–224.
- [13] *S. Radeleczki*: A note on the tolerance lattice of atomistic algebraic lattices. Math. Pann. *13* (2002), 183–190.
- [14] *J. G. Raftery, I. G. Rosenberg and T. Sturm*: Tolerance relations and BCK algebras. Math. Japon. *36* (1991), 399–410.
- [15] *I. G. Rosenberg and D. Schweigert*: Compatible orderings and tolerances of lattices. Ann. Discrete Math. *23* (1984), 119–150; Order: Description and Roles. Proc. conf. Ordered sets appl. (Pouzet Richard, ed.). l’Arbresle, France 1982.
- [16] *E. T. Schmidt*: On locally order-polynomially complete modular lattices. Acta Math. Hungar. *49* (1987), 481–486.
- [17] *D. Schweigert*: Compatible relations of modular and orthomodular lattices. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *81* (1981), 462–464.
- [18] *D. Schweigert*: Über endliche ordnungspolynomvollständige Verbände. Monatsh. Math. *78* (1974), 68–76.
- [19] *M. Stern*: Semimodular Lattices, Theory and Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York-Melbourne, 1999.
- [20] *G. Szász*: Einführung in die Verbändstheorie. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1962.
- [21] *T. Tanaka*: Canonical subdirect factorization of lattices. J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., Ser. A *16* (1952), 239–246.

Authors’ addresses: S. Radeleczki, Institute of Mathematics, University of Miskolc, 3515 Miskolc-Egyetemváros, Hungary, e-mail: matradi@gold.uni-miskolc.hu; D. Schweigert, Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Kaiserslautern, 67653 Kaiserslautern, Germany, e-mail: schweigert@mathematik.uni-kl.de.